Southwest Florida Water Management District

Fiscal Year 2015–2016 Annual Service Budget Budget-In-Brief



WATERMATTERS.ORG · 1-800-423-1476

October 1, 2015 through September 30, 2016

The Southwest Florida Water Management District (District) does not discriminate on the basis of disability. This nondiscrimination policy involves every aspect of the District's functions, including access to and participation in the District's programs and activities. Anyone requiring reasonable accommodation as provided for in the Americans with Disabilities Act should contact the District's Human Resources Bureau Chief, 2379 Broad St., Brooksville, FL 34604-6899; telephone (352) 796-7211 or 1-800-423-1476 (FL only), ext. 4703; or email <u>ADACoordinator@WaterMatters.org</u>. If you are hearing or speech impaired, please contact the agency using the Florida Relay Service, 1-800-955-8771 (TDD) or 1-800-955-8770 (Voice).

SOUTHWEST FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

Fiscal Year 2015-16 Annual Service Budget Budget-In-Brief

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Letter from Executive Director, Robert Beltran	1
Budget Summary Comparison – All Funds	3
Financial Summary	5
Budget Development Calendar	13
Budget Summary by Revenue Source	15
Budget Summary by Expenditure Category	16
Budget Summary by Program	17
Program and Activity Allocations by Area of Responsibility	18
Total Workforce	20
District Organization Chart	21
Adoption of Final Millage Rate and Budget Resolutions	22
Operating Expenses	29
Contracted Services for Operational Support & Maintenance	30
Operating Capital Outlay	31
Fixed Capital Outlay	32
District Projects:	
Contracted Services for District Projects	33
Cooperative Funding and District Grants	38
Project Descriptions	47
District Map of Planning Regions	224



Randall S. Maggard Vice Chair, Pasco Jeffrey M. Adams Secretary, Pinellas

David W. Dunbar

H. Paul Senft, Jr. Former Chair, Polk Ed Armstrong

Bryan K. Beswick DeSoto, Hardee, Highlands

> Thomas E. Bronson Hernando, Marion

> > Wendy Griffin Hillsborough

John Henslick

George W. Mann

Michael A. Moran Charlotte, Sarasota

Citrus, Lake, Levy, Sumter

Kelly S. Rice

Manatee

Polk

Pinellas

Treasurer, Hillsborough, Pinellas



Bartow Service Office 170 Century Boulevard Bartow, Florida 33830-7700 (863) 534-1448 or 1-800-492-7862 (FL only) 2379 Broad Street, Brooksville, Florida 34604-6899 (352) 796-7211 or 1-800-423-1476 (FL only)

WaterMatters.org

Sarasota Service Office 6750 Fruitville Road Sarasota, Florida 34240-9711 (941) 377-3722 or 1-800-320-3503 (FL only)
 Tampa Service Office

 7601 U.S. 301 North (Fort King Highway)

 Tampa, Florida 33637-6759

 (813) 985-7481 or

 1-800-836-0797 (FL only)

Michael A. Babb | September 30, 2015 Chair, Hillsborough |

Subject: Fiscal Year 2015-16 Millage Rate and Annual Service Budget

Dear Citizens:

On behalf of the Southwest Florida Water Management District Governing Board, I am pleased to present the District's adopted budget for fiscal year (FY) 2015-16, which begins October 1, 2015 and ends September 30, 2016.

The District's FY2015-16 budget is designed to protect Florida's water resources and to improve Florida's economic vitality. All programs and projects are dedicated to the mission of the District and intended to provide the highest quality service to the citizens of west-central Florida.

On September 29, 2015, the Governing Board adopted a final millage, the rolled-back rate of 0.3488 mill, which is a reduction of 4.6 percent. Over the last six fiscal years, the District's Governing Board has reduced its millage rate more than 40 percent to help reduce the tax burden for Florida residents.

The District's FY2015-16 adopted budget is \$184.3 million, compared to \$166.3 million in FY2014-15. The majority of this increase is for Cooperative Funding Initiatives and District projects. In fact, approximately 60 percent of the total budget is allocated for projects. The Cooperative Funding grants combined with matching funds through cooperative partnerships with public and private partners will result in more than a \$150 million total investment for water resource projects in the region. Since 1988 the District and its partners have a combined investment of more than \$2.8 billion for the region's water resources.

The District and its partners have committed \$28.2 million for the northern coastal springs systems. These efforts will contribute to reducing groundwater withdrawals and improving water quality in the springs as well as restore submerged aquatic vegetation and emergent wetland habitats to improve water clarity.

The District is committed to protecting Florida's water and water-related resources. This budget addresses our core mission responsibilities in accordance with Governing Board priorities, Legislative directives, and the Five-Year Strategic Plan. Our plan shows that the District's fiscal resources, supplemented with prudently managed project reserves, can support a healthy investment in the water resources and economy during the next 10 years.

In summary, the District's Governing Board has adopted a final budget for FY2015-16 that ensures the long-term sustainability of the region's water resources. This budget provides for significant investment in water resource projects and strategic initiatives. The District will continue seeking efficiencies to allow the Governing Board the flexibility to maintain the necessary annual investment in critical water resource management projects for the west-central Florida region.

Sincerely,

las Z Better

Robert R. Beltran, P.E. Executive Director

RRB:cal Enclosure

BUDGET SUMMARY COMPARISON - ALL FUNDS FY2015-16 BUDGET

	FY2014-15 Adopted		FY2015-16 Adopted		Budget Difference	
	e	Millage		Millage	Increase /	% o f
	Budget	Rate	Budget	Rate	(Decrease)	Change
General Fund						
General Fund - District	\$161,510,414	0.3658	\$170,594,885	0.3488	\$9,084,471	5.62%
Total General Fund	\$161,510,414	0.3658	\$170,594,885	0.3488	\$9,084,471	5.62%
Special Revenue Funds						
FDOT Mitigation Fund	\$1,448,205		\$2,532,488	_	\$1,084,283	74.87%
Total Special Revenue Funds	\$1,448,205		\$2,532,488		\$1,084,283	74.87%
Capital Projects Funds						
Facilities Fund	\$516,000		\$608,350		\$92,350	17.90%
Florida Forever Fund	2,864,000		10,530,000	_	7,666,000	267.67%
Total Capital Projects Funds	\$3,380,000		\$11,138,350		\$7,758,350	229.54%
Total Appropriation	\$166,338,619		\$184,265,723	-	\$17,927,104	10.78%

This page left blank intentionally.

FINANCIAL SUMMARY

OVERVIEW

The fiscal year (FY) 2015-16 budget demonstrates the District's commitment to protect Florida's water and water-related resources. The FY2015-16 revenue budget includes \$104 million in ad valorem property tax revenue. The Governing Board adopted a final millage rate which was the rolled-back rate of 0.3488 mill, a reduction of 4.6 percent.

The FY2015-16 expenditure budget is for \$184.3 million, compared to \$166.3 million in FY2014-15. The majority of the 10 percent increase is non-recurring expenditures for Cooperative Funding Initiatives (CFI) and District projects, representing more than \$109 million or 60 percent of the total budget. The District will leverage \$82 million of its funds through cooperative partnerships with public and private partners without incurring bonded debt. This will result in matching cooperator funds of approximately \$68 million, for a total investment for sustainable alternative water supply development and other water resource management projects of \$150 million.

This year, the District will maintain its total workforce at 574 full-time equivalent positions, consistent with FY2014-15. Employee benefits remain at a level consistent with other water management districts and the state. Since FY2008-09, the District has reduced its operating budget over 40 percent while increasing operating efficiency in an effort to allow the District to meet, and in many cases exceed, service goals. These reductions have provided the District with the opportunity to invest more funds in CFI projects where the dollars are leveraged to the benefit of the environment and the citizens of the District.

BUDGET BY FUND

General Fund: The FY2015-16 General Fund budget is \$170.6 million, an increase of \$9.1 million compared to \$161.5 million in FY2014-15. The District's General Fund budget is funded primarily with ad valorem property tax revenue. The \$9.1 million increase is comprised of an additional \$7 million in funding for projects, with the remaining \$2.1 million for operating expenses.

Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Mitigation Fund: The FY2015-16 FDOT Mitigation Fund budget is \$2.5 million, an increase of \$1.1 million compared to \$1.4 million in FY2014-15. The Governing Board approved the most recent mitigation plan on February 24, 2015. The increase is primarily due to ongoing projects such as the Colt Creek State Park Restoration project, and long-term maintenance and monitoring of completed projects.

Facilities Fund: The FY2015-16 Facilities Fund budget is \$608,350, an increase of \$92,350 compared to \$516,000 in FY2014-15. The District continues its historical practice of completing major facilities construction projects on a pay-as-you-go basis. The FY2015-16 budget includes \$100,000 for the third year of funding for the District's Tampa Service Office (TSO) Site Survey; \$108,350 for the Sarasota Service Office Building #1 Carpet Replacement; and \$400,000 for Districtwide roof, heating, ventilation and air conditioning repair and remodeling projects.

Florida Forever Fund: The FY2015-16 Florida Forever Fund budget is \$10.5 million, an increase of \$7.7 million compared to \$2.8 million in FY2014-15. This represents prior year funds held in the State's Florida Forever Trust Fund for this District and in the District's accounts. The District has \$15.2 million available in prior year funds as of September 30, 2015, for land acquisitions: \$10 million in prior year funds held by the State of Florida in the Florida Forever Trust Fund and \$5.2 million held in the District's investment account restricted for future land acquisitions. The funds held in District accounts were generated from the sale of land or real estate interests to the Natural Resources Conservation Service for a Wetland Reserve Program Easement; the FDOT or local governments for right of way or

mitigation purposes; or to private individuals through the District's surplus land program. The release of funds from prior year allocations, held by the State of Florida, is subject to approval by the Department of Environmental Protection.

REVENUES

Ad Valorem Property Tax Revenue is the primary source of funding for the District. A millage rate of 0.3488 mill was adopted by the Governing Board at the final public hearing held September 29, 2015. This millage rate is 4.6 percent lower than the current year. The FY2015-16 budget includes \$104 million in ad valorem revenue.

Balance from Prior Years represents unallocated balances available from prior year budgets. These funds result from revenues received in excess of revenues budgeted or excess funds due to projects completed under budget or cancelled. The FY2015-16 budget includes \$34.6 million in Balance from Prior Years.

Reserves represents restricted and assigned short-term project reserves used to balance the budget. The FY2015-16 budget includes \$9.8 million in reserves utilized to fund projects, of which \$5 million is restricted basin reserves and \$4.8 million is assigned short-term project reserves.

Intergovernmental Revenue represents funds received from local governments, the State of Florida, and the federal government. The FY2015-16 budget includes \$30.7 million in intergovernmental revenue. Local funding is primarily from the counties and cities within the District's boundaries. State funding is from the Department of Environmental Protection, Florida Department of Transportation, Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, Land Acquisition Trust Fund, and Florida Forever Trust Fund (prior year funds).

Permit and License Fees is revenue from water use permits, environmental resource permits, water well construction permits and water well construction licenses. The FY2015-16 budget includes \$1.5 million in permit and license fees revenue.

Interest Earnings on Investments for the FY2015-16 budget includes \$3.1 million based on an average cash balance of \$451 million and 0.7 percent estimated yield on investments.

Other Revenue consists of items that fall outside of the categories described above, including revenue generated from District-owned lands such as timber sales. Other revenue is budgeted conservatively due to the uncertainty of the amounts to be collected. The FY2015-16 budget includes \$560,700 in other revenue.

RECURRING EXPENDITURES

Salaries and Benefits: The FY2015-16 budget is \$48.4 million, an increase of \$1 million compared to \$47.4 million in FY2014-15. This includes 574 full-time equivalent positions (FTEs), consistent with FY2014-15.

Operating Expenses: Includes items such as Property Tax Commissions, Software/Software Maintenance, Parts and Supplies, Utilities, Insurance and Bonds, Fuels and Lubricants, and Telephone/Data Communications. The FY2015-16 budget is \$15.2 million, a decrease of \$742,328 compared to \$15.9 million in FY2014-15. For a detailed listing of Operating Expenses categories, refer to page 29.

Contracted Services for Operational Support & Maintenance: Includes Data Collection, Land Management, Structure Operations and Maintenance, and Information Technology Services. These services are performed by the private sector and represent direct investments into the economy as well as provide support for the important projects that protect Florida's water resources. The FY2015-16 budget is \$9.5 million, an increase of \$1.2 million compared to \$8.3 million in FY2014-15. For a detailed listing of Contracted Services for Operations Support & Maintenance categories, refer to page 30.

Operating Capital Outlay: Represents heavy equipment, vehicles, airboats, computer hardware, and equipment with a value per item of at least \$1,000 and an estimated useful life of one or more years. The FY2015-16 budget is \$1.4 million, an increase of \$260,243 compared to \$1.2 million in FY2014-15. For a detailed listing of Operating Capital Outlay requests, refer to page 31.

NON-RECURRING EXPENDITURES

Fixed Capital Outlay: Represents land purchases and land improvements, land easements, water control structures, bridges and buildings. The FY2015-16 budget is \$10.8 million, an increase of \$7.8 million compared to \$3 million in FY2014-15. This includes \$10.4 million for Florida Forever land purchases, \$194,000 for preacquisition support and ancillary costs for requests outside the Florida Forever Work Plan such as the Regional Observation Monitor-Well Program and the District's Wetlands Monitoring Network, and \$200,000 for appraisals and reviews for Surplus Lands Assessment. For a detailed listing of Fixed Capital Outlay requests, refer to page 32.

Contracted Services for District Projects: Represents District-led projects including Surface Water Improvement and Management (SWIM) restoration, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences (IFAS) research and Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Mitigation. The FY2015-16 budget is \$17 million, an increase of \$3 million compared to \$14 million in FY2014-15. For a detailed listing of Contracted Services for District Projects, refer to page 33.

Cooperative Funding/District Grants: Represents matching funds through the District Cooperative Funding Initiative (CFI) and District grants such as the Facilitating Agricultural Resource Management Systems (FARMS) program. The CFI generally provides 50 percent matching funds toward the cost of projects that help create sustainable water resources, enhance conservation efforts and provide flood protection. The FY2015-16 budget is \$82 million, an increase of \$5.4 million compared to \$76.6 million in FY2014-15. For a detailed listing of Cooperative Funding and District Grants, refer to page 38.

MAJOR BUDGET OBJECTIVES AND PRIORITIES

Florida Statutes, most notably Chapter 373, authorize the District to direct a wide range of initiatives, programs, and actions. These responsibilities can be grouped under four general areas: water supply, water quality, natural systems, and flood protection.

In developing the Strategic Plan, the District has established a goal statement for each of these areas, along with strategic initiatives designed to meet those goals. The District has also identified regional priorities that are consistent with the strategic initiatives. The strategic initiatives and regional priorities provide focus for bureaus to identify budgetary requirements necessary to carry out District programs, and serve as the foundation for developing the budget. The following strategic initiatives, by Area of Responsibility (AOR), are included in the District's Strategic Plan that was approved by the Governing Board on September 24, 2013, then updated in January 2015. The future resources necessary to achieve the Strategic Plan and the impact on the District are identified, by AOR, in the District's Business Plan that was adopted by the Governing Board on May 19, 2015. The District's Strategic and Business Plans serve as the framework for the development of the fiscal year 2015-16 budget.

The associated AOR allocations are defined by the Program Budget (see *IV.C. Program Allocations by Area of Responsibility*).

Water Supply

\$65,125,427

Goal: Ensure an adequate supply of the water resource to provide for all existing and future reasonable and beneficial uses while protecting and maintaining water resources and related natural systems.

Regional Water Supply Planning – Identify, communicate, and promote consensus on the strategies and resources necessary to meet future reasonable and beneficial water supply needs.

The District is providing cost-share funding for water supply planning efforts in the FY2015-16 budget, including a collaboration with the St. Johns River and South Florida water management districts, Department of Environmental Protection (DEP), Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, and public supply utilities on the Central Florida Water Initiative (CFWI). The District has budgeted \$166,471 to continue this effort for the five-county CFWI area, where the effects of water withdrawals span three water management district boundaries. Other regional water supply planning projects include \$72,271 for a cost-share funding project with Charlotte County to investigate brackish source water quality, allowing for better management of the resource in the southern portion of the District.

Alternative Water Supplies – Increase development of alternative sources of water to ensure groundwater and surface water sustainability.

The District offers funding incentives for the development of alternative water supplies (AWS) to reduce competition for limited supplies of fresh ground water. The District leverages other local and regional funding by offering matching funds up to 50 percent of the cost of AWS projects through its Cooperative Funding Initiative. The budget includes \$17.6 million for AWS under water supply development assistance including regional interconnections and aquifer recharge systems, but excluding reclaimed water and conservation funding which could be considered AWS and are covered separately below. The FY2015-16 budget also includes \$7.2 million for water resource development projects with water supply benefits.

Reclaimed Water – Maximize beneficial use of reclaimed water to provide water resource benefits.

More than \$24.4 million is budgeted for 40 cooperatively-funded or District-initiated reclaimed water projects. Projects include the multi-year Pasco County Reclaimed Treatment Wetland and Recharge project that will enable wetland habitat creation and water resources benefits in the Northern Tampa Bay Water Use Caution Area; and the Charlotte County Reclaimed Water project to expand transmission, storage and pumping of 1.7 million gallons per day (mgd) of reclaimed water to the central and western areas of the County within the Southern Water Use Caution Area (SWUCA). Also, the Tampa Electric Company (TECO) Reclaimed Water Interconnects to Lakeland/Polk County/ Mulberry project will reduce nutrient loading to the Alafia River and initially provide 10 mgd of alternative water supply in the SWUCA and in the District portion of the CFWI; future benefits include the ability to provide up to 17 mgd by 2045.

Conservation – Enhance efficiencies in all water use sectors to reduce demands on all water supplies.

The District's water conservation program has many facets. More than \$500,000 is budgeted for 30 cooperatively-funded or District-initiated water conservation projects in partnership with local governments and other entities. Additionally, approximately \$6.8 million is budgeted for the Facilitating Agricultural Resource Management Systems (FARMS) program, a cooperative, public-private, cost-share reimbursement program to implement agricultural best management practices (BMPs). The FARMS program is an important component of the District's SWUCA Recovery

Strategy to address water supply, water quality and natural systems initiatives. Much of the District's budget for water resource education is directed at water conservation education programs or projects with a conservation component (\$320,201). The District also funds extensive conservation research, and implements regulatory requirements and incentives to achieve water conservation.

Water Quality

\$34,605,869

Goal: Protect and improve water quality to sustain the water, environment, economy, and quality of life.

Water Quality Assessment and Planning – Collect and analyze data to determine local and regional water quality status and trends in order to support resource management decisions and restoration initiatives.

The District collects and analyzes water quality data through several monitoring networks and program specific efforts. Major long-term, ongoing water quality monitoring network efforts include rivers/streams and associated biological surveys (\$127,605), coastal groundwater (\$237,177), springs (\$106,973), Upper Floridan Aquifer/springs recharge basins (\$83,687), and lakes (\$29,691). The District also collects data for its 12 Surface Water Improvement and Management (SWIM) priority water bodies. The District prepares plans for the protection and restoration of these SWIM water bodies, develops water quality management plans and diagnostic studies for other significant water bodies, and provides financial support for three National Estuary Programs (Tampa Bay, Sarasota Bay and Charlotte Harbor).

Water Quality Maintenance and Improvement – Develop and implement programs, projects, and regulations to maintain and improve water quality.

Water quality improvement projects (approximately \$10.7 million for 71 projects) include cooperative stormwater improvement projects such as the Hillsborough River Water Quality Improvement, 49th Street Outfall Treatment in the City of Gulfport, Bradenton Beach Stormwater BMPs, Homosassa South Fork Water Quality Improvement, Rainbow Springshed Stormwater Retrofits and Weeki Wachee Springs State Park BMPs.

Some restoration projects (described below under "Conservation and Restoration") also provide water quality benefits, along with habitat improvement. There are 21 projects implemented through the SWIM, cooperative funding, and land management programs with approximately \$2.3 million going toward water quality benefits. The District works with local governments to develop watershed plans which are focused largely on flood protection, but 25 of the projects also provide at least some water quality benefits budgeted at a cost of \$625,736. The FARMS program (\$6.8 million) targets agricultural water conservation and AWS use (see above) but also provides water quality benefits through improved surface water and groundwater management, particularly in targeted areas such as the Shell, Prairie, and Joshua Creek watersheds. In addition to major FARMS projects, another sector of the program focuses on rehabilitation (back-plugging) of wells to minimize the impact of highly mineralized groundwater (\$58,612). A related effort, the Quality of Water Improvement Program (QWIP), provides cost-share reimbursement to landowners for the plugging of abandoned wells to reduce inter-aquifer exchange of poor water quality and potential surface water contamination (\$744,115). The District's Environmental Resource Permitting (\$7 million) and Well Construction Permitting (\$709,065) programs include water quality criteria to protect water resources.

Natural Systems

Goal: Preserve, protect, and restore natural systems to support their natural hydrologic and ecologic functions.

Minimum Flows and Levels (MFLs) Establishment and Recovery – To prevent significant harm and re-establish the natural ecosystem; determine MFLs; and, where necessary, develop and implement recovery plans.

The District's budget includes approximately \$2.2 million to support the establishment of MFLs, including data collection, monitoring, modeling, mapping, research, hydrologic and biologic analysis, and peer review. Each year the District updates its priority list and schedule for MFLs, and submits the list to the DEP for approval. Several of the District's established MFLs are not being met; and, in accordance with section 373.042, Florida Statutes, the District has implemented recovery strategies to return these water bodies to an acceptable hydrologic condition. Approximately \$550,000 is budgeted for specific MFL recovery investigations. MFL recovery efforts are also supported by conservation, alternative water supplies, data collection, development of groundwater models, watershed management planning, and research. The District's Water Use Permitting program (\$4.1 million) contributes to MFLs recovery by ensuring that authorized water withdrawals do not exceed the criteria established in Rules 40D-8 and 40D-80, Florida Administrative Code, for water bodies with adopted MFLs.

Conservation and Restoration – Identify critical environmentally sensitive ecosystems and implement plans for protection or restoration.

The District develops information about natural systems through various data collection efforts, including land use/land cover mapping (\$91,687), seagrass mapping (\$375,174), wetlands monitoring and associated well construction (\$378,147), and aerial orthoimagery data which occurs every three years. This imagery is managed as part of the District's Geographic Information Systems (GIS) which includes a broad assemblage of other geographic data that are used for District purposes and made available to other government agencies and the public. Ongoing management of these spatial data is budgeted at \$514,668. The District has also budgeted \$151,815 for the field survey component of the vertical datum conversion from NGVD29 to NAVD88 to ensure accuracy and consistency of reporting elevations.

The District manages approximately 269,000 acres of public conservation lands for the statutorily mandated purposes of protecting and restoring their natural condition, and providing for compatible recreational uses for the public. Land management is budgeted at \$6.5 million. Restoration of natural systems is achieved primarily through the SWIM, Springs Initiative, cooperative funding, and land management programs (\$7.6 million for 46 projects). Approximately \$6.9 million is for SWIM projects, including Kings Bay - Hunter Springs Cove Living Shoreline, Kings Bay - Three Sisters Springs Bank Stabilization, and Coral Creek Ecosystem Restoration projects. Natural systems restoration also occurs through District mitigation for Florida Department of Transportation projects (16 projects, \$2.5 million). The Environmental Resource Permitting program ensures that the natural functions of wetlands are protected from the impacts of land development.

Flood Protection

Goal: Minimize flood damage to protect people, property, infrastructure, and investment.

Floodplain Management – Develop better floodplain information and apply in the implementation of floodplain management programs to maintain storage and conveyance and to minimize flood damage.

The District's Watershed Management Program (WMP) is a cooperative effort with local governments to develop a technical understanding of the hydrology of watersheds. The budget includes 51 projects (\$3.6 million) for the modeling and planning phase of the program. Among other benefits, the watershed plans support the development of digital flood hazard maps through a partnership with the Federal Emergency Management Agency. The implementation phase of the WMP involves preventive and remedial projects, Best Management Practices (BMPs), to address potential and existing flooding problems. These BMPs projects, budgeted at approximately \$6.8 million, provide flood protection benefits. The District's Environmental Resource Permitting program, in addition to protecting wetlands and water quality as described above, regulates surface water management and floodplain encroachment to minimize flooding impacts from land development.

Emergency Flood Response – Operate District flood control and water conservation structures, providing effective and efficient assistance to state and local governments and the public to minimize flood damage during and after major storm events.

The District maintains and operates 81 water control structures and 63 miles of canals to manage water levels and reduce the risk of flooding. All of the mission critical water control structures are instrumented for remote control to provide cost efficient operation and improved response time during weather events. Some structures are also equipped with digital video monitor systems for improved security, safety, and reliability of operations during major weather events. The FY2015-16 budget includes approximately \$4.6 million for these water management facilities. This provides for operation, maintenance, and upgrades to the structures to ensure they are in top operational condition in a major weather event. The District also manages nuisance aquatic vegetation which can exacerbate flooding if not controlled. Approximately \$609,009 is budgeted for this purpose, the majority of which is typically reimbursed by the state. Also, the District maintains a Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan to guide District staff in the preparation, response, recovery, and mitigation of disasters such as major flood events and hurricanes.

Support of the District's Emergency Operations Center is budgeted at \$116,139. In an actual emergency, the District Governing Board is authorized under section 373.536(4)(d), Florida Statutes, to expend available funds not included in the budget. The Governing Board would then notify the Executive Office of the Governor and the Legislative Budget Commission within 30 days of the Governing Board's action.

Mission Support

\$12,998,069

Goal: Ensure the continuous alignment of resources with the strategic goals and objectives of the District.

Mission Support, also known as Management Services, develops and equips District employees to achieve the District's strategic initiatives in a cost-efficient and effective manner. These strategies ensure District operations remain strategically aligned and fiscally responsible. Mission Support (\$9.5 million) includes Executive, General Counsel, Inspector General, Finance, Human Resources, and Information Technology. Tax commissions/fees for the Property Appraisers and Tax Collectors are budgeted at \$3.5 million.

CONCLUSION

The District has developed the FY2015-16 budget to ensure the long-term sustainability of the region's water resources. Although the budget represents an increase of just over two percent in operating costs; the District will continue to look for opportunities to improve efficiencies and further streamline processes. Significant operational reductions were achieved in fiscal years 2010-11 through 2014-15 to bring operational costs in-line with current ad valorem revenue levels. This has allowed the Governing Board the flexibility to maintain the necessary annual investment in critical water resource management projects for the west-central Florida region. Even with the significant investment of over \$109 million in the FY2015-16 budget for cooperative funding, District projects and fixed capital outlay for land acquisition, the District believes its resources are adequate for this year and the next ten years using reserves to fund valuable approved projects only when necessary.

FY2015-16 BUDGET DEVELOPMENT CALENDAR

October	Staff development of preliminary budget
October 1	Fiscal Year 2014-15 begins
October 3	Applications for FY2015-16 cooperative funding requests due
October 28	Governing Board acceptance of preliminary FY2015-16 budget development process and assumptions
December 16	Governing Board approval of preliminary FY2015-16 budget for submission to the Florida Legislature by January 15, 2015
December 19	Draft preliminary FY2015-16 budget provided to DEP/EOG for review
January 15	Submittal of preliminary FY2015-16 budget to President of Senate, Speaker of House of Representatives, the chairs of all legislative committees and subcommittees having substantive or fiscal jurisdiction over the water management districts, as applicable
February	Distribution of FY2015-16 Budget Preparation Guidelines and staff training workshops conducted
February 4-12	Four regional subcommittees of Governing Board review and rank cooperative funding requests for FY2015-16
March 1	Comments on preliminary FY2015-16 budget due to the districts from President of the Senate and Speaker of House of Representatives, who provide a copy to the EOG
March 15	District's response to any legislative comments on preliminary FY2015- 16 budget due
April 8-16	Four regional subcommittees of Governing Board review and rank cooperative funding requests for FY2015-16
June 1	Estimates of taxable values from 16 county property appraisers
June 23	FY2015-16 recommended annual service budget delivered to the Governing Board, which includes the recommendations from its four regional subcommittees of cooperative funding requests to be funded for FY2015-16
July 1	If no action taken by the Legislature, development of the tentative FY2015-16 budget proceeds
July 1	Certifications of Taxable Value from 16 county property appraisers
July 28	Governing Board adopts proposed FY2015-16 millage rate and approves the August 1 submittal of the Standard Format Tentative Budget Submittal Report

August 1	Submittal of tentative FY2015-16 budget to Governor, President of Senate, Speaker of House of Representatives, the chairs of all legislative committees and subcommittees having substantive or fiscal jurisdiction over the water management districts, as applicable, Secretary of the Department of Environmental Protection, 16 County Commission Chairs
August 4	TRIM DR420 sent to 16 county property appraisers
August 12	Presentation of the FY2015-16 tentative budget to the Governor's Office of Policy & Budget, DEP and legislative staff
September 5	Comments on tentative FY2015-16 budget due from chairs of legislative committees and subcommittees
September 15	Public Hearing to adopt tentative FY2015-16 millage rate and budget (Tampa Service Office)
September 22	Written disapproval of any provision in tentative FY2015-16 budget due from EOG and Legislative Budget Commission
September 29	Public Hearing to adopt final FY2015-16 millage rate and budget (Tampa Service Office)
September 30	Fiscal Year 2014-15 ends
October 1	Fiscal Year 2015-16 begins
October 9	Submit FY2015-16 adopted budget to DEP/EOG/Legislature within 10 days after final budget adoption
October 29	TRIM Department of Revenue package delivered within 30 days after final budget adoption

BUDGET SUMMARY BY REVENUE SOURCE FY2015-16 BUDGET

	FY2014-15 TOTAL		FY2015-16 BY FUND			FY2015-16 TOTAL	
	ADOPTED BUDGET	% OF TOTAL	GENERAL FUND	SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS	CAPITAL PROJECTS FUNDS	ADOPTED BUDGET	% OF TOTAL
ANTICIPATED REVENUES AND BALANCES							
Ad Valorem Property Tax Revenue	\$102,256,638	61.5%	\$103,428,534	\$0	\$608,350	\$104,036,884	56.5%
Balance From Prior Years	29,368,024	17.7%	34,580,727	-	-	34,580,727	18.8%
Reserves	14,298,114	8.6%	9,811,023	-	-	9,811,023	5.3%
Local Funding / County / City	1,468,105	0.9%	559,718	-	-	559,718	0.3%
State Funding:							
DEP - Inglis Dam & Spillway	150,000		150,000	-	-	150,000	
DEP - Springs Coast Nutrient Source	-		45,000	-	-	45,000	
DEP - Springs Initiative	6,459,833		13,429,183	-	-	13,429,183	
FDOT - Efficient Transportation Decision Making (ETDM)	200,000		200,000	-	-	200,000	
FDOT - Mitigation Program	1,448,205		-	2,532,488	-	2,532,488	
FDOT - River Tower Shoreline Restoration Project	200,000		-	-	-	-	
Florida Fish & Wildlife - Aquatic Plant Management	480,000		480,000	-	-	480,000	
Florida Forever Trust Fund - prior year funds	2,864,000		-	-	10,530,000	10,530,000	
State Appr - Pasco Co. Stormwater Pond/Flood Mitigation Project	1,500,000		-	-	-	-	
State Appropriation - Land Acquisition Trust Fund (LATF)	-	_	2,750,000	-	-	2,750,000	_
Total State Funding	\$13,302,038	7.9%	\$17,054,183	\$2,532,488	\$10,530,000	\$30,116,671	16.3%
Federal Funding:							
FEMA Mapping Activity Statement	\$85,000		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Total Federal Funding	\$85,000	0.1%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%
Permit and License Fees	\$1,700,000	1.0%	\$1,500,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,500,000	0.8%
Interest Earnings on Investments	3,600,000	2.1%	3,100,000	-	-	3,100,000	1.7%
Other Revenue	260,700	0.2%	560,700	-	-	560,700	0.3%
Total Revenues and Balances	\$166,338,619	100.0%	\$170,594,885	\$2,532,488	\$11,138,350	\$184,265,723	100.0%







Ad Valorem Taxes

State/Federal/Local Funding

Other Funding
 Balance from Prior Years

rior Years Reserves



BUDGET SUMMARY BY EXPENDITURE CATEGORY FY2015-16 BUDGET

	FY2014-15		FY2015-	16
	ADOPTED	% OF	ADOPTED	% OF
	BUDGET	TOTAL	BUDGET	TOTAL
Recurring				
Salaries and Benefits	\$47,353,373	28.5%	\$48,396,644	26.3%
Operating Expenses	15,897,087	9.6%	15,154,759	8.2%
Contracted Services for Operational Support & Maint	8,277,422	5.0%	9,543,372	5.2%
Operating Capital Outlay	1,153,137	0.7%	1,413,380	0.8%
	\$72,681,019	43.8%	\$74,508,155	40.5%
Non-Recurring				
Contracted Services for District Projects	14,053,217	8.4%	17,008,956	9.2%
Cooperative Funding / District Grants	76,610,383	46.0%	81,974,612	44.5%
Fixed Capital Outlay	2,994,000	1.8%	10,774,000	5.8%
	\$93,657,600	56.2%	\$109,757,568	59.5%
Total Expenditures	\$166,338,619	100.0%	\$184,265,723	100.0%







5.8% 44.5% 5.8% 26.3% 8.2% 0.8% 5.2%

Salaries and Benefits

- Contracted Services for Operational Support & Maint
- Contracted Services for District Projects
- Fixed Capital Outlay

- Operating Expenses
- Operating Capital Outlay
- Cooperative Funding / District Grants

BUDGET SUMMARY BY PROGRAM FY2015-16 BUDGET

FY2014-	15	FY2015-16	
ADOPTED	% OF	ADOPTED	% OF
BUDGET	TOTAL	BUDGET	TOTAL
\$27,013,400	16.2%	\$26,623,906	14.4%
89,108,539	53.6%	104,757,128	56.9%
15,895,364	9.6%	20,060,088	10.9%
19,518,020	11.7%	17,918,953	9.7%
1,874,348	1.1%	1,907,579	1.0%
12,928,948	7.8%	12,998,069	7.1%
\$166,338,619	100.0%	\$184,265,723	100.0%
	FY2014- ADOPTED BUDGET \$27,013,400 89,108,539 15,895,364 19,518,020 1,874,348 12,928,948 \$166,338,619	FY2014-15 ADOPTED % OF BUDGET TOTAL \$27,013,400 16.2% 89,108,539 53.6% 15,895,364 9.6% 19,518,020 11.7% 1,874,348 1.1% 12,928,948 7.8% \$166,338,619 100.0%	FY2014-15 FY2015- ADOPTED % OF ADOPTED BUDGET TOTAL BUDGET \$27,013,400 16.2% \$26,623,906 89,108,539 53.6% 104,757,128 15,895,364 9.6% 20,060,088 19,518,020 11.7% 17,918,953 1,874,348 1.1% 1,907,579 12,928,948 7.8% 12,998,069 \$166,338,619 100.0% \$184,265,723







FY2015-16

Adopted Budget

- Water Resources Planning and Monitoring
- Operation and Maintenance of Lands & Works
- Outreach

- Acquisition, Restoration and Public Works
- Regulation
- District Management and Administration

Southwest Florida Water Management District Program and Activity Allocations by Area of Responsibility FY2015-16 Adopted Budget September 30, 2015

	Programs and Activities	FY2015-16 Budget	Water Supply	Water Quality	Flood Protection	Natural Systems
1	I.0 - Water Resources Planning and Monitoring	\$26,623,906	\$6,612,059	\$4,672,926	\$6,063,305	\$9,275,616
Г	1.1 - District Water Management Planning	9,133,119	1,007,380	1,273,533	3,788,557	3,063,649
	1.1.1 - Water Supply Planning	609,448	526,213	0	0	83,236
	1.1.2 - Minimum Flows and Levels	2,200,531	169,173	0	0	2,031,358
	1.1.3 - Other Water Resources Planning	6,323,140	311,995	1,273,533	3,788,557	949,056
	1.2 - Research, Data Collection, Analysis & Monitoring	14,130,543	4,667,785	2,585,365	1,479,455	5,397,938
	1.3 - Technical Assistance	1,205,451	407,564	265,963	265,963	265,963
	1.5 - Technology & Information Services	2,154,793	529,331	548,066	529,331	548,066
2	2.0 - Acquisition, Restoration and Public Works	\$104,757,128	\$51,885,429	\$21,944,156	\$7,821,878	\$23,105,665
Γ	2.1 - Land Acquisition	11,156,219	17,780	120,169	180,425	10,837,845
Γ	2.2 - Water Source Development	55,198,918	48,361,131	3,558,513	127,406	3,151,868
	2.2.1 - Water Resource Development Projects	9,418,070	7,249,284	1,064,892	0	1,103,894
	2.2.2 - Water Supply Development Assistance	45,036,733	41,111,847	1,749,506	127,406	2,047,974
	2.2.3 - Other Water Source Development Activities	744,115	0	744,115	0	0
	2.3 - Surface Water Projects	37,043,215	3,167,396	17,924,063	7,177,213	8,774,543
∞	2.5 - Facilities Construction and Major Renovations	608,350	152,088	152,088	152,088	152,088
	2.7 - Technology & Information Services	750,426	187,035	189,323	184,747	189,323
3	3.0 - Operation and Maintenance of Lands and Works	\$20,060,088	\$2,278,160	\$2,112,337	\$5,543,395	\$10,126,196
	3.1 - Land Management	6,531,942	0	0	0	6,531,942
Γ	3.2 - Works	4,598,878	227,681	17,536	3,323,904	1,029,758
Γ	3.3 - Facilities	3,388,031	847,008	847,008	847,008	847,008
Γ	3.4 - Invasive Plant Control	609,009	2,300	46,622	46,622	513,466
Γ	3.5 - Other Operation and Maintenance Activities	116,139	0	0	116,139	0
Γ	3.6 - Fleet Services	2,823,567	705,892	705,892	705,892	705,892
Γ	3.7 - Technology & Information Services	1,992,522	495,281	495,281	503,831	498,131
4	I.0 - Regulation	\$17,918,953	\$3,759,075	\$5,357,425	\$3,853,153	\$4,949,301
Г	4.1 - Consumptive Use Permitting	4,052,067	1,883,489	1,075,634	0	1,092,944
	4.2 - Water Well Constr, Permitting & Contractor Lic	709,065	316,217	392,849	0	0
F	4.3 - Environmental Resource & Surface Wtr Permitting	7,008,137	14,640	2,377,496	2,308,000	2,308,000
F	4.4 - Other Regulatory and Enforcement Activities	2,478,571	626,951	593,668	627,374	630,579
	4.5 - Technology & Information Services	3,671,113	917,778	917,778	917,778	917,778

