



An Equal Opportunity Employer

Southwest Florida Water Management District

2379 Broad Street, Brooksville, Florida 34604-6899

(352) 796-7211 or 1-800-423-1476 (FL only)

WaterMatters.org

Bartow Office

170 Century Boulevard
Bartow, Florida 33830-7700
(863) 534-1448 or
1-800-492-7862 (FL only)

Sarasota Office

6750 Fruitville Road
Sarasota, Florida 34240-9711
(941) 377-3722 or
1-800-320-3503 (FL only)

Tampa Office

7601 U.S. 301 North (Fort King Highway)
Tampa, Florida 33637-6759
(813) 985-7481 or
1-800-836-0797 (FL only)

Jeffrey M. Adams
Chair, Pinellas

Ed Armstrong
Vice Chair, Pinellas

Bryan K. Beswick
Secretary, DeSoto, Hardee,
Highlands

Michelle Williamson
Treasurer, Hillsborough

H. Paul Senft, Jr.
Former Chair, Polk

Randall S. Maggard
Former Chair, Pasco

John Henslick
Manatee

James G. Murphy
Polk

Kelly S. Rice
Citrus, Lake, Levy, Sumter

Joel Schleicher
Charlotte, Sarasota

Rebecca Smith
Hillsborough, Pinellas

Mark Taylor
Hernando, Marion

Scott Wiggins
Hillsborough

Brian J. Armstrong, P.G.
Executive Director

February 12, 2019

Set 1

TO: All Potential Respondents
RFP 1905 Districtwide Ortho-Imagery Data Collection

FROM: Georgette Brock, Procurement Specialist 3

SUBJECT: Response to Questions

QUESTION 1: I am attempting to complete the forms provided in the RFP document; however, the RFP is password-protected. Can you please provide guidance on how a bidder should complete these forms electronically; i.e. can you provide the password or a non-password-protected version of the document?

ANSWER 1: These forms will need to be completed in hard copy. We do not provide them in electronic form.

QUESTION 2: Is there a shapefile of the Area of Interest (AOI) that can be shared as outlined in the RFP? see below from page 33 number 1 Project Area

"The project area is comprised of a collection of 5000' by 5000' cells that serve as the tiling scheme for ortho-photo and topographic data deliverables. The ortho-photo area to be mapped consists of 10,561 cells (approximately 9,400 square miles) defined in ATTACHMENT 3 – ORTHO-PHOTO PROJECT AREA and in the ArcGIS Shapefile B089_FY2020_Ortho_Project_Area delivered under separate cover."

ANSWER 2: Below is a link to the files requested.

<https://watermatters.sharefile.com/d-s7da2d6884c54aa0a>

QUESTION 3: It's my understanding if there are any additional ground control points for the initial ground control points that they'll all be provided by the District. Is that correct?

ANSWER 3: Yes, that is correct.

QUESTION 4: Will this deliverable be made to the Florida Department of Revenue?

ANSWER 4: Yes, it will.

QUESTION 5: Will the District also provide a separate signed and sealed ground control report included with our data?

ANSWER 5: Yes, we will. We do sign and seal those reports.

QUESTION 6: Isn't Standard Mapping and GIS work that you said at 2-pixel RMSE's be RMSE at 30cm and should be a one-footer RMSE?

ANSWER 6: Yes, it is. It will be corrected in the PowerPoint.

QUESTION 7: The District will test accuracy of the image as per national standards for spatial data accuracy. You'll test it, or will you provide us points to test it?

ANSWER 7: We will provide points to you.

QUESTION 8: So that means we will include it our survey reports?

ANSWER 8: Yes, you will include it in your survey report.

QUESTION 9: We would like to expand on the Project Schedule in Exhibit E, Project Schedule, Project Budget & Invoice Schedule document and include it in our response. regarding Section 1.8, Proposal Format, where do we put are proposed project schedule?

ANSWER 9: You can put your proposed project schedule under the Section 1.8, Proposal Format, Subsection 1.8.7, Completion of the Proposal Documents, however, the District has no obligation to accept your proposed changes.

