



Hydrostratigraphic Framework of the Southwest Florida Water Management District: Technical Report of the Regional Observation and Monitor-well Program





Cover: Graphic of Florida (modified from Shutterstock image) showing the Southwest Florida Water Management District and a sequence of maps showing the extent of each aquifer or aquifer system (modified from Miller, 1990).

Southwest Florida Water Management District

Operations, Lands and Resource Monitoring Division

Brian Starford, P.G., Director

Data Collection Bureau

Sandie Will, P.G., Bureau Chief

Geohydrologic Data Section

M. Ted Gates, P.G., Manager

Southwest Florida Water Management District
2379 Broad Street
Brooksville, FL 34604-6899

For ordering information:

World Wide Web: <http://www.watermatters.org/documents>

Telephone: 1-800-423-1476 (FL only)

For more information on the Southwest Florida Water Management District and its mission to manage and protect water and related resources:

World Wide Web: <http://www.watermatters.org>

Telephone: 1-800-423-1476 (FL only)

The Southwest Florida Water Management District (District) does not discriminate on the basis of disability. This nondiscrimination policy involves every aspect of the District's functions, including access to and participation in the District's programs, services and activities. Any one requiring reasonable accommodation, or who would like information as to the existence and location of accessible services, activities, and facilities, as provided for in the Americans with Disabilities Act, should contact the Human Resources Office Chief, at 2379 Broad St., Brooksville, FL 34604-6899; telephone (352) 796-7211 or 1-800-423-1476 (FL only); or email ADACoordinator@WaterMatters.org. If you are hearing or speech impaired, please contact the agency using the Florida Relay Service, 1-800-955-8771 (TDD) or 1-800-955-8770 (Voice). If requested, appropriate auxiliary aids and services will be provided at any public meeting, forum, or event of the District. In the event of a complaint, please follow the grievance procedure located at WaterMatters.org/ADA.

Any use of trade, product, or firm names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the Southwest Florida Water Management District.

Although this report is in the public domain, permission must be secured from the individual copyright owners to reproduce any copyrighted material contained within this report.


Suggested citation:

LaRoche, J.J., and Horstman, T.M., 2024, Hydrostratigraphic Framework of the Southwest Florida Water Management District: Technical Report of the Regional Observation and Monitor-well Program: Brooksville, Florida, Geohydrologic Data Section, Southwest Florida Water Management District, 49 p.

The hydrogeologic evaluations and interpretations contained in *Hydrostratigraphic Framework of the Southwest Florida Water Management District: Technical Report of the Regional Observation and Monitor-well Program* have been prepared by or approved by a licensed Professional Geologist in the State of Florida, in accordance with Chapter 492, Florida Statutes.

Tiffany Horstman

Tiffany M. Horstman
Professional Geologist
State of Florida License No. PG 2661



Date: 10-22-2024

Jason J. LaRoche

Jason J. LaRoche
Professional Geologist
State of Florida License No. PG 2525



Date: 10-22-2024

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Ron Basso and David Dewitt for their review of this document. Their extraordinary years of dedicated service to the Southwest Florida Water Management District is commendable and contributed much to the understanding of Florida's hydrogeology.

The authors also thank Jerry Mallams and David Dewitt for recognizing the need for consistency and the use of established aquifer nomenclature guidelines in delineation of hydrostratigraphic units, and for presenting these ideas and a revision of the intermediate aquifer system at the Geological Society of America Southeastern Section Conference in 2007. Their efforts enlightened staff of the essential need for adherence to established stratigraphic methods, and inspired the authors to create this document for District-wide standardization.

Lastly, the authors thank all the geologists and core drillers who have been a part of the Geohydrologic Data section and the Regional Observation and Monitor-well Program over the last four decades for their tremendous contributions to hydrogeologic data collection and exploration innovations that made development of this document possible.

Contents

Introduction.....	1
Hydrostratigraphic Framework	3
Surficial aquifer.....	3
Hawthorn aquifer system.....	3
Floridan aquifer system	7
Upper Floridan aquifer	7
Lower Floridan aquifers.....	9
Summary	10
Selected References	10
Appendix A. Hydrostratigraphic Framework Cross Sections of the Southwest Florida Water Management District	13
Appendix B. Conceptual Hydrostratigraphic Framework of the Southwest Florida Water Management District	23
Appendix C. Hydrostratigraphic Columns of Select Exploratory Core Drilling Well Sites Within the Southwest Florida Water Management District	29

Figures

1. Well sites of the Southwest Florida Water Management District and hydrostrati- graphic framework cross-section locations.....	2
2. Nomenclature of (A), the surficial aquifer, (B), the Hawthorn aquifer system, and (C), the Floridan aquifer system used by the Southwest Florida Water Management District compared to nomenclature in previously published reports.....	4
3. Chart correlating chronostratigraphic and lithostratigraphic units to the current hydrogeologic framework of the Southwest Florida Water Management District.....	6
4. The extent of the Hawthorn aquifer system and the location of the Lake Wales Ridge within the Southwest Florida Water Management District.....	7
5. The approximated line where the Avon Park high-permeability zone crosses the middle confining unit I and is in the upper Floridan aquifer north of the line and in the lower Floridan aquifer below middle confining unit I south of the line. Also, the approximate location of faults presumably causing anomo- lous stratigraphy of the middle and late Eocene rocks in northeast Polk and southeast Lake counties within the District.....	8

Conversion Factors and Datums

Multiply	By	To obtain
	Length	
foot (ft)	0.3048	meter (m)
mile (mi)	1.609	kilometer (km)

Vertical coordinate information is referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88).

Elevation, as used in this report, refers to distance above the vertical datum.

Abbreviations and Acronyms

commun.	communication
District	Southwest Florida Water Management District
fig.	figure
figs.	figures
ft	feet
NAVD 88	North American Vertical Datum of 1988
ROMP	Regional Observation and Monitor-well Program
SFWMD	South Florida Water Management District
SJRWMD	St. Johns River Water Management District
SWFWMD	Southwest Florida Water Management District
U.S.	United States

Page intentionally left blank.

Hydrostratigraphic Framework of the Southwest Florida Water Management District: Technical Report of the Regional Observation and Monitor-well Program

By Jason LaRoche and Tiffany Horstman

Introduction

The purpose of this report is to define the current hydrostratigraphic conceptualization of the Southwest Florida Water Management District (District) based on site investigations and comprehensive mapping research of the Geohydrologic Data Section's Regional Observation and Monitor-well Program (ROMP) using consistent terminology and nomenclature guidelines. The ROMP was started in 1974 in response to the need for hydrogeologic information by the District. The focus of the ROMP is to characterize the hydrogeology and water quality of the groundwater systems within southwestern Florida by performing exploratory core drilling, aquifer testing, and well construction. The original design of the ROMP consisted of an inland 10-mile grid network composed of 122 well sites and a coastal transect network composed of 24 coastal monitor transects of two to three well sites each. The number of wells at a well site varies with specific regional needs; usually two to five permanent monitor wells are constructed at each site. Data from 109 ROMP inland 10-mile grid sites and 59 coastal transect sites have helped develop the hydrostratigraphic conceptualization of the District and refine the Regional Aquifer-System Analysis of Miller (1986) that forms the basis of the District's hydrostratigraphic conceptualization (fig. 1). This report will be updated annually as new data are collected from ROMP sites that will further refine the hydrostratigraphy of the District.

There has been a lot of variation in nomenclature conventions used to describe the aquifers and confining units underlying Florida. Although uniform guidelines for hydrostratigraphic nomenclature have not been formally adopted nationwide, the U.S. Geological Survey (Laney and Davidson, 1986) recognized the importance of consistent hydrostratigraphic terminology and their definitions for effective scientific communication and developed uniform nomenclature guidelines for designating and naming aquifers that is consistent with the stratigraphic nomenclature recommendations of the North American Stratigraphic Code (North American Commission on Stratigraphic Nomenclature, 2021). The District's hydrostratigraphic nomenclature convention (based on Miller [1986]) is consistent with the aquifer nomenclature guidelines of Laney and Davidson (1986) to appropriately

rank and name the hydrogeologic units underlying the District. The following recognized definitions for stratigraphic terms are used for this report.

Stratigraphy (International Subcommission on Stratigraphic Classification, 1994) – the description of all rock bodies forming the Earth's crust and their organization into distinctive, useful, mappable units based on their inherent properties or attributes in order to establish their distribution and relationship in space and their succession in time, and to interpret geologic history.

Stratigraphic unit (North American Commission on Stratigraphic Nomenclature, 2021) – a naturally occurring body of rock or rock material distinguished from adjoining bodies of rock on the basis of some stated property or properties.


Hydrostratigraphic unit (Seaber, 1988) – a body of rock distinguished and characterized by its porosity and permeability. A hydrostratigraphic unit may occur in one or more lithostratigraphic, allostratigraphic, pedostratigraphic, or lithodemic units and is unified and delimited on the basis of its observable hydrologic characteristics that relate to its interstices.

Chronostratigraphic Unit (North American Commission on Stratigraphic Nomenclature, 2021) – A body of rock established to serve as the material reference for all rocks formed during the same span of time. Each boundary of a chronostratigraphic unit is synchronous. Chronostratigraphy provides a means of organizing strata into units based on their age relations. A chronostratigraphic body also serves as the basis for defining the specific interval of geologic time, or geochronologic unit, represented by the referent.

Lithostratigraphic Unit (North American Commission on Stratigraphic Nomenclature, 2021) – A stratum or body of strata, generally but not invariably layered, generally but not invariably tabular, that conforms to the Law of Superposition and is distinguished and delimited on the basis of lithic characteristics and stratigraphic position.

Aquifer system (Poland and others, 1972) – A heterogeneous body of intercalated permeable and poorly permeable material that functions regionally as a water-yielding hydraulic unit; it comprises two or more aquifers separated at least locally by confining units that impede ground-water move-

2 Hydrostratigraphic Framework of the Southwest Florida Water Management District



[FIPS, Federal Information Processing System; HARN, High Accuracy Reference Network; N, north; NAD, North American Datum; PRWC, Polk Regional Water Cooperative; ROMP, Regional Observation and Monitor-well Program; SEWF, Southeast Wellfield; TR, Transect]

Figure 1. Well sites of the Southwest Florida Water Management District and hydrostratigraphic framework cross-section locations.

ment but do not greatly affect the regional hydraulic continuity of the system.

Aquifer (Meinzer, 1923) – A rock formation or stratum that will yield water in sufficient quantity to be of consequence as a source of supply. It is water-bearing not in the sense of holding water but in the sense of carrying or conveying water.

Zone (Laney and Davidson, 1986) – used to subdivide an aquifer for the purpose of delineating a particular hydrologic characteristic that is not typical of the entire aquifer.

Confining unit (Lohman and others, 1972; Laney and Davidson, 1986) – a body of “impermeable” material stratigraphically adjacent to one or more aquifers. In nature, however, its hydraulic conductivity may range from nearly zero to some value distinctly lower than that of the aquifer. “Many confining units are leaky under natural conditions and may contribute significant amounts of water to the aquifers they confine...”.