Southwest Florida Water Management District Program and Activity Allocations by Area of Responsibility FY2015-16 Adopted Budget September 30, 2015

Programs and Activities	FY2015-16 Budget	Water Supply	Water Quality	Flood Protection	Natural Systems
5.0 - Outreach	\$1,907,579	\$590,704	\$519,025	\$341,054	\$456,796
5.1 - Water Resource Education	825,564	320,201	248,522	70,550	186,292
5.2 - Public Information	851,198	212,800	212,800	212,800	212,800
5.4 - Lobbying/Legislative Affairs/Cabinet Affairs	89,124	22,281	22,281	22,281	22,281
5.6 - Technology & Information Services	141,693	35,423	35,423	35,423	35,423
SUBTOTAL - Major Programs (excluding Management and Administration)	\$171,267,654	\$65,125,427	\$34,605,869	\$23,622,784	\$47,913,574
6.0 - District Management and Administration	\$12,998,069				
6.1 - Administrative & Operations Support	9,485,299				
6.1.1 - Executive Direction	1,255,733				
6.1.2 - General Counsel/Legal	1,055,380				
6.1.3 - Inspector General	249,670				
6.1.4 - Administrative Support	4,381,697				
6.1.6 - Procurement/Contract Administration	505,374				
6.1.7 - Human Resources	895,877				
6.1.9 - Technology & Information Services	1,141,568				
6.4 - Other (Tax Collector/Property Appraiser Fees)	3,512,770				
Total Expenditures:	\$184,265,723				

Total Workforce (FY2009-10 thru FY2015-16 Adopted)





SOUTHWEST FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

RESOLUTION NO. 15-15

ADOPTION OF FINAL MILLAGE RATE AND CERTIFICATION OF LEVY TO THE COUNTY PROPERTY APPRAISERS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2015-16

WHEREAS, the Governing Board of the Southwest Florida Water Management District (District) by authority of Article VII, Section 9(b) of the Florida Constitution, and Chapters 200 and 373, Florida Statutes, is authorized to levy ad valorem taxes on taxable property within the District; and

WHEREAS, the ensuing fiscal year of the District shall extend the period beginning October 1, 2015, and ending September 30, 2016; and

WHEREAS, the Governing Board of the District has determined that a District millage rate as provided for in Sections 200.065, 373.503 and 373.536, Florida Statutes, is necessary to provide funds for the budgeted expenditures of the District for fiscal year 2015-16 and should be levied in the amount set forth in paragraph 1 herein; and

WHEREAS, notices of proposed property taxes, advising of date, time, and place of the first public budget hearing, were prepared and mailed, pursuant to Section 200.065, Florida Statutes, by the county property appraisers of each county within the District; and

WHEREAS, the first public hearing on the tentative millage rate and budget was held by the Governing Board of the District at the Tampa Service Office, Tampa, Florida, on September 15, 2015, and commencing at 5:01 p.m. as provided in the notice; and

WHEREAS, the Governor's office has reviewed and approved the District's fiscal year 2015-16 budget pursuant to Section 373.536(5), Florida Statutes; and

WHEREAS, the notice of budget hearing for fiscal year 2015-16, including notice of intention to adopt the final millage rate and budget, or as the same may be amended, and adjacent notice meeting the budget summary requirements of Sections 129.03(3)(b) and 373.536(3)(d), Florida Statutes, were duly published, during the period beginning September 24, 2015, and ending September 27, 2015, pursuant to Section 200.065, Florida Statutes, in newspapers of general circulation in each county within the District as required by law; and

WHEREAS, the second public hearing on the final budget was held by the Governing Board of the District at the Tampa Service Office, Tampa, Florida, on September 29, 2015, and commencing at 5:01 p.m., at which the name of the taxing authority, the rolled-back rate, the percentage of increase above the rolled-back rate, and the millage rate to be levied were publicly announced, and the general public was allowed to ask questions and speak prior to the adoption of any measures.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Governing Board of the Southwest Florida Water Management District by a vote of ______ in favor, ______ against and ______ not present or not voting:

 That there is adopted and levied a millage rate, as provided for in Sections 373.503 and 373.536, Florida Statutes, at the rolled-back rate and at less than the maximum millage rate established by Section 200.065, Florida Statutes, for fiscal year 2015-16, to be assessed on the tax rolls for the year 2015, for the purpose of levying a uniform ad valorem tax on all taxable property in the counties within the District as certified by the county property appraisers pursuant to Section 200.065, Florida Statutes, excluding lands held by the Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund to the extent specified in Section 373.543, Florida Statutes, as follows:

Taxing Authority	Rolled-Back <u>Rate</u>	Percentage of Increase Above the <u>Rolled-Back Rate</u>	Final Millage <u>Rate</u>	Counties Applied To
Southwest Florida Water Management District	0.3488	0%	0.3488	Charlotte, Citrus, DeSoto, Hardee, Hernando, Highlands, Hillsborough, Lake, Levy, Manatee, Marion, Pasco, Pinellas, Polk, Sarasota, and Sumter

APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 29th day of September, 2015, by the Governing Board of the Southwest Florida Water Management District.

SOUTHWEST FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

By:

Michael A. Babb, Chair

Attest:

Jeffrey M. Adams, Secretary

CERTIFICATE AS TO RESOLUTION NO. 15-15

STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF HILLSBOROUGH

We, the undersigned, hereby certify that we are, Chair and Secretary, respectively, of the Southwest Florida Water Management District, organized and existing under and by virtue of the Laws of the State of Florida, and having its office and place of business at 2379 Broad Street, Brooksville, Hernando County, Florida, and that, on the 29th day of September, 2015, at a duly called and properly held hearing of the Governing Board of the Southwest Florida Water Management District, at the Tampa Service Office, 7601 US Highway 301 North, Tampa, Hillsborough County, Florida, at which hearing a majority of the members of the Governing Board were present in person or via communications media technology, the resolution, which is attached hereto and which this certificate is a part thereof, was adopted and incorporated in the minutes of that hearing.

Dated at Tampa, Florida, this 29th day of September, 2015.

SOUTHWEST FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

By:

Michael A. Babb, Chair

Attest:

Jeffrey M. Adams, Secretary

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF HILLSBOROUGH

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 29th day of September, 2015, by Michael A. Babb, and Jeffrey M. Adams, Chair and Secretary, respectively, of the Governing Board of the Southwest Florida Water Management District, a public corporation, on behalf of the corporation. They are personally known to me.

WITNESS my hand and official seal on this 29th day of September, 2015.

Notary Public

State of Florida at Large My Commission Expires:



SOUTHWEST FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

RESOLUTION NO. 15-16

ADOPTION OF FINAL BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2015-16

WHEREAS, Chapters 200 and 373, Florida Statutes, as amended, require that the Governing Board of the Southwest Florida Water Management District (District) adopt a final budget for each fiscal year; and

WHEREAS, the Governing Board of the District, after careful consideration and study, has caused to be prepared a final budget, including all items that are necessary and proper as provided by law for the District, for the ensuing fiscal year beginning October 1, 2015, and ending September 30, 2016, as provided for in Sections 200.065, 218.33, and 373.536, Florida Statutes; and

WHEREAS, the Governing Board of the District assigns a portion of the fund balance for commitments made for goods and services which remain uncompleted as of September 30, 2015, to be reappropriated and incorporated into the final budget of the District for the fiscal year beginning October 1, 2015, and ending September 30, 2016; and

WHEREAS, the Governing Board of the District assigns a portion of the fund balance for approved funds not under contract as of September 30, 2015, to be reappropriated and incorporated into the final budget of the District for the fiscal year beginning October 1, 2015, and ending September 30, 2016; and

WHEREAS, the Governing Board has designated fund balance that will not be appropriated for expenditure in the fiscal year 2015-16 budget consistent with Board Policy 130-9, Fund Balance. These balances totaling an estimated \$213,505,470, are classified as nonspendable, restricted, committed, and assigned. Consistent with board policy, the amounts committed for the Economic Stabilization Fund need to be reset each year through the budget resolution; and

WHEREAS, notices of proposed property taxes, advising of date, time, and place of the first public budget hearing, were prepared and mailed, pursuant to Section 200.065, Florida Statutes, by the county property appraisers of each county within the District; and

WHEREAS, the first public hearing on the tentative millage rate and budget was held by the Governing Board of the District at the Tampa Service Office, Tampa, Florida, on September 15, 2015, and commencing at 5:01 p.m. as provided in the notice; and

WHEREAS, the Governor's office has reviewed and approved the District's fiscal year 2015-16 budget pursuant to Section 373.536(5), Florida Statutes; and

WHEREAS, the notice of budget hearing for fiscal year 2015-16, including notice of intention to adopt the final millage rate and budget, or as the same may be amended, and adjacent notice meeting the budget summary requirements of Sections 129.03(3)(b) and 373.536(3)(d), Florida Statutes, were duly published, during the period beginning September 24, 2015 and ending September 27, 2015, pursuant to Section 200.065, Florida Statutes, in newspapers of general circulation in each county within the District as required by law; and

WHEREAS, the second public hearing on the final budget was held by the Governing Board of the District at the Tampa Service Office, Tampa, Florida, on September 29, 2015, and commencing at

5:01 p.m., at which the name of the taxing authority, the rolled-back rate, the percentage of increase above the rolled-back rate, and the millage rate to be levied were publicly announced, and the general public was allowed to ask questions and speak prior to the adoption of any measures; and

WHEREAS, the Governing Board of the District, prior to adopting a final budget, has adopted Resolution No. 15-15, Adoption of Final Millage Rate and Certification of Levy to the County Property Appraisers for Fiscal Year 2015-16, which established the final millage levy for fiscal year 2015-16 as provided for in Sections 200.065, 373.503 and 373.536, Florida Statutes.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Governing Board of the Southwest Florida Water Management District:

- 1. That the attached budget is hereby adopted as the budget of the District for the fiscal year beginning October 1, 2015, and ending September 30, 2016, as the operating and fiscal guide of the District for the upcoming fiscal year.
- 2. That valid commitments for goods and services which remain uncompleted and Governing Board approved funds (encumbrances not under contract) as of September 30, 2015, shall not lapse, but shall be automatically reappropriated and incorporated into the final budget of the District for the fiscal year beginning October 1, 2015, and ending September 30, 2016. The estimated amount of funds to be reappropriated and incorporated into the final budget is \$139,607,732.
- 3. That the final budget shall be revised as of October 1, 2015, to reflect the outside revenue associated with the encumbrances that have been automatically reappropriated and incorporated into the final budget of the District for the fiscal year beginning October 1, 2015, and ending September 30, 2016.

THEREFORE, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, by the Governing Board of the Southwest Florida Water Management District:

4. That the committed fund balance for the Economic Stabilization Fund is reset at \$25,700,000 as of September 30, 2015, equal to two months of the General Fund operating budget for fiscal year 2015-16 consistent with Governing Board Policy 130-9, Fund Balance.

APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 29th day of September, 2015, by the Governing Board of the Southwest Florida Water Management District.

SOUTHWEST FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

By:

Michael A. Babb, Chair

Attest:

Jeffrey M. Adams, Secretary

BUDGET SUMMARY

Southwest Florida Water Management District - Fiscal Year 2015-16

	MILL 605	05115541	SPECIAL	CAPITAL	
L ESTIMATED REVENUES AND BALANCES	PER \$1,000	FUND	FUNDS	FUNDS	BUDGET
CASH BALANCES BROUGHT FORWARD		\$44,391,750			\$44,391,750
ESTIMATED REVENUES					
AD VALOREM TAXES	0.3488	\$103,428,534		\$608,350	\$104,036,884
Permit and License Fees		1,500,000			1,500,000
Intergovernmental Revenue		17,613,901	\$2,532,488	10,530,000	30,676,389
Interest Earnings Other		3,100,000 560,700			3,100,000
TOTAL ESTIMATED REVENUES		\$126,203,135	\$2,532,488	\$11,138,350	\$139,873,973
TOTAL ESTIMATED REVENUES AND BALANCES	S .	\$170,594,885	\$2,532,488	\$11,138,350	\$184,265,723
FUND BALANCE ASSIGNED FOR					
ESTIMATED ENCUMBRANCES		136,974,682	2,490,053	142,997	139,607,732
FUND BALANCE/RESERVES					
FOR FUTURE PROJECTS	~	204,016,723	0	9,488,747	213,505,470
TOTAL ESTIMATED REVENUES AND BALANCES ESTIMATED ENCUMBRANCES, AND FUND	5,				
BALANCE/RESERVES FOR FUTURE PROJECTS	=	\$511,586,290	\$5,022,541	\$20,770,094	\$537,378,925
N. EXPENDITORES					
WATER RESOURCES PLANNING & MONITORING		\$26,623,906			\$26,623,906
ACQUISITION, RESTORATION & PUBLIC WORKS		91,086,290	\$2,532,488	\$11,138,350	104,757,128
REGULATION	ONIS	20,000,000			20,060,066
OUTREACH		1,907,579			1,907,579
ADMINISTRATIVE AND OPERATIONS SUPPORT		9,485,299			9,485,299
COMMISSIONS FOR TAX COLLECTIONS	-	3,512,770			3,512,770
TOTAL APPROPRIATED EXPENDITURES		\$170,594,885	\$2,532,488	\$11,138,350	\$184,265,723
ESTIMATED ENCUMBRANCES		136,974,682	2,490,053	142,997	139,607,732
(Carried forward and appropriated in fiscal year 2015-	16)				
TOTAL ESTIMATED MODIFIED BUDGET	-	\$307,569,567	\$5,022,541	\$11,281,347	\$323,873,455
FUND BALANCE/RESERVES					
FOR FUTURE PROJECTS (not appropriated)		204,016,723	0	9,488,747	213,505,470
TOTAL APPROPRIATED EXPENDITURES,					
ESTIMATED ENCUMBRANCES, AND FUND BALANCE/RESERVES FOR FUTURE PROJECTS		\$511,586.290	\$5.022.541	\$20,770.094	\$537.378.925
	=		·-,,- · ·		

Southwest Florida Water Management District

WATERMATTERS.ORG · 1-800-423-1476

THE TENTATIVE, ADOPTED, AND/OR FINAL BUDGETS ARE ON FILE IN THE OFFICE OF THE ABOVE MENTIONED TAXING AUTHORITY AS A PUBLIC RECORD.

CERTIFICATE AS TO RESOLUTION NO. 15-16

STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF HILLSBOROUGH

We, the undersigned, hereby certify that we are, Chair and Secretary, respectively, of the Southwest Florida Water Management District, organized and existing under and by virtue of the Laws of the State of Florida, and having its office and place of business at 2379 Broad Street, Brooksville, Hernando County, Florida, and that, on the 29th day of September, 2015, at a duly called and properly held hearing of the Governing Board of the Southwest Florida Water Management District, at the Tampa Service Office, 7601 US Highway 301 North, Tampa, Hillsborough County, Florida, at which hearing a majority of the members of the Governing Board were present in person or via communications media technology, the resolution, which is attached hereto and which this certificate is a part thereof, was adopted and incorporated in the minutes of that hearing.

Dated at Tampa, Florida, this 29th day of September, 2015.

SOUTHWEST FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

By:

Michael A. Babb, Chair

Attest:

Jeffrey M. Adams, Secretary

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF HILLSBOROUGH

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 29th day of September, 2015, by Michael A. Babb, and Jeffrey M. Adams, Chair and Secretary, respectively, of the Governing Board of the Southwest Florida Water Management District, a public corporation, on behalf of the corporation. They are personally known to me.

WITNESS my hand and official seal on this 29th day of September, 2015.

Notary Public

State of Florida at Large My Commission Expires:



Southwest Florida Water Management District Operating Expenses September 30, 2015

				Percent	
	Adopted	Adopted	Change From	Change From	Cumulative
Operating Expenses Category	FY2014-15	FY2015-16	FY2014-15	FY2014-15	Percent
Property Tax Commissions	\$3,487,770	\$3,487,770	\$0	0%	23.01%
Software, Software Maintenance & Cloud Services	2,848,176	2,502,559	(345,617)	-12%	39.53%
Parts and Supplies	1,249,394	1,061,209	(188,185)	-15%	46.53%
Utilities	1,007,113	1,000,143	(6,970)	-1%	53.13%
Fuels and Lubricants	937,500	937,500	0	0%	59.32%
Insurance and Bonds	925,037	890,000	(35,037)	-4%	65.19%
Telephone and Data Communications	737,149	714,299	(22,850)	-3%	69.90%
Printing and Reproduction	736,435	648,442	(87,993)	-12%	74.18%
Travel - Staff Duties & Training	616,248	519,770	(96,478)	-16%	77.61%
Maintenance/Repair of Buildings	467,790	467,790	0	0%	80.70%
Maintenance/Repair of Equipment	488,767	467,731	(21,036)	-4%	83.78%
Equipment under \$1,000	372,758	435,037	62,279	17%	86.65%
Postage and Courier Services	280,563	225,467	(55,096)	-20%	88.14%
Rental of Other Equipment	144,002	206,752	62,750	44%	89.51%
Janitorial Services	187,763	174,763	(13,000)	-7%	90.66%
Chemical Supplies (Aquatic Plant Control)	172,363	168,091	(4,272)	-2%	91.77%
District Land Maintenance Materials	150,000	150,000	0	0%	92.76%
Advertising and Public Notices	144,927	135,353	(9,574)	-7%	93.65%
Payments in Lieu of Taxes	0	132,775	132,775	N/A	94.53%
Office Supplies	100,667	85,535	(15,132)	-15%	95.09%
Books, Subscriptions and Data	108,202	82,319	(25,883)	-24%	95.64%
Tires and Tubes	59,243	75,000	15,757	27%	96.13%
Tuition Reimbursement	100,000	70,000	(30,000)	-30%	96.59%
Safety Supplies	65,108	66,142	1,034	2%	97.03%
Laboratory Supplies	60,159	60,159	0	0%	97.43%
Memberships and Dues	74,164	56,000	(18,164)	-24%	97.80%
Uniform Program - District	50,000	50,000	0	0%	98.12%
Fees Associated w/ Financial Activities	49,919	49,919	0	0%	98.45%
Recording and Court Costs	45,964	39,964	(6,000)	-13%	98.72%
Education Support	38,670	38,670	0	0%	98.97%
Rental of Buildings	36,717	36,717	0	0%	99.22%
Miscellaneous Permits and Fees	35,543	31,993	(3,550)	-10%	99.43%
Professional Licenses	27,027	19,963	(7,064)	-26%	99.56%
Remaining Categories	91,949	66,927	(25,022)	-27%	100.00%
Total	\$15,897,087	\$15,154,759	(\$742,328)	-5%	



Southwest Florida Water Management District Contracted Services for Operational Support & Maintenance September 30, 2015

	Adopted	Adopted	Change From	Percent Change From	Cumulative
Project Category	FY2014-15	FY2015-16	FY2014-15	FY2014-15	Percent
Data Collection, Analysis & Monitoring	\$2,338,300	\$2,643,020	\$304,720	13%	27.69%
Land Management - Land Acquisition Trust Fund	0	1,100,000	1,100,000	N/A	39.22%
Works of the District (Structures, Canals, Levees, Culverts, etc)	903,380	974,800	71,420	8%	49.44%
Minimum Flows and Levels	763,000	957,000	194,000	25%	59.46%
Technology & Information Services	775,000	720,700	(54,300)	-7%	67.02%
Land Management & Use	678,468	704,568	26,100	4%	74.40%
Regulation Permitting Support	674,375	459,375	(215,000)	-32%	79.21%
Facilities Operations & Maintenance	223,000	403,000	180,000	81%	83.43%
Outside Legal Services	250,000	250,000	0	0%	86.05%
Financial Investment Advisory Services	218,834	218,834	0	0%	88.35%
Independent Annual Financial Audit	125,500	125,500	0	0%	89.66%
GIS Model Maintenance	100,000	125,000	25,000	25%	90.97%
Facilities CIP Projects	416,000	108,350	(307,650)	-74%	92.11%
Emergency Management (EOC)	109,479	107,439	(2,040)	-2%	93.23%
Invasive Plant Control	105,000	105,000	0	0%	94.33%
Wellness/Safety Programs	19,700	100,000	80,300	408%	95.38%
Districtwide Training Programs	0	66,000	66,000	N/A	96.07%
Education Program Evaluation and Research	60,000	60,000	0	0%	96.70%
Project Mgmt Office Programmatic Assistance	0	60,000	60,000	N/A	97.33%
Outside Expert Audit Assistance	49,000	48,000	(1,000)	-2%	97.83%
Water Supply Planning	215,000	37,000	(178,000)	-83%	98.22%
Other Water Resources Planning	75,000	35,000	(40,000)	-53%	98.59%
CFWI Outreach	20,000	30,000	10,000	50%	98.90%
Compensation Study	0	30,000	30,000	N/A	99.22%
Lobbying/Legislative Support	21,000	23,000	2,000	10%	99.46%
Financial Services	16,000	22,500	6,500	41%	99.69%
Drug Testing/Background Checks	9,020	12,620	3,600	40%	99.83%
Fleet Management System (Training & Implementation)	8,000	8,000	0	0%	99.91%
Educational Events	6,400	5,000	(1,400)	-22%	99.96%
Diversity Outreach (Procurement)	2,500	2,500	0	0%	99.99%
Security Services (Preliminary WMPlan Meetings)	0	700	700	N/A	100.00%
Land Acquisition Support	20,466	466	(20,000)	-98%	100.00%
Employee Insurance Benefits Brokerage Services	75,000	0	(75,000)	-100%	100.00%
Total	\$8,277,422	\$9,543,372	\$1,265,950	15%	



Southwest Florida Water Management District Operating Capital Outlay September 30, 2015

Operating Capital Outlay Category	Adopted FY2014-15	Adopted FY2015-16	Change From FY2014-15	Percent Change From FY2014-15
Information Technology Equipment ⁽¹⁾	\$590,630	\$406,380	(\$184,250)	-31%
Computer Sinking Fund	35,000	182,000	147,000	420%
Ten Vehicle Replacements	393,871	400,000	6,129	2%
Outside Equipment ⁽²⁾	133,636	25,000	(108,636)	-81%
Field Equipment Sinking Fund	0	400,000	400,000	N/A
Total	\$1,153,137	\$1,413,380	\$260,243	23%

FY2015-16 Line Item Detail	Adopted FY2015-16
(1) Information Technology Equipment (5-Year IT Plan)	
Enterprise Servers	\$200,000
Districtwide Desktop & Notebook Replacements	88,380
Hardware Contingency	60,000
Districtwide Videoconferencing Infrastructure / Video Teleconferencing Equipment	
Information Technology Equipment Total:	\$406,380
(2) Outside Equipment	
Replacement - Data Logging Equipment at GW Monitoring Sites (Hydrologic Data)	
Outside Equipment Total:	\$25,000



Southwest Florida Water Management District Fixed Capital Outlay September 30, 2015

Fixed Capital Outlay - Line Item Detail	Adopted FY2014-15	Adopted FY2015-16	Change From FY2014-15	Percent Change From FY2014-15	
Land Purchases (Florida Forever Program)	\$2,864,000	\$10,380,000	\$7,516,000	262%	
Request funds for land acquisitions consistent with the Florida Forever Work Plan (\$10 million) and preacquisition and ancillary costs (\$380,000) for surveys, appraisals, environmental site assessments, title work, and recording and documentary stamp taxes associated with acquisition of land.					
Appraisals/Reviews for Surplus Lands Assessment	50,000	200,000	150,000	300%	
(Outside Florida Forever Work Plan)					
Request funds for 20 appraisals and 20 appraisal reviews in support of the District's surplus lands assessment. Appraisals and appraisal reviews are performed by outside independent appraisers for surplus parcels with an anticipated value greater than \$100,000.					
Preacquisition Support and Ancillary Costs	80,000	194,000	114,000	143%	
(Outside Florida Forever Work Plan)	ŕ		·		
Request funds for preacquisition and ancillary costs outside the Florida Forever Work Plan. This includes \$135,750 for data collection monitoring well construction projects in support of the Regional Observation Monitor Well Program (ROMP) and the Central Florida Water Initiative (CFWI); \$40,250 for land, structures and facilities; \$10,500 for the long-term maintenance of the Peace Creek Canal; and \$7,500 for expansion of the wetlands monitoring network.					
Total	\$2,994,000	\$10,774,000	\$7,780,000	260%	



Southwest Florida Water Management District Contracted Services for District Projects September 30, 2015

			FY2015-16	Total		
Page			Adopted	Future		
No.	Project	Project Name	Budget	Funding		
Water S	Water Supply Planning					
47	P526	Planning - SWFWMD Policy Coordination Consultant for Hillsborough Reclaimed Water Master Planning and Development	\$25,000	\$0		
		Total Water Supply Planning:	\$25,000	\$0		
Water B	ody Prote	ection & Restoration Planning				
48	W020	Planning - Tampa Bay Protection and Restoration Planning	\$40,000	Annual Request		
49	W420	Planning - Rainbow River Protection and Restoration Planning and Monitoring	150,000	Annual Request		
50	WC01	Planning - Chassahowitzka Springs Protection and Restoration Planning	25,000	Annual Request		
51	WH01	Planning - Homosassa Springs Protection and Restoration Planning	25,000	Annual Request		
52	WW01	Planning - Weeki Wachee Springs Protection and Restoration Planning	25,000	Annual Request		
		Total Water Body Protection & Restoration Planning:	\$265,000	\$0		
Waters	ned Manag	gement Plans				
53	P283	WMP - Professional Engineering and Scientific Services	\$340,000	\$636,741		
		Total Watershed Management Plans:	\$340,000	\$636,741		
Data –	Vieteorolo	aic. Geoloaic & Bioloaic				
54	C005	Data - Aquifer Exploration and Monitor Well Drilling Program (outside the CFWI area)	\$462,316	Annual Request		
55	C007	Data - Aquifer Exploration and Monitor Well Drilling Program (within the CFWI area)	928,085	Annual Request		
56	P630	Data - Wetland Assessments for Five-Year Project	185,000	-		
57	P813	Data - Statewide Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellites (GOES) Evapotranspiration (ET) Project	30,040	30,040		
		Total Data – Meteorologic, Geologic & Biologic:	\$1,605,441	\$30,040		
Data – S	Studies &	Assessments				
58	P275	Studies and Assessments - Hydrologic Characterization of Lake Tsala	\$50,000	\$0		
59	P284	Studies and Assessments - Expansion of the Central Florida Area Ground Water Model	86,000	-		
60	P623	Studies and Assessments - MFL SWUCA/MIA Saltwater Intrusion Model	400,000	-		
61	P629	Studies and Assessments - North Port Reuse Transmission - Heron Creek	500,000	-		
62	P814	Studies and Assessments - Lake Modeling Tool Eval and Development	100,000	-		
63	W209	Studies and Assessments - Dissolved Oxygen Stratification in the Lower Hillsborough River Feasibility Study	75,000	-		
Design			FY2015-16	Total		
-------------	-----------	---	-------------------	-------------------		
Page No.	Project	Project Name	Adopted Budget	Future Funding		
64	W448	Studies and Assessments - Three Sisters Springs Sediment Feasibility	50,000	200,000		
65	W517	Studies and Assessments - Charlotte Harbor Flatwoods Initiative Support	90,000	-		
		Total Data – Studies & Assessments:	\$1,351,000	\$200,000		
Institute	of Food	and Agricultural Sciences (IFAS) Program				
66	B136	IFAS - Florida Auto Weather Network (FAWN) Data and Education	\$100,000	Annual Request		
67	B291	IFAS Research - Auto Sprinkler Irrigation in Container Nurseries	12,500	-		
68	B293	IFAS Research - Development of Irrigation Schedule & Crop Coefficients for Trees III	17,960	-		
69	B298	IFAS Research - Exploring the Feasibility of Converting Seepage to Center Pivot Irrigation	4,000	-		
70	B403	IFAS Research - Evaluation of Nitrogen Leaching from Reclaimed Water Applied to Lawns, Spray Fields, and RIBs	97,000	197,000		
71	B404	IFAS Research - New Practical Method for Managing Irrigation in Container Nurseries	60,000	106,000		
72	B405	IFAS Research - Eliminating Sprinkler Irrigation Use in Strawberry Transplant Establishment	68,000	99,000		
73	B406	IFAS Research - Using Fertigation with Center Pivot Irrigation to Save Water for Commercial Potato and Snap Bean	106,000	294,000		
74	B407	IFAS Research - Reduction of Water Use for Citrus Cold Protection	5,500	11,000		
75	P102	FDACS - Managing Forests for Increased Regional Water Supply	20,000	20,000		
		Total Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences (IFAS) Program:	\$490,960	\$727,000		
Land Ac	quisition					
76	S021	Florida Forever Program - Surveying Services	\$150,000	Annual Request		
77	SZ00	Surplus Lands Program - Surveying Services	10,000	Annual Request		
78	SZ64	Peace Creek - Surveying Services	10,000	Annual Request		
		Total Land Acquisition:	\$170,000	\$0		
Aquifer	Storage &	Recovery Feas & Pilot Testing				
79	P280	Hydrogeological Investigation of the Lower Floridan Aquifer in Polk County	\$2,010,941	\$4,000,000		
		Total Aquifer Storage & Recovery Feas & Pilot Testing:	\$2,010,941	\$4,000,000		
Abando	ned Well	Plugging Program (QWIP)				
80	B099	Abandoned Well Plugging Program (QWIP)	\$25,000	Annual		
		Total Abandoned Well Plugging Program (QWIP):	\$25,000	\$0		

			FY2015-16	Total
Page			Adopted	Future
No.	Project	Project Name	Budget	Funding
Stormw	ater Impr	ovements – Water Quality		
81	B821	Stormwater Improvements - Water Quality - Weeki Wachee Springs and Ellie Schiller Homosassa Springs Wildlife State Parks	\$300,000	\$0
82	H014	Stormwater Improvements - Water Quality - Lake Hancock Outfall Treatment System	12,000	-
84	P704	Stormwater Improvements - Water Quality - Weeki Wachee Rogers Park	100,000	-
85	W291	Stormwater Improvements - Water Quality - Hillsborough River Water Quality Improvement Project (Rogers)	750,000	-
86	W471	Stormwater Improvements - Water Quality - Three Sisters Springs Wetland Treatment Project	50,000	-
87	WH02	Stormwater Improvements - Water Quality - Homosassa South Fork Water Quality Improvement	1,000,000	-
		Total Stormwater Improvements – Water Quality:	\$2,212,000	\$0
Postora	tion Initia	tives		
Restora		Inves	¢200.000	* 0
88	P301	Restoration - wastewater Disposal Treatment Wetlands - Springs Coast	\$300,000	\$0
89	P707	Restoration - Springs Aquatic Vegetation Restoration	300,000	Annual Request
90	W312	Restoration - Tampa Bay Habitat Restoration Regional Coordination	40,000	Annual Request
91	W348	Restoration - Terra Ceia Ecosystem Restoration Phases 2	400,000	1,000,000
92	W446	Restoration - Hunter Springs Cove Living Shoreline - Kings Bay	500,000	-
93	W447	Restoration - Three Sisters Springs Bank Stabilization	570,000	
94	W450	Restoration - Kings Bay Sediment Removal	300,000	800,000
95	W553	Restoration - Coral Creek Ecosystem Restoration	850,000	-
		Total Restoration Initiatives:	\$3,260,000	\$1,800,000
Springs	s - Water C	Quality		
96	P104	Springs - Water Quality - Springs Coast Nutrient Source Location	\$90,000	\$0
		Total Springs - Water Quality:	\$90,000	\$0
Florida	Denartme	ant of Transportation (EDOT) Mitigation		
97		EDOT Mitigation - Babia Beach - SW 78	\$60,000	\$0
08	D036	EDOT Mitigation - Hidden Harbour	150.240	ψŪ
90	D030		05.000	-
99	D037	FDOT Mitigation - Baim Boyette - Stallion Hammock Wetland Restoration	25,000	-
100	D038	FDOT Mitigation - Ekker Tract - SW 81	20,000	-

Page			FY2015-16 Adopted	Total Future
No.	Project	Project Name	Budget	Funding
101	D040	FDOT Mitigation - Maintenance and Monitoring	1,188,000	Annual Request
102	D050	FDOT Mitigation - Colt Creek State Park	840,000	-
103	D052	FDOT Mitigation - Mobbly Bayou Preserve	40,000	-
104	D053	FDOT Mitigation - Alligator Lake Management Area	20,000	-
105	D056	FDOT Mitigation - Brooker Creek Buffer Preserve	46,000	-
		Total Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Mitigation:	\$2,389,240	\$0
Facility	Construc	tion & Major Renovations		
106	C192	District Site Survey	\$100,000	\$250,000
107	C219	Districtwide Planned Roof, HVAC, Repair & Remodeling Projects	400,000	Annual Request
		Total Facility Construction & Major Renovations:	\$500,000	\$250,000
Land M	anagemei	nt & Use		
108	S901	Land Acquisition Trust Fund - Land Management Projects	\$1,650,000	\$0
109	SB06	Flying Eagle Nature Center	4,500	-
110	SF08	Green Swamp West Sandhill Restoration/Hardwood Reduction	28,500	-
111	SH09	Starkey 8 Restoration	17,250	-
		Total Land Management & Use:	\$1,700,250	\$0
Works	of the Dist	rict		
112	B67B	Programmable Logic Controllers Upgrades on Remote Control Structures	\$100,000	\$0
113	B831	13 Mile Run Structure System	250,000	-
		Total Works of the District:	\$350,000	\$0
Water L	lse Permi ^s	tting		
114	P443	Dover and Plant City Automatic Meter Reading Installation	\$46,248	Annual Request
115	P625	District-Wide Regulation Model Update to MODFLOW USG and the Connected Linear Networks Application	60,000	-
		Total Water Use Permitting:	\$106,248	\$0
Water F	esources	Education		
116	B131	Hotel/Motel/Restaurant Water Conservation Education	\$17,049	Annual Request
117	B277	Florida Water Star Certification and Builder Education	9,802	Annual Request

Page			FY2015-16 Adopted	Total Future
No.	Project	Project Name	Budget	Funding
118	P259	Education - Youth Water Resources Education Program	28,525	Annual Request
119	P268	Education - Public Water Resource Education Program	2,500	Annual Request
120	W466	Education - Springs Protection Outreach	60,000	Annual Request
		Total Water Resources Education:	\$117,876	\$0
		Total Contracted Services for District Projects:	\$17,008,956	\$7,643,781



									FY2015-16	Cumulative	Total
Baga				-	FY20	15-16 Adopted	Budget By Re	gion	Adopted	Total for	Future
No	Project	Cooperator	Project Name	Rank	Region	Region	Region	Region	Budget	Requests	Eunding
Coopera	ative Fun	ding Projects		TUTIK	Region	Region	Region	Region	Dudget	Requests	Tunung
121	W799	Winter Haven	SW IMP - Water Quality - Winter Haven Ridge Watershed Improvement Projects	1A	\$60,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$60,000	\$60,000	\$0
122	N487	Sumter Co	WMP - Jumper Creek Watershed Management Plan	1A		106,554			106,554	\$166,554	-
123	N590	Williston	WMP- City of Williston Watershed Management Plan	1A		87,491			87,491	254,045	-
124	N424	Sarasota Co	SW IMP - Water Quality - Dona Bay Conveyance System	1A			3,100,000		3,100,000	3,354,045	-
125	N605	Charlotte Co	Study - Burnt Store Wellfield	1A			60,000		60,000	3,414,045	-
126	N619	Manatee Co	WMP - Mill Creek Watershed Management Plan	1A			112,500		112,500	3,526,545	-
127	N636	Bradenton	WMP - City of Bradenton Watershed Management Plan	1A			175,000		175,000	3,701,545	-
128	N667	North Port	Reclaimed Water - North Port Reclaimed Water Transmission Expansion Phase 3	1A			358,430		358,430	4,059,975	259,150
129	W231	Anna Maria	SW IMP - Water Quality - City of Anna Maria BMPs	1A			65,000		65,000	4,124,975	44,900
130	W627	Bradenton Beach	SW IMP - Water Quality - Bradenton Beach Stormwater Improvements	1A			225,000		225,000	4,349,975	547,325
131	W632	Holmes Beach	SW IMP - Water Quality - Holmes Beach BMPs	1A			162,500		162,500	4,512,475	-
132	N394	Hillsborough Co	WMP Update - Delaney/Archie Creek Watershed Management Plan Update	1A				75,000	75,000	4,587,475	-
133	N398	Oldsmar	ASR - Oldsmar Reclaimed Water ASR	1A				359,690	359,690	4,947,165	-
134	N400	Hillsborough Co	WMP Update - Northwest Five Watershed Management Plan Update	1A				63,000	63,000	5,010,165	-
135	N585	Clearwater	SW IMP - Water Quality - Mango Avenue Stormwater Improvement Area	1A				450,000	450,000	5,460,165	-
136	N588	Hillsborough Co	WMP Update - Alafia River Watershed Management Plan Update	1A				200,000	200,000	5,660,165	150,000

