



An Equal Opportunity Employer

Southwest Florida Water Management District

2379 Broad Street, Brooksville, Florida 34604-6899

(352) 796-7211 or 1-800-423-1476 (FL only)

WaterMatters.org

Bartow Office

170 Century Boulevard
Bartow, Florida 33830-7700
(863) 534-1448 or
1-800-492-7862 (FL only)

Sarasota Office

6750 Fruitville Road
Sarasota, Florida 34240-9711
(941) 377-3722 or
1-800-320-3503 (FL only)

Tampa Office

7601 U.S. 301 North (Fort King Highway)
Tampa, Florida 33637-6759
(813) 985-7481 or
1-800-836-0797 (FL only)

Randall S. Maggard
Chair, Pasco

Jeffrey M. Adams
Vice Chair, Pinellas

Bryan K. Beswick
Secretary, DeSoto, Hardee,
Highlands

Ed Armstrong
Treasurer, Pinellas

H. Paul Senft, Jr.
Former Chair, Polk

Michael A. Babb
Former Chair, Hillsborough

John Henslick
Manatee

James G. Murphy
Polk

Kelly S. Rice
Citrus, Lake, Levy, Sumter

Joel Schleicher
Charlotte, Sarasota

Rebecca Smith
Hillsborough, Pinellas

Mark Taylor
Hernando, Marion

Michelle Williamson
Hillsborough

Brian J. Armstrong, P.G.
Executive Director

February 12, 2018

Set 2

TO: All Potential Respondents
RFB 1803 Main Grade No. 3 Bridge Rehabilitation at Green Swamp in Polk County, Florida

FROM: Sherry Wooten, Procurement Specialist

SUBJECT: Response to Questions

QUESTION: **Technical Specifications, Section 1.1.2, Containment: The SSPC Guide No. 6 directs the contractor to provide a near air tight solution to dust controls. Is it SWFWMD's expectation that the Main Grade Bridge 3 have a containment of this magnitude? During the walk through it was understood that depending on the time of the year that the area would be dry enough under the bridge that a simplified containment including ground tarps would be sufficient. Clarity of the expectations will have a direct impact on project costs.**

ANSWER: District expects a "dry ground" under the bridge during the work period and a ground tarp containment below the bridge will be sufficient.

QUESTION: **Technical Specifications, Section 1.2.2.3, Ambient Air Monitoring: Is continuous air monitoring a requirement? If so how many monitors and what is the frequency of laboratory testing?**

ANSWER: No continuous air monitoring will be required since there are no hazardous coating on the project bridge.

QUESTION: **Technical Specifications, Section 1.2.2.4, Visual Emission Monitoring: Are Visual emission monitoring a requirement?**

ANSWER: No.

QUESTION: **Technical Specifications, Section 1.2.2.6, Containment Plan: Is a Containment Plan necessary?**

ANSWER: No.

QUESTION: Technical Specifications, Section 3.1, Coating System: There are two types of Thermal Spray Coatings Typically 99.9% Zinc is performed at a water line or when over water. 85/15 Zinc Aluminum is normally used on bridges that stay dry. Is there a specific reason the department is choosing Zn/Al over pure Zinc?

ANSWER: The District is providing a revised Bid Response Form for the project to include an alternate bid item to identify the cost increase for metallizing with the 99.9% zinc.

QUESTION: Technical Specifications, Section 5.1, Contractor Qualifications: Is the department willing to waive the requirements of QP1 and QP2 considering that this project has no previous paint (QP1) and contains no lead (QP2). In the event the department does not waive these requirements would they consider accepting “years of experience” to be considered a qualified contractor and how many years would that be?

ANSWER: District will waive the QP1 and QP2 certification requirements for the Prime Contractor or Sub-Contractor that performs the project coatings work.

Technical Specifications, Section 5.1 Contractor: is revised to read as follows:

5.1 Contractor: Contractor or Subcontractor performing metallizing work must be SSPC QP6 certified.