Each category of stratigraphy (for example, chronostratigraphic, lithostratigraphic, hydrostratigraphic, and others) has a hierarchy of rank terms, each with distinct meaning (North American Commission on Stratigraphic Nomenclature, 2021). Within any hierarchy, the fundamental unit is the required basic building block without which no other units can exist. For hydrostratigraphy, the recognized hierarchy of rank terms from largest to smallest is the aquifer system, aquifer, and zone (Laney and Davidson, 1986). The fundamental hydrostratigraphic unit used in describing and interpreting the hydrogeology of a region is the aquifer, bounded immediately by adjacent confining units (Mallams and Dewitt, 2007). Therefore, an aquifer system (next higher rank) or zone (next lower rank) cannot exist without aquifers. A comparison of the stratigraphic framework and nomenclature convention used by the District with other published conventions is in figure 2.

Hydrostratigraphic Framework

The District is underlain by several aquifers of varying productivity, water quality, and regional extent. Generally, these aquifers include, in descending order: the undifferentiated surficial aquifer, the Peace River aquifer, the upper Arcadia aquifer, the lower Arcadia aquifer, the upper Floridan aquifer, the lower Floridan aquifer below middle confining unit I (herein referred to as lower Floridan aquifer I), the lower Floridan aquifer below middle confining unit II (herein referred to as lower Floridan aquifer II), the lower Floridan aquifer below middle confining unit VIII (herein referred to as lower Floridan aquifer VIII), and rarely the lower Floridan aquifer below middle confining unit VI (herein referred to as lower Floridan aquifer VI) (fig. 3). The undifferentiated surficial aquifer is present throughout most of the central and southern District but is not a major source of water (appendices A and B). The Peace River, upper Arcadia, and lower Arcadia aquifers compose the Hawthorn (formerly intermedi-

ate) aquifer system and are present throughout much of the southern portion of the District (fig. 4, appendix A). The upper and lower Floridan aquifers compose the Floridan aquifer system and underlie all of Florida and parts of Georgia, Alabama, and South Carolina (Miller, 1986) (appendix A). The upper Floridan aquifer contains most of the fresh water underlying the District and is the primary water source in the District. The lower Floridan aquifer I contains fresh water in some areas and is withdrawn in parts of northeastern Sumter County at this time. The lower Floridan aquifers II and VIII commonly contain non-potable water and are not major water sources historically but are being investigated as alternative water sources in Polk County.

Surficial aquifer

The undifferentiated surficial aquifer (where present) is the uppermost aquifer within the District and mostly consists of undifferentiated sand, but may also contain shell, gravel, and clay. It contains water under unconfined (water table) conditions and is delineated where basal confinement is present. The undifferentiated surficial aquifer is absent in all or parts of Hillsborough, Pasco, Hernando, Sumter, Citrus, Marion, and Levy counties where basal clay is absent or very thin and breached by sinkholes or fractures that precludes characterization as a laterally extensive and functional surficial aquifer because of a lack of hydraulic continuity (Arthur and others, 2008). The undifferentiated surficial aquifer can be greater than 250 feet thick along the Lake Wales Ridge and Intraridge Valley in parts of Polk and Highlands counties (fig. 4 and appendix A). In two areas of Florida where surficial deposits are thick, highly permeable, and extensively used as a water source, they have been given aquifer names such as the sand-and-gravel aquifer in the westernmost panhandle and the Biscayne aquifer in southeastern Florida (Miller, 1986). These aquifers grade laterally into thin sands that are called the undifferentiated surficial aquifer (Miller, 1986). Although these three uppermost aquifers are often collectively referred to as the surficial aquifer system, they do not conform to the definition of an aquifer system because they are not separated at least locally by confining units that impede groundwater movement (Poland and others, 1972). Therefore, the District identifies them as aquifers.

Hawthorn aquifer system

The Hawthorn aquifer system is present only in the southern part of the District and pinches out north of central Hillsborough County (fig. 4 and appendix A). The Hawthorn aquifer system within the District contains up to three aquifers: the Peace River aquifer, the upper Arcadia aquifer, and the lower Arcadia aquifer (fig. 3). The Hawthorn aquifer system thickness generally ranges from about 50 feet in the northern portion to about 300 feet in the southern portion of where it is present in the District (appendix A, figs. A3 and

A

WYRICK 1960	LICHTLER 1960	CLARKE 1964	LEVE 1966	WOLANSKY 1978	MILLER 1980	BOGGESS 1986; ARTHUR AND OTHERS 2008	SWFWMD PRESENT
nonartesian aquifer	Shallow aquifer	water-table aquifer	shallow aquifer system	unconfined aquifer	surficial aquifer	surficial aquifer system	surficial aquifer
confining unit	confining unit	confining unit	confining unit	confining unit	confining unit	confining unit	confining unit

[SWFWMD, Southwest Florida Water Management District]

B

SPROUL AND OTHERS 1972	JOYNER, SUTCLIFFE 1976	WEDDERBURN AND OTHERS 1982	WOLANSKY 1983	BARR 1996	TORRES AND OTHERS 2001	KNOCHENMUS 2006	ARTHUR AND OTHERS 2008	SWFWMD PRESENT
confining unit	confining unit	confining unit	confining unit	confining unit	confining unit	confining unit	confining unit	confining unit
sandstone aquifer	Zone 1	Sandstone aquifer	Tamiami - upper Hawthorn aquifer	Permeable Zone 1	Tamiami/ Peace River zone (PZ1)	Zone 1	zones/ aquifers were not delineated	Peace River aquifer
confining unit	confining unit	confining unit		confining unit	confining unit	confining unit		confining unit
upper Hawthorn aquifer	Zone 2	mid-Hawthorn aquifer		Permeable Zone 2	Upper Arcadia zone (PZ2)	Zone 2		upper Arcadia aquifer
confining unit	confining unit	confining unit	Lower Hawthorn - upper Tampa aquifer	confining unit	confining unit	confining unit		confining unit
lower Hawthorn aquifer	Zone 3	lower Hawthorn / Tampa producing zone		Permeable Zone 3	Lower Arcadia zone (PZ3)	Zone 3		lower Arcadia aquifer
confining unit	confining unit	confining unit	confining unit	confining unit	confining unit	confining unit	confining unit	confining unit

[FAS, Floridan aquifer system; PZ, permeable zone; SWFWMD, Southwest Florida Water Management District]

Figure 2. Nomenclature of (A), the surficial aquifer, (B), the Hawthorn aquifer system, and (C), the Floridan aquifer system used by the Southwest Florida Water Management District compared to nomenclature in previously published reports.

C

STRINGFIELD 1936	PARKER AND OTHERS 1955	STRINGFIELD 1966	MILLER 1982	BUSH 1982	MILLER 1986	REESE AND RICHARDSON 2008	ARTHUR AND OTHERS 2008	WILLIAMS AND KUNIAISKY 2016	SWFWMD PRESENT
confining unit	confining unit	confining unit	confining unit	confining unit	confining unit	confining unit	confining unit	confining unit	confining unit
chief water-bearing artesian formations	Floridan aquifer	principal artesian aquifer	Tertiary limestone aquifer system permeable zone	Tertiary limestone aquifer Upper permeable zone	Floridan aquifer system Upper Floridan aquifer	Lower Hawthorn producing zone Upper Floridan aquifer	Upper Floridan aquifer	Upper Floridan aquifer Upper permeable zone	upper Floridan aquifer
					middle confining unit I	MC1 (middle semiconfining unit and/or confining unit, upper part)		Ocala-Avon Park low permeability zone (OCAPlpz)	Ocala low- permeability zone
			less permeable zone	Intra-aquifer low-permeability zone	Lower Floridan aquifer below middle confining unit I	Avon Park permeable zone		Avon Park Permeable Zone	Avon Park high- permeability zone ²
			permeable zone	Lower permeable zone	middle confining unit II or VI	MC2 (middle semiconfin- ing unit and/or confining unit, lower part)	Middle Floridan confining unit ¹	Middle-Avon Park confining unit (MAPCU)	middle confining unit I
					Lower Floridan aquifer below middle confining unit II or VI	Lower Floridan aquifer	Lower Floridan aquifer	Lower Floridan aquifer below middle confining unit II or VI	Avon Park high- permeability zone ²
					middle confining unit VIII ³			Lower Avon Park permeable zone	lower Floridan aquifer below middle confining unit I
					Lower Floridan aquifer below middle confining unit VIII			Glauconite marker unit (GLAUCIpu)	middle confining unit VIII ³
								Oldsma r permeable zone	lower Floridan aquifer below middle confining unit VIII
			confining unit	confining unit	confining unit	confining unit	confining unit	confining unit	confining unit

[Terms shown are for hydrogeologic units present within the Southwest Florida Water Management District (SWFWMD); ¹Arthur and others acknowledge existence of the middle confining unit I within the Southwest Florida Water Management but do not map it for Special Publication 68; ²The Avon Park high-permeability zone (SWFWMD fracture zone) crosses middle confining unit I in central Polk County; therefore, it occurs above the middle confining unit I in northern Polk and below the middle confining unit I in southern Polk; ³The middle confining unit VIII of Miller (1986) in south Florida was extended across the entire peninsula as the Glauconite marker unit based on new data in Williams and Kuniansky (2016)]

Figure 2. (Continued) Nomenclature of (A), the surficial aquifer, (B), the Hawthorn aquifer system, and (C), the Floridan aquifer system used by the Southwest Florida Water Management District compared to nomenclature in previously published reports.

SERIES		GEOLOGIC UNITS		HYDROGEOLOGIC UNITS		
Holocene		undifferentiated sand and clay		surficial aquifer		
Pleistocene						
Pliocene						Cypresshead Fm
						Caloosahatchee Fm
		Tamiami Fm				
Miocene	late	Hawthorn Group	Coosawhatchie Formation	Peace River Formation	Bone Valley Member	confining unit
	middle					Peace River aquifer
	early		Arcadia Formation		Tampa Member ²	Nocatee Member
Oligocene	late					confining unit
	early					Suwannee Limestone
Eocene	late		Ocala Limestone			upper Floridan aquifer
	middle		Avon Park Formation			Ocala low-permeability zone
						Avon Park high-permeability zone ³
	early		Oldsmar Formation			
Avon Park high-permeability zone ³						
Paleocene		Cedar Keys Formation				lower Floridan aquifer below middle confining unit I
						middle confining unit II or VI
						lower Floridan aquifer below middle confining unit II or VI
						middle confining unit VIII ⁴
						lower Floridan aquifer below middle confining unit VIII
						confining unit


Not to scale

[Fm, Formation; ¹The Hawthorn aquifer system was previously referred to as the intermediate aquifer system; ²The Avon Park high-permeability zone (SWF-WMD fracture zone) crosses middle confining unit I in central Polk County; therefore, it occurs above the middle confining unit I in northern Polk and below the middle confining unit I in southern Polk; ³The middle confining unit VIII of Miller (1986) was extended beyond the original extent in south Florida based on new data]

Figure 3. Chart correlating chronostratigraphic and lithostratigraphic units to the current hydrogeologic framework of the Southwest Florida Water Management District.