									FY2015-16	Cumulative	Total
				_	FY20	15-16 Adopted	Budget By Re	gion	Adopted	Total for	Future
Page					Heartland	Northern	Southern	Tampa Bay	District	District	District
No.	Project	Cooperator	Project Name	Rank	Region	Region	Region	Region	Budget	Requests	Funding
Cooper	ative Fun	ding Projects									
137	N594	Hillsborough Co	SW IMP - Flood Protection - West Bearss Avenue Drainage Improvement	1A				125,000	125,000	5,785,165	-
138	N602	Clearwater	SW IMP - Water Quality - East Gateway Stormwater Improvements	1A				1,000,000	1,000,000	6,785,165	-
139	N632	Clearwater	SW IMP - Flood Protection - Hillcrest Avenue Bypass Culvert	1A				860,000	860,000	7,645,165	860,000
140	N645	Tampa	SW IMP - Flood Protection - 43rd Street Outfall Stormwater Improvements Phase 2	1A				500,000	500,000	8,145,165	1,200,000
141	N649	Pasco Co	Reclaimed Water - Pasco County Shady Hills Reclaimed Water Storage Tank	1A				750,000	750,000	8,895,165	-
142	N650	St Petersburg Beach	SW IMP - Water Quality - Pass-A-Grille Way Stormwater Improvement Area	1A				528,450	528,450	9,423,615	-
143	N659	St Petersburg	SW IMP - Water Quality - 14th Avenue North Stormwater Improvements	1A				600,000	600,000	10,023,615	-
144	W737	Pinellas Co	Study - Lake Tarpon Water Quality Management Plan	1A				75,000	75,000	10,098,615	-
			Total Projects Ranked 1A		\$60,000	\$194,045	\$4,258,430	\$5,586,140	\$10,098,615		\$3,061,375
145	H076	Tampa Electric Co	Reclaimed Water - TECO Reclaimed Water Interconnects to Lakeland/Polk County/Mulberry	Н	\$2,975,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,975,000	13,073,615	\$0
146	N536	City of Auburndale	Florida Polytechnic University Reclaimed Water Storage and Transmission	Н	150,000				150,000	13,223,615	-
147	N714	Polk Co	Conservation - Polk County Landscape and Irrigation Evaluation Program	Н	27,500				27,500	13,251,115	-
148	N716	Polk Co	Conservation - Polk County - Customer Portal Pilot Project	Н	10,000				10,000	13,261,115	-
149	N739	Winter Haven	Reclaimed Water - Winter Haven Reuse: Aquifer Recharge/MFL Recovery	Н	175,000				175,000	13,436,115	TBD
150	N742	Avon Park	SW IMP - Water Quality - West Lake Verona Drainage Basin	Н	266,000				266,000	13,702,115	-
151	N468	Citrus Co	Restoration - Kings Bay Algae Removal	Н		60,000			60,000	13,762,115	-
152	N678	Marion Co	Conservation - Marion County Toilet Rebate Program Phase 3	Н		15,000			15,000	13,777,115	-

									FY2015-16	Cumulative	Total
					FY20	15-16 Adopted	Budget By Re	gion	Adopted	Total for	Future
Page				-	Heartland	Northern	Southern	Tampa Bay	District	District	District
No.	Project	Cooperator	Project Name	Rank	Region	Region	Region	Region	Budget	Requests	Funding
<u>Coopera</u>	ative Fun	ding Projects									
153	N690	Hernando Co	WMP - Weekiwachee Prairie Watershed Management Plan Surface Water Resource Assesment, Level of Service, and BMP Development	Н		62,500			62,500	13,839,615	-
154	N703	Hernando Co	WMP - Squirrel Prairie Watershed Management Plan Surface Water Resource Assesment, Level of Service, and BMP Development	Н		100,000			100,000	13,939,615	-
155	N719	Hernando Co	SW IMP - Flood Protection - South Brooksville BMP 7 Stormwater Facility	Н		350,000			350,000	14,289,615	125,000
156	N749	Citrus Co	Reclaimed Water - Citrus County Sugarmill Woods Reclaimed Water Project	Н		700,000			700,000	14,989,615	300,000
157	WR04	Marion Co	SW IMP - Water Quality - Rainbow Springshed Stormwater Retrofits	Н		465,755			465,755	15,455,370	-
158	N556	Charlotte Co	Reclaimed Water - Charlotte County Reclaimed Water Expansion Design\Construction - Phase 3	Н			2,102,000		2,102,000	17,557,370	2,377,250
159	N680	North Port	Conservation - North Port Water Distribution System Looping	Н			163,579		163,579	17,720,949	-
160	N692	Bradenton	Reclaimed Water - City of Bradenton Reclaimed Water Pumping Station Capacity Expansion	Н			332,000		332,000	18,052,949	-
161	N711	Lakewood Ranch Stewardship District	Reclaimed Water - Lakewood Ranch Stewardship District Reclaimed Transmission	Н			1,075,000		1,075,000	19,127,949	1,075,000
162	N724	Palmetto	SW IMP - Water Quality - Palmetto Gateway Low Impact Development Project	Н			872,250		872,250	20,000,199	-
163	N725	Manatee Co	Conservation - Manatee County Toilet Rebate Project - Phase 9	Н			113,250		113,250	20,113,449	-
164	N555	Dunedin	Reclaimed Water - Dunedin Reclaimed Water Storage Tank	Н				202,910	202,910	20,316,359	-
165	N674	Treasure Island	SW IMP - Water Quality - Implementation of BMPs within the Sunset Beach Watershed (Phase VI)	Н				100,000	100,000	20,416,359	210,000
166	N694	Port Richey	Reclaimed Water - City of Port Richey Reclaimed Water Transmission Main	Н				229,687	229,687	20,646,046	-
167	N697	Pasco Co	Reclaimed Water- Pasco County Tampa Bay Golf and Country Club Reclaimed Connection	Н				150,000	150,000	20,796,046	-
168	N710	Tampa	WMP - Lower Peninsula Watershed Management Plan	Н				325,000	325,000	21,121,046	-

									FY2015-16	Cumulative	Total
					FY20	15-16 Adopted	Budget By Re	gion	Adopted	Total for	Future
Page					Heartland	Northern	Southern	Tampa Bay	District	District	District
No.	Project	Cooperator	Project Name	Rank	Region	Region	Region	Region	Budget	Requests	Funding
Cooper	ative Fun	ding Projects									
169	N720	Clearwater	SW IMP - Water Quality - Druid Road Stormwater Improvement Area	Н				88,000	88,000	21,209,046	-
170	N728	St. Petersburg	Conservation - St. Petersburg - Sensible Sprinkling Program - Phase 7	Н				50,000	50,000	21,259,046	-
171	N730	St. Petersburg	SW IMP - Flood Protection - 8th Avenue S at 44th Street South SDI	Н				210,000	210,000	21,469,046	2,425,000
172	N732	Pasco Co	Conservation - Pasco County ULV Toilet Rebate Program - Phase 9	Н				50,000	50,000	21,519,046	-
173	N733	St. Petersburg	SW IMP - Water Quality - Snell Isle Stormwater Vaults	Н				250,000	250,000	21,769,046	-
174	N734	Pinellas Co	WMP - Curlew Creek and Smith Bayou Watershed Management Plan	Н				225,000	225,000	21,994,046	200,000
175	N743	Pasco Co	Reclaimed Water - Pasco County Starkey Ranch Reclaimed Transmission Phase B	Н				175,200	175,200	22,169,246	779,800
176	N748	Tampa	SW IMP - Flood Protection - Dale Mabry Henderson Trunk Line - Upper Peninsula Watershed Drainage Improvements	н				500,000	500,000	22,669,246	TBD
177	N751	Tampa	Reclaimed Water - City of Tampa Augmentation Project	Н				1,000,000	1,000,000	23,669,246	500,000
178	W024	TBEP	Tampa Bay Environmental Restoration Fund	Н				350,000	350,000	24,019,246	-
179	W206	Gulfport	SW IMP - Water Quality - 49th Street Outfall Treatment	Н				640,291	640,291	24,659,537	-
180	W207	Madeira Beach	SW IMP - Water Quality - American Legion Drive Stormwater BMPs	Н				262,500	262,500	24,922,037	-
181	W208	Madeira Beach	SW IMP - Water Quality - Rex Place Stormwater BMPs	Н				425,000	425,000	25,347,037	-
182	W330	Hillsborough CC	Restoration - HCC Cockroach Bay Habitat Restoration	Н				7,500	7,500	25,354,537	-
183	W340	TBEP	Study - Tampa Bay Dredged Hole Habitat Assessment	Н				247,500	247,500	25,602,037	-
			Total Projects Ranked High		\$3,603,500	\$1,753,255	\$4,658,079	\$5,488,588	\$15,503,422		\$7,992,050
184	N676	Auburndale	SW IMP - Water Quality - PK Avenue/Lake Lena Stormwater Improvements	М	\$112,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$112,500	25,714,537	\$1,000,000

					51/0/				FY2015-16	Cumulative	Total
Page				-	FY2L Heartland	Northern	Budget By Re	gion Tampa Bay	Adopted	lotal for District	Future
No.	Project	Cooperator	Project Name	Rank	Region	Region	Region	Region	Budget	Requests	Funding
Coopera	ative Fun	ding Projects									
185	N696	Hernando Co	Reclaimed Water - Hernando County US19 Reclaimed Water Transmission	М		3,000,000			3,000,000	28,714,537	-
186	N435	Bradenton	ASR - City of Bradenton Surface Water ASR-2	М			1,305,000		1,305,000	30,019,537	895,000
187	N682	North Port	Feasibility Study - North Port Flood Reduction Study	М			125,000		125,000	30,144,537	-
188	N729	Sarasota Co	WMP Update - Phillippi Creek and Little Sarasota Bay FEMA Floodplain Development	М			125,000		125,000	30,269,537	-
189	N735	PRMRWSA	AWS - Phase 1 Regional Interconnect	М			5,400,000		5,400,000	35,669,537	1,350,000
190	N740	Charlotte Co	SW IMP - Flood Protection - Greater Port Charlotte Water Control Structure Replacement	М			320,000		320,000	35,989,537	-
191	N635	Pasco Co	Restoration - Pasco County Crews Lake	М				161,500	161,500	36,151,037	TBD
192	N665	Clearwater	Reclaimed Water - Clearwater Groundwater Replenishment Project - Phase 3	М				2,131,600	2,131,600	38,282,637	10,654,400
193	N666	Pasco Co	Restoration - Pasco County Reclaimed Water Treatment Wetland and Aquifer Recharge - Site 1	М				5,000,000	5,000,000	43,282,637	-
194	N675	Dade City	SW IMP - Flood Protection - Dade City Downtown Stormwater Capital Improvement Project	М				858,429	858,429	44,141,066	-
195	N684	Largo	SW IMP - Water Quality - Downtown Largo Pinellas Trail Stormwater Quality Improvement	Μ				34,000	34,000	44,175,066	-
196	N686	Indian Rocks Beach	SW IMP - Water Quality - 20th Avenue Stormwater Improvements	М				175,000	175,000	44,350,066	-
197	N688	Belleair	SW IMP - Water Quality - Pinellas Road Stormwater BMPs	Μ				1,375,000	1,375,000	45,725,066	-
198	N689	Largo	SW IMP - Water Quality - Largo 10th Street SW	М				70,000	70,000	45,795,066	-
199	N693	Largo	SW IMP - Water Quality - Largo Trotter Road	М				405,000	405,000	46,200,066	-
200	N700	Hillsborough Co	WMP Update - Hillsborough River/Tampa Bypass Canal WMP Update	М				100,000	100,000	46,300,066	400,000

					EVO				FY2015-16	Cumulative	Total
Page				-	F 1 20 Heartland	Northern	Southern	gion Tampa Bay	Adopted District	District	District
No.	Project	Cooperator	Project Name	Rank	Region	Region	Region	Region	Budget	Requests	Funding
Coopera	tive Fun	ding Projects									
201	N705	Largo	SW IMP - Water Quality - Largo Ensley Avenue	М				72,500	72,500	46,372,566	-
202	N708	New Port Richey	SW IMP - Water Quality - North Park Stormwater Improvement	М				20,000	20,000	46,392,566	-
203	N712	St. Petersburg Beach	SW IMP - Water Quality - South Pass-A-Grille Way Water Quality & Flood Improvements	М				112,500	112,500	46,505,066	TBD
204	N713	Hillsborough Co	WMP Update - Pemberton/Baker Canal WMP Update	М				100,000	100,000	46,605,066	100,000
205	N726	Temple Terrace	Study - Stormwater Utility Fee Rate Structure & Methodology	М				30,000	30,000	46,635,066	-
206	N727	Largo	WMP - City of Largo Watershed Evaluation	М				75,000	75,000	46,710,066	-
207	N736	Pasco Co	SW IMP - Flood Protection - Timber Oaks Retention Facility	М				3,024,900	3,024,900	49,734,966	3,678,550
208	N746	New Port Richey	Water Quality - Orange Lake Restoration	М				247,000	247,000	49,981,966	-
			Total Projects Ranked Medium		\$112,500	\$3,000,000	\$7,275,000	\$13,992,429	\$24,379,929		\$18,077,950
			Total Cooperative Funding Projects (Ad Valorem Based)		\$3,776,000	\$4,947,300	\$16,191,509	\$25,067,157	\$49,981,966		\$29,131,375
			Total Cooperative Funding Projects (Outside Revenue - Cooperators)		-	6,298,218	112,500	-	6,410,718		-
			Total Cooperative Funding Projects		\$3,776,000	\$11,245,518	\$16,304,009	\$25,067,157	\$56,392,684		\$29,131,375

Page				FY2015-16 Adopted	Total Future
No.	Project	Project Name	Project Category	Budget	Funding
District	<u>Grants</u>				
209	W027	Planning - Tampa Bay Estuary Program Comprehensive Management Plan Development and Implementation	Water Body Protection & Restoration Planning	\$138,335	Annual Request
210	W526	Planning - Charlotte Harbor National Estuary Program Comprehensive Management Plan Development and Implementation	Water Body Protection & Restoration Planning	130,000	Annual Request
211	W612	Planning - Sarasota Bay Estuary Program Comprehensive Management Plan Development and Implementation	Water Body Protection & Restoration Planning	133,000	Annual Request
			Total Water Body Protection & Restoration Planning:	\$401,335	\$0
212	H015	FARMS - Wells With Poor Water Quality in the SWUCA Back-Plugging Program	Facilitating Agricultural Resource Management Systems	\$30,000	Annual Request
213	H017	FARMS - Facilitating Agricultural Resource Management Systems	Facilitating Agricultural Resource Management Systems	6,000,000	Annual Request
214	H529	FARMS - Mini-FARMS Program	Facilitating Agricultural Resource Management Systems	100,000	Annual Request
			Total Facilitating Agricultural Resource Management Systems:	\$6,130,000	\$0
215	H094	Polk County Partnership	Water Supply Development Assistance	\$10,000,000	\$140,000,000
			Total Water Supply Development Assistance:	\$10,000,000	\$140,000,000
216	B099	Abandoned Well Plugging Program (QWIP)	Well Plugging	\$564,360	Annual Request
			Total Well Plugging:	\$564,360	\$0
217	P113	Springs - Water Quality - Rainbow Springs Infrastructure Development	Springs - Water Quality	\$2,279,183	\$0
218	P114	Springs - Water Quality - Garcia Point Septic Project	Springs - Water Quality	950,000	300,000
219	P115	Springs - Water Quality - Fort Island Trail Septic Interconnection	Springs - Water Quality	2,200,000	750,000
220	P117	Springs - Water Quality - Citrus County Private Package Plant Interconnection	Springs - Water Quality	2,000,000	-
			Total Springs - Water Quality:	\$7,429,183	\$1,050,000

Page No.	Project	Project Name	Project Category	FY2015-16 Adopted Budget	Total Future Funding
District	<u>Grants</u>				
221	P443	WUP - Dover and Plant City Automatic Meter Reading Installation	Water Use Permitting	\$521,550	\$0
			Total Water Use Permitting:	\$521,550	\$0
222	P259	Education - Youth Water Resources Education Program	Water Resources Education	\$530,000	Annual Request
223	P268	Education - Public Water Resource Education Program	Water Resources Education	5,500	Annual Request
			Total Water Resources Education:	\$535,500	\$0
		Total District Grants:		\$25,581,928	\$141,050,000
		Total Cooperative Funding Projects and District Grants		\$81,974,612	\$170,181,375



This page left blank intentionally.

Project No. P526	Planning - SWFWMD Policy Coordination Consultant for Hillsborough Reclaimed Water Master Planning and Development							
Project Category	Water Supply Planning							
AOR(s)	Water Supply:100 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:0 %Natural Systems:0 %							
		Descri	ption					
Description:	This project involves multiple phases: 1) a one-year FY2013 District consultant services project was to assist the District in policy coordination and support of options identified by the Temple Terrace Northeast Hillsborough County Reclaimed Water Master Plan Project (N471), 2) a one-year FY2014 District consultant services project to assist the District in policy coordination and support of options identified by utilities and also by the Hillsborough County Reclaimed Water Study (N601), 3) a one-year FY2015 project to assist in coordination selected option(s) prior to construction and 4) a one-year FY2016 anticipated project to assist in coordination selected recharge option(s). The policy coordination consultant works with appointed and elected officials from Temple Terrace, Hillsborough County, Plant City and the City of Tampa; representatives from the cooperator's consultant (Arcadis); as well as with other public and private entities, as necessary, to facilitate the successful completion of the related plans and implementation of identified options. The consultant focuses efforts on facilitating coordination and support from							
Benefits:	Anticipated benefits of the policy coordination project will ensure policy support of reclaimed water expansion options. Support of options will enable the construction of actual reclaimed water projects that will provide increased benefits, increased recharge, and reduction of effluent discharges to surface water bodies, thereby assisting utilities in meeting Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) and Numeric Nutrient Criteria (NNC) requirements and improving water quality. The cost benefit cannot be calculated as the project is support of planning and development only, and water resource benefits will be associated with future related reclaimed water projects that may be							
Costs:	Total project cost: \$99,00 District: \$99,000 with \$74	00 ,000 budget	ed in prior ye	ears and \$25,000 reques	ted in FY2016.			
		Fund	ling					
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016	Funding	Future Funding	Total Funding			
District	74,000		25,000	0	99,000			
Total:	\$74,000		\$25,000	\$0	\$99,000			

Project No. W020	Planning - Tampa Bay Protection and Restoration Planning							
Project Category	Water Body Protection & Restoration Planning							
AOR(s)	Water Supply:0 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:100 %Natural Systems:0 %							
		Description						
Description:	This District initiative provides for the administration and implementation of projects as outlined in the Surface Water Improvement and Management (SWIM) Plan for Tampa Bay. Implementation of the SWIM Plan includes coordination with involved stakeholders and governmental agencies such as the Tampa Bay Estuary Program (TBEP), an assessment of implementation progress, and the development of new projects (rationale and justification). Previous fiscal year funds budgeted under this project have been used for: 1) estuarine water quality sampling evaluations of Feather Sound and Wolf Branch, 2) Bullfrog Creek water quality monitoring, 3) retention of subject matter experts for assistance in reviewing Old Tampa Bay modeling needs, 4) assistance in development of numeric nutrient criteria for Boca Ciega Bay, Terra Ceia Bay, and the tidal Manatee River, 5) collection of water velocity and water level data for Old Tampa Bay. Current and proposed funds may be used to develop new efforts, based on needs identified in the Tampa Bay SWIM Plan, Habitat Master Plan, and TBEP Comprehensive Conservation and Management Plan to characterize the distribution and quality of marine benthic habitats such as tidal flats, mud flats, hard bottom, and							
Benefits:	This project's support of t between the District, the management decisions a	the Tampa Bay SWIM TBEP, and other state and restoration activitie	Plan creates an opportunit and local agencies to bette s.	y for a cohesive effort er implement resource				
Costs:	The funding request for F Tampa Bay water quality Tampa Bay SWIM Plan.	Y2016 is \$40,000. Fu improvement, monitor	nding will be used to imple ing, and restoration project	ment various aspects of s in accordance with the				
		Funding						
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Funding	Future Funding	Total Funding				
District	Annual Request	40,00	0 Annual Request	Annual Request				
Total:	N/A	\$40,00	0 N/A	N/A				

Project No. W420	Planning - Rainbow River Protection and Restoration Planning and Monitoring								
Project Category	Water Body Protection & Restoration Planning								
AOR(s)	Water Supply:0 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:50 %Natural Systems:50 %								
		Descri	ption						
Description:	This project provides for the development and implementation of the Rainbow River Surface Water Improvement and Management (SWIM) Plan, originally prepared in 1989 and updated in 1995 and 2004. Implementation of the SWIM plan includes coordination with involved stakeholders and governmental agencies, an assessment of implementation progress, and the development of new projects (rationale and justification). Water quality and biological monitoring of the Rainbow River and Springs are conducted to track the health of the system, and projects are implemented to improve habitat and water quality. Starting in 2015, a revised SWIM plan is being developed through the Springs Coast Steering and Management Committees. In 2014, a study was initiated to evaluate locations of potential ecological instability (i.e. changes in vegetation diversity and distribution) that were identified in the middle and lower portions of the river in the 2011 Rainbow River Vegetation Evaluation Report by Atkins, Inc. This information will be used to develop techniques to control algae and restore the aquatic plant community in degraded sections of the river								
Benefits:	The Florida Legislature, t districts to "design and in surface water".	hrough the S plement pla	SWIM Act of ins and progr	1987, directed ams for the ir	d the state's	s water management t and management of			
Costs:	The funding request for FY2016 is \$150,000. Funding will be used for the final year of the ecological assessment. Findings will provide information for the development of a restoration project for the lower Rainbow River that will be funded under another project, Rainbow River Phosphate Mine Pit Feasibility Study and Implementation (WR01). In FY2014 (\$75,000) and FY2015 (\$150,000) funds were used to begin the evaluation of locations of potential ecological instability (i.e. changes in vegetation diversity and distribution) and continue the ecological assessment to provide more information about techniques to control algae and restore the aquatic plant community.								
		Fund	ling						
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016	Funding	Future F	unding	Total Funding			
District	Annual Request		150,000	Annu	al Request	Annual Request			
Total:	N/A		\$150,000		N/A	N/A			

Project No. WC01	Planning - Chassahowitzka Springs Protection and Restoration Planning							
Project Category	Water Body Protection	on & Resto	oration Plar	nning				
AOR(s)	Water Supply:0 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:50 %Natural Systems:50 %							
		Descr	iption					
Description:	This project provides for the development and implementation of the Chassahowitzka Springs Surface Water Improvement and Management (SWIM) Plan. In FY2016 a SWIM plan will be developed and implemented. Implementation of the SWIM plan includes coordination with involved stakeholders and governmental agencies, an assessment of implementation progress, and the development of new projects (rationale and justification). Water quality and biological monitoring of the Chassahowitzka River and Springs are conducted to track the health of the system, and projects are implemented to improve habitat and water quality. In FY2015 a comprehensive riverine mapping evaluation will assess the current status of the aquatic plants, invertebrates, and sediments in the river. Three FY2015 projects have been developed: 1) Coastal Rivers Aquatic Vegetation Analysis, 2) Coastal Rivers Invertebrate Analysis, and 3) Coastal Rivers Sediment Analysis. These projects will study the Homosassa, Chassahowitzka, and Weeki Wachee river							
Benefits:	The Florida Legislature, t districts to "design and im surface water".	hrough the applement pla	SWIM Act of ans and prog	1987, director rams for the	ed the state's improvemen	s water management t and management of		
Costs:	The funding request for F development.	Y2016 is \$2	25,000. Fund	ing will be us	sed for gener	al SWIM plan		
		Fund	ding					
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016	Funding	Future I	Funding	Total Funding		
District	Annual Request		25,000	Ann	ual Request	Annual Request		
Total:	N/A		\$25,000		N/A	N/A		

Project No. WH01	Planning - Homosassa Springs Protection and Restoration Planning							
Project Category	Water Body Protection	on & Resto	ration Plar	nning				
AOR(s)	Water Supply:0 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:50 %Natural Systems:50 %							
		Descri	ption					
Description:	This project provides for t Water Improvement and and implemented. Implen stakeholders and governi development of new proje the Homosassa River and implemented to improve I evaluation will assess the river. Three FY2015 proje 2) Coastal Rivers Inverte will study the Homosassa from WH01, WC01, and	the developr Managemer nentation of mental agen ects (rationa d Springs ar habitat and v ects have be brate Analys a, Chassaho WW01.	nent and imp tt (SWIM) Pla the SWIM pl cies, an asse le and justific e conducted water quality. tus of the aquen tus of the aquen tus of the aquen sis, and 3) Co witzka, and V	Dementation an. In FY201 an includes of essment of ir cation). Wate to track the l . In FY2015 a uatic plants, d: 1) Coasta pastal Rivers Weeki Wache	of the Homo 6 a SWIM pl coordination nplementatio r quality and health of the a compreher invertebrates I Rivers Aqu Sediment A see river syste	sassa Springs Surface an will be developed with involved on progress, and the I biological monitoring of system and projects are isive riverine mapping s, and sediments in the atic Vegetation Analysis, nalysis. These projects ems. Funding will come		
Benefits:	The Florida Legislature, t districts to "design and in surface water".	hrough the S oplement pla	SWIM Act of ins and prog	1987, directe rams for the	ed the state's improvemen	s water management t and management of		
Costs:	The funding request for F development.	Y2016 is \$2	25,000. Fund	ing will be us	ed for gener	al SWIM plan		
		Fund	ling					
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016	Funding	Future F	Funding	Total Funding		
District	Annual Request		25,000	Ann	ual Request	Annual Request		
Total:	N/A		\$25,000		N/A	N/A		

Project No. WW01	Planning - Weeki Wachee Springs Protection and Restoration Planning								
Project Category	Water Body Protection	on & Resto	oration Plar	nning					
AOR(s)	Water Supply:0 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:50 %Natural Systems:50 %								
	Description								
Description:	This project provides for the development and implementation of the Weeki Wachee Springs Surface Water Improvement and Management (SWIM) Plan. In FY2016 a SWIM plan will be developed and implemented. Implementation of the SWIM plan includes coordination with involved stakeholders and governmental agencies, an assessment of implementation progress, and the development of new projects (rationale and justification). Water quality and biological monitoring of the Weeki Wachee River and Springs are conducted to track the health of the system and projects are implemented to improve habitat and water quality. In FY2015 a comprehensive riverine mapping evaluation will assess the current status of the aquatic plants, invertebrates, and sediments in the river. Three FY2015 projects have been developed: 1) Coastal Rivers Aquatic Vegetation Analysis, 2) Coastal Rivers Invertebrate Analysis, and 3) Coastal Rivers Sediment Analysis. These projects will study the Homosassa, Chassahowitzka, and Weeki Wachee river								
Benefits:	The Florida Legislature, t districts to "design and im surface water".	hrough the s plement pla	SWIM Act of ans and prog	1987, director rams for the	ed the state's improvemen	s water management t and management of			
Costs:	The funding request for F development.	Y2016 is \$2	25,000. Fund	ing will be us	sed for gener	al SWIM plan			
		Fund	ding						
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016	Funding	Future I	Funding	Total Funding			
District	Annual Request		25,000	Ann	ual Request	Annual Request			
Total:	N/A		\$25,000		N/A	N/A			

Project No. P283	WMP - Professional Engineering and Scientific Services								
Project Category	Watershed Management Plans								
AOR(s)	Water Supply:0 %Flood Protection:100 %Water Quality:0 %Natural Systems:0 %								
		Description							
Description:	Consultants selected in the General Engineering Services process are being used for Project Support, Evaluation and Related Work. Specifically, services will include Peer Reviews of Watershed Management Plans and Models, Geographic Information Systems Reviews, Engineering Reviews, Open House assistance, field data collection, Environmental Resource Permitting Data Reviews, and related project assistance. This is the third Request for Quote selection for services that have been provided to the District since 2006 in support of the District's Watershed Management Program								
Benefits:	The primary benefits of th consultant floodplain info completion of project task The consultants will perfo utilization of District proje and negotiation activities. Management Plan Open managers need assistant	nese services are impro rmation and Best Mana (s; and improved projector orm Peer Reviews, GIS (ct managers for higher . The consultants could Houses, Data Collection (ce.	ved Watershed Managem gement Practices solution t task prioritization and lev and Engineering Reviews level planning, coordination also be utilized for prepar n, and other project tasks	ent Plans, Models and s; improved timeliness in veraging of District staff. s to allow better on, evaluation, analyses, ation of Watershed in which District project					
Costs:	Total project cost: \$2,200,000 District: \$2,200,00 with \$1,223,259 budgeted in prior years, \$340,000 requested in FY2016, and \$636,741 anticipated to be requested in future years. The funding for FY2016 is based on the projected number of GIS Reviews (28), Engineering								
	- (-))	Funding							
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Funding	Future Funding	Total Funding					
District	1,223,259	340,00	636,741	2,200,000					
Total:	\$1,223,259	\$340,000	\$636,741	\$2,200,000					

Project No. C005	Data - Aquifer Explor Initiative	ation and Monitor W	ell Drilling Program D	Districtwide				
Project Category	Data - Geologic							
AOR(s)	Water Supply:90 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:10 %Natural Systems:0 %							
		Description						
Description:	This project is for coring, drilling, testing, and construction of monitor wells at Regional Observation and Monitor-well Program (ROMP) sites and special project sites. The ROMP was established in 1974 to construct a Districtwide network of groundwater monitoring wells in order to provide key information concerning existing hydrologic conditions of groundwater sources (s. 373.145 F.S.). In recent years, the ROMP has expanded to include the drilling and construction (and associated data collection activities) of numerous wells associated with key special projects such as the Northern Tampa Bay Water Use Caution Area well field recovery monitoring and the Northern Water Resources Assessment, Southern Water Use Caution Area and Central Florida Water Initiative projects. Exploratory drilling and intensive data collection efforts are performed by District staff and well construction is generally performed under contract with outside vendors. Drilling and testing will be performed at key well sites to characterize the hydrogeology from land surface to the saltwater interface or base of the potable aquifer zone within the Upper Floridan aquifer. Certain sites will also include exploratory data collection activities to characterize the middle confining units and Lower Floridan aquifers. Each well site will have permanent monitor wells installed into the surficial, intermediate, and Upper and Lower Floridan aquifers, as needed. In addition, most well sites will have temporary observation wells installed for conducting aquifer performance tests. The data collected during construction of the well sites will be used in numerous District projects including: water supply development, minimum flows and levels, and long-term water level and water quality monitoring. In addition, the Florida Geological Survey (FGS) has been contracted since FY2005 to provide a variety of services associated with lithologic core descriptions and storage. The core information is used to determine aquifer hydrogeology, hydraulic properties, and r							
Benefits:	Data and information developed as part of this program are necessary to improve monitoring and evaluation of the effects of stresses on the groundwater resources throughout the District. This information will support the Governing Board's efforts to develop an effective water resources management strategy for the District and used in the allocation of groundwater withdrawals while avoiding harm to the resource. Using the FGS increases the quality of the data due to centralization of core storage, descriptions and other data with one agency that specializes in this type of work. Also, FGS lithologic description of District core provides consistency compared to other lithologic descriptions throughout the state completed and reviewed by the FGS. All descriptions are provided to the District in a format compatible with the District's Water Management Information System (WMIS) database. The benefits of using contracted well construction and surveying services include eliminating the need for the District to own and maintain equipment and increase staffing to perform services.							
Costs:	The funding request for FY2016 is \$462,316. Funding includes \$395,059 for planned contracted well construction, \$25,375 for FGS services including formation picks, report peer reviews and core storage; and \$41,882 for site acquisition support and surveying services.							
		Funding						
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Funding	Future Funding	Total Funding				
District	Annual Request	462,316	Annual Request	Annual Request				
Total:	N/A	\$462,316	N/A	N/A				

Project No. C007	Data - Aquifer Expl & Monitor Well Drilling CFWI							
Project Category	Data - Geologic							
AOR(s)	Water Supply: Water Quality:	90 %Flood Proposition10 %Natural State	otection: 0 % Systems: 0 %					
		Description						
Description:	Request funds to initiate new monitor well drilling within the Central Florida Water Initiative (CFWI) area through the Regional Observation Monitor-well Program (ROMP), a District program to construct and test monitor wells to provide hydrogeologic data on aquifer parameters and water quality and to provide long-term data collection stations for measuring water levels and water quality. There are 16 well sites with planned construction in FY2016 within the Central Florida Water Initiative (CFWI) area in Polk County. The Data Collection Bureau, in cooperation with the Resource Management and Regulation Divisions, have established District priorities for FY2016. These sites will be completed through the use of contracted well drilling services. In addition, request funds for site acquisition to obtain easements and perform boundary surveys for the drilling sites.							
Benefits:	The benefits of using con District to own equipment effectively provide. The b material costs down by el wells. The markups can b same materials. Success water supply for all users environmental resources.	tracted well construction t and increase staffing to enefits of the District pu liminating the markups a be 25-40% in additional ful implementation of CI in the Central Florida re	a services include eliminate perform services that the rchasing the required mate added by drilling contracto expense over the District's FWI efforts will result in low agion and protection or res	ting the need for the e private sector can cost rerials is to keep the rs constructing the s purchase price for the ng-term sustainable storation of				
Costs:	The funding request for F well construction of 16 we support and surveying se	Y2016 is \$928,085. Fu ells within the CFWI in P rrvices.	nding includes \$610,852 f olk County; and \$317,233	for planned contracted 3 for site acquisition				
		Funding						
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Funding	Future Funding	Total Funding				
District	Annual Request	928,085	Annual Request	Annual Request				
Total:	N/A	\$928,085	N/A	N/A				

Project No. P630	Wetland Assessments for Five Year Project								
Project Category	Data - Biologic								
AOR(s)	Water Supply: Water Quality:	50 % 0 %	Flood Pro	otection: ystems:	0 % 50 %				
		Descri	ption						
Description:	Requested funds for the fourth one-year project of the 5-Year Wetland Health Assessment Process. This assessment supports both the Minimum Flows and Levels Establishment and Recovery Strategic Initiative and the Northern Tampa Bay (NTB) Recovery Regional Priority identified in the Strategic Plan. The project is designed to assess the wetland health of approximately 250 wetlands in and around the Tampa Bay Water (TBW) wellfields. The objective of the present study is to repeat and compare the results to the previous assessments to determine if the TBW wellfield reductions have resulted in improvements in wetland health. During the study, vegetation, soils and other information will be assessed to assist in determining wetland health. The 5-year assessments provide the most comprehensive dataset of regional patterns in								
Benefits:	Conducting the wetland h effectiveness of the wellfi together with the recover picture of the region's rec	ealth assess eld cutbacks y assessmen covery and wi	ment will pro in achieving t being cond ill be used in	ovide valuabl g environmen ducted by TB n the evaluati	le data need Ital recovery W to provide on of their p	ed to determine the . This assessment fits e a comprehensive ermit renewal in 2020.			
Costs:	This one-year funding rea	quest for FY2	2016 is \$185	,000.					
		Fund	ing						
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 F	Funding	Future F	unding	Total Funding			
District	0		185,000		0	185,000			
Total:	\$0		\$185,000		\$0	\$185,000			

Project No. P813	Statewide GOES ET Project								
Project Category	Data - Meteorologic								
AOR(s)	Water Supply:0 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:0 %Natural Systems:100 %								
		Descri	ption						
Description:	River, Northwest Florida, St. Johns River, Southwest and South Florida Water Management Districts (WMDs), embarked on a multi-year project to create spatially-continuous, high-resolution, calibrated evapotranspiration (ET) maps for the entire state of Florida. This project created a database of Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellites (GOES), potential ET (PET), and reference ET (RET) maps beginning mid–1995. This database allows agricultural water use estimation and hydrologic model construction for water-use permitting, research, regulation, and planning using a consistent RET/PET methodology over Florida. Although the ET database was appropriate for numerous applications, no enhancements have been made to either the solar insolation or ET algorithms since 2007, while significant advances in technology have occurred. This project will create an extension of the ET data back to 1985, and upgrade all data to the present solar insolation and ET algorithms.								
Benefits:	Benefits of this project ind 1995), updating the algor accurate data, enhancing derived data.	clude extend ithms used t statistical re	ling available to develop the eporting, and	data throug ET data to providing a	phout the stat current tech comparison	e back to 1985 (from nologies for more of new versus older			
Costs:	Total project cost: \$196,9 NWFWMD: \$8,374 SFWMD: \$60,080 SJRWMD: \$60,080 SRWMD: \$8,374 District: \$60,080 with \$30	988 9,040 reques	ted in FY201	6 and \$30,(040 anticipate	ed in FY2017.			
		Func	ling						
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016	Funding	Future	Funding	Total Funding			
District	0		30,040		30,040	60,080			
NWFWMD	0		4,187		4,187	8,374			
SFWMD	0		30,040		30,040	60,080			
SJRWMD	0		30,040		30,040	60,080			
SRWMD	0		4,187		4,187	8,374			
Total:	\$0		\$98,494		\$98,494	\$196,988			

