September 10, 2021

TO: All Potential Respondents

RFB 2115 – TSALA APOPKA GOLF COURSE CONTROL STRUCTURE MODIFICATION (REBID)

FROM: Ari Horowitz, Procurement Specialist

SUBJECT: Response to Pre-Bid Meeting Questions

1. Could you provide additional information regarding the Metallizing requirements such as wire zinc content, surface preparation requirements etc.?
   - Zinc content: 85% Zn, 15% Al alloy. See clarifying metallization specifications for surface preparation and other requirements. (Refer to Addendum #3)

2. Could you clarify which surfaces will receive metalizing and which surfaces will receive protective coating per division 9 of the spec?
   - The existing sheet piling and the portion of the catwalk indicated as remaining shall be metalized as noted on the design plans. Should the District choose to select the bid alternate (coating) instead of the use of metallization, Division 9 would then apply.

3. Please provide Geotech Report and reference soil borings
   - See geotechnical report for encountered groundwater elevations at the time of the investigation. (Refer to Addendum #3)
   - Geotechnical report has been provided. (Refer to Addendum #3)

4. (a) We understand the District will regulate the waterflow during construction – CLOSED!. Please confirm necessary dewatering system shall be designed to only handle groundwater. No bypass will be required as there is no waterflow.
   (b) What groundwater table / elevation shall we anticipate for the dewatering system and the temporary cofferdam. What shall we assume as maximum elevation.
   (c) What is the party responsible for permitting dewatering and performing an ERP, and is there an available ERP for review now?
   (d) Drawing C-04 general note 14 states: construction dewatering for the project has not been approved. The contractor is responsible for obtaining a water use permit form the water management district and/ or complying with construction dewatering no notice requirements. A) what are the no notice requirements b) what is the anticipated dewatering permitting cost/fee from the District c) what is the typical time the District’s typical processing period for such permit.
   (e) Are there adequate areas for retaining discharge? If not, what is the plan for discharging effluent?
(f) What are the requirements for the dewatering system monitoring on site?

- The contractor is responsible for developing/submitting for review/permitting their preferred dewatering means and methods. No-notice permitting requirements are outlined in the SWFWMD permitting guideline handbook (90-days if water is contained onsite). The design allows space for dewatering activities while not dictating/limiting the contractor’s desired means and methods. There is space for components such as filter boxes or in-channel filtering cells.
- The District cannot permit themselves so the required permitting agency will be FDEP. Please refer to the link below for further questions. https://floridadep.gov/water/stormwater/content/construction-activity-cgp
- The maximum elevation will be 40.95’ NAVD88, although this water level is not typical in the dry season.

5.

a) Please clarify why Project Drawing Sheet C-04 lists an Alternative (Owners Option) item 18. Disposal of excavated soil to a hazardous waste disposal facility (required if testing shows excavated soils contain contaminants). However, RFP document page 22 of 56 does not list that Alternate Bid Item. Please clarify if optional pricing shall be provided.

b) If contaminants are anticipate a) what testing shall we allow for, what potential contaminants are expected or anticipated.

- Yes, if testing shows excavated soils contain contaminants, disposal of excavated soil to a hazardous waste disposal facility is required.
- While there are no known contaminants, this item is included as a contingency line item to be utilized should testing results show contaminants.
- Should the testing results prove the soils do not contain contaminants, soil disposal (and payment for such) is not necessary.

6.

a) Electrical Drawing Sheet E4 General notes item 6 states: “Panel to be reused and reworked for new actuators.” Please confirm electrical subcontractor shall provide all new actuators.

b) Please confirm quantity of 70’ of 1” rigid galvanized conduit to be replaced as part of the solicitation.

c) Besides galvanized conduit replacement the electrical scope only consists of the installation of 2 new ground rods and feed 2 new actuators from existing 20A breakers

- Yes, new actuators are included in this project. The selected lowest/responsible bidder (the contractor and/or their subcontractors) shall furnish and construct/install all items shown on the plans and indicated in the specifications necessary to complete the work. All electrical components required to complete the work shall be included in the “Electrical Modifications” Lump Sum bid item.

7.

a) What government agency is issuing necessary permits for the project such as the electrical and any other permit.

b) What permits are the responsibility of contractor besides the dewatering permit to be obtained from the District.
• Contractors are responsible to obtain permits necessary to complete the work (located within Citrus County).
• There are no permits that are required to be issued by the District for this project.

8. Please confirm that the project has no BUY AMERICAN ACT requirements (domestic material only).
   • There are no “BUY AMERICAN ACT” requirements for this project.

9. What is the specified concrete class for the 8” thick concrete liner?
   • Attached is section 03300-11 from Weir Specs. Sitework concrete require 3500 psi. (Refer to Addendum #3)