A4). At any location, the top of the Hawthorn aquifer system coincides with the top of the uppermost aquifer present, and the bottom coincides with the base of the lowermost aquifer present. Where no aquifers are present, the Hawthorn sediments are confining and pinch out north of central Pasco County. This aquifer system has inappropriately been referred to as the intermediate aquifer system and the aquifers within it have inaccurately been ranked as zones 1, 2, and 3. However, the District has made considerable progress in correcting the

preceding naming, ranking, and vertical boundary errors based on aquifer nomenclature guidelines proposed by Laney and Davidson (1986) and the North American Stratigraphic Code (North American Commission on Stratigraphic Nomenclature, 2021). DeWitt and Mallams (2007) proposed the revised name of Hawthorn aquifer system and its aquifers as the Peace River aquifer, the upper Arcadia aquifer, and the lower Arcadia aquifer. The Hawthorn aquifer system is entirely within the Hawthorn Group, which contains highly variable deposits of



[FIPS, Federal Information Processing System; HARN, High Accuracy Reference Network; N, north; NAD, North American Datum; W, west]

Figure 4. The extent of the Hawthorn aquifer system and the location of the Lake Wales Ridge within the Southwest Florida Water Management District.

siliciclastics, phosphates, various clays, and carbonates (fig. 3). The groundwater within the Hawthorn aquifer system is under confined conditions. A chart correlating past and present nomenclature used for the Hawthorn aquifer system and its aquifers is in figure 2.

Floridan aquifer system

The Floridan aquifer system underlies all of Florida and parts of Georgia, Alabama, and South Carolina (Miller, 1986). The Floridan aquifer system thickness ranges from about 1,800 feet in the northern portion of the District to more than 2,500 feet in the southern portion of the District (appendix A, figs. A1 and A3). Within the District, generally four of the eight subregional middle confining units delineated by Miller (1986) are encountered. Where present, these units divide

the Floridan aquifer system into the upper Floridan aquifer and separate lower Floridan aquifers (figs. 2 and 3). The four subregional middle confining units include middle confining unit I, middle confining unit II, middle confining unit VI, and middle confining unit VIII.

Upper Floridan aquifer


The upper Floridan aquifer is the most important source of groundwater in the District. The upper Floridan aquifer contains groundwater under confined conditions except in large parts of the northern District where the confining unit is absent. This is because the clays that compose the confining unit in this region are absent or discontinuous because of erosion, or are substantially breached by karst features. As a result, the upper Floridan aquifer becomes regionally uncon-

8 Hydrostratigraphic Framework of the Southwest Florida Water Management District

finer and the uppermost aquifer in the northern part of the District (appendices A and B).

Laney and Davidson (1986) referred to regionally mappable units within aquifers that have permeability that is not characteristic of the entire aquifer, whether higher or lower, as zones. The District identifies two zones that are present regionally within the District: the Ocala low-permeability zone and the Avon Park high-permeability zone. These zones are present throughout the southern part of the District but are mostly absent north of Pasco County where active and relict karst processes increase the permeability of the carbonates in

the Ocala Limestone or where the carbonates are not dense and brittle for fractures to form in the Avon Park Formation (appendices A and B). The Ocala low-permeability zone occurs exclusively within the upper Floridan aquifer and generally coincides with the Ocala Limestone (fig. 3). The lower permeability is attributed to the finer grained texture of the Ocala Limestone relative to adjacent formations. Many aquifer performance tests across this zone and a statistical investigation of long-term water level data that assessed 26 monitor-well sites confirm the Ocala low-permeability zone is not a confining unit anywhere it exists (Ron Basso and Cortney



[FIPS, Federal Information Processing System; HARN, High Accuracy Reference Network; N, north; NAD, North American Datum; SFWMD, South Florida Water Management District; SJRWMD, St. Johns River Water Management District, SWFWMD, Southwest Florida Water Management District; W, west]

Figure 5. The approximated line where the Avon Park high-permeability zone crosses the middle confining unit I and is in the upper Floridan aquifer north of the line and in the lower Floridan aquifer below middle confining unit I south of the line. Also, the approximate location of faults (modified from Miller, 1986) presumably causing anomolous stratigraphy of the middle and late Eocene rocks in northeast Polk and southeast Lake counties within the District.

Cameron, written commun., 2018). The higher permeability of the Avon Park high-permeability zone is attributed to secondary porosity from fractured and vugular dolostone. Comprehensive regional mapping using data collected from ROMP sites shows the Avon Park high-permeability zone crosses middle confining unit I of Miller (1986) along a general east-west line through central Polk County and likely Osceola and Brevard counties (fig. 5 and appendix B). Therefore, it occurs in the upper Floridan aquifer north of the east-west line and in the lower Floridan aquifer below middle confining unit I south of the east-west line. The high-permeability zone gradually passes through middle confining unit I across approximately 30 miles and hydraulic testing and long-term water level monitoring show that confinement is not disrupted. The fractures that distinguish this high-permeability zone within the aquifers are secondary porosity features that formed after the primary carbonate units were deposited. As a result, the fractures are independent of the carbonate units and may cross aquifer boundaries where conditions are favorable for rock fracture.

An area of anomalous stratigraphy generally occurs in northeast Polk (east of Highway 27) and southeast Lake counties within the District; and in northwest Osceola and southwest Orange counties beyond the District's boundary that is presumably caused by paleokarst and faulting of middle and late Eocene rocks (Miller, 1986). The lithology in the graben formed between two generally parallel and northwest trending faults is highly unusual (fig. 5). For example, at the ROMP 74X – Davenport well site near the western edge of this area within the District, numerous voids filled with quartz sand are observed in the Ocala Formation and upper portion of the Avon Park Formation (approximately 240 to 540 feet below land surface) that suggest paleokarst (Gates, 2006). Also, alternating beds (up to 100 feet thick) of uncharacteristic carbonate clay and dolosilt are observed to approximately 1,000 feet below land surface that is indicative of faulting. This area is known in the well drilling community for potentially hazardous drilling conditions because large sand-filled voids are commonly encountered, which can require prolonged dredging that increases the risk of ground surface collapse. Stratigraphic mapping is inconsistent in this area because the disturbed lithology causes hydrostratigraphic unit discontinuity relative to the surrounding region.

Lower Floridan aquifers

The lower Floridan aquifers are present in permeable rock below any of the subregional middle confining units that are encountered. The base of the upper Floridan aquifer is the top of the shallowest subregional middle confining unit and the permeable rock below is considered a distinct lower Floridan aquifer below the subregional middle confining unit encountered. In west-central Florida and most of the District, very low permeability evaporitic dolostones of middle confining unit II (Miller, 1986) separate the upper and lower Floridan aquifers (appendix A). In east-central Florida, at a higher elevation, low permeability micritic limestone and fine-

grained dolomitic limestone of middle confining unit I (Miller, 1986) separate the upper and lower Floridan aquifers and is present exclusively within the upper part of the Avon Park Formation (appendix B). In rare parts of the southernmost portion of the District, the evaporitic dolostones of middle confining unit VI can be present. Where no middle confining unit exists, only the upper Floridan aquifer is present.

In a narrow northwest-trending band in central peninsular Florida, the middle confining unit II is overlapped and separated from the middle confining unit I by a few hundred feet of permeable rock (Miller, 1986). This is verified at numerous ROMP sites in the overlap region (appendix A). Where this overlap is encountered, the base of the upper Floridan aquifer is the top of the middle confining unit I and at least two lower Floridan aquifers are present (appendices A and B). The permeable rock between the middle confining unit I and middle confining unit II is the lower Floridan aquifer I. The permeable rock below middle confining unit II is the lower Floridan aquifer II.

The middle confining unit VIII of Miller (1986) was originally mapped in south and east-central Florida within early Eocene rocks (Oldsmar Formation) above the Boulder Zone based on available data. Williams and Kuniansky (2016) extended the middle confining unit VIII across the entire peninsula as the “Glaucinite marker unit” based on the thin “glaucinite marker horizon” of Reese and Richardson (2008), which is an extension of the “glaucinite marker bed” described by Duncan and others (1994). Reese and Richardson (2008) extended the “glaucinite marker bed” by correlating gamma-ray curves from wells used by Duncan and others (1994) and wells beyond the original study area. Williams and Kuniansky (2016) further extended the “glaucinite marker horizon” beyond the middle confining unit VIII extent of Miller (1986) by coupling a gamma-ray peak with a low-resistivity response as a result of glauconite that is found in the Oldsmar Formation. The permeable rock below the middle confining unit VIII is the lower Floridan aquifer VIII. Data collected during exploratory core drilling and testing at ROMP sites within Polk County since 2005 indicate the presence of a low-permeability unit within the lower Floridan aquifer VIII that generally trends north to south across central Polk County (appendix A). The full extent and properties of the unit are not fully understood and more testing is needed. But it is not considered a mapped confining unit that divides the lower Floridan aquifer VIII and is considered a locally occurring unit at this time (appendix A).

Initially, the origin and stratigraphic rank of the “Glaucinite marker unit” being mapped in the District was unclear, and the permeable rock below it was informally referred to as the lower Floridan aquifer below middle confining unit II-B. After further review, the “Glaucinite marker unit” in the District correlates (chronologically, lithologically, and hydraulically) to the middle confining unit VIII of Miller (1986); therefore, the name was adopted to be consistent with the established Floridan aquifer system framework of Miller

(1986) that the District's hydrostratigraphic conceptualization is based (appendix B).

In parts of the District where the middle confining unit I, middle confining unit II, and middle confining unit VIII overlap, three distinct lower Floridan aquifers are present if separated by permeable rock. At present, an area in north-central Polk County and an area in southwestern Polk County, no permeable rock is encountered between the middle confining units II and VIII; therefore, no lower Floridan aquifer II is present (appendices A and B).

Summary

There has been a lot of variation in nomenclature conventions used to describe the aquifers and confining units underlying Florida. Guidelines for hydrostratigraphic nomenclature and defined terminology are important for effective and consistent scientific communication. The District's nomenclature for hydrostratigraphic units is consistent with aquifer nomenclature guidelines proposed by the United States Geological Survey and the North American Commission on Stratigraphic Nomenclature.

This report defines the current hydrostratigraphic conceptualization of the District. Data from 109 ROMP inland 10-mile grid sites and 59 coastal transect sites have helped develop the hydrostratigraphic conceptualization of the District and refine the Regional Aquifer-System Analysis of Miller that forms the basis of the District's hydrostratigraphic conceptualization. Most notably, deep aquifer exploration has substantially improved the understanding of the middle confining units and the lower Floridan aquifers.

The District is underlain by several aquifers and generally include, in descending order: the undifferentiated surficial aquifer, the Peace River aquifer, the upper Arcadia aquifer, the lower Arcadia aquifer, the upper Floridan aquifer, the lower Floridan aquifer I, the lower Floridan aquifer II, the lower Floridan aquifer VIII, and rarely the lower Floridan aquifer VI. The undifferentiated surficial aquifer is present throughout most of the central and southern District. The Peace River, upper Arcadia, and lower Arcadia aquifers compose the Hawthorn (formerly intermediate) aquifer system and are present throughout much of the southern portion of the District.

The upper and lower Floridan aquifers compose the Floridan aquifer system. The upper Floridan aquifer is present throughout the District. It is a confined aquifer except in large parts of the northern District where the confining unit is absent and the upper Floridan aquifer becomes regionally unconfined and the uppermost aquifer. The District identifies the Ocala low-permeability zone and the Avon Park high-permeability zone throughout the southern part of the District but are mostly absent north of Pasco County: The Ocala low-permeability zone occurs exclusively within the upper Floridan aquifer and generally coincides with the Ocala Limestone. Many aquifer performance tests within this zone and a

statistical investigation of long-term water level data confirm the Ocala low-permeability zone is not a confining unit. The higher permeability of the Avon Park high-permeability zone is attributed to secondary porosity from fractured and vugular dolostone. ROMP site data indicate the Avon Park high-permeability zone crosses middle confining unit I of Miller along a general east-west line through central Polk County. Therefore, it occurs in the upper Floridan aquifer north of the east-west line and in the lower Floridan aquifer I south of the east-west line.