Project No. P275	Study - Hydrologic Characterization of Lake Tsala Apopka							
Project Category	Data - Studies & Assessments							
AOR(s)	Water Supply:50 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:0 %Natural Systems:50 %							
		Description						
Description:	This is a project with the United States Geological Survey (USGS) and the District that began in 2010 and is scheduled for completion in 2016. The project involves investigating the interaction between groundwater in the Upper Floridan aquifer and Lake Tsala Apopka. Information gained from the project will be incorporated into existing models of the area for use in assessing impacts of groundwater withdrawals and structural operations on lake stages. The project will involve the collection of water levels, flows, and climatological data to quantify the different components of the hydrologic budget of the lake. The collected data will be used by the USGS to construct a fully integrated surface water/groundwater model including five different water resource scenarios designed to assist the District to better manage lake levels.							
Benefits:	Data and information dev groundwater withdrawals support the Governing Bo strategy for the region and to the resource. This proj- management of lake reso by Lake Tsala Apopka.	eloped as part of this pro and structural operation pard's efforts to develop d used in the allocation of ect will also support the purces to benefit the natu	bject are necessary to pro s on Lake Tsala Apopka. an effective water resourd of groundwater withdrawa District's efforts to contrib ural systems and recreatio	pperly evaluate effects of This information will ces management ils while avoiding harm oute to improved onal activities supported				
Costs:	Total project cost: \$2,348 USGS: \$1,143,750 District: \$1,205,000 with \$.,750 \$1,155,000 budgeted in	prior years and \$50,000 r	equested in FY2016.				
		Funding						
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Funding	Future Funding	Total Funding				
District	1,155,000	50,000	0	1,205,000				
USGS	1,093,750	50,000	0	1,143,750				
Total:	\$2,248,750	\$100,000	\$0	\$2,348,750				

Project No. P284	Studies and Assessments - Expansion of the Central Florida Area Ground Water Model					
Project Category	Data - Studies & Assessments					
AOR(s)	Water Supply: Water Quality:	100 % Flood P 0 % Natural	rotection: 0 % Systems: 0 %			
		Description				
Description:	This project is a three-year effort to expand and modify the East-Central Florida Transient (ECFT) groundwater model to provide a single groundwater model of the Central Florida Water Initiative (CFWI) Area. As currently planned, the work will include expanding the area covered by the ECFT model, modifying rainfall/recharge to the surficial aquifer, and improving the overall process to run the model for use by water management districts' staff and stakeholders. The proposed work is part of a cooperative effort with the District, St. Johns River Water Management District (SJRWMD), South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD), and stakeholders in the area to determine groundwater availability for the CFWI. The model will be used to assess impacts of groundwater withdrawals and provide the technical framework for water supply planning and decisions regarding the allocation of future groundwater withdrawals. Within the District portion of the model, the effort will focus on extending the western model boundary from its current location,					
Benefits:	Expanding the model area will provide the three water management districts with a single groundwater model that can be used to assess effects of and allocate groundwater withdrawals in the CFWI area. Additional improvements will provide an up-to-date evaluation tool that can be used by the water management districts and stakeholders to assess effects of withdrawals on water resources. After the model is completed, it will become the underlying tool that will be used as part of a consistent approach to technical evaluations and provide the technical basis for water resources management decisions in the area.					
Costs:	Total project cost: \$550,000 District: \$550,000 with \$464,000 budgeted in prior years and \$86,000 requested in FY2016.					
		Funding				
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Funding	Future Funding	Total Funding		
District	464,000	86,00	0 0	550,000		
Total:	\$464,000	\$86,00	\$0	\$550,000		

Project No. P623	MFL SWUCA/MIA Saltwater Intrusion Model						
Project Category	Data - Studies & Asse	essments					
AOR(s)	Water Supply: Water Quality:	0 % 0 %	Flood Pro Natural S	otection: ystems:	0 % 100 %		
		Descrip	otion				
Description:	This is a two-year project of the Southern Water Us 2002. This model will sup and predict changes to th level, and groundwater w alternatives for aquifer lev associated with changes	This is a two-year project to construct a saltwater intrusion model for the Most Impacted Area (MIA) of the Southern Water Use Caution Area (SWUCA) to replace the existing model constructed in 2002. This model will support the SWUCA Recovery Strategy and will be designed to represent and predict changes to the saltwater/freshwater interface associated with changes in climate, sea level, and groundwater withdrawals. The model will be used to determine wells at risk, evaluate alternatives for aquifer level recovery, and better define changes in the rate of saltwater intrusion associated with changes in withdrawals from the Upper Floridan aquifer.					
Benefits:	Replacing the model will ensure the continued capability to evaluate saltwater intrusion in the MIA of the SWUCA. This model will improve the District's capability to characterize changes in the saltwater interface resulting from management decisions aimed to slow the rate of intrusion. The model will also be used in the development of cost-effective recovery alternatives to meet the saltwater intrusion minimum aguifer level as identified in the Strategic Plan.						
Costs:	Total project cost: \$600,000 District: \$600,000 with \$200,000 budgeted in prior years and \$400,000 requested in FY2016						
		Fund	ing				
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 F	unding	Future	Funding	Total Funding	
District	200,000		400,000		0	600,000	
Total:	\$200,000		\$400,000		\$0	\$600,000	

Project No. P629	Ridge Lakes Recovery Options/CFWI					
Project Category	Data - Studies & Asse	essments				
AOR(s)	Water Supply: Water Quality:	0% F 0% N	Flood Protection Natural Systems	: 0 % : 100 %		
		Descript	ion			
Description:	This project will evaluate conceptual management strategies identified during the Central Florida Water Initiative (CFWI) Solutions Planning Phase to recover lakes that do not meet adopted minimum levels. The project will further develop these strategies into specific project options that can be implemented to achieve recovery at two lakes. Project tasks include identifying potential options, evaluating and quantifying effects of each option on lake levels, and determining the feasibility of projects to be implemented. The project is consistent with the next steps and financial plan developed for the CFWI Solutions Plan. State funds may be allocated to accirct in this project					
Benefits:	These investigations will provide the District with recovery project options that can be implemented to achieve the adopted minimum levels for these lakes as well as provide a process for recovery of other lakes in the CFWI/Southern Water Use Caution Area (SWUCA). Recovering these lakes is a goal of the CFWI and a Regional Priority in the District's Strategic Plan.					
Costs:	This one-year funding request for FY2016 is \$500,000. Funding will be used to identify and evaluate recovery project options for two lakes.					
Funding						
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Fu	Inding Futu	re Funding	Total Funding	
District	0		500,000	0	500,000	
Total:	\$0	\$	500,000	\$0	\$500,000	

Project No. P814	Lake Modeling Tool Eval and Development					
Project Category	Data - Studies & Asse	essments				
AOR(s)	Water Supply: Water Quality:	0 % Flood Pro 0 % Natural Sy	tection: 0 % /stems: 100 %			
		Description				
Description: Benefits:	The requested funds are for peer review of lake stage models used to calculate long-term historic lake stage percentiles that support the establishment and re-evaluation of lake Minimum Flows and Levels (MFLs). The District uses a rainfall regression model and/or a water budget model to re-create long-term "Historic" lake stage. Both methods have been developed by the District and are an essential component of the lake MFL program. The funds will be used to improve the methodologies, ensure statistical robustness, identify strength and weaknesses of each tool, and help improve efficiency by development of more automated procedures. Peer review will provide constructive comments on the current weaknesses and strengths of the District's models and provide suggestions for improvement. The result will be a more defensible modeling tool used to establish and re-evaluation lake MFLs. Automating the models will enhance the District's efficiency while enabling staff to manage the large inventory of lake MFLs. Efficiency of annual MFL status evaluations and the Southern Water Use Caution Area and Northern Tampa Bay recovery assessments will also be improved. Establishment and re-evaluations of lake MFLs					
Costs:	This one-year funding request for FY2016 is \$100,000.					
		Funding				
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Funding	Future Funding	Total Funding		
District	0	100,000	0	100,000		
Total:	\$0	\$100,000	\$0	\$100,000		

Project No. W209	Dissolved Oxygen Stratification in the Lower Hillsborough River Feasibility Study						
Project Category	Data - Studies & Asse	essments					
AOR(s)	Water Supply:0 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:100 %Natural Systems:0 %						
		Description					
Description:	This project will assess the dissolved oxygen concendetermine the efficacy of River.	This project will assess the Lower Hillsborough River to determine if aerators placed in areas of low dissolved oxygen concentration reduce stratification within the water column. The goal is to determine the efficacy of aerators and the efficacy of their locations within the Lower Hillsborough River.					
Benefits:	This project will be used to evaluate the effectiveness of aerators to reduce dissolved oxygen stratification within the water column of the Lower Hillsborough River possibly providing a more suitable habitat for organisms within the Lower Hillsborough River System.						
Costs:	This one-year funding request for FY2016 is \$75,000. Funding will be used to conduct a feasibility study on the use of aerators in the Lower Hillsborough River.						
Funding							
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Funding	Future Funding	Total Funding			
District	0	75,000	0	75,000			
Total:	\$0	\$75,000	\$0	\$75,000			

Project No. W448	Planning - Three Sisters Springs Sediment Feasibility					
Project Category	Data - Studies & Assessments					
AOR(s)	Water Supply: Water Quality:	0 % Flood Pro 50 % Natural S	otection: 0 % ystems: 50 %			
		Description				
Description:	This project will characterize and quantify the sediment that has accumulated into the head spring pools of the Three Sisters Springs group, Kings Bay. The accumulated sediments are the result of erosion of the shoreline surrounding the head springs. Shoreline restoration is being addressed by an ongoing project (W471 Three Sisters Shoreline Stabilization). The proposed project will quantify the volume of material to be removed, determine if any contaminants or archeological materials are present, and acquire the present permits to proceed					
Benefits:	This project will evaluate the amount of sediments which are contained in the Three Sisters Springs spring pools and determine the best course of action to remove sediments. Once the shoreline has been repaired and stabilized through the complimentary W471 project, these eroded sediments should be removed from the spring pool areas. The general restoration benefits to the spring pool areas will include the removal of sediments which are obstructing spring vents, an increase in spring pool volume, and a reduction in sediment re-suspension. This restoration will be not the spring area of the optime of the optime of the spring vents of a sediment refuge.					
Costs:	Total project cost: \$250,000 District: \$250,000 with \$50,000 requested in FY2016 and \$200,000 anticipated to be requested in future years. Funding in FY2016 will be used for project feasibility evaluation, and in FY2017 funding is anticipated for design and permitting, if feasible. Future construction costs will be developed using findings generated in the feasibility, design, and permitting phase of the project.					
		Funding				
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Funding	Future Funding	Total Funding		
District	0	50,000	200,000	250,000		
Total:	\$0	\$50,000	\$200,000	\$250,000		

Project No. W517	SWIM Initiative - Charlotte Harbor Flatwoods Initiative Support					
Project Category	Data - Studies & Assessments					
AOR(s)	Water Supply: Water Quality:	0 % Floo 50 % Nate	d Protection: ral Systems:	0 % 50 %		
		Description				
Description:	This proposed 2016 SWIM District Initiative is to collect data which may include topographic surveys or LiDAR and ecological evaluations in the Charlotte Harbor Flatwoods Initiative (CHFI) project area to support on-going efforts by stakeholders. The CHFI project area, is approximately 90 square miles and spans both the Southwest and South Florida Water Management Districts (SFWMD), including the following sub-watersheds: 1) Yucca Pen Creek; 2) Durden Creek; 3) Greenwell Branch; 4) Longview Run; and 5) Gator Slough. The CHFI, is a multi-stakeholder, multi-phased regional hydrologic restoration effort coordinated by the SFWMD. Impacts from the construction of I-75 and the adjacent railroad have blocked the historic flow to Charlotte Harbor and are causing impoundment of surface water on Florida Fish and Wildlife Commission's Babcock-Webb Wildlife Management Area in Charlotte County. The stakeholders, led by the SFWMD, are partnering to evaluate alternatives including restoration of the historic flow patterns through the Yucca Pens Management Area within the District to reduce flooding potential and reduce the amount of water that has been redirected to Gator Slough and lessen the impact of damaging point discharges through the Gator Slough Canal to Matlacha Pass and Charlotte Harbor. An additional alteration in this area, Webb Lake, was constructed to provide fill for the construction of I-75 in the early 1980's and this artificial lake diverts sheet flow to the north toward Alligator Creek. The data from this proposed project will be used by the partners to conduct the modeling and alternative analyses and design of alternatives to restore historic flows to the Yucca					
Benefits:	This project will provide data to support alternative analyses that can be used for the conceptual design of alternatives that restore historic flows resulting in improved natural systems and water quality in Charlotte Harbor and the adjacent coastal lands.					
Costs:	The funding request for FY2016 is \$90,000. Future funding may be proposed upon completion of the alternatives analysis to design, permit and construct alternatives that benefit water quality and natural systems within the District boundaries of this multi-jurisdictional project.					
		Funding				
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Fund	g Future	Funding	Total Funding	
District	0	9	,000	0	90,000	
Total:	\$0	\$90	000	\$0	\$90,000	

Project No. B136	IFAS - Florida Auto Weather Network (FAWN) Data and Education					
Project Category	Data - Inst of Food &	Agricultural Science	s Resrch			
AOR(s)	Water Supply: Water Quality:	100 % Flood Pro 0 % Natural S	otection: 0 % ystems: 0 %			
		Description				
Description:	Funding for the Florida A and primarily supports we as outreach and education specifically geared to agr	utomated Weather Netwo eather station operation, in. FAWN collects and di icultural users, to increas	ork (FAWN). This funding maintenance, and service stributes real-time weather se irrigation efficiencies and	i is provided annually e enhancements, as well er and climatic data, nd reduce water use.		
Benefits:	The primary benefit of the FAWN program is a reduction in agricultural water use. The amount of water saved will be a function of the number of acres planted and water use, which will change annually based on market and climatic conditions. Estimated savings during cold protection events through the use of FAWN statewide are in excess of one billion gallons of water per day. The key to realizing these water use savings is use of the FAWN tools, educating producers through workshops, written material, trade shows, etc.					
Costs:	The funding request for FY2016 is \$100,000. Funding has been provided for this project since FY2003. Total annual project costs: \$500,000 (Operation, maintenance costs for the 44 FAWN stations, 13 of which are within the District's boundaries. Funding for outreach and education to commodity groups and growers through meetings, winter weather schools, and irrigation schools.) District: \$100,000 FDACS: \$100,000 Mesonet: \$75,000 SFWMD: \$75,000					
		Funding				
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Funding	Future Funding	Total Funding		
District	Annual Request	100,000	Annual Request	Annual Request		
FDACS	Annual Request	100,000	Annual Request	Annual Request		
Mesonet	Annual Request	75,000	Annual Request	Annual Request		
SFWMD	Annual Request	75,000	Annual Request	Annual Request		
SJRWMD	Annual Request	50,000	Annual Request	Annual Request		
UF/IFAS	Annual Request	100,000	Annual Request	Annual Request		
Total:	N/A	\$500,000	N/A	N/A		

Project No. B291	IFAS Research - Auto Sprinkler Irrigation in Container Nurseries					
Project Category	Data - Inst of Food &	Agricultural Science	es Resrch			
AOR(s)	Water Supply:100 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:0 %Natural Systems:0 %					
		Description				
Description:	Develop irrigation scheduling for container nurseries based upon objective information that has the potential to improve plant water use efficiency and to automate the process using the web-based Container Crop Resource Optimization Program (CCROP) to manage irrigation versus a constant irrigation rate.					
Benefits:	If development of the CCROP would reduce water use by 1% in the District's container nurseries it would save over 85 million gallons per year. In addition, this reduced water use could decrease the amount of nutrient leaching which would impair the water guality.					
Costs:	Total project cost: \$252,500. District: \$252,000 with \$240,000 budgeted in prior years and \$12,500 requested in FY2016.					
Funding						
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Funding	Future Funding	Total Funding		
District	240,000	12,500	0	252,500		
Total:	\$240,000	\$12,500	\$0	\$252,500		

Project No. B293	IFAS Research - Development of Irrigation Schedule and Crop Coefficients for Trees III					
Project Category	Data - Inst of Food &	Agricultur	al Science	s Resrch		
AOR(s)	Water Supply: Water Quality:	100 % 0 %	Flood Pro Natural S	otection: 0 % ystems: 0 %		
		Descri	ption			
Description:	This project is another phase to a District Initiative (B083 and B227) and will investigate water requirements for three additional tree species (Crape Myrtle, Cypress and Palm) and will help reduce nursery and landscape water use. In addition the data will be used for reference in water use permitting.					
Benefits:	Information from this project will help nursery producers, commercial landscapers and homeowners reduce water use. The amount of water saved will be a function of the number of acres planted and their water use, which will change annually based on market and climatic conditions. In addition, this project will provide the District with information that could be used in AGMOD for allocating water use and for the Facilitating Agricultural Resources Management Systems program to determine water savings and project eact homefite.					
Costs:	Total project costs: \$107,760 District: \$107,760 with \$89,800 budgeted in prior years and \$17,960 requested in FY2016.					
Funding						
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016	Funding	Future Funding	Total Funding	
District	89,800		17,960	0	107,760	
Total:	\$89,800		\$17,960	\$0	\$107,760	

Project No. B298	IFAS Research - Exploring the Feasibility of Converting Seepage to Center Pivot Irrigation								
Project Category	Data - Inst of Food &	Agricultural Science	es Resrch						
AOR(s)	Water Supply: Water Quality:	50 %Flood Pr0 %Natural S	otection: 0 % Systems: 50 %						
		Description							
Description:	This three-year project will quantify the savings of irrigation water used to grow potatoes and beans by converting from seepage to center pivot irrigation. This will help the Facilitating Agricultural Resources Management Systems program determine funding options for potential future projects and can help with recovery efforts toward natural systems.								
Benefits:	This project will quantify the water savings that can be achieved by converting from seepage to center pivot irrigation and the effect this will have on plant growth and development, whole plant physiology, yield and quality of both tubers and beans. The project will determine the appropriate use of this irrigation conversion and identify limitations and economic feasibility. This information will expedite the implementation of seepage irrigation conversion in an area of resource concerns.								
Costs:	Total project cost: \$204,000 District: \$204,000 with \$200,000 budgeted in prior years and \$4,000 requested in FY2016.								
		Funding							
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Funding	Future Funding	Total Funding					
District	200,000	4,000	0	204,000					
Total:	\$200,000	\$4,000	\$0	\$204,000					
Project No. B403	IFAS Research - Evaluation of Nitrogen Leaching from Reclaimed Water Applied to Lawns, Spray Fields, and RIBs								
------------------	--	---	---	--	---	--	--	--	--
Project Category	Data - Inst of Food & Agricultural Sciences Resrch								
AOR(s)	Water Supply:0 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:100 %Natural Systems:0 %								
Description									
Description:	This multi-year funded project will assess nitrogen leaching from reclaimed water application to lawns, spray fields, and rapid infiltration basins (RIBs). Several different types of soil amendments such as sawdust, tire crumbs, and limestone will also be evaluated to determine their ability to reduce nitrogen leaching from reclaimed water applied to RIBs.								
Benefits:	This project will determine lawns, spray fields, and R to the aquifer and springs nitrogen loading to ground will also be assessed to c loading from RIBs to the water quality in the aquife	e typical nitr RIBs. This in s, and identi dwater. The levelop new groundwate er and spring	ogen leachin formation can fy the best re nitrogen red best manag r. Implementa gs.	g rates from recland to be used to refir claimed water dis uction capabilitie ement practices ation of these BM	aimed wa sposal m so of seve (BMPs) t /Ps has t	ater application to ates of nitrogen loading nethods to minimize eral soil amendments to reduce nitrogen the potential to improve			
Costs:	Total project cost: \$294,0 District: \$294,000 with \$9 future years.	000 17,000 reque	ested in FY20	16 and \$197,000	0 anticipa	ated to be requested in			
		Fund	ding						
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016	Funding	Future Fund	ding	Total Funding			
District	0		97,000	1	97,000	294,000			
Total:	\$0		\$97,000	\$19	97,000	\$294,000			

Project No. B404	IFAS Research - New Practical Method for Managing Irrigation in Container Nurseries								
Project Category	Data - Inst of Food & Agricultural Sciences Resrch								
AOR(s)	Water Supply:100 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:0 %Natural Systems:0 %								
Description									
Description:	This multi-year funded project will implement and scientifically evaluate a leachate fraction monitoring program in conjunction with the CIRRIG web-based irrigation management program previously developed in the Auto Sprinkler Irrigation in Container Nurseries (B291) project. Scientific documentation of the water conservation and plant growth impacts from adopting a precision irrigation technology will provide crucial support for promoting the implementation of this Best Management Practice among nursery growers throughout the District								
Benefits:	There are over 5,000 acr about 1.7 million gallons save over 85 million gallo amount of nutrient leachin function of the number of climatic conditions. Inform conservation efforts and savings of up to 43% can capture, which are incorp by this project.	es of nursery production of water per acre. If this ons per year. In addition ng which would impair acres planted and the nation from this project the District's FARMS p be expected if irrigation orated into the schedu	n in the District and typical s project would reduce wat n, this reduced water use c water quality. The amount r water use, which will cha could be used by the Distr rogram. Based on initial fie n is based on evapotransp ing tool being developed for	Ily they are permitted for er use by 1% it would ould decrease the of water saved will be a nge annually based on rict's regulatory program, eld testing, water use biration and irrigation or improved grower use					
Costs:	Total project cost: \$166,0 District: \$166,000 with \$6 future years.	000 i0,000 requested in FY	2016 and \$106,000 anticip	bated to be requested in					
		Funding							
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Funding	Future Funding	Total Funding					
District	0	60,00	0 106,000	166,000					
Total:	\$0	\$60,00	\$106,000	\$166,000					

Project No. B405	IFAS Research - Eliminating Sprinkler Irrigation Use in Strawberry Transplant Establishment								
Project Category	Data - Inst of Food & Agricultural Sciences Resrch								
AOR(s)	Water Supply:100 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:0 %Natural Systems:0 %								
Description									
Description: Benefits:	 This multi-year funded project will determine if planting methodologies can reduce the amount of water needed to establish strawberry plants at the beginning of the season. Typically Florida strawberry growers plant bare root plants that require significant sprinkler irrigation to maintain a cool microclimate for the survival of the crown and establishment of new root growth. Water requirements of transplant plugs and crop additives will be evaluated to determine if the establishment water use can be reduced, and if this methodology will impact yield and fruit timing. New planting methodology using transplant plugs and crop additives, if proven effective in this 								
	timing for the strawberry	growers.	ment of strav	voerry plants wh	lie retaining yield and				
Costs:	Total project cost: \$167,0 District: \$167,000 with \$6 future years.	00 8,000 requested ir	FY2016 and	\$99,000 anticipa	ted to be requested in				
		Funding							
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Fundi	g Futi	ure Funding	Total Funding				
District	0	68	000	99,000	167,000				
Total:	\$0	\$68	000	\$99,000	\$167,000				

Project No. B406	IFAS Research - Using Fertigation with Center Pivot Irrigation to Save Water for Commercial Potato and Snap Bean									
Project Category	Data - Inst of Food & Agricultural Sciences Resrch									
AOR(s)	Water Supply:75 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:25 %Natural Systems:0 %									
Description										
Description:	This multi-year funded project will evaluate the potential water use savings of center pivot irrigation systems integrating fertigation as an alternative to the standard granular fertilization program, and the effect of such a system on potato and snap bean growth and yield compared to seepage irrigation. This research builds on the center pivot water use investigation of the Exploring the Feasibility of Converting Seepage to Center Pivot Irrigation (B298) project.									
Benefits:	If proven effective, the ini water use by changing th center pivot irrigation. Wh not be an acceptable pra practice can be develope	troduction of le standard g nile center pi ctice to comi ed, this may r	fertigation in rowing practi vot uses less mercial produ educe nutrie	to a center pivo ice from seepa water, if yield ucers. Addition nts migrating c	ot system ge irrigatio and growt ally if a mo ff site.	could reduce irrigation on to a more efficient th are impacted, it will ore efficient fertilization				
Costs:	Total project cost: \$400,0 District: \$400,000 with \$1 future years.	000 06,000 requ	ested in FY2	016 and \$294,	000 anticij	pated to be requested in				
		Fund	ing							
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016	Funding	Future Fu	nding	Total Funding				
District	0		106,000		294,000	400,000				
Total:	\$0		\$106,000	\$	294,000	\$400,000				

Project No. B407	IFAS Research - Reduction of Water Use for Citrus Cold Protection								
Project Category	Data - Inst of Food & Agricultural Sciences Resrch								
AOR(s)	Water Supply:100 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:0 %Natural Systems:0 %								
Description									
Description:	ription: This multi-year funded project will more accurately predict the tree leaf critical freezing temperature for groves as a season progresses. The tree leaf critical temperature threshold often changes by becoming more or less cold hardy as winter progresses. This project provides growers with an indication of their grove's potential cold hardiness-critical temperature range over the winter, which is reported to the FAWN weather system website so growers can optimize their cold protection irrigation requirements based on real-time temperatures that are occurring in their groves. This is a continuation of the Reduction of Water Use for Citrus Cold Protection (B287) project.								
Benefits:	manage the water used fr methodology by 10 perce Area would result in a wa	or cold protect or cold protect of the pern ter savings of	tion, thereb nitted citrus f about 425	y conserving water acreage within the million gallons of w	. Implemer Southern V vater per nig	ntation of this Water Use Caution ight.			
Costs:	Total project cost: \$16,50 District: \$16,500 with \$5,4 future years.	00 500 requeste	d in FY2016	and \$11,000 antic	ipated to b	be requested in			
		Fundi	ng						
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 F	unding	Future Fundin	g	Total Funding			
District	0		5,500	11	,000	16,500			
Total:	\$0		\$5,500	\$11,	,000	\$16,500			

Project No. P102	FDACS - Managing Forests for Increased Regional Water Supply								
Project Category	Data - Inst of Food & Agricultural Sciences Resrch								
AOR(s)	Water Supply:50 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:0 %Natural Systems:50 %								
		Description							
Description:	This four-year University of Florida research project, with funding support provided by the five water management districts and FDACS, will measure forest water use via groundwater and soil moisture monitoring in differently managed stands (e.g., thinning, understory management, typical silviculture). This information will be used to develop relationships between forest management techniques and water supply benefits, with broad application to regional water availability.								
Benefits:	This project will quantify the water supply benefits of several forest management practices that could be implemented on District lands and other public and private lands within the District.								
Costs:	The funding request for FY2016 is \$20,000. Funding will be used for the second year of data collection, analysis, and reporting, which began in FY2015. Funding for the first year (FY2014) was used for project initiation including site selection, equipment installation, and initial data collection. Total project cost: \$637,725 FDACS: \$101,081 Other Funding Sources: \$231,661 SFWMD: \$101,661 SJRWMD: \$101,661 District: \$101,661 with \$61,661 budgeted in prior years, \$20,000 requested in FY2016, and \$20,000 anticipated in FY2017								
		Funding							
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Funding	Future Funding	Total Funding					
District	61,661	20,000	20,000	101,661					
FDACS	70,000	0	31,081	101,081					
Other Funding Sources	151,661	40,000	40,000	231,661					
SFWMD	61,661	20,000	20,000	101,661					
SJRWMD	61,661	20,000	20,000	101,661					
Total:	\$406,644	\$100,000	\$131,081	\$637,725					

Project No. S021	Florida Forever Ancillary Costs								
Project Category	Land Acquisition								
AOR(s)	Water Supply:0 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:0 %Natural Systems:100 %								
Description									
Description:	The Florida Forever Ancillary Cost project contains monies for those items not attributable to one unique project during the budget cycle. Budgeted funds include surveys, title work, appraisals, environmental site assessments, recording and documentary stamp taxes.								
Benefits:	Pursuant to Section 373. and authorized to acquire interests or rights therein control, water storage, wa recharge, water resource and lakes."	139, Florida Statutes in fee or less than f by purchase, gift, d ater management, co and water supply de	"The governi e title to real evise, lease, e nservation an velopment, ar	ng board of the property, ease minent domair d protection of nd preservation	e district is empowered ments and other n, or otherwise for flood water resources, aquifer n of wetlands, streams,				
Costs:	The funding request for F associated with potential	Y2016 is \$150,000. land acquisitions.	Funding will b	e used for sur	veying services				
		Funding							
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Funding	Futur	e Funding	Total Funding				
District	Annual Request	150,	00 A	nnual Request	Annual Request				
Total:	N/A	\$150,0	00	N/A	N/A				

Project No. SZ00	Surplus Lands Support								
Project Category	Land Acquisition								
AOR(s)	Water Supply:0 %Flood Protection:34 %Water Quality:33 %Natural Systems:33 %								
		Description							
Description:	The mission of the Surplus Lands Assessment Program is to review the District's land holdings to ensure their support of the water supply, flood protection, water quality and natural systems areas of responsibility thereby ensuring the diligent and efficient stewardship of both land and financial resources for the citizens of Florida. The review process is intended to confirm the original acquisition purpose and current water management benefits within the four areas of responsibility, facilitate a transparent public decision-making process and, if appropriate, consider a full range of potential surplus options. After a property has been identified as a potential surplus candidate by subject matter experts and the Governing Board Subcommittee, Real Estate Services ensures the property is no longer needed for conservation or District purposes and is declared as surplus in accordance with FDEP guidelines. Once the Governing Board declares a property as surplus, Real Estate Services performs necessary due diligence, pursues any changes required to appropriately market the property for sale or transfer and processes the documents and instruments related to real estate transactions required for the disposition of District property in compliance with Florida								
Benefits:	The project will help to in by identifying properties t water resource benefits a governmental entity. Any accordance with Florida S	crease the operational hat no longer meet the and marketing them for proceeds obtained thr Statutes.	efficiency of the District's la original acquisition purpos public sale or transfer to a bugh the sale of surplus lar	and resources program se or do not provide more appropriate nds will be used in					
Costs:	The funding request for F associated with the progr	Y2016 is \$10,000. Fu am.	nding will be used for surve	eying services					
		Funding							
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Funding	Future Funding	Total Funding					
District	Annual Request	10,00	Annual Request	Annual Request					
Total:	N/A	\$10,00) N/A	N/A					

Project No. SZ64	Peace Creek - Land Acquisition Support								
Project Category	Land Acquisition								
AOR(s)	Water Supply: Water Quality:	0 % 0 %	Flood Pro	otection: ystems:	100 % 0 %				
Description									
Description:	The Upper Peace River watershed covers an area of approximately 230 square miles and is located in Polk County. The District has identified the Upper Peace River watershed, which includes the 35 mile Peace Creek Canal system, as an area that has undergone significant land alterations including land clearing, draining and re-contouring of lands for residential and commercial purposes, transportation, agriculture, recreation, timbering, power generation, ore and mineral extraction, and other land uses. These activities required extensive withdrawals of groundwater that has resulted in significant declines in the level of the Floridan aquifer and flow in the Upper Peace River. This project will restore lost basin storage, improve water quality, provide flood protection benefits and improve natural systems. In 2005, the Governing Board and Peace River Basin Board agreed to take on the responsibility to maintain and, where possible, improve the water conveyance/storage capabilities of the Peace Creek Canal. To accomplish this task,								
Benefits:	Keeping the canal clear a	and maintain	ed are requii	red to maint	ain the desig	ned flow capacity.			
Costs:	The funding request for F associated with acquiring	Y2016 is \$1 the real est	0,000. Fundate interests	ding will be u necessary	used for surve to manage the	eying services e Peace Creek Canal.			
		Fund	ling						
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016	Funding	Future	Funding	Total Funding			
District	Annual Request		10,000	Anı	nual Request	Annual Request			
Total:	N/A		\$10,000		N/A	N/A			

Project No. P280	Hydrogeological Investigation of the Lower Floridan Aquifer in Polk County								
Project Category	Aquifer Storage & Recovery Feas & Pilot Testing								
AOR(s)	Water Supply:100 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:0 %Natural Systems:0 %								
		Description	on						
Description:	This project explores the Lower Floridan aquifer (LFA) in Polk County to assess its viability as an alternative water supply (AWS) source as well as to gain a better understanding of the LFA characteristics and groundwater quality in Polk County. The District has funding for three sites and multiple sites have been identified as alternate or future sites. The sites are on properties owned by either Polk County or a few Polk County cities. At each site, if the tests on the initial exploration monitor well drilled are positive, a test production well will be constructed at the site. In addition, an aquifer performance test (APT) will be performed on the test production well to obtain transmissivity and leakance information as well as to determine the quality of the formation water. At each site, if the exploratory monitor well does not have sufficient porosity and permeability or suitable water quality, it will become a permanent monitor well of the LFA for the District. In addition, if the test production well is sufficient for use as a production well it may be a candidate for addition to a regional water supply authority in Polk County. The Crooked Lake site is the								
Benefits:	The data gathered from t source, enhance ground the LFA as an AWS sour also add to the geologic i potential withdrawal-relat water quality and quantity authority established in P	he well(s) will in vater modeling of ce in areas facir nputs in the Dis ed impacts to w. v are suitable, th olk County as a	prove the District of the LFA, and of ing future water s trictwide Regular ater resources in e water may be n additional sources	ct's understanding determine the prace supply deficits. Date tion Model (DWRM in the District. If the used by the region irce of public water	of this potential AWS cticality of developing ta from this project will M) for the LFA to assess tests prove that the nal water supply r supply.				
Costs:	Total project cost: \$12,000,000 District: \$12,000,000 with \$6,000,000 budgeted in prior years, \$2,000,000* requested in FY2016, and \$4,000,000 anticipated to be requested in future years.								
		Funding			readed man the project				
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Fur	ding Fut	ture Fundina	Total Funding				
District	6,000,000	2,	010,941	4,000,000	12,010,941				
Total:	\$6,000,000	\$2,0	10,941	\$4,000,000	\$12,010,941				

Project No. B099	Abandoned Well Plugging Program (QWIP)								
Project Category	Well Plugging								
AOR(s)	Water Supply: Water Quality:	0 % 100 %	Flood Pro Natural S	otection: 0 % ystems: 0 %					
	Description								
Description:	The FY2016 funding request is for the continuance of the District's Quality of Water Improvement Program (QWIP) which provides funding assistance to landowners for the proper abandonment of artesian wells. Pursuant to F.S. Ch. 373.206, any abandoned artesian well having a detrimental impact on the District's water resources must be properly plugged. The program provides up to 100% of the funding for well abandonment in qualified counties. The maximum reimbursement per well is \$6,000, and the annual maximum per landowner is \$18,000. Approximately 200 wells are abandoned each year.								
Benefits:	The abandonment of well deteriorated or improperly from deteriorated or insuf in aquifer contamination a	s prevents f constructe ficient casir and/or waste	the waste and d water wells g depths allo eful flow to th	d contamination of pota Multiple aquifers can wing waters of various e surface.	ble water from become interconnected qualities to mix, resulting				
Costs:	The funding request for F plugging reimbursements funding to Manatee and S approximately \$14 million	Y2016 is \$5 to landown Sarasota co since its in	589,360. Fur ers and \$25, unties for wel ception in 19	nding includes \$564,36 000 in contracted servi I abandonment oversig 74.	0 in grants for 235 well ces for the subsidy ht. Total project cost is				
		Fund	ding						
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016	Funding	Future Funding	Total Funding				
District	Annual Request		25,000	Annual Reque	st Annual Request				
Total:	N/A		\$25,000	N	A N/A				

Project No. B821	Stormwater Management - Weeki Wachee Springs and Ellie Schiller Homosassa Springs Wildlife State Parks								
Project Category	Strmwtr Improv - Water Quality								
AOR(s)	Water Supply:0 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:100 %Natural Systems:0 %								
		Descri	ption						
Description:	This project involves the implementation of surface water quality improvement projects at the Weeki Wachee Springs State Park and the Ellie Schiller Homosassa Wildlife State Park. As a result of land use practices, nutrient levels in Weeki Wachee Springs and Homosassa Springs have been increasing for the past several decades. Untreated stormwater has been identified as a source of nutrient loading and sediment contribution to those systems. Both springs have been identified as impaired by the Florida Department of Environmental Protection due to abundant algae caused by excess nitrogen. In April 2011, the Coastal Rivers Basin requested staff evaluate options to further address water quality issues in the springs. District staff met with Florida Department of Environmental Protection and stormwater retrofit projects associated with the Weeki Wachee and Homosassa state parks. Cardno TBE Group, the District's consultant, completed the Stormwater BMP analysis and generated a report in September 2012. Cardno TBE identified a total of 19 potential improvements for water quality. 15 of these are for Weeki Wachee and 4 are for Homosassa. The benefits of corrective action associated with the sites are categorized as either moderate or low. Of the 19 sites, 4 were ranked as moderate, all of these are in Weeki Wachee. All of the Homosassa projects are ranked low and address stormwater management of the main parking area. The Weeki Wachee projects ranked as having moderate environmental impacts include (1) improvements along and east of U.S. 19 to reduce sediment transport, (2) reduce impervious surfaces within the Buccaneer Bay Attraction, (3) canoe launch site soil stabilization and (4) canoe launch pick-up and drop-off site improvements. The District will complete the design, permitting, and construction to projects (3) canoe launch site soil stabilization and (4) canoe launch pick-up and drop-off site improvements.								
Benefits:	The current project to be Wachee River via the car stabilization of soils at the degraded parking area ne	implemented noe launch a e ramp entra ear the launc	d will reduce Ind canoe lau Ince to the W ch.	stormwater pollutants er unch parking area. The p /eeki Wachee River and	ntering the Weeki roject entails the the improvements to the				
Costs:	Total project cost: \$350,0 District: \$350,000 with \$5	00 0,000 budge	eted in prior y	years and \$300,000 requ	ested in FY2016.				
		Fund	ling						
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016	Funding	Future Funding	Total Funding				
District	50,000		300,000	0	350,000				
Total:	\$50,000		\$300,000	\$0	\$350,000				