QUESTION 10: Just to confirm on the schedule, if we don't have the entire project done in 120 days from acquisition but a good portion like a third or half and you guys have it, can the whole thing be another 10-20 days later?

ANSWER 10: Yes, these are targets to shoot for and not written in stone and are provided as a guideline to keep the project on track. We would like to have the project done by October or the end of the fiscal year. We can extend this out until December.

QUESTION 11: Will there a shape file available for the project areas of interest?

ANSWER 11: Yes. This is referenced in Question 2.

QUESTION 12: It sounds like funding for the 9400 square miles is definite, the 1322 square miles for Hardee and Desoto is also definite but the other three counties Marion, Polk, and Charlotte may or may not be funded?

ANSWER 12: The whole project is funded but the funding is coming from five different sources. Hardee and Desoto are funded directly through DOR.

QUESTION 13: Will you be able to provide any trajectory files from the 2017 data?

ANSWER 13: No, we do not have this.

QUESTION 14: Do you have any Cutline files?

ANSWER 14: Yes. This will be provided in the same link referenced in Question 2.

QUESTION 15: It seems like the map doesn't include control points for the east side of Marion County, is that correct?

ANSWER 15: That is correct. We will collect whatever we need out there.

QUESTION 16: Just a clarification of the sun angle on page 35, it says no less than 30 degrees and between 10am and 2:30pm. Are both criteria held, in other words, if we have 30 degrees outside that range we are not permitted to fly?

ANSWER 16: Yes, we want you to fly between 10am and 2:30pm. Both criteria are held.

QUESTION 17: Will the revised PowerPoint presentation be posted.

ANSWER 17: Yes, it will be posted for information purposes and can be accessed through the link referenced in Question 2.

QUESTION 18: With FDOT taking over the QA/QC for the DOR, we have done a number of projects over the past few years a couple of things have come out that weren't particularly covered in your scope and wondering if they are going to apply? The first request from DOR/DOT is that transportation features be held to a tighter seamline mismatch than other features for the ASPRs aspect. In other words, they've asked us to hold it to a maximum 3-pixel misalignment on roadways, railroads, etc. Has that been made clear to you, do you anticipate that here?

ANSWER 18: No, that has not been communicated to us. It was communicated we were using the same specification that we've been using for several years now.

QUESTION 19: FDOT has mentioned they are going to publish an updated FDOR specifications in June 2019 that will affect Fiscal Year 2020 projects. I know on the current contract I am flying for them right now in Escambia County for the DOT, they have put the 3-pixel misalignment in our scope. It is their expectation that buildings cannot lean into the state roadways, so we've added flight lines to compensate for that. I put that out there because this timing thing that they may publish those guidelines on what they will accept for DOR imagery that may roll back to us in the summer of 2020 after we finish your project.

ANSWER 19: After speaking with Brett Wood with DOT, our specifications will hold.

QUESTION 20: On page 33 of the Exhibit A, Section 2, Orthophoto Specifications, Subsection 2.1 Sensor, it specifies each sensor must have a valid United States Geological Survey (USGS) Sensor/Camera calibration report or equivalent, it's my understanding that we don't do that anymore? Is the manufacture report needed?

ANSWER 20: Correct, you do need the manufacturer's report, which is the considered the equivalent, included in your survey report. It is not required in the proposal submittal.

QUESTION 21: Do we need letters of commitment for each key member of the team or just for the prime contractor and subcontractors.

ANSWER 21: That is correct just for the prime contractor and the subcontractors. The Letters of Commitment are to include the names of all key team members for the prime contractor and the subcontractors.

QUESTION 22: The document talks a lot about ownership data and the District own 100% etc. but it is an optional product under the oblique products. It states describe applicable licensing restrictions for data for oblique imagery. So that means there is a little bit more leeway for licensing for the oblique products?

ANSWER 22: Yes, the oblique product is totally optional. It is part of the solicitation as an option for the cooperating counties.

QUESTION 23: Do you post the sign in sheet?

ANSWER 23: Yes.