The lower Floridan aquifers are present in permeable rock below any of the subregional middle confining units that are encountered. In most of the District, middle confining unit II of Miller separates the upper and lower Floridan aquifers. However, in east-central Florida, at a higher elevation, middle confining unit I of Miller separates the upper and lower Floridan aquifers. In a narrow northwest-trending band in central peninsular Florida, the middle confining unit II is overlapped and separated from the middle confining unit I by a few hundred feet of permeable rock. Where this overlap is encountered, the base of the upper Floridan aquifer is the top of the middle confining unit I and at least two lower Floridan aquifers are present. The permeable rock between the middle confining unit I and middle confining unit II is the lower Floridan aquifer I. The permeable rock below middle confining unit II is the lower Floridan aquifer II.

The middle confining unit VIII of Miller was originally mapped in south and east-central Florida, in part, because of a lack of deep well control beyond that area. U.S. Geological Survey studies since Miller's Regional Aquifer-System Analysis referenced a glauconite unit that extended across the entire peninsula by correlating glauconite with a gamma-ray peak and a low-resistivity response as a result of glauconite that is found in the Oldsmar Formation. After further review, the glauconite unit correlates chronologically, lithologically, and hydraulically to the middle confining unit VIII of Miller; therefore, the name was adopted to be consistent with the established Floridan aquifer system framework of Miller that the District's hydrostratigraphic conceptualization is based.

Selected References


- Arthur, J.D., Fischler, C., Kromhout, C., Clayton, J.M., Kelley, M., Lee, R.A., O'Sullivan, M., Green, R.C., and Werner, C.L., 2008, Hydrogeologic Framework of the Southwest Florida Water Management District: Florida Geological Survey Bulletin No. 68, 102 p. http://fldeplac.dep.state.fl.us/geodb_query/fgs_doi.asp?searchCode=B68
- Barr, G.L., 1996, Hydrogeology of the Surficial and Intermediate Aquifer Systems in Sarasota and Adjacent Counties, Florida: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 96-4063, 87 p. <https://doi.org/10.3133/wri964063>

- Bogge, D.M., and Watkins, F.A., Jr., 1986, Surficial aquifer system in eastern Lee County, Florida: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 85-4161, 59 p. <https://doi.org/10.3133/wri854161>
- Bush, P. W., 1982, Predevelopment Flow in the Tertiary limestone aquifer, southeastern United States; A Regional Analysis from Digital Modeling: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations 82-905, 56 p. <https://pubs.usgs.gov/of/1982/0905/report.pdf>
- Clarke, W.E., Musgrove, R.M., Menke, G.C., and Cagle, J.W., Jr., 1964, Water resources of Alachua, Bradford, Clay, and Union Counties, Florida: Florida Geological Survey Report of Investigations 35, 170 p. <https://pubs.usgs.gov/publication/70047481>
- Dewitt, D.J., and Mallams, J.L., 2007, The Hawthorn Aquifer System: A Proposal for Hydrostratigraphic Nomenclature Revision in Southwest Florida, in Geological Society of America Southeastern Section Conference, 56th Annual Meeting, Savannah, GA., Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs, vol. 39, no. 2, p. 89. <https://gsa.confex.com/gsa/2007SE/webprogram/Paper118860.html>
- Duncan, J.G., Evans III, W.L., and Taylor, K.L., 1994, Geologic framework of the Lower Floridan aquifer system, Brevard County, Florida: Florida Geological Survey Bulletin 64, 90 p. https://publicfiles.dep.state.fl.us/FGS/FGS_Publications/B/B64.pdf
- Gates, M.T., 2006, Hydrogeology of the ROMP 74X Davenport Monitor Well Site Polk County, Florida Final Report: Brooksville, FL, Southwest Florida Water Management District, 201 p. https://www.swfwmd.state.fl.us/sites/default/files/medias/documents/ROMP_74X_Davenport_final_report.pdf
- International Subcommission on Stratigraphic Classification, 1994, International Stratigraphic Guide: A Guide to Stratigraphic Classification, Terminology, and Procedure (2d ed.), Amos Salvador, editor: Boulder, CO, Geological Society of America, Inc., 201 p. <https://stratigraphy.org/guide/>
- Joyner, B.F., and Sutcliffe, H. Jr., 1976, Water Resources of the Myakka River Basin Area, Southwest Florida: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigation 76-58, 87 p. <https://www.manatee.wateratlas.usf.edu/upload/documents/Water%20Resources%20of%20the%20Myakka%20River%20Basin%20Area,%20Southwest%20Florida.pdf>
- Knochenmus, L.A., 2006, Regional Evaluation of the Hydrogeologic Framework, Hydraulic Properties, and Chemical Characteristics of the Intermediate Aquifer System Underlying Southern West-Central Florida: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2006-5013, 52 p. <https://doi.org/10.3133/sir20065013>
- Laney, R.L., and Davidson, C.B., 1986, Aquifer-Nomenclature Guidelines: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 86-534, 60 p. <https://pubs.usgs.gov/publication/ofr86534>
- Leve, G.L., 1966, Ground water in Duval and Nassau Counties, Florida: Florida Geological Survey Report of Investigations 43, 91 p. http://fldeploc.dep.state.fl.us/geodb_query/fgs_doi.asp?searchCode=RI43
- Lichtler, W.F., 1960, Geology and ground-water resources of Martin County, Florida: Florida Geological Survey Report of Investigations 23, 149 p. <https://ufdcimages.uflib.ufl.edu/UF/00/00/12/07/00001/UF00001207.pdf>
- Lohman, S. W., and others, 1972, Definitions of selected ground-water terms – revisions and conceptual refinements: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 1988, 21 p. <https://doi.org/10.3133/wsp1988>
- Mallams, J.L., and Dewitt, D.J., 2007, Aquifer as the Fundamental Unit in Hydrostratigraphy and its Impact on Florida Nomenclature, in Geological Society of America Southeastern Section Conference, 56th Annual Meeting, Savannah, GA., Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs, vol. 39, no. 2, p. 88. <https://gsa.confex.com/gsa/2007SE/webprogram/Paper118805.html>
- Meinzer, O. E., 1923, The occurrence of ground water in the United States: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 489, 321 p. <https://doi.org/10.3133/wsp489>
- Miller, J. A., 1982, Geology and configuration of the base of the Tertiary limestone aquifer system, southeastern United States: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations 81-1176, 1 map sheet. <https://pubs.usgs.gov/of/1981/1176/plate-1.pdf>
- Miller, J. A., 1986, Hydrogeologic Framework of the Floridan Aquifer System in Florida and in Parts of Georgia, Alabama, and South Carolina: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1403-B, 91 p. <https://doi.org/10.3133/pp1403B>
- Miller, J. A., 1990, Ground Water Atlas of the United States: Segment 6, Alabama, Florida, Georgia, South Carolina: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Investigations Atlas 730-G, 28 p. <https://doi.org/10.3133/ha730G>
- Miller, W.L., 1980, Geologic aspects of the surficial aquifer in the Upper East Coast planning area, southeast Florida: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 80-586, scale 1:62,500, 2 sheets. <https://www.usgs.gov/publications/geologic-aspects-surficial-aquifer-upper-east-coast-planning-area-southeast-florida>

12 Hydrostratigraphic Framework of the Southwest Florida Water Management District




- North American Commission on Stratigraphic Nomenclature, 2005, North American Stratigraphic Code (2005), American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, v. 89, no. 11, p. 1547-1591. https://ngmdb.usgs.gov/Info/NACSN/05_1547.pdf
- North American Commission on Stratigraphic Nomenclature, 2021, North American Stratigraphic Code (2021), Stratigraphy, v. 18, no. 3, p. 153-204. https://ngmdb.usgs.gov/Geolex/resources/docs/NACSN_Code_2021.pdf
- Parker, G.G., Ferguson, G. E., Love, S.K., and others, 1955, Water resources of southeastern Florida: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 1255, 965 p. <https://pubs.usgs.gov/wsp/1255/report.pdf>
- Poland, J. F., Lofgren, B. E., and Riley, F. s., 1972, Glossary of selected terms useful in studies of the mechanics of aquifer systems and land subsidence due to fluid withdrawal: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 2025, 9 p. <https://pubs.usgs.gov/wsp/2025/report.pdf>
- Reese, R.S., and Richardson, Emily, 2008, Synthesis of the Hydrogeologic Framework of the Floridan Aquifer System and Delineation of a Major Avon Park Permeable Zone in Central and Southern Florida: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2007-5207, 60 p., 4 pls., plus apps. (on CD). <https://doi.org/10.3133/sir20075207>
- Seaber, P.R., 1988, Hydrostratigraphic units; in, Back, W., Rosenschein, J.R., and Seaber, P.R., Hydrogeology: The Geology of North America, Geological Society of America, Vol. O-2, p. 9-14. <https://doi.org/10.1130/DNAG-GNA-O2.9>
- Sproul, C.R., Boggess, D.H., and Woodward, H.J., 1972, Saline-water intrusion from deep artesian sources in the McGregor Isles area of Lee County, Florida: Florida Bureau of Geology Information Circular 75, 30 p. http://publicfiles.dep.state.fl.us/FGS/FGS_Publications/IC/IC75PRIDE/FGS%20IC%20No.75%201972.pdf
- Stringfield, V.T., 1936, Artesian water in the Floridan peninsula: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 773-C, p. C115-C195. <https://pubs.usgs.gov/wsp/0773c/report.pdf>
- Stringfield, V. T., 1966, Artesian water in Tertiary limestone in the Southeastern States: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 517, 226 p. <https://pubs.usgs.gov/pp/0517/report.pdf>
- Torres, A.E., Sacks, L.A., Yobbi, D.K., Knochenmus, L.A., and Katz, B.G., 2001, Hydrogeological Framework and Geochemistry of the Intermediate Aquifer System in Parts of Charlotte, De Soto, and Sarasota Counties, Florida: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 01-4015, 81 p. <https://doi.org/10.3133/wri014015>
- Wedderburn, L.A., Knapp, M.S., Waltz, D.P., and Burns, W.S., 1982, Hydrogeologic Reconnaissance of Lee County, Florida: South Florida Water Management District Technical Publication 82-1, pts. 1, 2, and 3, 192 p. https://www.sfwmd.gov/sites/default/files/documents/cuptech_sfwmd_techpub_82_01_lee.pdf
- Williams, L.J., and Kuniansky, E.L., 2016, Revised Hydrogeologic Framework of the Floridan Aquifer System in Florida and Parts of Georgia, Alabama, and South Carolina (ver. 1.1, March 2016): U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1807, 140 p., 23 pls., <http://dx.doi.org/10.3133/pp1807>.
- Wolansky, R.M., 1978, Feasibility of water-supply development from the unconfined aquifer in Charlotte County, Florida: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 78-26, 34 p. <https://pubs.usgs.gov/wri/1978/0026/report.pdf>
- Wolansky, R.M., 1983, Hydrogeology of the Sarasota-Port Charlotte Area, Florida: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 82-4089, 54 p. <https://pubs.usgs.gov/wri/1982/4089/report.pdf>
- Wyrick, G.G., 1960, Ground-water resources of Volusia County, Florida: Florida Geological Survey Report of Investigations 22, 65 p. <http://ufdcimages.uflib.ufl.edu/UF/00/00/12/06/00001/UF00001206.pdf>

Appendix A. Hydrostratigraphic Framework Cross Sections of the Southwest Florida Water Management District





[^middle confining unit I is suspected but not confirmed; ~, approximate; ft, feet; N, north; NAVD 88, North American Vertical Datum of 1988; ROMP, Regional Observation and Monitoring Point; Aquifer and confining unit depths are based on hydrogeologic data collected during exploratory core drilling and testing; Estimated depths for the middle confining unit II and lower Floridan aquifer II below the total depth of exploration are based on the mapped glauconite marker unit of Williams and Kuniansky (2016); Dashed lines for the middle confining unit I and middle confining unit VIII are suspected but not confirmed.]