Project No. H014	Stormwater Improveme System	ents - Wa	ter Quality - Lake Ha	ncock Outfall Treatment						
Project Category	Strmwtr Improv - Water Quality									
AOR(s)	Water Supply:0 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:100 %Natural Systems:0 %									
	Description									
Description:	The Lake Hancock projects the Upper Peace River, imp Harbor, an estuary of nation Project is to improve water Peace River. Historical data sub-basins in the Peace Riv the Peace River, yet contrib load. Nitrogen has been ide Peace River and preventing Management (SWIM) priori blooms during periods of low wildlife associated directly v surface water supply system Authority. Many of the basin by the Florida Department of requiring that Total Maximu from Lake Hancock has bee loads into the Peace River a Florida Plantation developm treatment project. A feasibil wetland system to achieve to in February 2006. Geotechn completed in June 2014. Th project will commence oper as the system commences vegetation cover and specie than standard imagery and A dense stand of herbaceou separate, but related task in objective of habitat enhance migratory birds. Polk Count District-owned lands on the expansion. This is consisten Memorandum of Agreemen Polk County.	s are critica proving wat nal significa quality disc a has show ver Waters putes appro- entified as t g degradati ty water bo w flows and with the rive m, operated ns along the of Environn im Daily Lo en identifie and Charlo nent proper lity study w the project nical testing ne District i ation in 20 operation. es over the will allow fo us wetland ncludes hal ement is to ty has indic	I in the District's strategie ter quality in the Peace R ance. The goal of the Lak charging from Lake Hance <i>in</i> that the Saddle Creek hed, contributes approxim oximately 13 percent of the the primary target nutrien ion of Charlotte Harbor, a ody. The Peace River ecc d warm weather. These e er and estuary, but also a d by the Peace River/Ma e Peace River, including nental Protection as impa- pads be established. Wat d as the most cost effect to the Harbor. The District a rty with the intent of using as completed in 2005 wf goals. The Governing Br g and design was complet s responsible for long-ter 15. Funds are budgeted Annual aerial imagery is a 1,000-acre area. The im or assessment of plant re species is paramount to bitat enhancement on ad maintain a vegetated co ated interest in creating a of South Saddle Creek for overall Peace River Bas ake Hancock Lake Level	es for meeting the minimum flows in liver, and protecting Charlotte (e Hancock Outfall Treatment ock through Saddle Creek to the drainage basin, one of nine mately 6 percent of the total flow of ne watershed's total annual nitrogen it in restoring water quality in the a Surface Water Improvement and osystem routinely suffers from algae events not only affect the fish and affect the region's largest potable nasota Regional Water Supply Lake Hancock, have been identified aired under the Clean Water Act, er quality treatment of discharges ive means of reducing nitrogen acquired the 3,500-acre, planned Old g a portion of the site for the outfall nich recommended a treatment oard approved this recommendation ated in 2010. Construction was rm operation and maintenance. The annually in SWIM for aerial imagery a cost effective means of assessing nagery will be at higher resolution secutiment by species and coverage. water quality treatment. Another ljacent District-owned parcels. The orridor along South Saddle Creek for and enhancing wetlands on or mitigation needed for landfill in Management Plan and the Project between the District and						
Denents.	improve water quality in the Program. Water quality imp natural systems along the ri habitat where historic minin	Upper Pea provements iver. The cl ig has alter	ace River which is listed in the Upper Peace River reation of a 1,000-acre fu red the landscape.	as impaired under the TMDL er from the project will improve unctional marsh system will provide						
Costs:	Total project cost: \$24,373, Florida Forever Trust Fund: State Appropriation: \$1,750 Water Protection Sustainab US Environmental Protectio Water Management Lands West-Central Florida Water State SWIM: \$653,474 District: \$1,786,805* * An additional \$12,000 is re monitoring associated with	433 : \$13,435,4 0,000 bility Trust F on Agency: Trust Fund r Restoration equested in the project	446 Fund: \$325,000 \$773,700 d: \$4,649,008 on Action Plan: \$1,000,0 n FY2016 for aerial imag	00 ery for vegetation assessment and						

Project No. H014	Stormwater Improvements - Water Quality - Lake Hancock Outfall Treatment System									
	Funding									
Funding Source	Prior Funding FY2016 Funding Future Funding Total Funding									
District	1,786,805	12,000	0	1,798,805						
FFTF	13,435,446	0	0	13,435,446						
State Appr	1,750,000	0	0	1,750,000						
State SWIM	653,474	0	0	653,474						
USEPA	773,700	0	0	773,700						
WMLTF	4,649,008	0	0	4,649,008						
WPSTF	325,000	0	0	325,000						
WRAP	1,000,000	0	0	1,000,000						
Total:	\$24,373,433	\$12,000	\$0	\$24,385,433						

Project No. P704	SW IMP - Water Quality - Weeki Wachee Rogers Park LID								
Project Category	Strmwtr Improv - Water Quality								
AOR(s)	Water Supply:0 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:100 %Natural Systems:0 %								
Description									
Description:	Design, permit, and construct stormwater improvements at Rogers Park, which is adjacent to the spring-fed Weeki Wachee River.								
Benefits:	Water quality improvement by reducing sediment, nutrient, and other contaminant loads to the Weeki Wachee River, a first magnitude spring system and Outstanding Florida Water.								
Costs:	Total project cost: \$450,0 Hernando County: \$225,0 District: \$225,000 with \$1 * The total funding reques from Hernando County si	00 000 75,000 budget st for FY2016 i nce the Distric	ted in prior s \$100,00 t is the lea	[•] years and \$50 0, which includ d party.),000* reqi es \$50,00	uested in FY2016. 0 in outside revenue			
		Fundin	g						
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Fu	Inding	Future Fu	nding	Total Funding			
District	175,000		50,000		0	225,000			
Hernando County	175,000		50,000		0	225,000			
Total:	\$350,000	\$	100,000		\$0	\$450,000			

Project No. W291	Stormwater Improvement - Water Quality - Hillsborough River Water Quality Improvement Project (Rogers)							
Project Category	Strmwtr Improv - Water Quality							
AOR(s)	Water Supply: Water Quality:	Vater Supply:0 %Flood Protection:0 %Vater Quality:80 %Natural Systems:20 %						
		Description						
Description:	This project is an FY2013 Surface Water Improvement and Management program initiative consisting of the hydrologic and habitat restoration of impacted wetland and upland habitat along the Hillsborough River on property owned and managed by the City of Tampa (City). The project area is approximately 150 acres within the boundaries of an active municipal golf course. Proposed water quality improvements include deepening existing water features on the site and incorporating littoral shelves within the course's water features, which will increase residence time and thus decrease the nitrogen load discharging into the Hillsborough River. Within the site's upland habitats, extensive turf and exotic plant species removal is anticipated to improve habitat quality on the site and to decrease the amount of fertilizer and irrigation needed to maintain the golf course grounds. The District will take the lead in procuring the services of an engineering consultant and a construction contractor. The City will be responsible for long-term operation and maintenance of							
Benefits:	The project will reduce th needs for the site. The qu habitat value for fish and upland plant community t	e amount of runoff enter uality of runoff from the p invertebrate species in t hrough the installation o	ing the Hillsborough Rive property will also be impro he river. In addition, the p f native species.	r by decreasing watering ved, increasing the project will enhance the				
Costs:	Total project cost: \$1,000 City of Tampa: \$100,000 District: \$900,000 with \$1 * Construction costs will o over \$1 million.),000 50,000 budgeted in prio continue to be refined du	r years and \$750,000* rea	quested in FY2016. d are expected to be				
		Funding						
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Funding	Future Funding	Total Funding				
City of Tampa	100,000	0	0	100,000				
District	150,000	750,000	0	900,000				
Total:	\$250,000	\$750,000	\$0	\$1,000,000				

Project No. W471	Stormwater Improvement - Water Quality - Three Sisters Springs Wetland Treatment Project							
Project Category	Strmwtr Improv - Water Quality							
AOR(s)	Water Supply:0 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:0 %Natural Systems:100 %							
		Descr	iption					
Description:	This project will provide for the design, permitting, bid preparation, and construction of a stormwater treatment wetland on the Three Sisters Springs property. The treatment wetland will intercept stormwater from more than 100 acres of commercial and residential land within the City of Crystal River, improving the water quality of these waters before they discharge into Kings Bay. The Three Sisters property is co-owned by the District, the City of Crystal River, and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. The treatment wetland, once constructed, will be managed by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service who will also be managing the rest of the property as a park. In addition to providing stormwater treatment, the property will provide recreational opportunities, environmental education, and further protection of the endangered manatee. This project will also provide for the design and permitting of the Three Sisters Springs Bank Stabilization project that will address erosion and under cutting to the springs shoreline by backfilling areas with soil bags and reinforcing the shoreline with limestone rock. Construction							
Benefits:	The Three Sisters stormv stormwater that currently Improvement and Manag	vater treatm discharges ement prior	nent wetland w directly into a rity water body	vill remove r a canal conn /.	nutrients and lected to King	other pollutants from gs Bay, a Surface Water		
Costs:	The funding request for FY2016 is \$50,000. Funding will be used for the maintenance of the Three Sisters Springs Treatment Wetland. The total project cost for the design, permitting and construction of the Three Sisters Springs Treatment Wetland project was \$643,099. In addition, \$69,000 was for the design of a companion project addressing shoreline stabilization in the Three Sisters Springs Pool							
		Fun	ding					
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016	Funding	Future	Funding	Total Funding		
District	712,099		50,000		0	762,099		
Total:	\$712,099		\$50,000		\$0	\$762,099		

Project No. WH02	Homosassa South Fork Water Quality Improvement								
Project Category	Strmwtr Improv - Wat	er Quality							
AOR(s)	Water Supply:0 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:100 %Natural Systems:0 %								
Description									
Description:	The District Initiative Surface Water Improvement and Management project will provide water quality treatment of stormwater runoff from the directly connected areas north of Halls River Road (CR490A).								
Benefits:	By treating the stormwater before entering Pepper Creek and the South Fork of the Homosassa River, the improvements will directly benefit the Homosassa River Wildlife State Park and reduce pollutant loading to the Springs.								
Costs:	Total project cost: \$1,903 Citrus County: \$453,000 FDEP: \$200,000 for land District: \$1,000,000 in FY	,000 for land acquisition an acquisition 2016 for construction	d \$250,000 for design/perr (cost estimate will be refin	nitting ed as design progresses)					
		Funding							
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Funding	Future Funding	Total Funding					
Citrus County	703,000		0 0	703,000					
District	0	1,000,00	0 0	1,000,000					
FDEP	200,000		0 0	200,000					
Total:	\$903,000	\$1,000,00	0 \$0	\$1,903,000					

Project No. P301	Wastewater Disposal Treatment Wetlands - Springs Coast								
Project Category	Restoration Initiatives								
AOR(s)	Water Supply: Water Quality:	0% F 100% N	lood Pro atural S	tection: 0 ystems: 0	% %				
		Descripti	ion						
Description:	This project will assess areas within springsheds to determine sites appropriate for construction of wastewater treatment wetlands and implement the project in the selected area(s). The goal of this project is to identify the best locations for diverting wastewater treatment facility effluent prior to land application (i.e. rapid infiltration basins, sprayfield irrigation, etc.) to treatment wetlands designed for water quality improvements in the Springs Coast region								
Benefits:	This project will improve v effluent. Treatment wetlan decrease nitrogen loading	water quality by nds are efficien g to the aquifer	/ using we it at remov and sprin	tlands to treat was ring nitrogen and c gs in the region.	tewate other p	er treatment facility ollutants, and will			
Costs:	Total project cost: \$400,0 District: \$400,000 with \$1 * Future funding will be re	00* 00,000 budget equested for co	ed in prior nstruction	years and \$300,0 of the selected tre	00 req atmen	uested in FY2016. t wetland.			
		Fundin	g						
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Fu	nding	Future Fundi	ng	Total Funding			
District	100,000		300,000		0	400,000			
Total:	\$100,000	\$3	300,000		\$0	\$400,000			

Project No. P707	Springs Aquatic Vegetation Restoration							
Project Category	Restoration Initiative	s						
AOR(s)	Water Supply: Water Quality:	0 % 25 %	Flood Pro	otection: ystems:	0 % 75 %			
		Descr	iption					
Description:	The purpose of this project is to restore aquatic habitat and water quality in spring systems throughout the District. The majority of District springs have experienced declines in historical aquatic vegetation which has led to degraded habitat and reduced aesthetic value and other ecosystem services. This project aims to restore aquatic vegetation, water quality, and habitat by using innovative techniques such as berbivore exclusion devices and pre-planted coir mats							
Benefits:	This project will restore a removal in District spring Management priority wate Chassahowitzka River, a	quatic habit systems, in er bodies: R nd Weeki W	at and associ cluding the fo ainbow River /achee River.	ated ecosys blowing Suri , Crystal Riv	stem services face Water In ver/Kings Bay	s such as nutrient nprovement and y, Homosassa River,		
Costs:	The funding request for F revegetation activities inc monitoring.	Y2016 is \$ luding aqua	300,000. Fundation	ding will be a production	used for impl , installation,	ementation of SAV maintenance, and		
		Fund	ding					
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016	Funding	Future	Funding	Total Funding		
District	Annual Request		300,000	Anr	nual Request	Annual Request		
Total:	N/A		\$300,000		N/A	N/A		

Project No. W312	Restoration - Tampa Bay Habitat Restoration Regional Coordination							
Project Category	Restoration Initiatives							
AOR(s)	Water Supply: Water Quality:	0 % Flood Pro 0 % Natural S	otection: 0 % Systems: 100 %					
		Description						
Description:	This project provides funds for general support to Surface Water Improvement and Management (SWIM) habitat restoration efforts for Tampa Bay. Limited project construction expenses are budgeted under this activity code. Additionally, this project includes funds for non-native plant maintenance at the habitat restoration sites. Lastly, funds for this project allow planning of future projects, and facilitate SWIM involvement with various environmental committees and task forces (e.g., various committees of the Tampa Bay Estuary Program (TBEP), Tampa Bay Regional Planning Coupcil, etc.)							
Benefits:	This project is important f planning of existing and f programs. If funds are no restoration projects for Ta meeting its (and the TBE bay, habitats which have uses of the resource.	for meeting managemen uture projects is a critica at allocated, then SWIM's ampa Bay will be compro P's) goals to restore imp suffered great losses be	t goals of SWIM and the l component of long term s ability to successfully pe omised. Accordingly, the I ortant habitats (and impro- ecause of land developme	TBEP. Coordination and success of both erform their habitat District will not be ove water quality) for the ent and other human				
Costs:	The funding request for FY2016 is \$40,000. Funding will be used to implement various aspects of Tampa Bay restoration projects in accordance with the Tampa Bay SWIM Plan. Restoration aspects include costs associated with needs such as: wetland and upland plants, non-native plant removal, limited earthmoving, construction management supplies, expenses associated with volunteer marsh planting events, supplementary archaeological, geotechnical, or topographic survey needs, field supplies, and requested project site tours and presentations for various environmental groups, scientific conference, attendence, and gevernmental delegations.							
		Funding						
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Funding	Future Funding	Total Funding				
District	Annual Request	40,000	Annual Request	Annual Request				
Total:	N/A	\$40,000	N/A	N/A				

Project No. W348	Restoration - Terra C	eia Ecosy	/stem Resto	ration Ph	ases 1 & 2			
Project Category	Restoration Initiatives							
AOR(s)	Water Supply: Water Quality:	0 % 0 %	Flood Pro Natural Sy	tection: vstems:	0 % 100 %			
		Desci	ription					
Description:	Aquatic and Park Preserves habitat enhancement and restoration project. The Teffa Cela Cela Ecosystem Restoration Project is a multi-year, phased project. The Teffa Cela Improvement and Management (SWIM) initiative, is located in the southeastern reaches of Tampa Bay (Manatee County). This large, multi-phased project is being cooperatively implemented with the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP). Phase 1 of the Terra Ceia Ecosystem Restoration Project restored a total of 843 acres, including freshwater and estuarine wetlands and coastal uplands. Construction of Phase 1 was completed in December 2013 and plant maintenance is ongoing. The Phase 2 Ecosystem Restoration Project is located on the Huber Tract and Frog Creek Borrow Pit parcels. Restoration plans include restoration and enhancement of freshwater and estuarine wetlands and coastal uplands on the 285 acre Huber Tract. Creation of freshwater littoral zones is anticipated for the Frog Creek parcel along with upland enhancement and non-native plant removal and control. The area of freshwater creation will not be known until final design of the Huber Tract is complete to provide the volume of fill available for creation of the wetlands.							
Benefits:	The Phase 1 and Phase 2 the Tampa Bay Estuary F restoration, and manager habitat mosaics): estuarin gradients and low salinity the project provides valua of the Phase 1 project are and intertidal wetlands the strides to meet bay water stormwater treatment. Im opportunities for many dif species, bird populations mammals. Upon complet	2 Projects a Program (T ment of 843 he and fres habitats w able habitats true resto at were fille quality goa proved hat ffering spec , a host of i ion, Phase	are important in BEP). The Pha 3 acres of vario hwater wetland ere emphasize to for Tampa B tration in that s ed to create a p als of both SW bitat values and cies of coastal nvertebrate sp 2 will provide s	n meeting r ase 1 Projections habitats ds, transitio ed to improver ay fisheries ections of t proposed fir IM and TBE d the additions wildlife, increasing ecies (crab similar habi	nanagement ct involved the typical of coa nal habitats, a ve fisheries pro- s and other co- he site histori nger-fill subdir EP are addres on of habitat a lusive of com s, shrimp, over tats and benefic	plan goals of SWIM and e enhancement, astal ecosystems (i.e., and uplands. Salinity roduction. Accordingly, pastal species. Portions ically were bay bottom vision. In addition, ssed via improved acreages provide mercial and sport-fishing sters, etc.), and small efits.		
Costs:	Total cost for the completed Phase 1 Ecosystem Restoration was approximately \$7.5 million which includes land acquisition. Design is ongoing for the Phase 2 Terra Ceia Ecosystem Restoration Project and it was originally estimated that total construction costs would be approximately \$5.0 million. Funds budgeted in FY2015 will be allowed to lapse. Upon analysis of the geotechnical data it was determined that the soils on the majority of the site were not conducive for estuarine creation which reduced wetland construction costs. Funds proposed in FY2016 will be used for upland restoration and enhancement and are expected to cost \$400,000. Future funds will be budgeted for wetland creation/restoration.							
		Fun	ding					
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016	6 Funding	Future	Funding	Total Funding		
District	0		380,000		1,000,000	1,380,000		
TBEP	0		20,000		0	20,000		
Total:	\$0		\$400,000		\$1,000,000	\$1,400,000		

Project No. W446	Restoration - Hunter Springs Cove Living Shoreline - Kings Bay							
Project Category	Restoration Initiatives							
AOR(s)	Water Supply: Water Quality:	0 % Flood Pro 0 % Natural S	otection: 0 % ystems: 100 %					
		Description						
Description:	This project is for the construction of living shorelines at Hunter Springs Park, Kings Bay, which coincides with renovation of the park by the City of Crystal River, which may remove sections of an old seawall in disrepair and reduce erosion by creating desirable emergent marsh vegetation and submerged aquatic vegetation (SAV). District staff and the City of Crystal River have integrated living shorelines and SAV re-vegetation as part of the overall park design. Design and permitting for the park including the shoreline is being funded by the City. Requested funding for FY2016 is for construction of the living shorelines and the construction of the park. Future phases of this project may include additional living shoreline construction and SAV re-vegetation in other areas of Hunters Cove and possibly other identified areas of Kings Bay.							
Benefits:	The Florida Legislature, ti directed the state's water the improvement and mai project will improve aquat District staff have analyze concluded that Hunter Sp while providing significant this project will be directly Coast systems.	hrough the Surface Wate management districts to nagement of surface wat tic habitat and water qua ed the restoration potenti orings Cove will provide t t ecological improvement applicable to future rest	er Improvement and Man "design and implement p rer". The Hunter Springs lity in the northeastern se al of many areas of Kings he maximum return on th ts to the cove and the bay oration projects in Kings	agement Act of 1987, plans and programs for Cove Living Shoreline agment of Kings Bay. Is Bay and have District's investment, y. Lessons learned from Bay and other Springs				
Costs:	The funding request for F Hunter Springs Park.	Y2016 is \$500,000. Fur	nding will be used to cons	struct living shorelines at				
		Funding						
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Funding	Future Funding	Total Funding				
District	0	500,000	0	500,000				
Total:	\$0	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000				

Project No. W447	Three Sisters Springs Bank Stabilization								
Project Category	Restoration Initiatives								
AOR(s)	Water Supply:0 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:0 %Natural Systems:100 %								
		Descri	ption						
Description:	This project will provide for the design, bid preparation, construction engineering and inspection, and construction of a bank stabilization project to address shoreline erosion of the Three Sisters Springs. The bank stabilization project will restore shoreline by backfilling eroded and under cut areas with soil bags and reinforcing the shoreline with limestone rock. The Three Sisters property is co-owned by the District, the City of Crystal River, and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. The shoreline stabilization project, once constructed, will be managed by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.								
Benefits:	The Three Sisters Spring future erosion.	s bank stabi	lization proje	ect will resto	ore the erodec	I shoreline and prevent			
Costs:	The funding request for F stabilization project arour	Y2016 is \$5 nd the Three	70,000. Fur Sisters Spri	nding will be ngs.	e used for con	struction of the shoreline			
		Fund	ling						
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016	Funding	Future	Funding	Total Funding			
District	0		570,000		0	570,000			
Total:	\$0		\$570,000		\$0	\$570,000			

Project No. W450	Kings Bay Sediment Removal									
Project Category	Restoration Initiatives									
AOR(s)	Water Supply: Water Quality:	0 % Flood I 25 % Natura	Protection: 0 % Systems: 75 %							
		Description								
Description:	This is a multi-year project designed to remove accumulated organic sediments from northeastern Kings Bay. The organic sediments are mostly a legacy of dead aquatic plants and algae, which cover the sand bottom that historically supported dense submerged aquatic vegetation, particularly eelgrass (Vallisneria Americana). This sediment removal project has several phases with Phase I beginning in 2014. Phase I (Conceptual Design) will provide (1) a detailed hydrographic survey, (2) a thorough characterization of existing sediments, and (3) conceptual designs for sediment removal in multiple sections of northeastern Kings Bay. District staff will use this information to develop sediment removal projects for specific areas. Phase II (Construction Design & Permitting) will provide (1) final construction drawings, (2) all required permits, and (3) a Request for Bid package for construction. Phase III (Construction) will commence upon selection of a contractor. Completion of Phase III will be contingent upon meeting all predetermined success criteria as specified in the final construction drawings and specifications. Phase IV (Re-vegetation) will follow sediment removal activities and may occur concurrently with Phase III as areas are cleared for									
Benefits:	This project will improve a that cover the sand bottor	aquatic habitat in nort n, which will provide	neastern Kings Bay by remo he appropriate conditions fo	oving organic sediments or SAV re-vegetation.						
Costs:	Total project cost: \$1,400 District: \$1,400,000 with \$ \$800,000 anticipated to b	,000 3300,000 budgeted in e requested in future	prior years, \$300,000 requi years.	ested in FY2016, and						
		Funding								
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Funding	Future Funding	Total Funding						
District	300,000	300,0	800,000	1,400,000						
Total:	\$300,000	\$300,00	0 \$800,000	\$1,400,000						

Project No. W553	Restoration - Coral Creek Ecosystem Restoration									
Project Category	Restoration Initiatives									
AOR(s)	Water Supply:0 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:0 %Natural Systems:100 %									
		Description								
Description:	This multi-year project is a Surface Water Improvement and Management program initiative consisting of the hydrologic and habitat restoration of degraded and impacted wetlands. The project area is approximately 2,600 acres. Proposed restoration of the creek includes restoration and enhancement of historic and man-made creek channels, removal of invasive, exotic vegetation, and construction of stormwater treatment features to improve water quality for Charlotte Harbor. The District is the lead agency in procuring the services of an engineering consultant and a construction contractor. The FDEP will be the entity responsible for long-term operation and maintenance of the site									
Benefits:	The project will provide re presently-untreated storm	estoration of impacted we nwater flows into the Dist	etlands and water quality rict and FDEP-owned pro	treatment for bject area.						
Costs:	Total project cost: \$3,395 Charlotte County: \$143,0 Ecosystem Trust Fund: \$ State SWIM: \$134,106 Water Management Land Water Protection Sustain District: \$2,309,307 with \$	i,846 000 \$250,000 Is Trust Fund: \$208,260 ability Trust Fund: \$351 \$1,459,307 budgeted in	,173 prior years and \$850,000	requested in FY2016.						
		Funding								
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Funding	Future Funding	Total Funding						
Charlotte County	143,000	0	0	143,000						
District	1,459,307	850,000	0	2,309,307						
Ecosystem TF	250,000	0	0	250,000						
State SWIM	134,106	0	0	134,106						
WMLTF	208,260	0	0	208,260						
WPSTF	351,173	0	0	351,173						
Total:	\$2,545,846	\$850,000	\$0	\$3,395,846						

Project No. P104	Springs Coast Nutrient Source Location Evaluation								
Project Category	Springs - Water Quality								
AOR(s)	Water Supply:0 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:100 %Natural Systems:0 %								
Description									
Description:	This project is for the modeling of groundwater to identify the specific primary source areas of nutrient and related recharge water within the springs coast springshed and develop strategies for cost-effective improvement of the water quantity and water quality of District projects. The project will provide nutrient loading hot spot identification to the District for the Kings Bay, Homosassa, Chassahowitzka, and Weeki Wachee springsheds, Surface Water Improvement and Management priority water bodies and first magnitude spring systems.								
Benefits:	This project will identify n potential cost effective pr	utrient loading ojects to addre	hot spots ss the nut	within the spring rient loading.	js coast s	springshed and identify			
Costs:	Total project cost: \$90,00 FDEP: \$45,000 District: \$45,000 with \$4	00 5,000 requeste	d in FY20	16					
		Fundin	g						
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Fu	nding	Future Fun	ding	Total Funding			
District	0		45,000		0	45,000			
FDEP	0		45,000		0	45,000			
Total:	\$0	:	\$90,000		\$0	\$90,000			

Project No. D034	FDOT Mitigation Project - Bahia Beach - SW 78									
Project Category	District Mitigation for FDOT Projects									
AOR(s)	Water Supply:0 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:0 %Natural Systems:100 %									
		Descri	ption							
Description:	The Bahia Beach restora project. The Bahia Beach acres acquired by Hillsbo Program. Construction w maintenance and monitor Corps of Engineers (ACC requiring mitigation as we	The Bahia Beach restoration project is a Florida Department of Transportation wetland mitigation project. The Bahia Beach project is located in the town of Ruskin, adjacent to Tampa Bay on 148 acres acquired by Hillsborough County through its Environmental Lands Acquisition and Protection Program. Construction was completed in late 2013 and is being followed by five years of maintenance and monitoring. FY2016 funding will be used for monitoring required by the US Army Corps of Engineers (ACOE) permits issued for the roadways that caused the wetland impacts roadwing mitiation and well as some meintenance activity.								
Benefits:	The creation/enhanceme historical wetland losses benefit from this project of creation of 12.3 acres of wetland, and 2.4 acres of existing forested wetlands	nt of estuarin near Tampa lue to the res saltwater ma upland buff s, 49 acres c	ne and fresh Bay. Fisheri storation of v arsh, 36.3 ac er. The proje of existing sa	water wetlar es species a vetlands nea res of freshv ect also invo It marsh, an	nds will help o and various o ar the bay. Th water marsh, lves the enha d 9 acres of o	offset some of the coastal bird species will he project involves the 6.6 acres of forested ancement of 32 acres of existing hardwoods.				
Costs:	The funding request for FY2016 is \$60,000. Funding will be used for consultant services that will include monitoring and revised UMAM assessments (\$40,000), and for contracted construction services for minor repairs that are not included in the existing 5-year maintenance and monitoring budget (\$20,000). All costs are fully reimbursable through the FDOT Mitigation Program.									
		Fund	ling							
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016	Funding	Future	Funding	Total Funding				
FDOT	1,476,194		60,000		0	1,536,194				
Total:	\$1,476,194		\$60,000		\$0	\$1,536,194				

Project No. D036	FDOT Mitigation - Hidden Harbour								
Project Category	District Mitigation for FDOT Projects								
AOR(s)	Water Supply:0 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:0 %Natural Systems:100 %								
		Description							
Description:	The Hidden Harbor tract was acquired by Manatee County in 2004 for coastal resource preservation. Portions of the tract were nominated and approved for inclusion into the District's Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Mitigation Program in 2005. The site is comprised of coastal hammocks and mangroves along Gamble Creek and the Manatee River. The mitigation project will treat the invasive Brazilian pepper that has colonized these coastal systems. Additional habitat improvement includes the restoration ecreation and enhancement of a freshwater marsh in the interior of the tract. FY2016 funds include and additional \$110,240 to supplement the funding approved in FY2015 for construction of the project. An additional \$40,000 is included for FY2016 for contractual services to conduct monitoring of the mitigation site to satisfy permitting requirement.								
Benefits:	This FDOT Mitigation Pro associated with 3 FDOT	oject will provide mitigati roadway improvement p	on sufficient to offset 7.5	7 acres of wetland impact					
Costs:	The funding request for FY2016 is \$150,240. Costs include design, environmental permitting, construction, and control of nuisance and exotic plants. The District and the County will enter into a long-term monitoring and maintenance of the project using additional funds. All costs are fully reimbursable through the FDOT Mitigation Program.								
		Funding							
Funding Source	Prior Funding FY2016 Funding Future Funding Total Funding								
FDOT	606,207	150,240	0	756,447					
Total:	\$606,207	\$150,240	\$0	\$756,447					

Project No. D037	Balm Boyette - Stallion Hammock Wetland Restoration								
Project Category	District Mitigation for	FDOT Pro	ojects						
AOR(s)	Water Supply:0 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:0 %Natural Systems:100 %								
		Descr	iption						
Description:	The Balm Boyette Scrub Preserve is a 4,933-acre tract acquired by Hillsborough County Parks, Recreation and Conservation Department through their Environmental Lands Acquisition Program (ELAPP). The majority of the mitigation project will be constructed under W398. FY2016 funding will be used to monitor and maintain an approximately 1 acre freshwater marsh created to satisfy permitting requirements for permits issued by the US Army Corps of Engineers to construct two roadway segments								
Benefits:	The objective of the proje	ect includes	creation of 1	acre of free	shwater marsh	1.			
Costs:	The funding request for F and maintenance (\$5,000 Transportation Mitigation	Y2016 is \$2)). All costs Program.	25,000. Fund are fully reim	ling will be hbursable tl	used for wetla prough the Flo	and monitoring (\$20,000) brida Department of			
		Fund	ding						
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016	Funding	Future	Funding	Total Funding			
FDOT	0		25,000		0	25,000			
Total:	\$0		\$25,000		\$0	\$25,000			

Project No. D038	FDOT Mitigation Project - Ekker Tract - SW 81								
Project Category	District Mitigation for FDOT Projects								
AOR(s)	Water Supply:0 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:0 %Natural Systems:100 %								
Description									
Description:	The Ekker Property Restoration Project is located in the southeastern reaches of Hillsborough Bay of Tampa Bay, along Bullfrog Creek. Lands for the project were co-purchased by the District and Hillsborough County specifically for restoration and proper management. Funding received from the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Mitigation Program assisted in the construction phases. FY2016 funding will be used for monitoring of the mitigation area in accordance with US Army Corps of Engineers permit requirements.								
Benefits:	The project is important t component of a larger ec project is part of the resto and Management Plan an project with Hillsborough Bay estuarine ecosystem habitats, freshwater wetla	o the integrity and re osystem restoration oration activities ider nd the CCMP of the County and represe with additional low ands, and uplands.	storation of po project for this ified in the Tar Tampa Bay Es ats 85 acres of alinity tidal cre	tions of Bullfro region of Tam npa Bay Surfa tuary Program restoration tha ek habitats, m	og Creek, being a pa Bay. This restoration ace Water Improvement . This is a cooperative at will provide the Tampa arshes, transitional				
Costs:	The funding request for F monitoring of the mitigation Program.	Y2016 is \$20,000. on areas. All costs a	unding will be re fully reimbu	used for cons sable through	ultant services, including the FDOT Mitigation				
		Funding							
Funding Source	Prior Funding FY2016 Funding Future Funding Total Funding								
FDOT	565,975	20,	000	0	585,975				
Total:	\$565,975	\$20,	00	\$0	\$585,975				

Project No. D040	FDOT Mitigation - Maintenance and Monitoring								
Project Category	District Mitigation for FDOT Projects								
AOR(s)	Water Supply:0 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:0 %Natural Systems:100 %								
		Description							
Description:	This project is for activities that are required for the perpetual management of Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Mitigation Projects. FY2016 funding will be used for monitoring and maintenance of numerous FDOT Mitigation Projects as needed.								
Benefits:	Perpetual management a improved habitat conditio retained. Additionally, this that have been issued to	ctivities that includes m ns, which serves as mit s work is necessary for t them.	aintenance and monitorin igation for FDOT road imp he FDOT to remain in cor	g ensures that the provement projects, are mpliance with permits					
Costs:	The funding request for F and monitoring (\$720,000 associated with maintaini Program.	Y2016 is \$1,188,000. I)) of the mitigation sites ng the sites. All costs a	Funding will be used for m and for miscellaneous pe re fully reimbursable throu	aintenance (\$468,000) rmits and fees igh the FDOT Mitigation					
		Funding							
Funding Source	Prior Funding FY2016 Funding Future Funding Total Funding								
FDOT	Annual Request	1,188,000	Annual Request	Annual Request					
Total:	N/A	\$1,188,000	N/A	N/A					

Project No. D050	FDOT Mitigation - Colt Creek State Park								
Project Category	District Mitigation for FDOT Projects								
AOR(s)	Water Supply:0 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:0 %Natural Systems:100 %								
		Descript	tion						
Description:	The Colt Creek State Par project will restore and er wetlands within the Colt O to utilize the Florida Depa forested wetlands within the has been extensively dito previous landowners. The wetlands. The project inco ditch blocks, berm modifie The restoration of the site	The Colt Creek State Parks covers 5,067 acres in northwestern Polk County. This restoration project will restore and enhance the hydrologic and ecologic function to 1,220-acres of impacted wetlands within the Colt Creek State Park and the adjacent Fusell Tract. The overall project goal is to utilize the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) mitigation program for the restoration of forested wetlands within the Withlacoochee River and Hillsborough River watersheds. The property has been extensively ditched and drained to facilitate mining and agricultural operations by previous landowners. The changes have negatively influenced the historical hydroperiod of the wetlands. The project includes engineering design, environmental permitting and construction of ditch blocks, berm modifications and culvert replacements to accomplish the hydrologic restoration. The restoration of the site's wetlands will take place in three construction phases.							
Benefits:	The overall project goal is enhancement of 699.40 a acres in the Hillsborough Fussell Tract, which is cu	s to utilize the acres of wetlan River basin, w rrently in the d	FDOT mitig nd habitats with addition design stage	ation prog within the V al acreage e.	am for the re Vithlacoochee to be restore	storation and River basin and 188.32 d and enhanced on the			
Costs:	The funding request for FY2016 is \$840,000. Funding will be used for construction of the third phase of the project in addition to maintenance of areas already constructed (\$800,000), and services to perform semi-annual monitor reports for the mitigation areas as required by FDOT's roadway impact permits (\$40,000). All costs are fully reimbursable through the FDOT Mitigation Program.								
		Fundir	ng						
Funding Source	Prior Funding	Prior Funding FY2016 Funding Future Funding Total Funding							
FDOT	922,262		840,000		0	1,762,262			
Total:	\$922,262	\$	6840,000		\$0	\$1,762,262			

Project No. D052	FDOT Mitigation Project - Mobbly Bayou Preserve								
Project Category	District Mitigation for FDOT Projects								
AOR(s)	Water Supply:0 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:30 %Natural Systems:70 %								
		Description							
Description:	The Mobbly Bayou Preserve project is a Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) wetland mitigation project that will restore habitat and improve water quality within the Mobbly Bay Wilderness Preserve and Old Tampa Bay. The Preserve, jointly owned by Pinellas County and the City of Oldsmar, is an approximately 380-acre site located within the municipality of Oldsmar. The first phase of the project initiated through the District's Surface Water Improvement and Management Program (SWIM) (W329) included design and permitting and the removal of exotic plant species. The scope of the second phase of the project (D052) encompasses both County and City managed areas and will be accomplished by removing spoil mounds along miles of mosquito ditches thereby improving tidal flow and mangrove habitat. The project was adopted to the FDOT mitigation program in 2006								
Benefits:	The project focuses on in areas, removing exotic pl provides benefits to tidal removal of nuisance-exot creek restoration, 6.46 ac 65.68 acres of mangrove previous years. FY2016 f	nproving habitat fund ant species, and cre circulation patterns l ic species over 28.7 cres of saltern enhar enhancement. Fund unds will be used fo	tion by removing spo ating and enhancing a y filling mosquito ditc acres and Phase 2 cement, 2.72 acres o s for construction of t monitoring of the mit	il mound mangro hes. Ph will resu f mangr this site tigation	ds in the mangrove ve habitats. It also hase 1 resulted in the ult in 0.39 acres of tidal rove restoration and have been budgeted in site.				
Costs:	Total project cost: \$1,100,000 FDOT: \$1,100,000 with \$1,100,000* budgeted in prior years * An additional \$40,000 is requested in FY2016 for consulting services to perform semi-annual monitoring of the site for permitting compliance. All costs associated with the project are fully reimbursable from the District's EDOT Mitigation Program								
		Funding							
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Funding	Future Fund	ling	Total Funding				
FDOT	1,100,000	40,	00	0	1,140,000				
Total:	\$1,100,000	\$40,0	00	\$0	\$1,140,000				

