General Instructions for the Wetland Assessment Procedure (WAP) Test

- 1. There are ten wetlands set up for the test. Four of the test wetlands are located in the Cypress Creek wellfield, and six are located in the Morris Bridge wellfield (please see attached location maps and directions).
- 2. The transects have been established by District staff. Each transect should be marked by three wooden stakes with fluorescent tops. The stakes are labeled "wetland edge", "NP-6", and "Deep" (for wetland interior). If you cannot find the stakes, please call the SWFWMD office (see item #8 below). There may be many other stakes, PVC pipes, and other markers from other monitoring efforts, but please ignore these.
- 3. Each participant must assess all 10 wetlands individually. If two or more assessors prefer to travel together for safety or convenience, please make every effort to work independently during the test.
- 4. All ten wetlands must be assessed during the time period of May 2 through May 22, 2004.
- Complete the enclosed form in its entirety for each wetland, including the sheet of "extra" questions. Grayed areas of the form are not needed for the test.
- 6. If you determine that you would normally decide not to evaluate the transition or deep zones (for whatever reason), please note so, but perform the assessment anyway for purposes of the test.
- 7. Since we may have as many as 30 participants walking through each wetland over the course of 3 weeks, please tread as lightly as possible! As explained in the WAP manual, please do your best to discount any pathway, obvious footpath, or tire track disturbances in your assessment.
- 8. Once you have completed assessing all ten wetlands, and have completed the forms for each, please take the time to give us your overall impressions of the test and methodologies. Although the field form you are using is an interim form, and not necessary the one that will be used in ongoing assessments, please give us your general opinions of the form.
- If you notice anything wrong with each wetland setup (stakes missing, etc.), have trouble locating any of the wetlands, or have any other questions in the field that may prevent you from completing the test, please do not hesitate to call either Ted Rochow (Ext. 4271), Michael Hancock (Ext. 4255), or Jill Hood (Ext. 4239) at 1-800-423-1476 for assistance.

WETLAND ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE FIELD FORM (DRAFT 4/27/2004)

Wellfield			Station ID		
Historic FLUCCS Code			Wetland Type		
Personnel			Date		
Time					
Ground Photography			Photo Frame)	
Photo point at deep well		N	E	S	W
Photo point at NP-6					
. Hoto point acris					
Water Level					
Staff					
Well 1 Reading			Well 1 Description		
Well 2 Reading			Well 2 Description		
Water Level Description:					
	8	SPECIES ID	ENTIFICATION		
Transitional Zone Species					
Transitional 2	Zone Specie	s	Deep Zone	e Species	
Transitional 2	Zone Specie Percent Cover	Wetland Status	Deep Zone Groundcover Species	e Species Percent Cover	Wetland Status
	Percent	Wetland		Percent	
	Percent	Wetland		Percent	
	Percent	Wetland		Percent	
	Percent	Wetland		Percent	
	Percent	Wetland		Percent	
	Percent	Wetland		Percent	
	Percent	Wetland		Percent	
	Percent	Wetland		Percent	
	Percent	Wetland		Percent	
Groundcover Species	Percent	Wetland	Groundcover Species	Percent	
Groundcover Species Total	Percent	Wetland	Groundcover Species	Percent	

Transitional Zone Species			Deep Zone Species			
Shrubs and Small Tree Species	Percent Cover	Wetland Status	Shrubs and Small Tree Species	Percent Cover	Wetland Status	
Total			Tota			
nments:						
nments:						
nments:						
Transitional Z			Deep Zoo	ne Species		
	Zone Specie Percent Cover	es Wetland Status	Deep Zoi	ne Species Percent Cover		
Transitional 2	Percent	Wetland		Percent		
Transitional 2	Percent	Wetland		Percent		
Transitional 2	Percent	Wetland		Percent		
Transitional 2	Percent	Wetland		Percent		
Transitional 2	Percent	Wetland		Percent Cover	Wetland	

ZONATION

Groundcover Zonation

	1)	Many signs of abnormal groundcover zonation all through wetland
	2)	Many signs of abnormal groundcover zonation in the transition zone and outer deep zone (if no transition zone or no plants in transition zone select 2.
	3)	Some signs of abnormal groundcover zonation in the transition zone and outer deep zone (if no transition zone or no plants in transition zone select 3.
	4)	Some signs of abnormal groundcover zonation limited to the transition zone.
	5)	Normal groundcover zonation.
	N/A	Not enough groundcover to make evaluation.
Comments:		
Shrub and Small Tree	Zonation	Many signs of abnormal shrub and small tree zonation all through wetland
	2)	Many signs of abnormal shrub and small tree zonation in the transition zone and outer deep zone (if no transition zone or no plants in transition zone select 2.
	3)	Some signs of abnormal shrub and small tree zonation in the transition zone and outer deep zone (if no transition zone or no plants in transition zone select 3.
	4)	Some signs of abnormal shrub and small tree zonation limited to the transition zone .
	5)	Normal shrub and small tree zonation.
	N/A	Not enough shrubs and small trees to make evaluation.
Comments:		

Tree Zonation

1)