Figure A1. Hydrostratigraphic cross section A-A' of the Southwest Florida Water Management District.




and Monitor-well Program; Numbers in parentheses are land surface elevation at the well site; See map figure 1 for cross-section location and the sub-Floridan confining unit below the total depth of exploration are based on mapped surfaces of Miller (1986); Estimated extent of the middle confining unit VIII and local low-permeability unit indicates the extents are unknown]




[~, approximate; ft, feet; N, north; NAVD 88, North American Vertical Datum of 1988; ROMP, Regional Observation and Monitor-well Program; TR, Transect; Numbers in parentheses are land surface elevation at the well site; See map figure 1 for cross-section locations; Aquifer and confining unit depths are based on hydrogeologic data collected during exploratory core drilling and testing; Estimated depths for the middle confining unit II and the sub-Floridan confining unit below the total depth of exploration are based on mapped surfaces of Miller (1986); Estimated depths for middle confining unit VIII below the total depth of exploration are based on the mapped glauconite marker unit of Williams and Kuniansky (2016)]

Figure A2. Hydrostratigraphic cross section B-B' of the Southwest Florida Water Management District.



[~, approximate; ft, feet; N, north; NAVD 88, North American Vertical Datum of 1988; ROMP, Regional Observation and Monitor-well Program; Numbers in parentheses are land surface elevation at the well site; See map figure 1 for cross-section locations; Aquifer and confining unit depths are based on hydrogeologic data collected during exploratory core drilling and testing; Estimated depths for the middle confining unit II and the sub-Floridan confining unit below the total depth of exploration are based on mapped surfaces of Miller (1986); Estimated depths for middle confining unit VIII below the total depth of exploration are based on the mapped glauconite marker unit of Williams and Kuniansky (2016); Dashed line for the local low-permeability unit indicates the extent is unknown; DRAFT denotes sites that are not finalized]


Figure A3. Hydrostratigraphic cross section C-C' of the Southwest Florida Water Management District.



[~, approximate; ft, feet; N, north; NAVD 88, North American Vertical Datum of 1988; PRWC SEWF, Polk Regional Water Cooperative Southeast Wellfield; ROMP, Regional Observation and Monitor-well Program; TR, Transect; Numbers in parentheses are land surface elevation at the well site; See map figure 1 for cross-section locations; Aquifer and confining unit depths are based on hydrogeologic data collected during exploratory core drilling and testing; Estimated depths for the middle confining unit II and the sub-Floridan confining unit below the total depth of exploration are based on mapped surfaces of Miller (1986); Estimated depths for middle confining unit VIII below the total depth of exploration are based on the mapped glauconite marker unit of Williams and Kuniansky (2016); Dashed line for the local low-permeability unit indicates the extent is unknown; DRAFT denotes sites that are not finalized]



Figure A4. Hydrostratigraphic cross section D-D' of the Southwest Florida Water Management District.

Page intentionally left blank.



[^middle confining unit I is suspected but not confirmed; ~, approximate; ft, feet; N, north; NAVD 88, North American Vertical Datum of 1988; ROMP, Regional Observation and Monitoring Point; Aquifer and confining unit depths are based on hydrogeologic data collected during exploratory core drilling and testing; Estimated depths for the middle confining unit II and the middle confining unit VIII below the total depth of exploration are based on the mapped glauconite marker unit of Williams and Kuniansky (2016); The dashed line for middle confining unit VIII is estimated.


Figure A5. Hydrostratigraphic cross section E-E' of the Southwest Florida Water Management District.



and Monitor-well Program; TR, Transect; Numbers in parentheses are land surface elevation at the well site; See map figure 1 for cross-section locations; The sub-Floridan confining unit below the total depth of exploration are based on mapped surfaces of Miller (1986); Estimated depths for middle confining unit denotes where lithologic data indicates presence but hydraulic data is inconclusive]


Page intentionally left blank.

Appendix B. Conceptual Hydrostratigraphic Framework of the Southwest Florida Water Management District




[FIPS, Federal Information Processing System; HARN, High Accuracy Reference Network; N, north; NAD, North American Datum; SFWMD, South Florida Water Management District; SJRWMD, St. Johns River Water Management District, SRWMD, Suwannee River Water Management District; SWFWMD, Southwest Florida Water Management District; modified from Miller (1986)]

Figure B1. Conceptual hydrostratigraphic framework of the northern portion of the Southwest Florida Water Management District. The cross-section line is a general east-west trending line.





[FIPS, Federal Information Processing System; HARN, High Accuracy Reference Network; N, north; NAD, North American Datum; SFWMD, South Florida Water Management District; SJRWMD, St. Johns River Water Management District, SRWMD, Suwannee River Water Management District; SWFWMD, Southwest Florida Water Management District; modified from Miller (1986)]

Figure B2. Conceptual hydrostratigraphic framework of the central portion of the Southwest Florida Water Management District. The cross-section line is a general east-west trending line.



[FIPS, Federal Information Processing System; HARN, High Accuracy Reference Network; N, north; NAD, North American Datum; SFWMD, South Florida Water Management District; SJRWMD, St. Johns River Water Management District, SRWMD, Suwannee River Water Management District; SWFWMD, Southwest Florida Water Management District; modified from Miller (1986)]


Figure B3. Conceptual hydrostratigraphic framework of the southern portion of the Southwest Florida Water Management District. The cross-section line is a general east-west trending line.



Base from Southwest Florida Water Management digital data, 2021
 NAD 1983 HARN StatePlane Florida West
 FIPS 0902 Feet Projection

[FIPS, Federal Information Processing System; HARN, High Accuracy Reference Network; N, north; NAD, North American Datum; SFWMD, South Florida Water Management District; SJRWMD, St. Johns River Water Management District, SRWMD, Suwannee River Water Management District; SWFWMD, Southwest Florida Water Management District; modified from Miller (1986)]


Figure B4. Conceptual hydrostratigraphic framework of the western portion of the Southwest Florida Water Management District. The cross-section line is a general north-south trending line.



[FIPS, Federal Information Processing System; HARN, High Accuracy Reference Network; N, north; NAD, North American Datum; SFWMD, South Florida Water Management District; SJRWMD, St. Johns River Water Management District, SRWMD, Suwannee River Water Management District; SWFWMD, Southwest Florida Water Management District; modified from Miller (1986); The Avon Park high-permeability zone gradually passes through middle confining unit I across approximately 30 miles and hydraulic testing and long-term water level monitoring show that confinement is not disrupted.]


Figure B5. Conceptual hydrostratigraphic framework of the eastern portion of the Southwest Florida Water Management District. The cross-section line is a general north-south trending line.

Appendix C. Hydrostratigraphic Columns of Select Exploratory Core Drilling Well Sites Within the Southwest Florida Water Management District




[^amiddle confining unit I is suspected but not confirmed; ~, approximate; AVPK HPZ, Avon Park high-permeability zone; CU, confining unit; FRAC-MVUG, fractures and/or mega vugs; ft, feet; LFA, lower Floridan aquifer; LPU, low permeability unit; MCU, middle confining unit; NAVD 88, North American Vertical Datum of 1988; OCAL LPZ, Ocala low-permeability zone; ROMP, Regional Observation and Monitor-well Program; SA; surficial aquifer; SFCU, sub-Floridan confining unit; UFA, upper Floridan aquifer; Aquifer and confining unit depths are based on hydrogeologic data collected during exploratory core drilling and testing]

Figure C1. Hydrostratigraphic columns for wells used in cross section A-A'.




[~, approximate; AVPK HPZ, Avon Park high-permeability zone; CU, confining unit; FRAC-MVUG, fractures and/or mega vugs; ft, feet; LFA, lower Floridan aquifer; MCU, middle confining unit; NAVD 88, North American Vertical Datum of 1988; OCAL LPZ, Ocala low-permeability zone; ROMP, Regional Observation and Monitor-well Program; SA, surficial aquifer; SFCU, sub-Floridan confining unit; TR, Transect; UFA, upper Floridan aquifer; Aquifer and confining unit depths are based on hydrogeologic data collected during exploratory core drilling and testing]

Figure C2. Hydrostratigraphic columns for wells used in cross section B–B'.




[~, approximate; AVPK HPZ, Avon Park high-permeability zone; CU, confining unit; FRAC-MVUG, fractures and/or mega vugs; ft, feet; LAA, lower Arcadia aquifer; LFA, lower Floridan aquifer; LPU, low permeability unit; MCU, middle confining unit; NAVD 88, North American Vertical Datum of 1988; OCA LPZ, Ocala low-permeability zone; ROMP, Regional Observation and Monitor-well Program; SA, surficial aquifer; SFCU, sub-Floridan confining unit; UAA, upper Arcadia aquifer; UFA, upper Floridan aquifer; Aquifer and confining unit depths are based on hydrogeologic data collected during exploratory core drilling and testing; DRAFT denotes sites that are not finalized]

Figure C3. Hydrostratigraphic columns for wells used in cross section C–C'.



[~, approximate; AVPK HPZ, Avon Park high-permeability zone; CU, confining unit; FRAC-MVUG, fractures and/or mega vugs; ft, feet; LAA, lower Arcadia aquifer; LFA, lower Floridan aquifer; LPU, low permeability unit; MCU, middle confining unit; NAVD 88, North American Vertical Datum of 1988; OCAL LPZ, Ocala low-permeability zone; PRWC SEWF, Polk Regional Water Cooperative Southeast Wellfield; ROMP, Regional Observation and Monitor-well Program; SA, surficial aquifer; SFCU, sub-Floridan confining unit; TR, Transect; UAA, upper Arcadia aquifer; UFA, upper Floridan aquifer; Aquifer and confining unit depths are based on hydrogeologic data collected during exploratory core drilling and testing; DRAFT denotes sites that are not finalized]

Figure C4. Hydrostratigraphic columns for wells used in cross section D–D'.



[^middle confining unit I is suspected but not confirmed; ~, approximate; AVPK HPZ, Avon Park high-permeability zone; CU, confining unit; FRAC-MVUG, fractures and/or mega vugs; ft, feet; LAA, lower Arcadia aquifer; LFA, lower Floridan aquifer; MCU, middle confining unit; NAVD 88, North American Vertical Datum of 1988; OCAL LPZ, Ocala low-permeability zone; ROMP, Regional Observation and Monitor-well Program; SA; surficial aquifer; SFCU, sub-Floridan confining unit; TR, Transect; UAA, upper Arcadia aquifer; UFA, upper Floridan aquifer; Aquifer and confining unit depths are based on hydrogeologic data collected during exploratory core drilling and testing]

Figure C5. Hydrostratigraphic columns for well sites used in cross section E-E'.