Project No. D053	FDOT Mitigation - Alligator Lake Management Area								
Project Category	District Mitigation for FDOT Projects								
AOR(s)	Water Supply:0 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:0 %Natural Systems:100 %								
		Descr	iption						
Description:	This Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) mitigation project is to enhance and create freshwater forested and herbaceous wetlands as well as restore and enhance the surrounding upland habitats that buffer and support wetland functions. The project area was regraded and planted with native plant species. A control structure was installed to hydrate created and enhanced wetlands. Nuisance plants were removed from adjacent uplands. These habitat improvements will increase wildlife use within the Alligator Lake Management Area as well as Alligator Lake and serve to polish urban storm water runoff before it enters Alligator Lake and Tampa Bay. This mitigation project was incorporated into the District's FDOT Mitigation Program in 2007. Pinellas County staff, assisted by contractors, performed this work. FY2016 funding will be used to continue monitoring the site as required by US Army Corps of Engineers permits.								
Benefits:	This FDOT Mitigation Pro Bay drainage for current	oject will pro and future F	ovide freshwat DOT road im	ter forested	and marsh m projects.	nitigation in the Tampa			
Costs:	The funding request for F areas to meet US Army C project are fully reimburs	Y2016 is \$ Corps of En able from th	20,000. Fund gineers permine District's Fl	ling will be u t requireme DOT Mitigat	used for moni nts. All costs ion Program.	toring the mitigation associated with the			
		Fun	ding						
Funding Source	Prior Funding FY2016 Funding Future Funding Total Funding								
FDOT	180,697		20,000		0	200,697			
Total:	\$180,697		\$20,000		\$0	\$200,697			

Project No. D056	FDOT Mitigation - Brooker Creek Buffer Preserve								
Project Category	District Mitigation for	· FDOT Proj	ects						
AOR(s)	Water Supply:0 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:0 %Natural Systems:100 %								
		Descrip	tion						
Description:	This project is for the preservation and enhancement of 200 acres of forested and non-forested wetlands along with surrounding uplands. This project enhances forested wetlands by restoring natural drainage patterns and hydrologic connections within and between these wetlands. Hydrologic restoration is achieved by constructing strategically located ditch blocks.								
Benefits:	This Florida Department sufficient to offset wetland seven Tampa Internation	of Transporta d impacts ass al Airport faci	tion (FDOT) ociated with lity improver	Mitigation two FDO ments.	Project will pr Froad improve	rovide mitigation ement projects and			
Costs:	The funding request for F required by US Army Cor All costs associated with Program.	Y2016 is \$46 ps of Enginee the project ar	,000. Fund ers permits (e fully reimb	ing will be (\$40,000), oursable fro	used for semi- and required r om the District	-annual monitoring as maintenance (\$6,000). 's FDOT Mitigation			
		Fundi	ng						
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 F	unding	Future	Funding	Total Funding			
FDOT	588,248		46,000		0	634,248			
Total:	\$588,248		\$46,000		\$0	\$634,248			
Project No. C192	District Site Survey								
------------------	---	-----------------------------------	---------------------------------	---	-------------------	-----------------------	--	--	
Project Category	Facility Construction & Major Renovations								
AOR(s)	Water Supply: Water Quality:	25 % 25 %	Flood Pro	otection: 25 ystems: 25	5 % 5 %				
		Descri	ption						
Description:	The Tampa Service Office is centrally located within the District. The site consists of approximately 21 acres and has 704,000 square feet of buildings under roof, including 46,000 square feet of office and meeting space. As a result of District staff being relocated to the Tampa Service Office, there is limited office and public meeting space, and insufficient parking areas. In FY2014-15, a Business Plan was developed to assess future staffing requirements, additional office space, and to recommend possible courses of action. A consultant was hired to prepare the long-term Business Plan portion of this project. The site survey will recommend possible site locations. A site master survey would include a drainage study, geotechnical study, site circulation study, traffic and parking study, utility study, site conditions study, site build out plan, and site plan								
Benefits:	The site survey will asses at the Tampa Office, and	ss whether th if so, recom	ne District real mend the po	quires additional ssible site location	office sp ons.	ace and parking areas			
Costs:	Total project cost: \$650,0 District: \$650,000 with \$3 \$250,000 anticipated to b	000 00,000 budg e requested	geted in prior I in FY2017.	years, \$100,000) request	ted in FY2016 and			
		Fund	ling						
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016	Funding	Future Fund	ding	Total Funding			
District	300,000		100,000	2	250,000	650,000			
Total:	\$300,000		\$100,000	\$2	50,000	\$650,000			

Project No. C219	Districtwide Planned Roof, HVAC, Repair & Remodeling Projects							
Project Category	Facility Construction & Major Renovations							
AOR(s)	Water Supply: Water Quality:	oply: 25 % Flood Protection: 25 % ality: 25 % Natural Systems: 25 %						
		Description						
Description:	Starting in FY2001-02, the Governing Board created an ongoing program to invest in the replacement and repair of the District facility roofs, heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) systems to be capitalized. The Wolf Group, in FY2003-04, completed a multi-year "facilities condition assessment" of all District facilities. Based upon the recommendations in the facilities condition assessment, staff has developed a multi-year schedule for roof improvements, HVAC system replacements, and remodeling projects, which allows planning for building improvements and minimizes the opportunity for building damage. The HVAC system will meet U.S. Green Building Council's (USGBC) Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) initiatives for reducing energy consumption which will reduce the carbon footprint. Estimated pricing as of March 2015 is used for budget planning purposes. The projects currently planned in FY2015-16 are as follows: FY2015-16 Brooksville - Building #3 Vault. Replacement of HVAC units for \$15,000. Brooksville - Building #5 Communications Room. Replacement of HVAC units for \$15,000. Brooksville - Building #5 Vault. Replacement of HVAC units for \$15,000. Brooksville - Building #5 Vault. Replacement of HVAC units for \$15,000.							
Benefits:	The Districtwide Roof, H\ funded in future years, de	AC, Repair and Remo	deling projects are planned budget approval.	d to be completed and				
Costs:	The funding request for F	Y2016 is \$400,000.						
		Funding						
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Funding	Future Funding	Total Funding				
District	Annual Request	400,00	Annual Request	Annual Request				
Total:	N/A	\$400,00	N/A	N/A				

Project No. S901	Land Acquisition Trust Fund - Land Mgmt Projects							
Project Category	Land Management & Use							
AOR(s)	Water Supply: Water Quality:	0 % 0 %	Flood Pro Natural S	otection: ystems:	0 % 100 %			
Description								
Description:	The requested funds are for both wetland and upland restoration activities on District lands.							
Benefits:	Benefits through wetland restoration efforts include flood attenuation, improvements to water quality, as well as freshwater/intertidal estuary transitions improving the status of coastal water bodies. Benefits through upland restoration include re-establishing positive buffering influences to wetland resources, reduction in fuel loads, as well as positive influences to the quantity and quality of ground water							
Costs:	The funding request for F restoration activities and	Y2016 is \$1 is fully funde	,650,000. Fi ed by the new	unding will I vly establish	be used for w ned Land Acq	etland and upland uisition Trust Fund.		
		Fund	ling					
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016	Funding	Future	Funding	Total Funding		
Land Acquisition Trust Fund	0		1,650,000		0	1,650,000		
Total:	\$0	\$	1,650,000		\$0	\$1,650,000		

Project No. SB06	Flying Eagle Nature (Center					
Project Category	Land Management &	Use					
AOR(s)	Water Supply: Water Quality:	0 % Flood Pr 0 % Natural S	otection: 0 % Systems: 100 %				
		Description					
Description:	The Flying Eagle Nature Center (FENC) is located within the Flying Eagle Preserve in Citrus County. Upon dissolution of the lease with the Gulf Ridge Council of the Boy Scouts of America in May 2012, the Governing Board directed staff to seek other joint ventures that would afford continued recreational use of the center, while also providing for maintenance and security of the Flying Eagle property. District staff formed a multi-disciplinary project team that developed a marketing plan and website, researched organizations to target, conducted site visits with interested parties, prepared the facilities for marketing, and developed an Invitation to Solicit Offers (ITS). No offers were received through the ITS process. The Governing Board Flying Eagle Evaluation Committee directed staff to obtain estimates for demolition and renovation of the facilities. Until a decision is reached regarding the future of the FENC, expenditures are required to maintain the on-site water treatment facility.						
Benefits:	Until a decision is made r to maintain the on-site wa	egarding which facilities	to renovate, the most co	st-effective approach is			
Costs:	At the August 2011 Governing Board meeting, the Board allocated \$150,000 in the FY2012 budget to be used for renovation of facilities for public safety or demolition, if required. The Board also approved staff to expend a portion of the funds for safety and demolition without seeking Board approval. At the August 2012 Governing Board meeting, the Board approved rolling unused FY2012 funds into the FY2013 budget. The remaining unused funds have been rolled into subsequent budgets. The funding request for FY2016 is \$4,500. Funding will be used to obtain services for maintenance of the water treatment system at the Flying Eagle Nature Center within the Flying Eagle Preserve						
		Funding					
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Funding	Future Funding	Total Funding			
District	150,000	4,500	0	154,500			
Total:	\$150,000	\$4,500	\$0	\$154,500			

Project No. SF08	Green Swamp West Sandhill Restoration/Hardwood Reduction							
Project Category	Land Management & Use							
AOR(s)	Water Supply: Water Quality:	0 % Flood Pro 0 % Natural S	otection: 0 % systems: 100 %					
		Description						
Description:	Funds are for enhancement of a sandhill natural system by reducing mid-story shrubs through herbicide treatment. This site is located in the heart of a significant sandhill system on Green Swamp West. Over time turkey oaks have slowly encroached, shading the desirable herbaceous understory. The site was hydroaxed (mechanically treated) and used as a seed donor site for the Green Swamp West 6 and 8 restoration projects. However, the oaks previously mowed down have aggressively resprouted in spite of frequent growing season burns.							
Benefits:	Completion of this restoration project will enhance habitat on an otherwise altered site. This hardwood reduction will improve system function, benefit wildlife and enhance the experience of the recreating public. Currently the groundcover is still intact; however, this herbaceous layer is being overtaken and shaded out by the mid-story oaks. Should this project be delayed, mechanical restoration will again be necessary, followed by fire and yet a chemical treatment will still be required two to three years post-burn to achieve the desired results. Sandhill is considered to be an imperiled natural community being not only regionally, but globally significant. Sandhill is also important to water recharge and restoring it back to its natural state should result in better overall							
Costs:	Total project cost: \$66,50 District: \$66,500 with \$38 * The funding request for	0 ,000 budgeted in prior y FY2016 will be used for	ears and \$28,500* reque	sted in FY2016. oply herbicide for sites				
	treated during FY16, follo	wed by periodic prescrib	ed burns (normal fire-ret	urn interval).				
		Funding						
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Funding	Future Funding	Total Funding				
District	38,000	28,500	0	66,500				
Total:	\$38,000	\$28,500	\$0	\$66,500				

Project No. SH09	Starkey 8 Restoration	1		
Project Category	Land Management &	Use		
AOR(s)	Water Supply: Water Quality:	0 % Flood Pro 0 % Natural S	otection: 0 % ystems: 100 %	
		Description		
Description:	The goal of this project is converted to pasture, whi Anclote River. Starkey 8, consideration by Pasco c expected to be the first of a former pine flatwoods c currently exists as a fallor pasture grasses. This pro- restoration. The site will b be phased by year. The z winter followed by success be used to reduce the ve- completed utilizing a flat applied utilizing a certified occur annually for five ye being completed in FY20	to restore over-story cor le enhancing value of wil a onetime proposed miti ounty as potential mitiga f numerous phases in an community converted to it w pasture with few over-so- oject will include future re be broken into five distinct cones will be treated with sive winter plantings of s getative competition to e planter to reduce soil dist d applicator to spray five ars, with the first zone be 17.	nponent of this former fla dlife corridor along the S gation site, has been ren tion for road impacts. As effort to enhance Starke mproved pasture during t story species and predom venue generation (pine p et zones of about 200 acr prescribed fire to remove site appropriate pine spec nhance overall success. turbance and erosion and feet bands along the plar ping completed in FY201	atwoods community andy Branch of the noved from a result, this project is y 8. The site consists of he 1970s. The site ninately covered by plantation) as well as es each and these will e excess thatch in the cies. Herbicide will also Plantings will be therbicide will be need rows. Plantings will 1 and the final zone to
Benefits:	Restoration of over-story enhancing value of wildlif	component of former flat e corridor along the Sand	twoods community conve dy Branch of the Anclote	erted to pasture, while River.
Costs:	The funding request for F seedlings, planting labor	Y2016 is \$17,250. Fundand herbicide preparation	ling will be used for baren n on 50 acres.	root slash pine
		Funding		
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Funding	Future Funding	Total Funding
District	0	17,250	0	17,250
Total:	\$0	\$17,250	\$0	\$17,250

Project No. B67B	Flood Control and Water Conservation Structure PLC Updgrades							
Project Category	Structure Operation & Maintenance							
AOR(s)	Water Supply:0 %Flood Protection:50 %Water Quality:0 %Natural Systems:50 %							
Description								
Description:	Upgrade the Programmable Logic Controllers (PLCs) and modems will allow for better monitoring of the generators, battery voltage, fuel levels, automatic operation of the generator. The new PLCs and modems will allow for improved communication and control/monitoring of each structures by replacing old obsolete equipment with equipment possessing more capabilities for automated control of the structure operations.							
Benefits:	PLC and modem upgrades reduce employee trips to structures to monitor battery condition, fuel levels, and emergency generators. The PLC also monitors the generators and shuts down the generators when there is power failure and the structure is not needed to operate thereby reducing fuel consumption. Currently structures are remotely operated but operations are determined by a human. The new PLC will allow for eventual automatic computer control of the structure operations improving the							
Costs:	The funding request for F	Y2016 is \$100,000.						
		Funding						
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Fundin	Future	Funding	Total Funding			
District	0	100,	000	0	100,000			
Total:	\$0	\$100,0	00	\$0	\$100,000			

Project No. B831	13 Mile Run Structure System						
Project Category	Works of the District						
AOR(s)	Water Supply: Water Quality:	0 % 0 %	Flood Pro Natural Sy	tection: /stems:	25 % 75 %		
		Descrip	tion				
Description:	There are eight District owned water conservation structures within the 13 Mile Run watershed, located in Hillsborough County. In 2010, in direct response to lake residents' concerns, the District began a re-evaluation process of the systems structure operation guidelines. As a result of this, the District, cooperatively with Hillsborough County, commissioned a study titled Thirteen Mile Run Control Structure Operations Assessment Project. In 2012, after taking into consideration report results, MFL requirements and lake resident's requests, a draft operational guideline was completed and testing began. The testing included a temporary water control structure placed in the conveyance between Lakes Hanna and Stemper. In 2014, after a peer review and public evaluation, the District finalized operation guidelines for the 13 Mile Run structures. In order to meet the operational requirements of the approved guidelines, there has been a dramatic increase in the number of manual gate operations. Five of the eight water conservation structures consist of a concrete frame with channel iron inserts, into which wood boards are inserted. These boards are six inches in width and approximately 12 feet in length. The operation of such a structure requires two STROPS personnel to remove or insert boards. The wood boards often leak and water levels can only be adjusted in 6" increments, making it difficult to accurately meet operations requirements.						
Benefits:	requirements. Replacement of the wooden board structures will insure the District's ability to meet the requirements of the structure operation guidelines, guaranteeing more accurate and timely water level adjustments. During high volume rain events this will allow the District to aid lake residents in reducing the frequency of flooding. There were 89 manual gate operations made during the rainy season of 2014. There would be a reduction in the need for site visits, as the replacement gates (if approved) would allow for fewer adjustments, directly reducing operational costs. Manually removing 12 foot wood boards from these structures often involves STROPS personnel having to enter the conveyance. During high water events this is a safety risk. The replacement of these stop log structures reduces risks to STROPS personnel. Operations staff continues in their effort to improve the efficiency of and the reduction of costs associate with the operation of the District's water control structures through the instrumentation of these structures for remote control. Benefits directly attributed to the remote control of a structure are the improvement of the District's responsiveness during times of potential flooding or other emergency situations, while at the same time significantly reducing the number on-site trips to manually operate structures. Currently the District manually 12 foot wood boards from the structures at Thirteen Mile Run to reduce water elevation. The process can be cumbersome and lengthy due to the travel time to and from the structures. The approximate average round trip cost for the manual operation of a water control structure within the 13 Mile Run System is \$250. The approximate average cost to remotely operate one of these structures would be \$10. That equates to a savings of \$240 per remote structure operation. During 2014, Thirteen Mile Run structures logged 89 manual operations. The approximate annual cost of the on-site operation of these structure was \$22 250. The remo						
Costs:	The funding request for FY2016 is \$250,000. Funding will be used for the replacement of the first three of eight structures. The temporary structure (Nettles), Hanna, and Keene 2 structures are considered priority structures. The Nettles structure is required to manage flow between Lake Hanna and Lake Stemper. The Keene 2 and the Hanna structure have the highest frequency of operation. Funding in FY2015 of \$86,000 was for an evaluation and design study to replace the 13 Mile Run structures. Future funding will be requested in the future for the replacement of the remaining five structures.						
		Fundi	ng				
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 F	unding	Future F	unding	Total Funding	
District	86,000		250,000		0	336,000	
Total:	\$86,000		\$250,000		\$0	\$336,000	

Project No. P443	WUP - Dover and Plant City Automatic Meter Reading Installation						
Project Category	Water Use Permitting	I					
AOR(s)	Water Supply: Water Quality:	100 % Floo 0 % Natu	Protection: al Systems:	0 % 0 %			
		Description					
Description:	Rule changes effective June 16, 2011 approved by the Governing Board, the Joint Administrative Procedures Committee (JAPC) and the Governor's office created the Dover/Plant City Water Use Caution Area (DPCWUCA). These rules included new water withdrawal metering and reporting requirements that the District will fund for existing agricultural permit holders. Metering of all withdrawal points for agricultural permits that use or could use groundwater for frost/freeze protection are required. The installation of Automatic Meter Reading (AMR) devices are also required for those withdrawals. Preliminary estimates indicate that the District may be responsible for the installation of up to 626 flowmeters and up to 961 AMR devices associated with 539 affected permits in the DPCWUCA. A Board procedure was developed for implementation of these portions of the rule that are divided into two distinctive steps: 1) installation of flowmeters, and 2) installation of AMR equipment. The first step, installation of flowmeters, is being accomplished through a reimbursement program where the permittee is responsible for the flowmeter installation and can elect to either be reimbursed directly following the flowmeter installation, direct the reimbursement to a lessee or have the reimbursement paid directly to the installation contractor. The second step, installation of AMR devices, will be performed directly by the District using						
Benefits:	This program will enable within the DPCWUCA. The liminate the cost of prog	the District to collect the uniform approact ramming WMIS to	accurate and time to data collection ccept the different	ly pumpag will ensure data forma	e data from permittees consistent data and ats.		
Costs:	The funding request for F reimbursement of costs a services for automatic me	Y2016 is \$567,748 ssociated with flow eter reading (AMR)	Funding includes meter installations; peration and main	\$521,500 and \$46,2 tenance.	in grants for the 48 in contracted		
		Funding					
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Fundir	g Future Fu	nding	Total Funding		
District	Annual Request	46	248 Annua	al Request	Annual Request		
Total:	N/A	\$46	248	N/A	N/A		

Project No. P625	DWRM Update to MODFLOW USG and the CLN Application								
Project Category	Water Use Permitting								
AOR(s)	Water Supply: Water Quality:	100 % Floo 0 % Natu	Protection: al Systems:	0 % 0 %					
	Description								
Description:	Request funds to have the District-Wide Regulation Model (DWRM), Version 3 converted to a fully 3D model, set up in MODFLOW-USG using Groundwater Vistas software, with the functionality of connected linear networks (CLN). New advancements in the USGS public domain software MODFLOW-USG will be implemented in the District's regulatory model. The DWRM is a groundwater model developed for use by District staff and the regulated public to quantify effects of groundwater withdrawals in a consistent and efficient manner. This will include the ability to better represent spatial hydrogeologic characteristics in our aquifers and confining units and will allow models constructed for permit evaluations to be nested within the full-scale DWRM. Additionally, stream and spring boundary conditions will be replaced by the CLN application included in MODEL OW LISC to more accurately predict impacts associated with drawater withdrawater.								
Benefits:	MODFLOW-USG will pro handling of boundary effe way using DWRM. These evaluation of Water Use	vide better represe ect and will allow for improvements to t Permit applications	ntation of the hydro stream and spring the District's DWRM and improve water	ogeologic st j impacts to /l model will r resource p	ructure, improved be evaluated in a better improve Regulatory's lanning efforts.				
Costs:	Total project cost: \$110,0 District: \$110,000 with \$5	00 0,000 budgeted in	prior years and \$60	0,000 reque	ested in FY2016.				
		Funding							
Funding Source	Prior Funding FY2016 Funding Future Funding Total Funding								
District	50,000	60	000	0	110,000				
Total:	\$50,000	\$60	000	\$0	\$110,000				

Project No. B131	Hotel/Motel/Restaurant Water Conservation Education								
Project Category	Education								
AOR(s)	Water Supply: Water Quality:	75 % 25 %	Flood Pro Natural S	otection: 0 % ystems: 0 %					
Description									
Description:	escription: This project reduces water use in the lodging industry. The District provides free educational materials for Water CHAMP properties that agree to implement a towel and linen reuse program.								
Benefits:	Based on prior audit resu million gallons of water pe total cost amortized over	lts and aver er year at a five years.	age occupan cost benefit c	cy rates, this project will of \$0.47 per thousand ga	save an estimated 149 allons of water using the				
Costs:	The funding request for F	Y2016 is \$	17,049.						
Funding									
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016	Funding	Future Funding	Total Funding				
District	Annual Request		17,049	Annual Request	Annual Request				
Total:	N/A		\$17,049	N/A	N/A				

Project No. B277	Florida Water Star Certification and Builder Education						
Project Category	Education						
AOR(s)	Water Supply: Water Quality:	90 % 10 %	Flood Pro Natural Sy	tection:	0 % 0 %		
		Descri	ption				
Description:	This project reduces water use and helps to improve water quality by reduced stormwater runoff in the building industry. Florida Water StarSM (FWS) is a statewide water conservation certification program for new and existing homes and commercial developments. The program educates the building industry about water efficient building practices and provides incentives to make these practices common to the marketplace.						
Benefits:	Water conservation and water quality improvement in new homes and developments. Based on estimates, a home meeting Florida Water Star indoor and outdoor criteria uses approximately 54,287 gallons of water less per year compared to a home with non-EnergyStar rated appliances indoors and 100 percent high-volume irrigation outdoors, which is traditionally seen in Florida homes. In addition, three examples of quantified results illustrate program benefits: (1) A Polk County commercial property, Kyra InfoTech, Inc., used 76% less water than a similar property in the same area in a one-year period. (2) On Top of the World Communities in Marion County found that a FWS certified home uses about one-third the amount of water as a comparable property in the same community. (3) A community FWS retrofit project in Pasco County showed water savings of 1.3 million gallons or 55.73% compared to a baseline conducted prior to the onset of the retrofit						
Costs:	The funding request for F \$6,000 for FWS certifier t	Y2016 is \$9 raining, FW	,802. Fundir S accredited	ng includes \$3,80 professional trai	02 to adv ning and	vertise the program; and special events.	
		Fund	ling				
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016	Funding	Future Fund	ding	Total Funding	
District	Annual Request		9,802	Annual F	Request	Annual Request	
Total:	N/A		\$9,802		N/A	N/A	

Project No. P259	Education - Youth Water Resources Education Program							
Project Category	Education							
AOR(s)	Water Supply: Water Quality:	35 % 30 %	Flood Pro Natural S	otection: ystems:	10 % 25 %			
Description								
Description:	The FY2016 program will educate an estimated 240,000 students and teachers about freshwater resources through classroom grants, grade-level field trip programs, teacher trainings and other hands-on programming in county school districts. The program also offers additional educational resources to help increase students knowledge of freshwater resources. Project pre- and post tests confirm an average knowledge gain of 31%.							
Benefits:	More than one-third of the students and teachers in the District's sixteen counties are educated through the program. In eight of those counties, school districts have incorporated District materials into their curriculum, ensuring across-the-board student impacts. District grants, field trips and education materials are the catalyst for a level of freshwater resources education that would not otherwise occur without this program. Also, research shows that hands-on learning experiences, like those incorporated in this program, are more likely to result in sustainable knowledge gain and behavior change by instilling in students at a young age the importance of							
Costs:	The funding request for FY2016 is \$558,525. Funding includes \$530,000 in grants for 15 county school districts (Splash! school grants, field trip programs, teacher training, Envirothon support and curriculum development); and \$28,525 in contracted services for teacher training and curriculum tool development.							
		Fund	ling					
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016	Funding	Future Fu	unding	Total Funding		
District	Annual Request		28,525	Annua	al Request	Annual Request		
Total:	N/A		\$28,525		N/A	N/A		

Project No. P268	Education - Public Water Resource Education Program								
Project Category	Education								
AOR(s)	Water Supply: Water Quality:	40 %Flood Pr25 %Natural S	otection: 10 % Systems: 25 %						
Description									
Description:	This program educates the public about the District's core mission through (1) decision-maker water schools, (2) water-related special events and (3) Spanish translations for educational materials as needed.								
Benefits:	Approximately 3,500 people are educated about regional water resources at community events and are engaged in District efforts to conserve and protect water resources. In addition, by promoting the conservation and protection of water resources, the District delays the need for developing costly water resource development or restoration projects. The program is estimated to reach up to 10 000 indirectly.								
Costs:	The funding request for F workshops and events; a into Spanish and public s	Y2016 is \$8,000. Fund nd \$2,500 in contracted ervice announcements	ing includes \$5,500 in gra services for education ma hrough social media.	nts for decision-maker aterials to be translated					
		Funding							
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Funding	Future Funding	Total Funding					
District	Annual Request	2,500	Annual Request	Annual Request					
Total:	N/A	\$2,500	N/A	N/A					

Project No. W466	Education - Springs Protection Outreach							
Project Category	Education							
AOR(s)	Water Supply: Water Quality:	25 % 50 %	Flood Pro	otection: ystems:	0 % 25 %			
Description								
Description:	This project will create and implement a communications program that will not only position the District as the leading scientific agency taking the right actions to improve the health of local springs, but also overcome public misconceptions about springs issues and District actions. The program will occur in Citrus, Hernando and Marion counties. Messaging will target the media, elected officials, stakeholders and the general public about what the District is doing to address springs issues and what residents can do to help. Specific outreach will be achieved through media outreach, special events, public service advertising, a newsletter, project signage and volunteer opportunities.							
Benenta.	counties, while educating	stakeholde	rs and the ge	eneral public o	n how they	can help.		
Costs:	The funding request for F	Y2016 is \$6	60,000.					
		Fund	ling					
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016	Funding	Future Fu	unding	Total Funding		
District	Annual Request		60,000	Annua	al Request	Annual Request		
Total:	N/A		\$60,000		N/A	N/A		

Project No. W799	SW IMP - V	Vater Quality -	Winter Haven Ridge Wate	rshed Improvement Pro	jects				
Winter Haven					FY2016				
Risk Level:	Туре 3		Multi-Year	Contract:					
		Yes, Year 2 of 2							
		Description							
Description:	Design, pe	Design, permitting, and construction of small stormwater LID BMPs within the urban public							
	right-of-wa	ight-of-way and park areas in the City of Winter Haven.							
Benefits:	This proje	ct will improve	water quality and reduce st	ormwater flooding throug	h the treatment and				
Control	Infiltration	of runon into ti	ne suffical aquiter.	atruction)					
Costs:	City of Wir	tor Hovon: \$240,0	20 (Design, permitting, coi	istruction)					
	District: \$1	120 000 with \$	20,000 60 000 hudgeted in previou	is vears and \$60,000 reg	uested in FY16				
	Biotriot. ¢1	120,000, with ¢	Evaluation						
Application Quality:	High	Application in	cluded all the required info	mation identified in the C	FI Guidelines.				
Resource Benefit:	Medium	The Resource	e Benefit of the Water Qual	ty project is the reduction	of pollutant loads				
		and suspende	ed solids into the lakes of th	e Winter Haven Chain of	Lakes, a SWIM				
		designated w	aterbody, by an estimated	5 lbs/yr TP and 2,529 lbs/	/yr TSS. The				
		Measurable E	Benefit, which will be the co	ntractual requirement, is f	the construction and				
		maintenance	maintenance of a minimum 30 LID BMPs designed to treat approximately 5.4 acres of						
		stormwater runoff. There will be no monitoring or performance testing requirements							
Cost Effectiveness:	High	The estimated cost/lb of TP removed is below the historical average of \$4,715/lb; the							
		estimated cost of LSS is below the historical average of \$20/lb; and the cost/acre							
		I treated is below the historical average of \$40,947/acre treated for coastal/LID water							
		cost as comp	ared to similar projects.						
Past Performance:	High	Based on an	assessment of the schedul	e and budget for the 2 on	going projects.				
Complementary Efforts:	High	The City has	an active stormwater utility	that collects fees.					
Project Readiness:	High	Project is ong	joing.						
			Strategic Goals						
Strategic Goals:	High	Strategic Ini	tiative - Water Quality Mai	ntenance and Improvem	ent: Develop				
		and impleme	ent programs, projects and r	egulations to maintain an	id improve water				
		quality.							
		Heartland R	egion Priority: Improve Ric	ge Lakes, Winter Haven	Chain of Lakes and				
		Peace Creek	Canal.	dette a					
Fund as 1A Priority	The project	Overal	mwater impacts to the Wint	dation	a SWIM Priority				
i unu as iA i nonty.	water bod	v and is cost e	ffective	er naven Grain Ur Lakes	, a ovviivi filoilly				
	Water boa		Funding						
Funding Source	Р	rior	FY2016	Future	Total				
Winter Haven		\$60,000	\$60,000	\$0	\$120,000				
District		\$60,000	\$60,000	\$0	\$120,000				
Total		\$120,000	\$120,000	\$0	\$240,000				

Project No. N487	WMP - Jun	nper Creek Wa	atershed Mgm	t Plan					
Sumter County						FY2016			
Risk Level:	Type 4			Multi-Year C	Contract:				
		Yes, Year 4 of 4							
		Description							
Description:	Complete	the Watershed	I Management	Plan (WMP)	for the Jumper Creek W	/atershed in Sumter			
	County. I	y. The watershed Evaluation element of the overall WMP is 35 percent complete. FY2016							
	analysis								
Benefits:	Watershee	d Managment	Plan builds upo	on prior vear f	unded watershed evalu	ation to produce			
	watershed	I model, floodp	lain analysis a	nd alternative	es analysis; information	that is critical to			
	better ider	ntify risk of floo	d damage and	cost effective	e alternatives for water of	quantity and quality			
	improvem	ents.							
Costs:	Total proje	ect cost: \$1,06	8,184						
	Sumter Co	ounty: \$534,09	2						
	District: \$8	534,092, with \$	427,538 budg	eted in previo	us years, \$106,554 req	uested in FY16.			
Application Quality	High		Evaluation evaluation	ation roquirod infor	mation identified in the (CEL Guidelines			
Application Quality.	High	Application included all the required information identified in the CFI Guidelines.							
Resource Benefit:	підп	Flooding problems exist in developed or developing areas of the watershed. Flood							
		analysis models are not available or are over 10 years old, and the watershed includes							
Cost Effectiveness:	High	Project cost per square mile is below the mid-range of historic costs (\$20,000 / sq mi							
	5	or less) for WMPs completed in rural watersheds.							
Past Performance:	High	Based on an assessment of the schedule and budget for the 1 ongoing project.							
Complementary Efforts:	Medium	Cooperator's	Community Ra	ating System	score of 7 is within the 6	6 to 9 range.			
Project Readiness:	High	Project is ong	joing.						
		I	Strategic	: Goals					
Strategic Goals:	High	Strategic Ini	tiative - Water	Quality Mair	tenance and Improver	nent: Develop			
		and impleme	ent programs, p	projects and r	egulations to maintain a	nd improve water			
		quality.	tiativa Elood	nlain Manag	ment: Dovelop better f	loodalain			
		information a	and implement	floodolain ma	inagement programs to	maintain storage and			
		conveyance	and to minimiz	e flood dama	ge.				
					0				
		Overal	I Ranking and	Recommen	dation				
Fund as 1A Priority.	This WMF	o will be used t	o identify flood	prone areas	in the Jumper Creek wa	itershed and is also			
	critical to i	dentifying floo	d flow relations	ships and floo	d levels in adjacent wat	ersheds. This is the			
	final fundi	ng request and	I there are no o	changes to th	e original scope of work	or budget.			
F U O			Fund	ing	= .	- / 1			
Funding Source	Р 	rior	FY20'	\$100 FEA	Future				
Sumtor County		\$427,538		\$100,554	مر مر	3534,092			
		0427,038 \$855.076		\$213,108	<u>۵</u> ۵ \$۱	کو20,092 (1.068,184)			