2))	Many signs of abnormal tree zonation in the transition zone or no plants in transition	-
3))	Some signs of abnormal tree zonation in the t (if no transition zone or no plants in transition	ransition zone and outer deep zone
4))	Some signs of abnormal tree zonation limited	to the transition zone .
5))	Normal tree zonation.	
N/A	Α	Not enough trees to make evaluation.	
Comments:			
		STRESS	
Stress of Appropriate Shrub an	nd Si	mall Tree Species	
1)	١	> 50 percent exhibit stress .	Appropriate Species
2)	•	25 - 50 percent exhibit stress.	
3)	•	10 - 25 percent exhibit stress .	
4)	-	5 - 10 percent exhibit stress .	
5)	•	<5 percent exhibit stress.	
S)	•		
IN//	A	Not enough cover to make evaluation.	
Comments:			
Stress of Inappropriate Shrub a	and .	Small Tree Species	
			Inappropriate Species
1)		<5 percent exhibit stress .	
2)	•	5 - 10 percent exhibit stress .	
3))	10 - 25 percent exhibit stress .	
4))	25 - 50 percent exhibit stress .	
5))	> 50 percent exhibit stress .	
N//	Α	Not enough cover to make evaluation.	
Comments:			

Many signs of abnormal **tree zonation** all through wetland

Canopy Stress of Approp	riate Tre	e Species	
			Appropriate Species
	1)	> 50 percent of individual trees exhibit stress .	
	2)	25 - 50 percent of individual trees exhibit stress .	
	3)	10 - 25 percent of individual trees exhibit stress .	
	4)	5 - 10 percent of individual trees exhibit stress .	
	5)	<5 percent of individual trees exhibit stress .	
	N/A	Not enough cover to make evaluation.	
Comments:			
Canopy Stress of Inappro	priate Tr	ee Species	Inappropriate Species
	1)	< 5 percent of individual trees exhibit stress .	
	2)	5 - 10 percent of individual trees exhibit stress .	
	3)	10 - 25 percent of individual trees exhibit stress .	
	4)	25 - 50 percent of individual trees exhibit stress .	
	5)	> 50 percent of individual trees exhibit stress .	
	N/A	Not enough cover to make evaluation.	
Comments:			
Leaning or Dead Trees			
	1)	> 25 percent of trees dead or leaning.	Appropriate Species
	2)	15 - 25 percent of trees dead or leaning.	
	3)	5 - 15 percent of trees dead or leaning.	
	4)	< 5 percent of trees dead or leaning.	
	,	Normal numbers of dead or leaning trees for	
	5)	wetland type.	
	N/A	Not enough cover to make evaluation.	
Comments:			
-			

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Disturbance			
May not want to analyze/compare with water withdrawal related disturbance		ne extensive level o	f non-ground-
May not want to analyze/compare with of subsidence.	th other wetlands due to th	ne extensive level	
Are any of the following conditions apparent and obvious?			
	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	Not Sure
Wetland edges have been filled or disturbed			
Excessive dumping or trash in wetland			
Hog disturbance			
Significant impact from cattle (trampling, etc)			
Vehicles driving through wetland (including bicycles)			
Insect Damage			
Disease			
Comments:			
Are there signs of fire?	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	Not Sure
Approximate year of fire?		<u>Year</u>	Not Sure
Expanse of fire?		Extensive ———	<u>Localized</u>
Intensity of fire?		<u>High</u>	Low
Fire Comments:			

Hydrology				
Does the wetland have augmentation equal fyes, was augmentation taking place during the state of the stat		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	Not Sure
Augmentation Comments:				
		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	Not Sure
Is there clear evidence of direct stormwate or other man-made cnveyance?	r inflow via a ditch			
Is there clear evidence of direct drainage f				
Are there any other drainage activities in the	ne area of note?			
Is there a borrow pit or retention pond in the wetland?	e vicinity of the			
Drainage Comments:				
Soils				
Are there any new signs of soils oxidation (since last 5-year review)?	or subsidence	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	Not Sure
Soils Comments:				
For Lakes Only				
Indicate the category that best describes	the docks for the entire la	ke.		
1)	Docks completely out of	the water.		
2)	Docks touching the water	er or with < 50 percent of	f the dock over wat	er.
3)	Docks > 50 percent over	water.		

Is the littoral zone stranded?

<u>Yes</u>

<u>No</u>

Not Sure

Protected Wildlife and Plants

Protected Species		Wetland Dependent Species			
Species	Activity	Notes	Species	Activity	Notes
Protected and Wetland Dep	endent Species	s Comments:			
Recovery and Stress					
			Yes	<u>No</u>	Not Sure
Are young trees (appropriate grow in wetland locations in a recovery from groundwater wi	way that would	suggest			
Comments (include species):	_				
Are vines (inappropriate to the			<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	Not Sure
wetland) dropping leaves or d suggest recovery from ground taking place?					
Comments (include species):	_				

Additional Questions for the WAP Test (to be answered at each wetland)

1.	What was your time of arrival?
2.	What was your time of departure?
3.	Were there any other assessors present at the time of your assessment?
4.	In your opinion, does the location of the wetland edge, NP-6, and wetland interior seem reasonable? If not, please explain.
5.	Please generally describe the "assessment area" that you used for each vegetation type (groundcover, shrubs and small trees, trees).
6.	What was the most difficult part of the assessment for this wetland?
7.	What is your general opinion of the WAP's application at this wetland?
8.	On a scale of 1 to 10, with 1 being poor and 10 being excellent, how would rate the overall health of this wetland? Feel free to explain your answer.

"Final" questions to be answered once for the entire test

- 1. What is your previous experience using the original WAP?
 - a. I've never used the previous WAP methodology.
 - b. I've applied the original WAP one to three times.
 - c. I've applied the original WAP many times (more than three)
- 2. Do you feel the questions in the WAP were worded clearly? If not, any suggestions are appreciated.