Page intentionally left blank.

Table C1. Summary of wells and hydrogeologic unit depths used to create the hydrostratigraphic column figures

[-, not applicable; Avpk, Avon Park; bls, below land surface; DD MM SS, degrees minutes seconds; Expl, exploratory; IAS, intermediate aquifer system; ID, 2; PZ3, permeable zone 3; ROMP, Regional Observation and Monitor-well Program; SEWF, Southeast Wellfield; Temp, Temporary; TPW, Temporary

[illegible]

identification; NAVD 88, North American Vertical Datum of 1988; PRWC, Polk Regional Water Cooperative; PZ, permeability zone; PZ2, permeable zone
Pumped Well; TR, Transect]

Elevation (feet NAVD 88)	Hydrostratigraphic Unit	Unit Top (feet bls)	Unit Bottom (feet bls)	Elevation Top (feet NAVD 88)	Elevation Bottom (feet NAVD 88)
72.32	surficial aquifer	0	10	72.32	62.32
72.32	discontinuous/breached confining unit	10	28	62.32	44.32
72.32	upper Floridan aquifer	28	377	44.32	-304.68
72.32	Avon Park high-permeability zone	287	377	-214.68	-304.68
72.32	middle confining unit I	377	562	-304.68	-489.68
72.32	lower Floridan aquifer below unit I	562	792	-489.68	-719.68
72.32	middle confining unit II	792	1,115	-719.68	-1,042.68
72.32	lower Floridan aquifer below unit II	1,115	1,427	-1,042.68	-1,354.68
72.32	middle confining unit VIII	1,427	1,543	-1,354.68	-1,470.68
72.32	lower Floridan aquifer below unit VIII	1,543	2,037	-1,470.68	-1,964.68
72.32	sub-Floridan confining unit	2,037	2,157	-1,964.68	-2,084.68
90.98	surficial aquifer	0	18	90.98	72.98
90.98	semi-confining unit	18	36	72.98	55.48
90.98	upper Floridan aquifer	36	390	55.48	-299.02
90.98	middle confining unit I	390	540	-299.02	-449.02
90.98	lower Floridan aquifer below unit I	540	1,500	-449.02	-1,409.02
46.68	discontinuous/breached confining unit	0	18	46.68	28.68
46.68	upper Floridan aquifer	18	350	28.68	-303.32
46.68	middle confining unit I	350	450	-303.32	-403.32
46.68	lower Floridan aquifer below unit I	450	612	-403.32	-565.32
46.68	middle confining unit II	612	660	-565.32	-613.32
34.95	surficial aquifer	0	40	34.95	-5.05
34.95	confining unit	40	70	-5.05	-35.05
34.95	Hawthorn aquifer system (former IAS)	70	120	-35.05	-85.05
34.95	upper Arcadia aquifer (former PZ2)	70	120	-35.05	-85.05
34.95	confining unit	120	340	-85.05	-305.05
34.95	upper Floridan aquifer	340	1,585	-305.05	-1,550.05
34.95	Avon Park high-permeability zone	933	1,170	-898.05	-1,135.05
34.95	middle confining unit II	1,585	1,600	-1,550.05	-1,565.05
34.95	Ocala low-permeability zone	640	906	-605.05	-871.05
147.14	surficial aquifer	0	14	147.14	133.14
147.14	semi-confining unit	14	136	133.14	11.14
147.14	upper Floridan aquifer	136	638	11.14	-490.86
147.14	Avon Park high-permeability zone	497	638	-349.86	-490.86
147.14	middle confining unit I	638	847	-490.86	-699.86
147.14	lower Floridan aquifer below unit I	847	1,147	-699.86	-999.86
147.14	middle confining unit II	1,147	1,505	-999.86	-1,357.86
147.14	lower Floridan aquifer below unit II	1,505	1,699	-1,357.86	-1,551.86

Table C1. Summary of wells and hydrogeologic unit depths used to create the hydrostratigraphic column figures

[-, not applicable; Avpk, Avon Park; bls, below land surface; DD MM SS, degrees minutes seconds; Expl, exploratory; IAS, intermediate aquifer system; ID, 2; PZ3, permeable zone 3; ROMP, Regional Observation and Monitor-well Program; SEWF, Southeast Wellfield; Temp, Temporary; TPW, Temporary

Station ID	Station Name	Well Site Number	Well Site Name	Latitude (DD MM SS)	Longitude (DD MM SS)	County
791050	ROMP 75 Corehole	75	Auburndale	28 06 32.10	81 50 15.90	Polk
791050	ROMP 75 Corehole	75	Auburndale	28 06 32.10	81 50 15.90	Polk
791050	ROMP 75 Corehole	75	Auburndale	28 06 32.10	81 50 15.90	Polk
791050	ROMP 75 Corehole	75	Auburndale	28 06 32.10	81 50 15.90	Polk
853980	ROMP 131.5 Corehole	131.5	Morriston	29 15 33.90	82 30 17.10	Levy
853980	ROMP 131.5 Corehole	131.5	Morriston	29 15 33.90	82 30 17.10	Levy
853980	ROMP 131.5 Corehole	131.5	Morriston	29 15 33.90	82 30 17.10	Levy
853980	ROMP 131.5 Corehole	131.5	Morriston	29 15 33.90	82 30 17.10	Levy
853980	ROMP 131.5 Corehole	131.5	Morriston	29 15 33.90	82 30 17.10	Levy
853980	ROMP 131.5 Corehole	131.5	Morriston	29 15 33.90	82 30 17.10	Levy
853980	ROMP 131.5 Corehole	131.5	Morriston	29 15 33.90	82 30 17.10	Levy
853980	ROMP 131.5 Corehole	131.5	Morriston	29 15 33.90	82 30 17.10	Levy
853980	ROMP 131.5 Corehole	131.5	Morriston	29 15 33.90	82 30 17.10	Levy
853980	ROMP 131.5 Corehole	131.5	Morriston	29 15 33.90	82 30 17.10	Levy
853980	ROMP 131.5 Corehole	131.5	Morriston	29 15 33.90	82 30 17.10	Levy
766733	ROMP 45.5 Corehole 3	45.5	Progress Energy	27 47 43.91	81 53 14.00	Polk
766733	ROMP 45.5 Corehole 3	45.5	Progress Energy	27 47 43.91	81 53 14.00	Polk
766733	ROMP 45.5 Corehole 3	45.5	Progress Energy	27 47 43.91	81 53 14.00	Polk
766733	ROMP 45.5 Corehole 3	45.5	Progress Energy	27 47 43.91	81 53 14.00	Polk
766733	ROMP 45.5 Corehole 3	45.5	Progress Energy	27 47 43.91	81 53 14.00	Polk
766733	ROMP 45.5 Corehole 3	45.5	Progress Energy	27 47 43.91	81 53 14.00	Polk
766733	ROMP 45.5 Corehole 3	45.5	Progress Energy	27 47 43.91	81 53 14.00	Polk
766733	ROMP 45.5 Corehole 3	45.5	Progress Energy	27 47 43.91	81 53 14.00	Polk
766733	ROMP 45.5 Corehole 3	45.5	Progress Energy	27 47 43.91	81 53 14.00	Polk
766733	ROMP 45.5 Corehole 3	45.5	Progress Energy	27 47 43.91	81 53 14.00	Polk
766733	ROMP 45.5 Corehole 3	45.5	Progress Energy	27 47 43.91	81 53 14.00	Polk
766733	ROMP 45.5 Corehole 3	45.5	Progress Energy	27 47 43.91	81 53 14.00	Polk
766733	ROMP 45.5 Corehole 3	45.5	Progress Energy	27 47 43.91	81 53 14.00	Polk
766733	ROMP 45.5 Corehole 3	45.5	Progress Energy	27 47 43.91	81 53 14.00	Polk
766733	ROMP 45.5 Corehole 3	45.5	Progress Energy	27 47 43.91	81 53 14.00	Polk
766733	ROMP 45.5 Corehole 3	45.5	Progress Energy	27 47 43.91	81 53 14.00	Polk
23242	ROMP 119.5 Corehole 1	119.5	Ross Pond	29 01 53.89	82 19 19.14	Marion
23242	ROMP 119.5 Corehole 1	119.5	Ross Pond	29 01 53.89	82 19 19.14	Marion
23242	ROMP 119.5 Corehole 1	119.5	Ross Pond	29 01 53.89	82 19 19.14	Marion
23242	ROMP 119.5 Corehole 1	119.5	Ross Pond	29 01 53.89	82 19 19.14	Marion
23242	ROMP 119.5 Corehole 1	119.5	Ross Pond	29 01 53.89	82 19 19.14	Marion

identification; NAVD 88, North American Vertical Datum of 1988; PRWC, Polk Regional Water Cooperative; PZ, permeability zone; PZ2, permeable zone Pumped Well; TR, Transect]

Elevation (feet NAVD 88)	Hydrostratigraphic Unit	Unit Top (feet bls)	Unit Bottom (feet bls)	Elevation Top (feet NAVD 88)	Elevation Bottom (feet NAVD 88)
147.14	middle confining unit VIII	1,699	1,907	-1,551.86	-1,759.86
147.14	lower Floridan aquifer below unit VIII	1,907	2,524	-1,759.86	-2,376.86
147.14	sub-Floridan confining unit	2,524	2,810	-2,376.86	-2,662.86
147.14	Ocala low-permeability zone	170	299	-22.86	-151.86
84.83	surficial aquifer	0	20	84.83	64.83
84.83	discontinuous/breached confining unit	20	35	64.83	49.83
84.83	upper Floridan aquifer	35	368	49.83	-283.17
84.83	middle confining unit I	368	453	-283.17	-368.17
84.83	lower Floridan aquifer below unit I	453	737	-368.17	-652.17
84.83	middle confining unit II	737	912	-652.17	-827.17
84.83	lower Floridan aquifer below unit II	912	1,127	-827.17	-1,042.17
84.83	middle confining unit VIII	1,127	1,213	-1,042.17	-1,128.17
84.83	lower Floridan aquifer below unit VIII	1,213	1,776	-1,128.17	-1,691.17
84.83	sub-Floridan confining unit	1,776	1,817	-1,691.17	-1,732.17
167.35	surficial aquifer	0	10	167.35	157.35
167.35	confining unit	10	95	157.35	72.35
167.35	Hawthorn aquifer system (former IAS)	95	246	72.35	-78.65
167.35	upper Arcadia aquifer (former PZ2)	95	151	72.35	16.35
167.35	confining unit	151	186	16.35	-18.65
167.35	lower Arcadia aquifer (former PZ3)	186	246	-18.65	-78.65
167.35	confining unit	246	290.5	-78.65	-123.15
167.35	upper Floridan aquifer	290.5	1,299	-123.15	-1,131.65
167.35	Avon Park high-permeability zone	730	912	-562.65	-744.65
167.35	middle confining unit II	1,299	1,658.5	-1,131.65	-1,491.15
167.35	lower Floridan aquifer below unit II	1,658.5	1,900	-1,491.15	-1,732.65
167.35	middle confining unit VIII	1,900	2,255	-1,732.65	-2,087.65
167.35	lower Floridan aquifer below unit VIII	2,255	2,707	-2,087.65	-2,539.65
167.35	Ocala low-permeability zone	399	605	-231.65	-437.65
60.74	surficial aquifer	0	5	60.74	55.74
60.74	discontinuous/breached confining unit	5	16	55.74	44.74
60.74	upper Floridan aquifer	16	360	44.74	-299.26
60.74	middle confining unit I	360	505	-299.26	-444.26
60.74	lower Floridan aquifer below unit I	505	623	-444.26	-562.26