Williston FY2016 Risk Level: Type 4 Multi-Year Contract: Yes, Year 2 of 2 Description Complete the Watershed Management Plan (WMP) for the City of Williston Watershed in Levy County. The Digital Topographic Information (DTI) element is expected 30 percent complete and the Watershed Evaluation (WE) element is expected 30 percent complete through FY16. FY16 funding will be used to complete the WE element and the entire WMP through alternatives analysis and Surface Water Resources Assessment (SWRA). Benefit: The WMP builds upon prive year funded DTI and WE elements and is necessary to produce the watershed model, floodplain analysis, and alternatives analysis; information that is critical to better identify risk of flood damage, water quality issues, and cost effective alternatives. Costs: Total project cost: \$350,000; City of Williston: \$87,500 (Eligible REDI Community); District \$262,500, with \$175,009 budgeted in previous year and \$87,491 requested in FY16. Evaluation Application Quality: High Application included all the required information identified in the CFI Guidelines. Resource Benefit: High The WMP Will analyze flooding and water quality problems that exist in the watershed. Currently, flood analysis models are not available and the watershed includes regional or intermediate stormwater systems. Cost Effectiveness: High Project cost per square mile is below the mid-range of historic costs (\$30,000 / sq mi or intermediate stormwater systems. Cost Effectiveness: High <td< th=""><th>Project No. N590</th><th>WMP- City</th><th>of Williston V</th><th>Vatershed Mg</th><th>mt Plan</th><th></th><th></th></td<>	Project No. N590	WMP- City	of Williston V	Vatershed Mg	mt Plan					
Multi-Year Contract: Yes, Year 2 of 2 Description Benefits: The WMP builds upon prior year funded DTI and We lements and is necessary to produce the watershed model, floodplain analysis, and alternatives analysis; information that is critical to better identify risk of flood damage, water quality issues, and cost effective alternatives. Costs: Total project cost: S350,000; City of Williston: \$87,500 (Eligible REDI Community); District: \$262,500, with \$175,090 budgeted in previous year and \$87,491 requested in FY16. Evaluation Application Quality; High Application included all the required information identified in the CFI Guidelines. <th>Williston</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>FY2016</th>	Williston						FY2016			
Ves. Year 2 of 2 Description Description: Complete the Watershed Management Plan (WMP) for the City of Williston Watershed in Levy County. The Digital Topographic Information (DTI) element is expected 100 percent complete and the Watershed Evaluation (WE) element is expected 30 percent complete through FY15. FY16 funding will be used to complete the WE element and the entire WMP through alternatives analysis and Surface Water Resources Assessment (SWRA). Benefits: The WMP builds upon prior year funded DTI and WE elements and is necessary to produce the watershed model, floodplain analysis, and alternatives analysis; information that is critical to better identify risk of flood damage, water quality issues, and cost effective alternatives. Costs: Total project cost: \$355.0.00; City of Williston: \$87,500 (Eligible REDI Community); Distric: \$262,500, with \$175,009 budgeted in previous year and \$87,491 requested in FY16. Evaluation Application Quality: High Application included all the required information identified in the CFI Guidelines. Resource Benefit: High The WMP bill analyze flooding and water quality problems that exist in the watershed. Currently, flood analysis models are not available and the watershed. Currently, flood analysis models are not available and the watershed. Cost Effectiveness: High Project cost per square mile is below the mid-range of historic costs (\$30,000 / sq mi or less) for WMPs completed in urban watersheds. Past Performance: High Project is ongoing.	Risk Level	Type 4			Multi-Year	Contract:				
Description Complete the Watershed Management Plan (WMP) for the City of Williston Watershed in Levy County. The Digital Topographic Information (DTI) element is expected 100 percent complete and the Watershed Evaluation (WE) element is expected 30 percent complete through FY15. FY16 funding will be used to complete the WE element and the entire WMP through atternatives analysis and Surface Water Resources Assessment (SWRA). Benefits: The WMP builds upon prior year funded DTI and WE elements and is necessary to produce the watershed model, flood damage, water quality issues, and cost effective alternatives. Costs: Total project cost: \$350,000; City of Williston: \$87,500 (Eligible REDI Community); District: \$262,500, with \$175,009 budgeted in previous year and \$87,491 requested in FY16. Evaluation Application Quality: High Application included all the required information identified in the CFI Guidelines. Resource Benefit: High Application included all the required information identified in the watershed. Currently, flood analysis models are not available and the watershed. Currently, flood analysis models are not available and the watershed includes regional or intermediate stormwater systems. Past Performance: High Project cost per square mile is below the mid-range of historic costs (\$30,000 / sq mi or less) for WMPs completed in urban watersheds. Past Performance: High Based on the Cooperator having no ongoing projects with the District they are ranked high. Complementary Efforts: Low Cooperator is not participating in					Yes, Year 2	of 2				
Description: Complete the Watershed Management Plan (WMP) for the City of Williston Watershed in Levy County. The Digital Topographic Information (DTI) element is expected 100 percent complete and the Watershed Evaluation (WE) element is expected 30 percent complete through FY15. FY16 funding will be used to complete the WE element and the entire WMP through alternatives analysis and Surface Water Resources Assessment (SWRA). Benefits: The WMP builds upon prior year funded DT1 and WE elements and is necessary to produce the watershed model, floodplain analysis, and alternatives analysis; information that is critical to better identify risk of flood damage, water quality issues, and cost effective alternatives. Costs: Total project cost: \$350.000; City of Williston: \$87,500 (Eligible REDI Community); District: \$262,500, with \$175,009 budgeted in previous year and \$87,491 requested in FY16. Application Quality: High Application included all the required information identified in the CFI Guidelines. Resource Benefit: High Application included all the required information identified in the vatershed. Currently, flood analysis models are not available and the watershed includes regional or intermediate stormwater systems. Cost Effectiveness: High Project cost per square mile is below the mid-range of historic costs (\$30,000 / sq mi or less) for WMPs completed in urban watersheds. Past Performance: High Based on the Cooperator having no ongoing projects with the District they are ranked high. Complementary Efforts:				Descri	iption					
County. The Digital Topographic Information (DTI) element is expected 100 percent complete and the Watershed Evaluation (WE) element is expected 30 percent complete through FY15. FY16 funding will be used to complete the WE element and the entire WMP through alternatives analysis and Surface Water Resources Assessment (SWRA). Benefits: The WMP builds upon prior year funded DTI and WE elements and is necessary to produce the watershed model, floodplain analysis, and alternatives analysis; information that is critical to better identify risk of flood damage, water quality issues, and cost effective alternatives. Costs: Total project cost: \$350,000; City of Williston: \$87,500 (Eligible REDI Community); District: \$262,500, with \$175,009 budgeted in previous year and \$87,491 requested in FY16. Evaluation Evaluation Application Quality: High Application included all the required information identified in the CFI Guidelines. Resource Benefit: High Application included all the required information identified in the vatershed. Currently, flood analyse flooding and water quality problems that exist in the watershed. Currently, flood analyse flooding and water systems. Cost Effectiveness: High Project cost per square mile is below the mid-range of historic costs (\$30,000 / sq mi or less) for WMPs completed in urban watersheds. Project Readiness: High Project Readiness: High	Description	Complete	the Watershed	Management	t Plan (WMP)	for the City of Williston V	Vatershed in Levy			
Interview High Expected by the weak of the WE element and the entire WMP through alternatives analysis and Surface Water Resources Assessment (SWRA). Benefits: The WMP builds upon prior year funded DTI and WE elements and is necessary to produce the watershed model, floodplain analysis, and alternatives analysis; information that is critical to better identify risk of flood damage, water quality issues, and cost effective alternatives. Costs: Total project cost: \$350,000; City of Williston: \$37,500 (Eligible REDI Community); District: \$262,500, with \$175,009 budgeted in previous year and \$87,491 requested in FY16. Application Quality: High Application included all the required information identified in the CFI Guidelines. Resource Benefit: High The WMP will analyze flooding and water quality problems that exist in the watershed. Currently, flood analysis models are not available and the watershed includes regional or intermediate stormwater systems. Cost Effectiveness: High Project cost per square mile is below the mid-range of historic costs (\$30,000 / sq mi or less) for WMPs completed in urban watersheds. Past Performance: High Project is ongoing. Strategic Goals: Strategic Initiative - Vater Quality Maintenance and Improvement: Develop and implement programs, projects and regulations to maintain and improve water quality. Strategic Initiative - Floodplain Management: Develop better floodplain information and implement floodplain management programs to maintain storage and		County. Th	County. The Digital Topographic Information (DTI) element is expected 100 percent complete and							
Initiality will be Used to See the Section Patter Resources Assessment (SWRA). Benefits: The WMP builds upon prior year funded DTI and WE elements and is necessary to produce the watershed model, floodplain analysis, and alternatives analysis; information that is critical to better identify risk of flood damage, water quality issues, and cost effective alternatives. Costs: Total project cost: \$350,000; City of Williston: \$87,500 (Eligible REDI Community); District: \$262,500, with \$175,009 budgeted in previous year and \$87,491 requested in FY16. Evaluation Evaluation Application Quality: High Application included all the required information identified in the CFI Guidelines. Resource Benefit: High The WMP will analyze flooding and water quality problems that exist in the watershed. Currently, flood analysis models are not available and the watershed includes regional or intermediate stormwater systems. Cost Effectiveness: High Project cost per square mile is below the mid-range of historic costs (\$30,000 / sq mi or less) for VMP's completed in urban watersheds. Past Performance: High Based on the Cooperator having no ongoing projects with the District they are ranked high. Cooperator is not participating in the CRS program at this time. Project Readiness: High Strategic Goals: Strategic Initiative - Water Quality Maintenance and Improvement: Develop and implement		the waters	The visit of the second se							
Benefits: The WMP builds upon prior year funded DTI and WE elements and is necessary to produce the watershed model, floodplain analysis, and alternatives analysis; information that is critical to better identify risk of flood damage, water quality issues, and cost effective alternatives. Costs: Total project cost: \$350,000; City of Williston: \$87,500 (Eligible REDI Community); District: \$262,500, with \$175,009 budgeted in previous year and \$87,491 requested in FY16. Evaluation Evaluation Application Quality: High Application included all the required information identified in the CFI Guidelines. Resource Benefit: High High Application included all the required information identified in the watershed. Currently, flood analyze flooding and water quality problems that exist in the watershed. Currently, flood analysis models are not available and the watershed includes regional or intermediate stormwater systems. Cost Effectiveness: High Project cost per square mile is below the mid-range of historic costs (\$30,000 / sq mi or less) for WMPs completed in urban watersheds. Past Performance: High Based on the Cooperator having no ongoing projects with the District they are ranked high. Cooperator is not participating in the CRS program at this time. Project Readiness: High Strategic Goals: S		analysis a	inalysis and Surface Water Resources Assessment (SWRA).							
Watershed model, floodplain analysis, and alternatives analysis; information that is critical to better identify risk of flood damage, water quality issues, and cost effective alternatives. Costs: Total project cost: \$350,000; City of Williston: \$87,500 (Eligible REDI Community); District: \$262,500, with \$175,009 budgeted in previous year and \$87,491 requested in FY16. Evaluation Evaluation Application Quality: High Application included all the required information identified in the CFI Guidelines. Resource Benefit: High Application included all the required stormwater systems. Cost Effectiveness: High Project cost per square mile is below the mid-range of historic costs (\$30,000 / sq mi or less) for WMPs completed in urban watersheds. Past Performance: High Project cost per square mile is below the mid-range of historic costs (\$30,000 / sq mi or less) for WMPs completed in urban watersheds. Past Performance: High Project Readiness: High Project coals: Strategic Goals: Strategic Goals: High Strategic Initiative - Floodplain Management: Develop better floodplain information and implement floodplain management programs to maintain storage and conveyance and to minimize flood damage.	Benefits	The WMP	builds upon p	rior vear funde	ed DTI and W	E elements and is necess	sarv to produce the			
better identify risk of flood damage, water quality issues, and cost effective alternatives. Costs: Total project cost: \$350,000; City of Williston: \$87,500 (Eligible REDI Community); District: \$262,500, with \$175,009 budgeted in previous year and \$87,491 requested in FY16. Evaluation Application Quality: High Application included all the required information identified in the CFI Guidelines. Resource Benefit: High Application included and the required information identified in the watershed. Currently, flood analysis models are not available and the watershed includes regional or intermediate stormwater systems. Project cost per square mile is below the mid-range of historic costs (\$30,000 / sq mi or less) for WMPs completed in urban watersheds. Past Performance: High Resource Goals: High Project Readiness: High Project rest program at this time. Project Readiness: High Project so ongoing. Strategic Goals Strategic Goals: High Strategic Initiative - Floodplain Management: Develop better floodplain information and implement floodplain management programs to maintain storage and conveyance and to minimize flood damage.		watershed	model, floodp	olain analysis,	and alternativ	es analysis; information t	that is critical to			
Costs: Total project cost: \$350,000; City of Williston: \$87,500 (Eligible REDI Community); District: \$262,500, with \$175,009 budgeted in previous year and \$87,491 requested in FY16. Evaluation Application Quality: High Application included all the required information identified in the CFI Guidelines. Resource Benefit: High The WMP will analyze flooding and water quality problems that exist in the watershed. Currently, flood analysis models are not available and the watershed includes regional or intermediate stormwater systems. Cost Effectiveness: High Project cost per square mile is below the mid-range of historic costs (\$30,000 / sq mi or less) for WMPs completed in urban watersheds. Past Performance: High Based on the Cooperator having no ongoing projects with the District they are ranked high. Complementary Efforts: Low Cooperator is not participating in the CRS program at this time. Project Goals: High Strategic Goals Strategic Goals: High Strategic Initiative - Water Quality Maintenance and Improvement: Develop and implement programs, projects and regulations to maintain and improve water quality. Strategic Initiative - Floodplain Management: Develop better floodplain information and implement floodplain management programs to maintain storage and conveyance and to minimize flood damage.		better ider	tify risk of floo	d damage, wa	ater quality iss	sues, and cost effective a	Iternatives.			
City of Williston: \$87,500 (Eligible REDI Community); District: \$262,500, with \$175,009 budgeted in previous year and \$87,491 requested in FY16. Evaluation Application Quality: High Application included all the required information identified in the CFI Guidelines. Resource Benefit: High The WMP will analyze flooding and water quality problems that exist in the watershed. Currently, flood analysis models are not available and the watershed includes regional or intermediate stormwater systems. Cost Effectiveness: High Project cost per square mile is below the mid-range of historic costs (\$30,000 / sq mi or less) for WMPs completed in urban watersheds. Past Performance: High Based on the Cooperator having no ongoing projects with the District they are ranked high. Complementary Efforts: Low Cooperator is not participating in the CRS program at this time. Project Readiness: High Strategic Goals Strategic Goals: High Strategic Initiative - Water Quality Maintenance and Improvement: Develop and implement programs, projects and regulations to maintain and improve water quality. Strategic Initiative - Floodplain Management: Develop better floodplain information and implement floodplain management programs to maintain storage and conveyance and to minimize flood damage.	Costs	Total proje	ct cost: \$350,	000;						
District: \$262,500, with \$175,009 budgeted in previous year and \$87,491 requested in FY16. Evaluation Application Quality: High Application included all the required information identified in the CFI Guidelines. Resource Benefit: High The WMP will analyze flooding and water quality problems that exist in the watershed. Currently, flood analysis models are not available and the watershed includes regional or intermediate stormwater systems. Cost Effectiveness: High Project cost per square mile is below the mid-range of historic costs (\$30,000 / sq mi or less) for WMPs completed in urban watersheds. Past Performance: High Based on the Cooperator having no ongoing projects with the District they are ranked high. Complementary Efforts: Low Cooperator is not participating in the CRS program at this time. Project Readiness: High Project cost per square, requester quality Maintenance and Improvement: Develop and implement programs, projects and regulations to maintain and improve water quality. Strategic Goals: High Strategic Initiative - Floodplain Management: Develop better floodplain information and implement floodplain management programs to maintain storage and conveyance and to minimize flood damage.		City of Wil	liston: \$87,500) (Eligible RED	OI Community	r);				
Evaluation Application Quality: High Application included all the required information identified in the CFI Guidelines. Resource Benefit: High The WMP will analyze flooding and water quality problems that exist in the watershed. Currently, flood analysis models are not available and the watershed includes regional or intermediate stormwater systems. Cost Effectiveness: High Project cost per square mile is below the mid-range of historic costs (\$30,000 / sq mi or less) for WMPs completed in urban watersheds. Past Performance: High Based on the Cooperator having no ongoing projects with the District they are ranked high. Complementary Efforts: Low Cooperator is not participating in the CRS program at this time. Project Readiness: High Project is ongoing. Strategic Goals: Strategic Initiative - Water Quality Maintenance and Improvement: Develop and implement programs, projects and regulations to maintain and improve water quality. Strategic Initiative - Floodplain Management: Develop better floodplain information and implement floodplain management programs to maintain storage and conveyance and to minimize flood damage.		District: \$2	262,500, with \$	3175,009 budg	eted in previo	ous year and \$87,491 req	uested in FY16.			
Application included all the required information identified in the CF1 Guidelines. Resource Benefit: High The WMP will analyze flooding and water quality problems that exist in the watershed. Currently, flood analysis models are not available and the watershed includes regional or intermediate stormwater systems. Cost Effectiveness: High Project cost per square mile is below the mid-range of historic costs (\$30,000 / sq mi or less) for WMPs completed in urban watersheds. Past Performance: High Based on the Cooperator having no ongoing projects with the District they are ranked high. Complementary Efforts: Low Cooperator is not participating in the CRS program at this time. Project Readiness: High Strategic Goals: Strategic Initiative - Water Quality Maintenance and Improvement: Develop and implement programs, projects and regulations to maintain and improve water quality. Strategic Initiative - Floodplain Management: Develop better floodplain information and implement floodplain management programs to maintain storage and conveyance and to minimize flood damage.		1.12.1	A 11 11 1	Evalu	ation					
Resource Benefit: High The WMP will analyze flooding and water quality problems that exist in the watershed. Currently, flood analysis models are not available and the watershed includes regional or intermediate stormwater systems. Cost Effectiveness: High Project cost per square mile is below the mid-range of historic costs (\$30,000 / sq mi or less) for WMPs completed in urban watersheds. Past Performance: High Based on the Cooperator having no ongoing projects with the District they are ranked high. Complementary Efforts: Low Cooperator is not participating in the CRS program at this time. Project Readiness: High Project is ongoing. Strategic Goals: Strategic Initiative - Water Quality Maintenance and Improvement: Develop and implement programs, projects and regulations to maintain and improve water quality. Strategic Initiative - Floodplain Management: Develop better floodplain information and implement floodplain management programs to maintain storage and conveyance and to minimize flood damage.	Application Quality	High	Application in	icluded all the	required info	rmation identified in the C	FI Guidelines.			
Corrently, flood analysis floodels are not available and the watershed includes regional or intermediate stormwater systems. Cost Effectiveness: High Project cost per square mile is below the mid-range of historic costs (\$30,000 / sq mi or less) for WMPs completed in urban watersheds. Past Performance: High Based on the Cooperator having no ongoing projects with the District they are ranked high. Complementary Efforts: Low Cooperator is not participating in the CRS program at this time. Project Readiness: High Project is ongoing. Strategic Goals Strategic Goals: Strategic Initiative - Water Quality Maintenance and Improvement: Develop and implement programs, projects and regulations to maintain and improve water quality. Strategic Initiative - Floodplain Management: Develop better floodplain information and implement floodplain management programs to maintain storage and conveyance and to minimize flood damage.	Resource Benefit	High	The WMP will	l analyze floor	ding and wate	er quality problems that ex	kist in the watershed.			
Cost Effectiveness: High Project cost per square mile is below the mid-range of historic costs (\$30,000 / sq mi or less) for WMPs completed in urban watersheds. Past Performance: High Based on the Cooperator having no ongoing projects with the District they are ranked high. Complementary Efforts: Low Cooperator is not participating in the CRS program at this time. Project Readiness: High Project is ongoing. Strategic Goals: Strategic Goals: High Strategic Initiative - Water Quality Maintenance and Improvement: Develop and implement programs, projects and regulations to maintain and improve water quality. Strategic Initiative - Floodplain Management: Develop better floodplain information and implement floodplain management programs to maintain storage and conveyance and to minimize flood damage.			or intermedia	to stormwater	svetome	available and the waters	ied includes regional			
Past Performance: High Based on the Cooperator having no ongoing projects with the District they are ranked high. Complementary Efforts: Low Cooperator is not participating in the CRS program at this time. Project Readiness: High Project is ongoing. Strategic Goals: Strategic Initiative - Water Quality Maintenance and Improvement: Develop and implement programs, projects and regulations to maintain and improve water quality. Strategic Initiative - Floodplain Management: Develop better floodplain information and implement floodplain management programs to maintain storage and conveyance and to minimize flood damage.	Cost Effectiveness	High	High Project cost per square mile is below the mid-range of historic costs (\$30,000 / sq mi							
Past Performance: High Based on the Cooperator having no ongoing projects with the District they are ranked high. Complementary Efforts: Low Cooperator is not participating in the CRS program at this time. Project Readiness: High Project is ongoing. Strategic Goals: High Strategic Initiative - Water Quality Maintenance and Improvement: Develop and implement programs, projects and regulations to maintain and improve water quality. Strategic Initiative - Floodplain Management: Develop better floodplain information and implement floodplain management programs to maintain storage and conveyance and to minimize flood damage.		riigii	or less) for WMPs completed in urban watersheds.							
Complementary Efforts: Low high. Cooperator is not participating in the CRS program at this time. Project Readiness: High Project is ongoing. Strategic Goals Strategic Goals: High Strategic Initiative - Water Quality Maintenance and Improvement: Develop and implement programs, projects and regulations to maintain and improve water quality. Strategic Initiative - Floodplain Management: Develop better floodplain information and implement floodplain management programs to maintain storage and conveyance and to minimize flood damage.	Past Performance	High	ligh Based on the Cooperator having no ongoing projects with the District they are ranked							
Complementary Efforts: Low Cooperator is not participating in the CRS program at this time. Project Readiness: High Project is ongoing. Strategic Goals Strategic Goals: High Strategic Initiative - Water Quality Maintenance and Improvement: Develop and implement programs, projects and regulations to maintain and improve water quality. Strategic Initiative - Floodplain Management: Develop better floodplain information and implement floodplain management programs to maintain storage and conveyance and to minimize flood damage.		high.								
Project Readiness: High Project is ongoing. Strategic Goals Strategic Goals: High Strategic Initiative - Water Quality Maintenance and Improvement: Develop and implement programs, projects and regulations to maintain and improve water quality. Strategic Initiative - Floodplain Management: Develop better floodplain information and implement floodplain management programs to maintain storage and conveyance and to minimize flood damage.	Complementary Efforts	Low	Low Cooperator is not participating in the CRS program at this time.							
Strategic Goals Strategic Goals: High Strategic Initiative - Water Quality Maintenance and Improvement: Develop and implement programs, projects and regulations to maintain and improve water quality. Strategic Initiative - Floodplain Management: Develop better floodplain information and implement floodplain management programs to maintain storage and conveyance and to minimize flood damage.	Project Readiness	High	Project is ono	going.						
Strategic Goals: High Strategic Initiative - Water Quality Maintenance and Improvement: Develop and implement programs, projects and regulations to maintain and improve water quality. Strategic Initiative - Floodplain Management: Develop better floodplain information and implement floodplain management programs to maintain storage and conveyance and to minimize flood damage.				Strategi	c Goals					
and implement programs, projects and regulations to maintain and improve water quality. Strategic Initiative - Floodplain Management: Develop better floodplain information and implement floodplain management programs to maintain storage and conveyance and to minimize flood damage.	Strategic Goals	High	Strategic Ini	tiative - Wate	r Quality Mai	ntenance and Improvem	ent: Develop			
quality. Strategic Initiative - Floodplain Management: Develop better floodplain information and implement floodplain management programs to maintain storage and conveyance and to minimize flood damage.			and impleme	ent programs,	projects and i	regulations to maintain ar	nd improve water			
Strategic Initiative - Floodplain Management: Develop better floodplain information and implement floodplain management programs to maintain storage and conveyance and to minimize flood damage.			quality.			and the Development of the settle	du la la			
conveyance and to minimize flood damage.			strategic in	tlative - Flood	ipiain wanag floodolain m	ement: Develop better tic	podpiain			
Overall Banking and Pocommondation			conveyance	and to minimi:	ze flood dam:	anagement programs to r	naintain storage and			
Overall Panking and Pecommandation			oonvoyanoo							
			Overa	I Ranking and	d Recommen	dation				
Fund as 1A Priority. The WMP will be used to identify flood prone areas in the City of Williston Watershed and is	Fund as 1A Priority.	The WMP	will be used to	o identify flood	prone areas	in the City of Williston Wa	atershed and is			
also critical to identifying flood flow relationships and flood levels in adjacent watersheds. The		also critica	al to identifying	flood flow rela	, ationships an	d flood levels in adjacent	watersheds. The			
project will evaluate water quality issues within the watershed and identify specific Best		project wil	l evaluate wat	er quality issue	es within the	watershed and identify sp	ecific Best			
Management Practices to address flooding and/or water quality issues within the watershed.		Managem	ent Practices f	o address floo	oding and/or v	vater quality issues withir	the watershed.			
This is the final funding request and ther are no changes to the original scope of work and		This is the	final funding	request and th	er are no cha	inges to the original scop	e of work and			
budget.		budget.		- F	ling					
Funding Source Drive EV2016 Evidure Tatal	Eunding Source		rior	Func		Eutore	Tetel			
Funding Source Prior F12010 Future Iotal Williston \$58,336 \$20,164 \$0 \$27,500	Williston		¢50 336	FT20	\$20.164	rulure ¢∩	101dl \$27 500			
Willistori #00 #07,000 District \$175,000 \$27,401 \$00 \$225,500	District		\$175 000		929,104 \$27 101		000, 100 ¢วคว คุณ			
Total \$233,345 \$116,655 \$0 \$350,000	Total		\$233.345		\$116 655	پو ۹۵	\$350,000			

Project No. N424	SW IMP - Wat	/ IMP - Water Quality - Dona Bay Conveyance System							
Sarasota County						FY2016			
Risk Level:	Туре 3			Multi-Year (Contract:				
				Yes, Year 4	of 4				
		Description							
Description:	Design, pern	nitting and c	onstruction of	a conveyance	e system to restore approxir	mately 363 acres			
	of wetlands a	and improve	water quality	<u>n Cow Pen S</u>	lough and Dona Bay.				
Benefits:	Water quality	ality improvements through the reduction of nutrients and diversion of excess freshwater							
	flows to Don	a Bay and S	arasota Bay, a	a SWIM priori	ty waterbody.				
Costs:	Total project	cost \$12,50	0,000 (Design	, permitting, a	and construction)				
	Sarasota Co	unty: \$6,250),000						
	District: \$6,2	50,000 with	\$3,150,000 bi	idgeted in pri-	or years and \$3,100,000 re	quested in FY16.			
		1: 1: ·	Evalua	ation					
Application Quality:	High A	pplication in	cluded all of th	ie required in	formation identified in the C	FI Guidelines.			
Resource Benefit:	High T	he Resourc	e Benefit of the	Water Quali	ty project is the estimated r	emoval of 18,251			
		s of Iotal N	trogen (IN) p	er year from f	reshwater flows into Dona E	Bay. The			
	N	leasurable l	Benefit, which	will be the cor	ntractual requirement, is the	e construction and			
	re	estoration of	an approxima	tely 363 acre	wetland treatment system.	I here will be no			
	m	monitoring or performance testing requirements.							
Cost Effectiveness:	High T	The estimated cost/lb of TN is below the historical average of \$224/lb, and cost/acre							
	l tr	treated is below the historical average cost of \$8,050/acre for projects for							
	U	Urban/Suburban water quality projects. The cost effectiveness is solely an analysis of							
	tr	e estimated	project cost a	s compared t	o the costs of similar project	xts.			
Past Performance:	High B	ased on an	assessment of	the schedule	e and budget for the 10 ong	oing projects.			
Complementary Efforts:	High S	arasota Cou	inty has an ac	tive stormwat	er utility which collects a sto	ormwater			
	a	ssessment	ee.						
Project Readiness:	High P	roject is ono	joing.						
			Strategio	: Goals					
Strategic Goals:	High S	Strategic Ini	tiative - Water	Quality Mair	ntenance and Improvemen	ıt: Develop			
	a	ind impleme	nt programs, p	projects and r	egulations to maintain and	improve water			
	c	uality.							
	5	Southern Re	egion Priority:	Improve Cha	arlotte Harbor, Sarasota Bay	y and			
	5	Shell/Prairie	Joshua creeks	3.					
		Overa	I Ranking and	Recommen	dation				
Fund as 1A Priority.	This project	will improve	the water qua	lity of freshwa	ater flows to Dona Bay and	Sarasota Bay, a			
	SWIM priorit	y water bod	y						
			Fund	ing					
Funding Source	Prio	r	FY20	16	Future	Total			
District		\$3,150,000		\$3,100,000	\$0	\$6,250,000			
Sarasota County		\$3,150,000		\$3,100,000	\$0	\$6,250,000			
Total		\$6,300,000		\$6,200,000	\$0	\$12,500,000			

Project No. N605	Study - Bu	rnt Store Well	field						
Charlotte County							FY2016		
Risk Level:	Туре 3			Multi-Year C	Contract:				
				Yes, Year 2	of 2				
		Description							
Description:	This study	is an evaluatio	on of the Burn	Store brackis	sh water wellfield located	in Charlotte Coun	ty		
	and will in	and will investigate the hydraulic properties and hydrogeologic characteristics of the aquifers							
	currently s	urrently supplying the existing facilities. The study will better define the dynamics and water							
	quality wit	hin the wellfield	d and determir	ie appropriate	actions to resolve issue	s. Burnt Store is a			
	reverse os	smosis WTP lo	cated outside	the PRMRWS	A service area.				
Benefits:	I his proje	ct will characte	rize the interm	iediate aquife	r system and investigate	brackish source			
Costs	Total proje	anability in the)00 (Study)						
	Charlotte	County: \$227,5	500						
	District sh	are: \$172,500,	with \$112,500) funded in pri	or years and \$60,000 re	quested in FY16.			
			Evalua	ation					
Application Quality:	High	Application in	cluded all the	required infor	mation identified in the C	FI Guidelines.			
Resource Benefit:	Medium	Project chara	cterization of a	aquifer system	n and investigates bracki	sh source water			
		sustainability in the SWUCA.							
Cost Effectiveness:	High	Costs are consistent with previous brackish groundwater studies and data collection							
		efforts.							
Past Performance:	High	The past performance is based on an assessment of schedule and budget for four							
Complementery Effectes	Lliab	The permitee	CIS.	lionoo nor oo	nita averago (2000-2012) in holow 100 and	d		
Complementary Enorts:	High Llink	The permitee	s 5-year com	mance per ca	pila average (2009-2015	b) is below 100 gpc	u.		
Project Readiness:	High	Project is ong	joing.						
	112.1		Strategic	Goals		· .			
Strategic Goals:	High	Strategic Ini	tiative - Regio	nal water Su	pply Planning: Identify,	communicate			
			consensus or	vater supply r		ary to meet future			
		Strategic Ini	tiative - Altern	native Water S	Sunnlies: Increase devel	onment of			
		alternative so	ources of wate	r to ensure ar	oundwater and surface v	vater sustainabilitv			
		Southern Re	aion Priority:	Implement S	outhern Water Use Caut	ion Area (SWUCA))		
		Recovery St	ategy.						
		Overal	I Ranking and	Recommend	dation				
Fund as 1A Priority.	This is the	e final year of fu	unding for this	project. The p	project provides informati	ion to characterize			
	the interm	ediate aquifer	system and in	vestigate brac	kish source water quality	y allowing for bette	r		
	managem	ent of the reso	urce in the SV	VUCA.					
			Func	ing					
Funding Source	P	rior	FY20	16	Future	Total			
District		\$112,500		\$60,000	\$0		\$172,500		
Charlotte County		\$167,500		\$60,000	\$0		\$227,500		
Total		\$280,000		\$120,000	\$0		\$400,000		

Project No. N619	WMP - Mill	Creek Watershed Management Plan							
Manatee County						FY2016			
Risk Level:	Type 4		Multi-Y	ear Contract:					
		Yes, Year 2 of 2							
		Description							
Description:	Complete	a Watershed N	/lanagement Plan (WM	P), through and includi	ing floodplain analy	ysis, for			
	the Mill Cr	eek Watershee	d in Manatee County. F	Y2016 funding will be	utilized to complete	e the			
	Watershee	d Management	Plan phase of the proj	ect, which includes mo	del development,	public			
	meeting a	nd Governing I	Board approval.						
Benefits:	Watershee	d model and flo	oodplain analysis; infor	nation that is critical to	better identify risk	c of flood			
	damage a	nd cost effectiv	e alternatives.						
Costs:	Total proje	ect cost: \$575,0	000;						
	Manatee (County: \$287,5	600;						
	District: \$2	287,500; with \$	175,000 budgeted in p	revious years and \$11	2,500 requested in	ı FY16.			
			Evaluation						
Application Quality:	High	Application in	cluded all the required	information identified ii	n the CFI Guideline	es			
Resource Benefit:	High	The WMP wil	I analyze flooding prob	ems that exist in the w	atershed. Currentl	iy, flood			
		analysis models are not available or are over 10 years old, and the watershed includes							
		regional or in	regional or intermediate stormwater systems.						
Cost Effectiveness:	Medium	Project cost per square mile is in the mid-range of historic costs (\$30,001 to							
		\$50,000/sq-mi) for WMPs completed in urban watersheds.							
Past Performance:	High	Based on an	assessment of the sch	edule and budget for th	ie / ongoing projec	cts.			
Complementary Efforts:	High	Cooperator's	Community Rating Sys	tem class is 5 and is ir	1 the 5 or better rar	nge.			
Project Readiness:	High	Project is ong	joing.						
		1	Strategic Goals						
Strategic Goals:	Medium	Strategic Ini	tiative - Floodplain Ma	nagement: Develop be	etter floodplain				
		information a	ind implement floodpla	n management progra	ms to maintain sto	rage and			
		conveyance	and to minimize flood o	amage.					
		Overal	I Ranking and Recom	nendation					
Fund as 1A Priority.	This proje	ct identifies flo	od risk in an urban area	with no detalied study	y information availa	able, and			
	the resulti	ng product will	be utilized for flood ins	urance determination,	will help implemen	nt			
	solutions	that alleviate fl	ood risk and also enha	ices the planning of fu	ture development i	in the			
	project are	ea.							
			Funding						
Funding Source	P	rior	FY2016	Future	T	otal			
Manatee County		\$175,000	\$112,	500	\$0	\$287,500			
District		\$175,000	\$112,	500	\$0	\$287,500			
Total		\$350,000	\$225,	000	\$0	\$575,000			

Project No. N636	WMP - City	of Bradentor	Watershed Man	agement Plan					
City of Bradenton						FY2016			
Risk Level:	Туре 3		м	ulti-Year Contra	ct:				
		Yes, Year 2 of 2							
		Description							
Description:	Complete	Complete Watershed Management Plan (WMP), through and including Level of Service (LOS) &							
	Best Mana	t Management Practices (BMPs), to address flooding and water quality for the City of							
	Bradenton	denton. FY2016 funding will be utilized to complete the Watershed Management Plan and							
	LOS & BN	OS & BMPs phases of the project.							
Benefits:	Watershee	d model and flo	odplain analysis;	information that	is critical to better iden	tify risk of flood			
	damage, o	opportunities to	improve water q	uality, and cost e	effective alternatives.				
Costs:	Iotal proje	ect cost: \$700,0	000						
	District: \$2		UUU 175 000 budgetee		ra and \$175,000 ragua	atad in EV16			
	District. 5	550,000 with \$	Evaluatio	n previous yea	rs and \$175,000 reque				
Application Quality:	High	Application in	cluded all the reg	uired information	identified in the CELC	uidelines			
Application quality.	High				vist in the watershed				
Resource Benefit:	підп	analysis mod	The WMP will analyze flooding problems that exist in the watershed. Currently, flood						
		analysis models are not available of are over no years old, and the watershed includes							
Cost Effectiveness:	Low	Project cost per square mils is above the mid-range of historic costs (over							
	2011	\$50,000/sq-mi) for WMPs completed in urban watersheds. This is a heavily urbanized							
		watershed.	.,						
Past Performance:	High	Based on an assessment of the schedule and budget for the 3 ongoing projects.							
Complementary Efforts:	Medium	Cooperator's	Community Ratin	g System class i	s 6 and it is in the 6 to	9 range.			
Project Readiness:	High	Project is ong	oing.						
			Strategic G	oals					
Strategic Goals:	High	Strategic Ini	tiative - Water Qu	ality Assessme	nt and Planning: Colle	ect and			
		analyze data	to determine loca	al and regional w	ater quality status and	trends to			
		support reso	urce managemen	t decisions and r	estoration initiatives.				
		Strategic Ini	tiative - Floodpla	in Management	: Develop better floodp	lain			
		information a	nd implement floo	odplain managen	nent programs to main	tain storage and			
		conveyance	and to minimize f	ood damage.					
Fund as 44 Driavity		Overal	Ranking and Re	commendation					
Fund as TA Priority.	I his proje	ct identifies flo	od risk in an urba	n area with no de	etailed study informatio	n available, and			
	the resulti	ng product will	nelp implement s	olutions that alle	viate flood risk and imp	prove water			
	quality in				year or runding for the				
Funding Source	P	rior	EY2016		Future	Total			
City of Bradenton		\$175 000		\$175,000	\$0	\$350,000			
District		\$175,000		\$175,000	\$0	\$350,000			
Total		\$350,000		\$350,000	\$0	\$700,000			

Project No. N667	Reclaimed Water - North Port Reclaimed Water Transmission Expansion Phase 3								
City of North Port						FY2016			
Risk Level:	Type 2			Multi-Year (Contract:				
		Yes, Year 2 of 4							
			Descr	iption					
Description:	Design, pe	ermitting and c	onstruction of	reclaimed wa	ter transmission infrastru	cture that includes			
	7400 feet	7400 feet of 16" to 18" pipe. The project will provide access to reuse water for irrigation to the							
	North Por	vorm Port Dog Park and other commercial/condominium properties while improving the reliability							
Ponofito		o existing and tuture customers.							
Denents.	project is	integral in lavir	anneu water it	ion for the lon	d-term expansion of the	system to the east			
	along Pric	e Boulevard to	Toledo Blade	Boulevard w	here service will be provid	ded to major			
	commerci	al activity cente	ers.	Doulorara					
Costs:	Total proje	ect cost: \$1,320	0,000; (design	, permitting a	nd construction)				
	City of No	rth Port: \$650,	579.82						
	WPSTF: \$	\$18,840.37							
	District: \$	650,579.81, \$3	3,000 budgete	ed in prior yea	rs, \$358,430 requested i	n FY16 and			
	\$259,149.	81 anticipated	to be request	ed in future ye	ears.				
Application Quality	Modium	Application in	Evalu	ation	Linformation identified in	the CEL quidelines			
Application Quality:	District PM/CM had to work with cooperator to obtain remaining required information								
Resource Benefit:	Hiah	High Supply of 0.36 mod of reclaimed water for commercial and recreational irrigation in the							
	U	SWUCA and enable future expansion of reclaimed water system.							
Cost Effectiveness:	High	High \$6.11 per gallon of capital cost which is below the \$10 to \$15 per gallon average for							
		alternative supplies. The estimated cost/benefit is \$1.47 per thousand gallons of water							
		resource benefit, which is within the average cost range for reuse projects.							
Past Performance:	Medium	The past perf	ormance is ba	ased on an as	sessment of the schedule	e and budget for two			
Complementary Effector	Lliab	ongoing proje	CIS.	nlianaa nar a	antia average (2000-201)	2) is helow 100 good			
Complementary Enorts:	підп	The cooperat	or has a prog	ram in place t	aplia average (2009-201) hat includes metering and	d an incentive based			
		reuse rate str	ucture for high	n volume user	S.				
Project Readiness:	High	Project is one	joing.						
		,	Strategi	c Goals					
Strategic Goals:	High	Strategic Ini	tiative - Regio	onal Water Su	pply Planning: Identify,	communicate			
		and promote	consensus or	n the strategie	es and resources necessa	ary to meet future			
		reasonable a	and beneficial	water supply	needs.				
		Strategic Ini	tiative - Alteri	native Water	Supplies: Increase devel	opment of			
		alternative so	ources of wate	er to ensure gi	roundwater and surface v	vater sustainability.			
	Southern Region Priority: Implement Southern Water Use Caution Area (SWUCA)								
	Recovery Strategy.								
Fund as 1A Priority.	This ongo	ing project is c	ost effective a	ind will increase	se use of reclaimed wate	r utilization in the			
	SWUCA.								
			Fund	ding					
Funding Source	Р	rior	FY20	16	Future	Total			
WPSTF		\$18,840		\$0	\$0	\$18,840			
District		\$33,000		\$358,430	\$259,150	\$650,580			
City of North Port		\$33,000		\$358,430	\$259,150	\$650,580			
Total		\$84,840		\$716,860	\$518,300	\$1,320,000			

Project No. W231	SW IMP - V	Vater Quality -	ater Quality - City of Anna Maria BMPs							
City of Anna Maria					FY2016					
Risk Level:	Туре 3		Multi-Year	Contract:						
		Description								
Description:	Design ne	poign permitting and construction of stormwater retrofits in City of Anna Maria								
Bonofite:	Improved	water quality in	Tampa Bay, a SWIM prior	ity water body, due to the	treatment of					
Denento.	stormwate	er runoff.	r rampa bay, a Swiiw pho	ity water body, due to the						
Costs:	Total proje	ect cost \$490,0	00 (Design, permitting, and	I construction)						
	City of An	na Maria: \$245	5,000	,						
	District: \$2	245,000 with \$ ²	135,100 budgeted in previo	us years, \$65,000 reques	ted in FY2016, and					
	\$44,900 a	nticipated to be	e requested in future years							
			Evaluation							
Application Quality:	Medium	Application in	cluded most of the required	d information identified in t	he CFI Guidelines.					
		District PM/CI	M had to work with coopera	ator to obtain remaining re	quired information.					
Resource Benefit:	High	The Resource	e Benefit of the Water Qual	ity project is the reduction	of pollutant loads to					
		Tampa Bay, a	Tampa Bay, a SWIM priority water body, by an estimated 13,000 lb/yr TSS, and 233							
		Ib/yr IN. The	lb/yr TN. The Measurable Benefit is the construction of LID BMPs to treat							
		approximately 55 acres of highly urbanized stormwater runoff. There will be no								
Cost Effectiveness	Llich	The estimated east/lb of TSS and TN removed is lower than the historical everage of								
Cost Enectiveness.	підп	\$20/lb TSS and \$646/lb TN, and the cost/acre treated is below the historical average								
		oct of \$46,947/acre treated for Coastal/LID projects. The cost effectiveness is colour								
		an analysis of	f the estimated project cost	as compared to the costs	of similar projects.					
Past Performance:	High	Based on an	assessment of the schedul	e and budget for the 1 on	going project.					
Complementary Efforts:	High	The City has	an active stormwater utility	that collects fees.						
Project Readiness:	High	Project is ong	joing.							
	<u> </u>		Strategic Goals							
Strategic Goals:	Hiah	Strategic Init	tiative - Water Quality Mai	ntenance and Improvem	ent: Develop					
..	5	and impleme	nt programs, projects and i	regulations to maintain an	d improve water					
		quality.		0						
		Tampa Bay I	Region Priority: Improve L	ake Thonotosassa, Tampa	a Bay, Lake Tarpon					
		and Lake Se	minole.							
		Overal	I Ranking and Recommen	dation						
Fund as 1A Priority.	This proje	ct has an effec	tive sediment and nutrient	removal cost, and will cor	tinue efforts by the					
	City to rec	luce stormwate	er impacts to Tampa Bay, a	SWIM priority water body	'.					
			Funding							
Funding Source	Р	rior	FY2016	Future	Total					
District		\$135,100	\$65,000	\$44,900	\$245,000					
City of Anna Maria		\$135,100	\$65,000	\$44,900	\$245,000					
Total		\$270,200	\$130,000	\$89,800	\$490,000					