Table C1. Summary of wells and hydrogeologic unit depths used to create the hydrostratigraphic column figures

[-, not applicable; Avpk, Avon Park; bls, below land surface; DD MM SS, degrees minutes seconds; Expl, exploratory; IAS, intermediate aquifer system; ID, 2; PZ3, permeable zone 3; ROMP, Regional Observation and Monitor-well Program; SEWF, Southeast Wellfield; Temp, Temporary; TPW, Temporary

Station ID	Station Name	Well Site Number	Well Site Name	Latitude (DD MM SS)	Longitude (DD MM SS)	County
23242	ROMP 119.5 Corehole 1	119.5	Ross Pond	29 01 53.89	82 19 19.14	Marion
23242	ROMP 119.5 Corehole 1	119.5	Ross Pond	29 01 53.89	82 19 19.14	Marion
25986	ROMP 8 Corehole	8	Warm Mineral Springs	27 04 42.05	82 15 15.29	Sarasota
25986	ROMP 8 Corehole	8	Warm Mineral Springs	27 04 42.05	82 15 15.29	Sarasota
25986	ROMP 8 Corehole	8	Warm Mineral Springs	27 04 42.05	82 15 15.29	Sarasota
25986	ROMP 8 Corehole	8	Warm Mineral Springs	27 04 42.05	82 15 15.29	Sarasota
25986	ROMP 8 Corehole	8	Warm Mineral Springs	27 04 42.05	82 15 15.29	Sarasota
25986	ROMP 8 Corehole	8	Warm Mineral Springs	27 04 42.05	82 15 15.29	Sarasota
25986	ROMP 8 Corehole	8	Warm Mineral Springs	27 04 42.05	82 15 15.29	Sarasota
25986	ROMP 8 Corehole	8	Warm Mineral Springs	27 04 42.05	82 15 15.29	Sarasota
25986	ROMP 8 Corehole	8	Warm Mineral Springs	27 04 42.05	82 15 15.29	Sarasota
25986	ROMP 8 Corehole	8	Warm Mineral Springs	27 04 42.05	82 15 15.29	Sarasota
769328	ROMP 14 Corehole 2	14	Hicoria	27 09 04.30	81 21 13.10	Highlands
769328	ROMP 14 Corehole 2	14	Hicoria	27 09 04.30	81 21 13.10	Highlands
769328	ROMP 14 Corehole 2	14	Hicoria	27 09 04.30	81 21 13.10	Highlands
769328	ROMP 14 Corehole 2	14	Hicoria	27 09 04.30	81 21 13.10	Highlands
769328	ROMP 14 Corehole 2	14	Hicoria	27 09 04.30	81 21 13.10	Highlands
769328	ROMP 14 Corehole 2	14	Hicoria	27 09 04.30	81 21 13.10	Highlands
769328	ROMP 14 Corehole 2	14	Hicoria	27 09 04.30	81 21 13.10	Highlands
769328	ROMP 14 Corehole 2	14	Hicoria	27 09 04.30	81 21 13.10	Highlands
769328	ROMP 14 Corehole 2	14	Hicoria	27 09 04.30	81 21 13.10	Highlands
769328	ROMP 14 Corehole 2	14	Hicoria	27 09 04.30	81 21 13.10	Highlands
769328	ROMP 14 Corehole 2	14	Hicoria	27 09 04.30	81 21 13.10	Highlands
778527	ROMP 25 Corehole	25	Lily	27 21 58.28	82 00 26.64	Hardee
778527	ROMP 25 Corehole	25	Lily	27 21 58.28	82 00 26.64	Hardee
778527	ROMP 25 Corehole	25	Lily	27 21 58.28	82 00 26.64	Hardee
778527	ROMP 25 Corehole	25	Lily	27 21 58.28	82 00 26.64	Hardee
778527	ROMP 25 Corehole	25	Lily	27 21 58.28	82 00 26.64	Hardee
778527	ROMP 25 Corehole	25	Lily	27 21 58.28	82 00 26.64	Hardee
778527	ROMP 25 Corehole	25	Lily	27 21 58.28	82 00 26.64	Hardee
778527	ROMP 25 Corehole	25	Lily	27 21 58.28	82 00 26.64	Hardee
778527	ROMP 25 Corehole	25	Lily	27 21 58.28	82 00 26.64	Hardee
23787	ROMP 29A Corehole	29A	Sebring	27 30 10.09	81 25 12.66	Highlands
23787	ROMP 29A Corehole	29A	Sebring	27 30 10.09	81 25 12.66	Highlands
23787	ROMP 29A Corehole	29A	Sebring	27 30 10.09	81 25 12.66	Highlands
23787	ROMP 29A Corehole	29A	Sebring	27 30 10.09	81 25 12.66	Highlands
23787	ROMP 29A Corehole	29A	Sebring	27 30 10.09	81 25 12.66	Highlands

identification; NAVD 88, North American Vertical Datum of 1988; PRWC, Polk Regional Water Cooperative; PZ, permeability zone; PZ2, permeable zone Pumped Well; TR, Transect]

Elevation (feet NAVD 88)	Hydrostratigraphic Unit	Unit Top (feet bls)	Unit Bottom (feet bls)	Elevation Top (feet NAVD 88)	Elevation Bottom (feet NAVD 88)
60.74	middle confining unit II	623	981	-562.26	-920.26
60.74	lower Floridan aquifer below unit II	981	1,466	-920.26	-1,405.26
19.47	surficial aquifer	0	17	19.47	2.47
19.47	confining unit	17	65	2.47	-45.53
19.47	Hawthorn aquifer system (former IAS)	65	350.1	-45.53	-330.63
19.47	upper Arcadia aquifer (former PZ2)	65	150	-45.53	-130.53
19.47	confining unit	150	208	-130.53	-188.53
19.47	lower Arcadia aquifer (former PZ3)	208	350.1	-188.53	-330.63
19.47	confining unit	350.1	515	-330.63	-495.53
19.47	upper Floridan aquifer	515	1283	-495.53	-1,263.53
19.47	Avon Park high-permeability zone	1269	1283	-1,249.53	-1,263.53
19.47	Ocala low-permeability zone	822	936.5	-802.53	-917.03
143.39	surficial aquifer	0	353	143.39	-209.61
143.39	confining unit	353	459	-209.61	-315.61
143.39	Hawthorn aquifer system (former IAS)	459	518	-315.61	-374.61
143.39	upper Arcadia aquifer (former PZ2)	459	518	-315.61	-374.61
143.39	confining unit	518	645	-374.61	-501.61
143.39	upper Floridan aquifer	645	1,104	-501.61	-960.61
143.39	middle confining unit I	1,104	1,218	-960.61	-1,074.61
143.39	lower Floridan aquifer below unit I	1,218	1,931	-1,074.61	-1,787.61
143.39	Avon Park high-permeability zone	1,450	1,798	-1,306.61	-1,654.61
143.39	middle confining unit VI	1,931	1,997	-1,787.61	-1,853.61
143.39	Ocala low-permeability zone	724	1,019	-580.61	-875.61
85.28	surficial aquifer	0	60	85.28	25.28
85.28	confining unit	60	107	25.28	-21.72
85.28	Hawthorn aquifer system (former IAS)	107	145	-21.72	-59.72
85.28	upper Arcadia aquifer (former PZ2)	107	145	-21.72	-59.72
85.28	confining unit	145	305	-59.72	-219.72
85.28	upper Floridan aquifer	305	1,840	-219.72	-1,754.72
85.28	Avon Park high-permeability zone	1,210	1,785	-1,124.72	-1,699.72
85.28	middle confining unit II	1,840	1,911	-1,754.72	-1,825.72
85.28	Ocala low-permeability zone	675	976	-589.72	-890.72
127.05	surficial aquifer	0	196	127.05	-68.95
127.05	confining unit	196	464	-68.95	-336.95
127.05	upper Floridan aquifer	464	869	-336.95	-741.95
127.05	middle confining unit I	869	1,017	-741.95	-889.95
127.05	lower Floridan aquifer below unit I	1,017	1,650	-889.95	-1,522.95

Table C1. Summary of wells and hydrogeologic unit depths used to create the hydrostratigraphic column figures

[-, not applicable; Avpk, Avon Park; bls, below land surface; DD MM SS, degrees minutes seconds; Expl, exploratory; IAS, intermediate aquifer system; ID, 2; PZ3, permeable zone 3; ROMP, Regional Observation and Monitor-well Program; SEWF, Southeast Wellfield; Temp, Temporary; TPW, Temporary

[illegible]

identification; NAVD 88, North American Vertical Datum of 1988; PRWC, Polk Regional Water Cooperative; PZ, permeability zone; PZ2, permeable zone Pumped Well; TR, Transect]

Elevation (feet NAVD 88)	Hydrostratigraphic Unit	Unit Top (feet bls)	Unit Bottom (feet bls)	Elevation Top (feet NAVD 88)	Elevation Bottom (feet NAVD 88)
127.05	Avon Park high-permeability zone	1,223	1,550	-1,095.95	-1,422.95
127.05	middle confining unit II	1,650	1,875	-1,522.95	-1,747.95
127.05	Ocala low-permeability zone	499	770	-371.95	-642.95
117.97	confining unit	0	50	117.97	67.97
117.97	Hawthorn aquifer system (former IAS)	50	130	67.97	-12.03
117.97	upper Arcadia aquifer (former PZ2)	50	130	67.97	-12.03
117.97	confining unit	130	180	-12.03	-62.03
117.97	upper Floridan aquifer	180	1,110	-62.03	-992.03
117.97	middle confining unit II	1,110	1,390	-992.03	-1,272.03
117.97	Ocala low-permeability zone	270	460	-152.03	-342.03
108.44	upper Floridan aquifer	0	502	108.44	-393.56
108.44	Avon Park high-permeability zone	387	502	-278.56	-393.56
108.44	middle confining unit I	502	597	-393.56	-488.56
108.44	lower Floridan aquifer below unit I	597	912	-488.56	-803.56
108.44	middle confining unit II	912	1,600	-803.56	-1,491.56
108.44	middle confining unit VIII	1,600	1,801	-1,491.56	-1,692.56
108.44	lower Floridan aquifer below unit VIII	1,801	2,327.5	-1,692.56	-2,219.06
108.44	sub-Floridan confining unit	2,327.5	2,607	-2,219.06	-2,498.56
18.31	surficial aquifer	0	21	18.31	-2.69
18.31	confining unit	21	155	-2.69	-136.69
18.31	Hawthorn aquifer system (former IAS)	155	306	-136.69	-287.69
18.31	upper Arcadia aquifer (former PZ2)	155	306	-136.69	-287.69
18.31	confining unit	306	332	-287.69	-313.69
18.31	upper Floridan aquifer	332	1,660	-313.69	-1,641.69
18.31	Avon Park high-permeability zone	1,063	1,375	-1,044.69	-1,356.69
18.31	middle confining unit II	1,660	1,713	-1,641.69	-1,694.69

44 Hydrostratigraphic Framework of the Southwest Florida Water Management District

Table C1. Summary of wells and hydrogeologic unit depths used to create the hydrostratigraphic column figures

[-, not applicable; Avpk, Avon Park; bls, below land surface; DD MM SS, degrees minutes seconds; Expl, exploratory; IAS, intermediate aquifer system; ID, 2; PZ3, permeable zone 3; ROMP, Regional Observation and Monitor-well Program; SEWF, Southeast Wellfield; Temp, Temporary; TPW, Temporary

Station ID	Station Name	Well Site Number	Well Site Name	Latitude (DD MM SS)	Longitude (DD MM SS)	County
782912	ROMP TR 7-2 Core-hole 2	TR 7-2	Oneco	27 26 14.04	82 33 00.91	Manatee
783018	ROMP TR 9-2 Avpk PZ Production Temp	TR 9-2	Apollo Beach	27 45 55.10	82 23 35.14	Hillsborough
783018	ROMP TR 9-2 Avpk PZ Production Temp	TR 9-2	Apollo Beach	27 45 55.10	82 23 35.14	Hillsborough
783018	ROMP TR 9-2 Avpk PZ Production Temp	TR 9-2	Apollo Beach	27 45 55.10	82 23 35.14	Hillsborough
783018	ROMP TR 9-2 Avpk PZ Production Temp	TR 9-2	Apollo Beach	27 45 55.10	82 23 35.14	Hillsborough
783018	ROMP TR 9-2 Avpk PZ Production Temp	TR 9-2	Apollo Beach	27 45 55.10	82 23 35.14	Hillsborough
783018	ROMP TR 9-2 Avpk PZ Production Temp	TR 9-2	Apollo Beach	27 45 55.10	82 23 35.14	Hillsborough
783018	ROMP TR 9-2 Avpk PZ Production Temp	TR 9-2	Apollo Beach	27 45 55.10	82 23 35.14	Hillsborough
783018	ROMP TR 9-2 Avpk PZ Production Temp	TR 9-2	Apollo Beach	27 45 55.10	82 23 35.14	Hillsborough
783018	ROMP TR 9-2 Avpk PZ Production Temp	TR 9-2	Apollo Beach	27 45 55.10	82 23 35.14	Hillsborough
909825	ROMP 88.5 Corehole	88.5	Northeast Polk	28 17 30.84	81 46 20.28	Polk
909825	ROMP 88.5 Corehole	88.5	Northeast Polk	28 17 30.84	81 46 20.28	Polk
909825	ROMP 88.5 Corehole	88.5	Northeast Polk	28 17 30.84	81 46 20.28	Polk
909825	ROMP 88.5 Corehole	88.5	Northeast Polk	28 17 30.84	81 46 20.28	Polk
909825	ROMP 88.5 Corehole	88.5	Northeast Polk	28 17 30.84	81 46 20.28	Polk
909825	ROMP 88.5 Corehole	88.5	Northeast Polk	28 17 30.84	81 46 20.28	Polk
909825	ROMP 88.5 Corehole	88.5	Northeast Polk	28 17 30.84	81 46 20.28	Polk
909825	ROMP 88.5 Corehole	88.5	Northeast Polk	28 17 30.84	81 46 20.28	Polk
909825	ROMP 88.5 Corehole	88.5	Northeast Polk	28 17 30.84	81 46 20.28	Polk
909825	ROMP 88.5 Corehole	88.5	Northeast Polk	28 17 30.84	81 46 20.28	Polk
909825	ROMP 88.5 Corehole	88.5	Northeast Polk	28 17 30.84	81 46 20.28	Polk
927471	ROMP TR 19-3A Saltwater Interface Monitor	TR 19-3A	Heather	28 32 26.00	82 35 09.40	Hernando
927471	ROMP TR 19-3A Saltwater Interface Monitor	TR 19-3A	Heather	28 32 26.00	82 35 09.40	Hernando
927471	ROMP TR 19-3A Saltwater Interface Monitor	TR 19-3A	Heather	28 32 26.00	82 35 09.40	Hernando

identification; NAVD 88, North American Vertical Datum of 1988; PRWC, Polk Regional Water Cooperative; PZ, permeability zone; PZ2, permeable zone Pumped Well; TR, Transect]

Elevation (feet NAVD 88)	Hydrostratigraphic Unit	Unit Top (feet bls)	Unit Bottom (feet bls)	Elevation Top (feet NAVD 88)	Elevation Bottom (feet NAVD 88)
18.31	Ocala low-permeability zone	682	944	-663.69	-925.69
10.80	surficial aquifer	0	38	10.80	-27.20
10.80	confining unit	38	73.2	-27.20	-62.40
10.80	Hawthorn aquifer system (former IAS)	73.2	161.7	-62.40	-150.90
10.80	lower Arcadia aquifer (former PZ3)	73.2	161.7	-62.40	-150.90
10.80	confining unit	161.7	249.2	-150.90	-238.40
10.80	upper Floridan aquifer	249.2	1,125	-238.40	-1,114.20
10.80	Avon Park high-permeability zone	759	982	-748.20	-971.20
10.80	middle confining unit II	1,125	1,260	-1,114.20	-1,249.20
10.80	Ocala low-permeability zone	464	697	-453.20	-686.20
129.94	surficial aquifer	0	70	129.94	59.94
129.94	confining unit	70	89	59.94	40.94
129.94	upper Floridan aquifer	89	517	40.94	-387.06
129.94	Avon Park high-permeability zone	435	517	-305.06	-387.06
129.94	middle confining unit I	517	620	-387.06	-490.06
129.94	lower Floridan aquifer below unit I	620	960	-490.06	-830.06
129.94	middle confining unit II	960	1,275	-830.06	-1,145.06
129.94	lower Floridan aquifer below unit II	1,275	1,520	-1,145.06	-1,390.06
129.94	middle confining unit VIII	1,520	1,717	-1,390.06	-1,587.06
129.94	Ocala low-permeability zone	89	140	40.94	-10.06
129.94	lower Floridan aquifer below unit VIII	1,717	1,847	-1,587.06	-1,717.06
23.12	upper Floridan aquifer	0	711.5	23.12	-688.38
23.12	middle confining unit II	711.5	740	-688.38	-716.88
23.12	Avon Park high-permeability zone	430	510	-406.88	-486.88

Table C1. Summary of wells and hydrogeologic unit depths used to create the hydrostratigraphic column figures

[-, not applicable; Avpk, Avon Park; bls, below land surface; DD MM SS, degrees minutes seconds; Expl, exploratory; IAS, intermediate aquifer system; ID, 2; PZ3, permeable zone 3; ROMP, Regional Observation and Monitor-well Program; SEWF, Southeast Wellfield; Temp, Temporary; TPW, Temporary

[illegible]

identification; NAVD 88, North American Vertical Datum of 1988; PRWC, Polk Regional Water Cooperative; PZ, permeability zone; PZ2, permeable zone Pumped Well; TR, Transect]

Elevation (feet NAVD 88)	Hydrostratigraphic Unit	Unit Top (feet bls)	Unit Bottom (feet bls)	Elevation Top (feet NAVD 88)	Elevation Bottom (feet NAVD 88)
23.12	Ocala low-permeability zone	115	160	-91.88	-136.88
146.70	surficial aquifer	0	27	146.70	119.70
146.70	confining unit	27	110	119.70	36.70
146.70	upper Arcadia aquifer (former PZ2)	110	130	36.70	16.70
146.70	confining unit	130	219	16.70	-72.30
146.70	lower Arcadia aquifer (former PZ3)	219	290	-72.30	-143.30
146.70	confining unit	290	384	-143.30	-237.30
146.70	upper Floridan aquifer	384	1,438	-237.30	-1,291.30
146.70	Ocala low-permeability zone	445	615	-298.30	-468.30
146.70	Avon Park high-permeability zone	807	1,015	-660.30	-868.30
146.70	middle confining unit II	1,438	2,025	-1,291.30	-1,878.30
146.70	middle confining unit VIII	2,025	2,204	-1,878.30	-2,057.30
146.70	lower Floridan aquifer below unit VIII	2,204	2,884	-2,057.30	-2,737.30
146.70	low-permeability unit	2,400	2,617	-2,253.30	-2,470.30
146.70	sub-Floridan confining unit	2,884	2,957	-2,737.30	-2,810.30
124.64	surficial aquifer	0	100	124.64	24.64
124.64	confining unit	100	238	24.64	-113.36
124.64	upper Floridan aquifer	238	650	-113.36	-525.36
124.64	Ocala low-permeability zone	273	457	-148.36	-332.36
124.64	middle confining unit I	650	840	-525.36	-715.36
124.64	Avon Park high-permeability zone	840	975	-715.36	-850.36
124.64	lower Floridan aquifer below unit I	840	1,310	-715.36	-1,185.36
124.64	middle confining unit II	1,310	1,610	-1,185.36	-1,485.36
124.64	lower Floridan aquifer below unit II	1,610	1,985	-1,485.36	-1,860.36
124.64	middle confining unit VIII	1,985	2,260	-1,860.36	-2,135.36
124.64	lower Floridan aquifer below unit VIII	2,260	2,620	-2,135.36	-2,495.36
124.64	sub-Floridan confining unit	2,620	3,200	-2,495.36	-3,075.36

Table C1. Summary of wells and hydrogeologic unit depths used to create the hydrostratigraphic column figures

[-, not applicable; Avpk, Avon Park; bls, below land surface; DD MM SS, degrees minutes seconds; Expl, exploratory; IAS, intermediate aquifer system; ID, 2; PZ3, permeable zone 3; ROMP, Regional Observation and Monitor-well Program; SEWF, Southeast Wellfield; Temp, Temporary; TPW, Temporary

[illegible]

identification; NAVD 88, North American Vertical Datum of 1988; PRWC, Polk Regional Water Cooperative; PZ, permeability zone; PZ2, permeable zone Pumped Well; TR, Transect]

Elevation (feet NAVD 88)	Hydrostratigraphic Unit	Unit Top (feet bls)	Unit Bottom (feet bls)	Elevation Top (feet NAVD 88)	Elevation Bottom (feet NAVD 88)
124.86	surficial aquifer	0	102	124.86	22.86
124.86	confining unit	102	248	22.86	-123.14
124.86	upper Floridan aquifer	248	379	-123.14	-254.14
124.86	middle confining unit I	379	980	-254.14	-855.14
124.86	Avon Park high-permeability zone	780	980	-655.14	-855.14
124.86	lower Floridan aquifer below unit I	980	1,271	-855.14	-1,146.14
124.86	middle confining unit II	1,271	1,485	-1,146.14	-1,360.14
124.86	lower Floridan aquifer below unit II	1,485	1,915	-1,360.14	-1,790.14
124.86	middle confining unit VIII	1,915	2,280	-1,790.14	-2,155.14
124.86	lower Floridan aquifer below unit VIII	2,280	2,543	-2,155.14	-2,418.14
124.86	sub-Floridan confining unit	2,543	3,000	-2,418.14	-2,875.14