Project No. W627	SW IMP - V	Nater Quality -	Bradenton Beach Stormv	vater Improvements	
Bradenton Beach					FY2016
Risk Level	Туре 3		Multi-Year	Contract:	
			Yes, Year 7	of 8	
	_		Description		
Description	Design, pe	ermitting, and c	construction of stormwater r	etrofits in 5 sub basins in	Bradenton Beach.
Benefits	Improved	water quality in	n Sarasota Bay, a SWIM pr	iority water body, due to r	eductions in Total
Costs	Suspende	ed Solids (1SS)) and Total Nitrogen (TN).	and construction)	
00515	Bradentor	Beach: \$1,56	0.325		
	District \$1	.560.325, with	\$788.000 budgeted in prev	ious vears. \$225.000 reg	uested in FY16, and
	\$547,325	anticipated to I	be requested in future years	S.	
	. ,		Evaluation		
Application Quality	Medium	Application in	cluded most of the required	information identified in	the CFI Guidelines.
		District PM/C	M had to work with the coo	perator to obtain remainir	ng required
		information.			
Resource Benefit	High	The Resource	e Benefit of the Water Qual	ity project is the reduction	of pollutant loads to
		Sarasota Bay	v, a SWIM priority water boo	ly, by an estimated 15,00	0 lbs/yr ISS and
		299 Ibs/yr TN	. The Measurable Benefit is		SMPs to treat
		approximately	y 70 acres of highly urbaniz	ed stormwater runon. In	ere will be no
Cost Effectiveness	High	The estimate	d cost/lb of TSS and TN are	below the historical aver	rades of \$20/lb TSS
OUST Effectiveness	. Tiigii	and \$646/lb T	N and the cost/acre treate	d is below the historical a	verage cost of
		\$46 947/acre	treated for Coastal/LID pro	iects. The cost effectiven	ess is solely an
		analysis of th	e estimated project cost as	compared to the costs of	similar projects.
Past Performance	High	Based on an	assessment of the schedul	e and budget for the 1 on	going project.
Complementary Efforts	High	The City has	an active stormwater utility	that collects fees.	
Project Readiness	High	Project is ong	joing.		
		•	Strategic Goals		
Strategic Goals	High	Strategic Ini	tiative - Water Quality Mai	ntenance and Improvem	ent: Develop
		and impleme	ent programs, projects and i	egulations to maintain an	d improve water
		quality.			
		Southern Re	egion Priority: Improve Cha	arlotte Harbor, Sarasota E	Bay and
		Shell/Prairie/	Joshua creeks.		
		Overal	I Ranking and Recommen	dation	
Fund as 1A Priority.	This proje	ct will continue	e efforts by the City to reduc	e stormwater impacts to	Sarasota Bay , a
	SWIM prid	ority water body	y. Euroding		
Eunding Source	D	rior	EV2016	Euturo	Total
District		\$788.000	\$225.000	Future \$547 325	101dl \$1.560.325
Bradenton Reach		\$788 000	φ220,000 ¢225,000	\$547 225	\$1,000,020 \$1,560,325
Total		\$1.576.000	\$450,000	\$1.094.650	\$3.120.650

Project No. W632	SW IMP - V	Vater Quality -	Holmes Beach BMPs		
Holmes Beach					FY2016
Risk Level:	Туре 3		Multi-Year (Yes, Year 3	Contract: of 3	
			Description		
Description:	Design, pe	ermitting, and c	construction of stormwater r	etrofits in six sub basins i	n Holmes Beach.
Benefits:	Improved	water quality in	n Sarasota Bay, a SWIM pri	ority water body, due to r	eductions in Total
	Suspende	d Solids (TSS)) and Total Nitrogen (TN).		
Costs:	Total proje	ect cost: \$1,050	0,000 (Design, permitting, a	nd construction)	
	City of Ho	Imes Beach: \$	525,000.		
	District: \$	525,000, with \$	362,500 budgeted in prior	years, \$162,500 requester	d in FY16.
			Evaluation		
Application Quality:	High	Application in	cluded all the required info	mation identified in the C	-I Guidelines.
Resource Benefit:	High	The Resource	e Benefit of the Water Qual	ity project is the reduction	of pollutant loads to
		Sarasota Bay	, a SWIM priority water boo	ly, by an estimated 42,600	J lbs/ yr TSS and
		1,172 IDS/91 1	hioswalo and 4 stormwator	filtration units for Phase	2 construction of
		500 SX of bio	swale and 3 stormwater filt	ration units, and for Phase	e 3 construction of
		700 SY of bio	swale and 11 stormwater fi	Itration units. There will be	
		performance	testina.		, no monitoring of
Cost Effectiveness:	High	The estimate	d cost/lb of TSS and TN rer	noved are below the histo	rical average of
	Ū	\$20/lb TSS a	nd \$646/lb TN and the cost	acre treated is below the	historical average
		cost of \$46,94	47/acre treated for Coastal/	LID projects. The cost eff	ectiveness is solely
		an analysis o	f the estimated project cost	as compared to the costs	of similar projects.
Past Performance:	High	Based on an	assessment of the schedul	e and budget for the 1 one	joing project.
Complementary Efforts:	High	The City has	an active stormwater utility	that collects fees.	
Project Readiness:	High	Project is ong	joing.		
		I	Strategic Goals		
Strategic Goals:	High	Strategic Ini	tiative - Water Quality Mai	ntenance and Improveme	ent: Develop
		and impleme	ent programs, projects and r	egulations to maintain and	d improve water
		quality.			
		Southern Re	egion Priority: Improve Cha	arlotte Harbor, Sarasota B	ay and
		Snell/Prairie/	Joshua creeks.	dation	
Fund as 1A Priority	The proje	ct will continue	efforts by the City to reduc	e stormwater impacts to S	Sarasota Bay ja
i una as i/ri nonty.	SWIM prid	ority water body			diasola Day, a
	e trim pric		Funding		
Funding Source	Р	rior	FY2016	Future	Total
Holmes Beach		\$362,500	\$162,500	\$0	\$525,000
District		\$362,500	\$162,500	\$0	\$525,000
Total		\$725,000	\$325,000	\$0	\$1,050,000

Project No. N394	WMP Upda	ate - Delaney/A	Archie Creek Watershed M	gmt Plan Update	
Hillsborough County					FY2016
Risk Level	Туре 3		Multi-Year	Contract:	
			Yes, Year 5	of 5	
			Description		
Description	Watershee	d Management	Plan (WMP) and model up	odate, floodplain delineatio	on, Best
	Managem	ent Practices (BMP) and alternative analy	sis, and preliminary desig	in and evaluation
	(PD&E) fo	or the Delaney/	Archie Creek Watershed in	Hillsborough County usin	ıg digital
	topograph	ic information,	ERP data, and land use up	odates. The exisiting WMF	P and model are
	based on	2007 land use	data. FY2016 funding will I	be used to determine final	recommendations
	and perfor	rmance of PD8	E within Tributary-A of the	watershed.	
Benefits	More accu	urate model, flo	odplain information, altern	ative analysis and PD&E s	study; information
	that is crit	ical to better id	entify the risk of flood dama	age and cost effective alte	rnatives.
Costs	Total proje	ect cost: \$700,0	000		
	Hillsborou	igh County: \$3	50,000		
	District: \$3	350,000 with \$	275,000 budgeted in previo	ous years and \$75,000 rec	juested in FY16.
		,	Evaluation		
Application Quality:	High	Application in	cluded all the required info	rmation identified in the C	FI Guidelines.
Resource Benefit:	Medium	Identification	of flooding problems that e	xist in the watershed and	solutions. Currently,
		flood analysis	are available and are from	n 5 to 10 years old, and th	e watershed includes
		regional or in	termediate stormwater syst	ems.	
Cost Effectiveness	Low	Project cost p	er square mile is above the	e mid-range of historic cos	sts (over \$7,000 / sq
		mi) for WMP	updates, floodplain determ	ination, and BMP alternat	ive analysis. Cost
		includes mod	el conversion and PD&E w	ithin Tributary-A of the wa	itershed.
Past Performance:	High	Based on an	assessment of the schedul	e and budget for the 11 o	ngoing project.
Complementary Efforts:	High	Cooperator's	Community Rating System	class is 5 and is in the 5	or better range.
Project Readiness	High	Project is ong	joing. Watershed modeling	is complete.	
		1	Strategic Goals		
Strategic Goals	Medium	Strategic Ini	tiative - Floodplain Manag	ement: Develop better flo	odplain
		information a	ind implement floodplain m	anagement programs to r	naintain storage and
		conveyance	and to minimize flood dama	age.	
		Overal	I Ranking and Recommen	dation	
Fund as 1A Priority.	Project is	ongoing and w	ill provide updated informa	tion to better identify flood	Iplain areas and
	provide co	ost effective alt	ernatives analysis for flood	protection.	
			Funding		
Funding Source	Р	rior	FY2016	Future	Total
Hillsborough County		\$275,000	\$75,000	\$0	\$350,000
District		\$275,000	\$75,000	\$0	\$350,000
Total		\$550,000	\$150.000	\$0	\$700,000

Project No. N398	ASR - Olds	mar Reclaime	ed Water ASR			
City of Oldsmar						FY2016
Risk Level:	Type 2			Multi-Year	Contract:	
			Doscri	res, rear 4	of 4	
Description	Decign hi	dding normitti			testing of a realisimed wa	tor ASD facility
Description:	EV16 fund	s are to desig	ng, construction	on, and cycle	testing of a reclaimed wa	lier ASR facility.
	nermanen	ASR facility	Ridding const	ruction cons	truction supervision and	cycle testing for the
	initial ASR	system were	funded under	orior vears. A	feasibility study, design	and permitting, and
	explorator	/ well construc	tion were com	pleted coope	eratively in prior years.	1 0,
Benefits:	The City's	goal is to prov	vide reliable re	claimed servi	ice to existing customers;	service
	approxima	tely 300 new	customers; and	d increase dr	y season availability to Pi	nellas County. The
	project wil	provide enou	gh storage ca	pacity to incre	ease beneficial use of the	City's reclaimed
•	water from	66% to at lea	st 83%.			
Costs:	City of Old	ct cost: \$1,74	1,724 (design,	bidding, peri	mitting, construction, and	cycle testing)
	District: \$2	511121. \$070,00 270 862 with \$	02 511 172 buda	ated in prior y	are \$350 600 requested	d in EV16
	District. ¢C	170,002 with \$	Evalu	ation		
Application Quality:	High	Application c	ontained all the	e required inf	ormation identified in the	CFI guidelines.
Resource Benefit:	High	Reclaimed w	ater ASR proje	ect will reduce	e potable groundwater de	mand in the
	Ū	NTBWUCA b	y providing rel	iable reclaim	ed service to existing cus	tomers; servicing
		approximatel	y 300 new cus	tomers; and	increasing dry season ava	ailability to Pinellas
		County.				
Cost Effectiveness:	High	\$4.67 per gp	d of capital cos	st for this proj	ect. The cost is less than	the \$10 to \$15 per
Deet Derfermenee	Lliab	gpd for altern	ative supplies	f tha aabadul	a and hudget for the 2 an	aging projects
Complementary Efforts	High	Based on an	assessment o	re metered	including City facilities in	yoing projects.
	riigii	medians Rat	es are progres	ssive and hig	hest for the largest users	New developments
		must connec	if reclaimed w	vater is availa	able, and new houses aut	omatically get
		meters. An a	vailability fee v	vas implemer	nted for residential custon	ners where reclaimed
		water was av	ailable after th	e homes wer	e constructed. The City a	lso conserves
		potable wate	with a water o	conservation	rate structure.	
Project Readiness:	High	Project is one	joing.	0		
Otrata via O a ala	1.12.1		Strategi	c Goals		
Strategic Goals:	High	Strategic Ini	tiative - Alterr	native Water	Supplies: Increase devel	opment of
		Strategic Ini	tiative - Recla	imed Water	Maximize beneficial use	of reclaimed
		water to offs	et potable wat	er supplies a	nd restore water levels ar	id natural systems.
						,, , , ,
		Overa	I Ranking and	Recommen	dation	
Fund as 1A Priority.	This proje	ct will reduce	ootable ground	lwater demar	nd in the NTBWUCA by p	roviding reliable
	reclaimed	service to exis	sting customer	s; servicing a	approximately 300 new cu	stomers; and
	increasing	dry season a	ailability to Pi	nellas Count	y, while being cost-effecti	ve
			Func	ling	_	
Funding Source	P		FY20	16	Future	Total
		\$511,172		\$359,690	\$0	\$870,862
		\$511,172 \$1 022 344		\$359,690 \$710,290	<u>\$</u> ປ ແກ	\$870,862 \$1 711 721
Iotal	1	φ1,022,344		JU06, 19	۵ 0	φι,/4ι,/24

Project No. N400	WMP Upda	te - Northwes	t Five Waters	hed Mgmt Pl	an Update		
Hillsborough County						FY	2016
Risk Level:	Туре 3			Multi-Year	Contract:		
				Yes, Year 5	of 5		
			Descri	ption			
Description:	Watershee	d Management	Plan (WMP) a	and model up	odate, floodplain delinea	tion and Best	
	Managem	ent Practices (BMP) alternati	ve analysis f	or the Brooker Creek, D	ouble Branch,	
	Rocky/Bru	shyCreek, Sw	eetwater Cree	k and Lower	Sweetwater Creek Wat	ersheds in	
	Hillsborou	gh County usir	ng digital topog	graphic inform	nation, ERP data, and la	and use updates. The	
	existing W	MP and mode	Is are based o	n data rangin	ig from 2004 to 2010. F	Y2016 funding will be	
Development	used to fin	alize model up	dates, BMP a	Iternative and	alysis, and long term mo		
Benefits:	Wore accu	and to bottor id	odplain inform	ation, alterna	ative analysis and PD&	= study; information	
Costs	Total proje				age and cost ellective a	ilematives.	
00313.	Hillsborou	ah County: \$3	50 000				
	District: \$3	350.000 with \$	287.000 budae	eted in previo	us vears and \$63.000 r	equested in FY2016.	
			Evalua	ation	,,		
Application Quality:	High	Application in	cluded all the	required info	rmation identified in the	CFI Guidelines.	
Resource Benefit:	Medium	Identification	of flooding pro	blems that e	xist in the watershed an	d solutions. Currently,	
		flood analysis	are available	and are from	15 to 10 years old, and	the watershed includes	
		regional or in	termediate sto	rmwater syst	ems.		
Cost Effectiveness:	Medium	Project cost p	per square mile	e is in the mic	l-range of historic costs	(\$5,001 to \$7,000 /	
		sq mi) for WN	/IP updates, flo	odplain dete	rmination, and BMP alte	ernative analysis.	
Past Performance:	High	Based on an	assessment of	tine schedul	e and budget for the 11	ongoing project.	
Complementary Efforts:	Hign	Cooperator's		ating System		5 or better range.	
Project Readiness:	High	Project is ong	joing. Watersh	led modeling	is complete.		
			Strategic	c Goals		.	
Strategic Goals:	Medium	Strategic Ini	tiative - Flood	plain Manag	ement: Develop better	floodplain	
			and implement	noouplain m	anagement programs to	maintain storage and	
		conveyance			age.		
		Overal	Panking and	Pacamman	dation		
Fund as 1A Priority.	Project is		vill provide und	ated informa	tion to better identify flo	odolain areas and	
i and do in the hority.	provide co	ost effective alt	ernatives anal	vsis for flood	protection.		
		and and and	Fund	ling			
Funding Source	Р	rior	FY20	16	Future	Total	
Hillsborough County		\$287,000		\$63,000	\$	50 \$350	0,000
District		\$287,000		\$63,000	\$	\$350	0,000
Total		\$574,000		\$126,000	\$	50 \$700	0,000

Project No. N585	SW IMP - V	Vater Quality -	Mango Aven	ue Stormwate	r Improvement Area	
City of Clearwater						FY2016
Risk Level:	Туре 3			Multi-Year C	ontract:	
				Yes, Year 2 c	of 2	
			Descri	ption		
Description:	Design, pe	ermitting, and o	construction of	a nutrient sep	parating baffle box to pro	ovide treatment for
	an area th	at currently ha	s no water qua	ality infrastruct	ure and for replacemen	t of stormwater inlets
	and under	sized stormwa	ter pipes to all	eviate localize	ed street flooding.	· · · · · · · ·
Benefits:	This proje	ct will provide	water quality ti	reatment prior	to discharge to Clearwa	ater Harbor from an
		currentiy nas n avice deficienc	ies throughout	t the project ar		street noouling
Costs:	Total proje	ect cost: \$1.80	0.000 (Desian.	permitting an	d construction)	
	City of Cle	earwater: \$900	,000	p	,	
	District: \$9	900,000, with \$	450,000 budg	eted in previo	us years, and \$450,000	requested in
	FY2016.					
		1	Evalua	ation		
Application Quality:	High	Application in	cluded all the	required inforr	mation identified in the C	CFI Guidelines.
Resource Benefit:	Medium	The Resource	e Benefit of the	e Water Qualit	y project is the reduction	n of pollutant loads to
		Clearwater H	arbor by an es	stimated 6 lbs/	year TP, 14 lbs/year TN	I, and 1,390 lbs/year
		ISS. The Me	asurable Bene		be the contractual requi	rement, is the
		residential st	anu maintenai ormwater runo	ff There will b	e no monitoring or perfe	armance testing
		requirements		II. THEIC WII D	e no monitoring of perio	Jimanee testing
Cost Effectiveness:	Low	The estimate	d cost/lb of TN	I, TP and TSS	are above the historical	l average of \$646/lb,
		\$4,715/lb and	d \$20/lb respec	ctively, and co	st/acre treated is above	the historical average
		cost of \$46,9	47/acre treated	d for coastal/L	ID water quality projects	s. The cost
		effectiveness	is solely an ar	nalysis of the e	estimated project cost a	s compared to the
		costs of simil	ar projects.			<u> </u>
Past Performance:	High	Based on an	assessment of	t the schedule	and budget for the 8 or	igoing projects.
Complementary Efforts:	High	Applicant has	an active stor	rm water utility	that collects fees.	
Project Readiness:	High	Project is ong	joing.			
			Strategi	c Goals		
Strategic Goals:	Medium	Strategic Ini	tiative - Water	r Quality Main	tenance and Improven	ient: Develop
		and impleme	ent programs, p	projects and re	egulations to maintain ar	nd improve water
		quanty.				
		Overal	Ranking and	Recommend	lation	
Fund as 1A Priority.	The proje	ct provides wa	ter quality ben	efits to Clearw	ater Harbor and also pr	ovides local flood
	protection	benefits for a	coastal comm	unity.		
			Func	ling		
Funding Source	Р	rior	FY20	16	Future	Total
City of Clearwater		\$450,000		\$450,000	\$C) \$900,000
District		\$450,000		\$450,000	\$C) \$900,000
Total		\$900,000		\$900,000	\$C) \$1,800,000

Hillsborough County FY2010 Risk Level: Type 3 Multi-Year Contract: Yes, Year 2 of 3 Description
Risk Level: Type 3 Multi-Year Contract: Yes, Year 2 of 3 Description
Description
Description: Watershed Management Plan (WMP) and model update, floodplain delineation and Best
Management Practices (BMP) alternative analysis for the Alafia River Watershed in Hillsborough
County using digital topographic information, ERP data, and land use updates. The existing
WMP and model are based on 2006 land use data. FY2016 funding will be used to complete the
watershed evaluation, peer review and begin the floodplain analysis.
Benefits: More accurate model, floodplain information, and alternative analysis; information that is critical
to better identify the risk of flood damage and cost effective alternatives.
Costs: Total project cost: \$1,000,000
Hillsborough County: \$500,000
District: \$500,000 with \$150,000 budgeted in previous years, \$200,000 requested in FY16,
Evaluation
Application Quality: High Application included all the required information identified in the CEL Guidelines.
Besource Benefit: Medium Identification of flooding problems that exist in the watershed and solutions. Currently
flood analysis are available and are from 5 to 10 years old, and the watershed includes
regional or intermediate stormwater systems.
Cost Effectiveness: High Project cost per square mile is below the mid-range of historic costs (\$5,000 / sq mi or
less) for WMP updates, floodplain determination, and BMP alternative analysis.
Past Performance: High Based on an assessment of the schedule and budget for the 11 ongoing project.
Complementary Efforts:HighCooperator's Community Rating System class is 5 and is in the 5 or better range.
Project Readiness: Medium Project is ongoing. Watershed model has not been completed.
Strategic Goals
Strategic Goals: Medium Strategic Initiative - Floodplain Management: Develop better floodplain
information and implement floodplain management programs to maintain storage and
conveyance and to minimize flood damage.
Overall Ranking and Recommendation
Fund as 1A Priority. Project is ongoing and will provide updated information to better identify floodplain areas and
provide cost effective alternatives analysis for flood protection.
Funding Device Price Fideward Fideward Fideward
Funding Source Prior F12016 Future Iotal Hillshoreush County \$150,000 \$200,000 \$150,000 \$500,000
Imissionough County \$150,000 \$200,000 \$150,000 \$500,000 District #450,000 #200,000 \$150,000 \$500,000
District \$150,000 \$200,000 \$150,000 \$500,000 Tatal \$300,000 \$400,000 \$300,000 \$1,000,00

Project No. N594	SW IMP - F	lood Protection	on - West Bea	rss Avenue D	Prainage Improvement	
Hillsborough County						FY2016
Risk Level:	Туре 3			Multi-Year O	Contract:	
				Yes, Year 2	of 2	
			Descri	iption		
Description:	Design, pe	ermitting, and o	construction to	improve the	existing drainage system a	along Bearss
	Avenue ar	nd address wa	er levels in La	ike Magdalen	e in the Sweetwater Water	rshed in
	Hillsborou	gh County. FY	2016 funding	will be used to	complete design and cor	nstruction. A
	preferred :	alternative	u Managemer	it plan has be		eu this project as a
Benefits:	Provide flo	od protection	for streets dur	ing the 25-ve	ar. 24-hour storm event. a	and improve water
	quality by	modifying an e	existing pond a	and control str	ucture as well as construc	cting a new pond.
Costs:	Total proje	ect cost: \$400,	000; (Design,	permitting and	d construction)	
	Hillsborou	gh County: \$2	00,000			
	District: \$2	200,000 with \$`	75,000 budget	ed in previous	s years and \$125,000 requ	uested in FY16.
Application Quality	High	Application in	eluded ell the	ation required infor	mation identified in the CE	El Cuidelineo
Application Quality:	Modium	Application in			the project imposts the r	
Resource Benefit:	Medium	intermediate	drainade syste	e project area	esource Benefit of this flor	egional of
		will reduce th	e existina floo	dina problem	during the 25-year. 24-ho	our storm event. The
		Measurable E	Benefit, which	will be the cor	ntractual requirement, is th	he construction and
		maintenance	of a drainage	conveyance s	system, modify an existing	j pond control
		structure and	construct a ne	ew pond to re	duce flooding in approxim	ately 8.8 acres of
		the Lake Mag	dalene area c	of the Sweetw	ater Creek Watershed.	
Cost Effectiveness:	Medium	Costs are bas	sed on initial d	esign. Costs a	appear to be reasonable b	based on available
Past Performance:	High	Based on an	assessment o	f the schedule	and budget for the 11 or	naciona project
Complementary Efforts:	High	Cooperator's	Community R	ating System	class is 5 and is in the 5 c	or better range.
Project Readiness:	High	Project is onc	ioina.	ating of otom		
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, ngi		Strategi	c Goals		
Strategic Goals:	High	Strategic Ini	tiative - Wate	r Quality Mair	ntenance and Improveme	ent: Develop
	-	and impleme	nt programs,	projects and r	egulations to maintain and	d improve water
		quality.				
		Strategic Ini	tiative - Flood	Iplain Manag	ement: Develop better floo	odplain
		information a	and implement	tloodplain ma	anagement programs to m	aintain storage and
		conveyance			ige.	
		Overal	Ranking and	Recommen	dation	
Fund as 1A Priority.	Project pr	ovides flood pr	otection for st	reets for the 2	5-year event and also pro	vides water quality
	benefits. (Cooperator has	not identified	any known si	tructure flooding in this are	ea.
			Func	ling		
Funding Source	Р	rior	FY20	16	Future	Total
Hillsborough County		\$75,000		\$125,000	\$0	\$200,000
District		\$75,000		\$125,000	\$0	\$200,000
Total		\$150,000		\$250,000	\$0	\$400,000

Project No. N602	SW IMP - W	Vater Quality -	East Gateway St	ormwater	Improvements	
City of Clearwater						FY2016
Risk Level:	Туре 3		Mu Ye	ulti-Year C s. Year 2 (contract:	
			Descriptio	on		
Description:	Design, pe	ermitting, and o	construction of nut	rient sepa	rating baffle boxes and a	dditional
	stormwate	er infrastructure	e necessary to trai	nsport rune	off to provide water qualit	y benefits for an
	area that	currently receiv	res no treatment, a	and to alle	viate street flooding.	
Benefits:	This proje	ct will provide	water quality treat	ment prior	to discharge to Stevenso	on Creek, just
	upstream	of Clearwater	Harbor, for an are	a that curr	ently has no water quality	y treatment. The
Costs	Total project wi	also reduce s	treet flooding leve	or servic	e deficiencies inrougnout	t the project area.
00515.	City of Cle	arwater: \$1 75	0,000, (Design, pe so ooo	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a		
	District: \$	1,750,000, \$75	0,000 budgeted ir	n previous	vears, and \$1,000,000 re	equested in FY2016.
	·		Evaluatio	n		
Application Quality:	High	Application in	cluded all the req	uired infor	mation identified in the C	FI Guidelines.
Resource Benefit:	Medium	The Resource	e Benefit of the W	ater Qualit	y project is the reduction	of pollutant loads to
		Stevenson Ci	reek, just upstrear	n of Clear	water Harbor, by an estin	nated 7,200 lbs/year
		TSS. The Me	asurable Benefit,	which will	be the contractual requir	ement, is the
		construction a	and maintenance	of LID BM	P's to treat approximately	75 acres of urban
	Madium	stormwater ru	inoff. There will be	e no monit	oring or performance tes	ting requirements.
COSt Enectiveness.	mealum	treated is bel	ow the historical a		st of \$46 947/acre treate	d for Coastal/LID
		water quality	projects. The cost	t effectiver	iess is solely an analysis	of the estimated
		project cost a	s compared to the	e costs of s	similar projects.	
Past Performance:	High	Based on an	assessment of the	e schedule	and budget for the 8 on	going projects.
Complementary Efforts:	High	Applicant has	an active storm w	vater utility	that collects fees.	
Project Readiness:	High	Project is ong	joing.			
		1	Strategic G	oals		
Strategic Goals:	Medium	Strategic Ini	tiative - Water Qι	ality Mair	tenance and Improvem	ent: Develop
		and impleme	nt programs, proj	ects and re	egulations to maintain an	d improve water
		quality.				
Fund as 1A Priority	The proje	Overal ot provideo wet	Ranking and Re	comment	lation	widee level fleed
Tunu as TA Fhomy.	notection	benefits			ater harbor and also pro	Mues Iocal 11000
	protection		Funding			
Funding Source	Р	rior	FY2016		Future	Total
City of Clearwater		\$750,000	\$1	,000,000	\$0	\$1,750,000
District		\$750,000	\$1	,000,000	\$0	\$1,750,000
Total		\$1,500,000	\$2	,000,000	\$0	\$3,500,000

Project No. N632	SW IMP - F	lood Protectio	on - Hillcrest Avenue	Bypass Culvert	
City of Clearwater					FY2016
Risk Level:	Туре 3		Multi- Yes, Y	Year Contract: ear 2 of 3	
			Description		
Description:	Design, pe	ermitting, and c	construction for install	ation of a box culvert from unc	ler Browning Street
	to the ups	tream end of L	inn Lake at the Everg	reen Avenue footbridge to red	uce structure
	flooding. T	his project was	s identified as Project	4A in the Stevenson Creek W	/atershed
	Managem	ent Plan, which	n was prepared by the	e City of Clearwater with the D	istrict's cooperative
	funding ar	nd participation			
Benefits:	This proje	ct will provide f	lood relief for homes	adjacent to Stevenson Creek	between Jeffords
	Street and	Bellevue Bou	levard. Approximately	47 homes will be removed fro	om the 100-year
Costs	Total proj		000: (Dosign pormi	tting and construction)	
00515.	City of Cle	arwater: \$1 95	5,000, (Design, penni 60,000.		
	District: \$	1.950.000. with	\$230.000 budgeted i	n previous vears. \$860.000 re	auested in
	FY16, and	\$860,000 ant	cipated to be request	ed in future years.	1
			Evaluation	·	
Application Quality:	Medium	Application in	cluded most of the re	quired information identified ir	the CFI guidelines.
		District PM/C	M had to work with co	operator to obtain remaining	required information.
Resource Benefit:	High	Structure and	street flooding occur	s in the project area, the proje	ct impacts the
		regional or in	termediate drainage s	ystem, and the project will rec	luce the existing
	Law	flooding probl	em.	al information and contract	
Cost Effectiveness:	LOW	Costs are bas	sed on conceptual lev	el information only and design	nas just begun.
Past Performance:	High	Based on an	assessment of the sc	redule and budget for the 8 o	ngoing projects.
Complementary Efforts:	Medium	Cooperator's	Community Rating S	stem class is 7 and is in the 0	o to 9 range.
Project Readiness:	High	Project is ong	oing.		
		1	Strategic Goals		
Strategic Goals:	Medium	Strategic Ini	tiative - Floodplain N	anagement: Develop better f	loodplain
		information a	ind implement floodpl	ain management programs to	maintain storage and
		conveyance		uamaye.	
		0	Doubling and Descri		
Fund as 1A Priority	Project wi	Uveral	I Ranking and Recor	imendation	from the 100 year
r unu as rAr nonty.	floodnlain			ing approximately 47 nomes	nom the Too-year
	noouplain		Funding		
Funding Source	Р	rior	FY2016	Future	Total
City of Clearwater		\$230,000	\$860),000 \$860,000	\$1,950.000
District		\$230,000	\$860),000 \$860.000	\$1,950.000
Total		\$460,000	\$1.72	9.000 \$1,720,000	\$3,900,000

Project No. N645	SW IMP - F	lood Protectio	on - 43rd St. Outfall Storm	water Improvements Pha	ase 2
City of Tampa					FY2016
Risk Level	Туре 3		Multi-Year 0	Contract:	
			Yes, Year 2	of 3	
			Description		
Description	Design, pe	ermitting, and c	onstruction to improve the	existing drainage system	for the 43rd Street
	outfall dito	h near the HA	RT headquarters facility to r	elieve commercial structu	ire and street
	tiooding. I	nis project is fo	or Phase 2 of the regional p	broject which consists of c	onstructing the
	improvem	ents to convey	treated runoff from the 40th	be used for construction	N506) southward to
	the receiv	ing system nea	r 7th Avenue A stormwater	r study and model were o	ompleted to
	evaluate t	his project in 20)12.		
Benefits	Provide flo	ood protection	for streets and structures du	uring the 25-year storm ev	vent.
Costs	Total proje	ect cost: \$4,10),000; (Design, permitting a	ind construction)	
	City of Ta	mpa: \$2,050,00	00; (Includes \$57,000 of lan	nd acquisition costs as fur	nding match)
	District: \$2	2,050,000 with	\$350,000 budgeted in prev	ious years, \$500,000 req	uested in
	FY2016 a	nd \$1,200,000	anticipated in future years.		
			Evaluation		
Application Quality:	Medium	Application in	cluded most of the required	l information identified in t	the CFI guidelines.
Des surres Demofit	Lliah	District PM/C	VI had to work with coopera	tor to obtain remaining re	equired information.
Resource Benefit:	підп	regional or int	street noouling occurs in th	e project area, the project	fit of this flood
		protection pro	iect will reduce the existing	flooding problem during	the 25-year 24-hour
		storm event.	The Measurable Benefit, wh	nich will be the contractua	I requirement, is the
		construction a	and maintenance of convey	ance improvements BMP	's to reduce flooding
		in approximat	ely 900 acres of a highly ur	banized basin.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Cost Effectiveness	Medium	Costs are bas	ed on initial design. Costs	appear to be reasonable	based on available
		information or	are similar when compare	d to similar projects if info	rmation is available.
Past Performance:	High	Based on an	assessment of the schedule	e and budget for the 4 one	going project.
Complementary Efforts	Medium	Cooperator's	Community Rating System	class is 6 and is in the 6	to 9 range.
Project Readiness	: High	Project is ong	oing.		
			Strategic Goals		
Strategic Goals	Medium	Strategic Ini	tiative - Floodplain Manag	ement: Develop better flo	odplain
		information a	nd implement floodplain ma	anagement programs to n	naintain storage and
		conveyance	and to minimize flood dama	ige.	
	_	Overal	Ranking and Recommen	dation	
Fund as 1A Priority.	Project pr	ovides flood pr	otection for structures and s	streets during the 25 year	event. Project is
	Phase 2 c	of the regional i	mprovement plan within the	e watershed.	
Funding: Original	-		Funding	Future	Total
City of Tampa	<u>Р</u>	FIOF			10tal
District		\$350,000 \$350,000	φ500,000 ¢500,000	φ1,200,000 ¢1,200,000	\$2,050,000
District	1	<u> </u>	ລວ <u>ບບ.</u> ບບບ	ຉ⊺.∠∪∪.∪∪∪	37 050 000

Pasco County FY2016 Risk Level: Type 2 Multi-Year Contract: Yes, Year 2 of 2 Description Description: Design, permitting and construction of a 5.0 million gallon (mg) reclaimed water storage tank at the County's regional Shady Hills Wastewater Treatment Facility (WWTF). Benefits: Diurnal storage of 5.0 mg of reclaimed water to enable future Pasco County Master Reuse System expansion. Costs: Total project cost: \$2,000,000 (Design, permitting and construction) Pasco County: \$1,000,000 District: \$1,000,000
Risk Level: Type 2 Multi-Year Contract: Yes, Year 2 of 2 Description Description: Design, permitting and construction of a 5.0 million gallon (mg) reclaimed water storage tank at the County's regional Shady Hills Wastewater Treatment Facility (WWTF). Benefits: Diurnal storage of 5.0 mg of reclaimed water to enable future Pasco County Master Reuse System expansion. Costs: Total project cost: \$2,000,000 (Design, permitting and construction) Pasco County: \$1,000,000 District: \$1,000,000 District: \$1,000,000, \$250,000 budgeted in prior years and the \$750,000 requested for FY16. Evaluation Application Quality: High Application included all the required information identified in the CFI Guidelines Resource Benefit: High Diurnal storage of 5.0 mg of reclaimed water, enabling a reduction of reclaimed water disposal in the Aripeka springshed. Cost Effectiveness: High The cost effectiveness is reasonable as the costs are consistent with the range of costs within the District's average costs for similar storage projects. (District cost estimate = \$2,250,000) Past Performance: High Based on an assessment of the schedule and budget for the 24 ongoing project.
Description Benefits: Diurnal storage of 5.0 mg of reclaimed water to enable future Pasco County Master Reuse System expansion. Costs: Total project cost: \$2,000,000 (Design, permitting and construction) Pasco County: \$1,000,000 District: \$1,000,000, \$250,000 budgeted in prior years and the \$750,000 requested for FY16. Evaluation Application Quality: High Application included all the required information identified in the CFI Guidelines Resource Benefit: High Diurnal storage of 5.0 mg of reclaimed water, enabling a reduction of reclaimed water disposal in the Aripeka springshed. Cost Effectiveness: High The cost effectiveness is reasonable as the costs are consistent with the range of costs within the District's average costs for similar storage projects. (District cost estimate = \$2,250,000) Past Performance: High Based on an assessment of the schedule a
Description: Design, permitting and construction of a 5.0 million gallon (mg) reclaimed water storage tank at the County's regional Shady Hills Wastewater Treatment Facility (WWTF). Benefits: Diurnal storage of 5.0 mg of reclaimed water to enable future Pasco County Master Reuse System expansion. Costs: Total project cost: \$2,000,000 (Design, permitting and construction) Pasco County: \$1,000,000 District: \$1,000,000 District: \$1,000,000, \$250,000 budgeted in prior years and the \$750,000 requested for FY16. Evaluation Application Quality: High Application included all the required information identified in the CFI Guidelines Resource Benefit: High Diurnal storage of 5.0 mg of reclaimed water, enabling a reduction of reclaimed water disposal in the Aripeka springshed. Cost Effectiveness: High The cost effectiveness is reasonable as the costs are consistent with the range of costs within the District's average costs for similar storage projects. (District cost estimate = \$2,250,000) Past Performance: High
Benefits: Diurnal storage of 5.0 mg of reclaimed water to enable future Pasco County Master Reuse System expansion. Costs: Total project cost: \$2,000,000 (Design, permitting and construction) Pasco County: \$1,000,000 District: \$1,000,000, \$250,000 budgeted in prior years and the \$750,000 requested for FY16. Evaluation Evaluation Application Quality: High Application included all the required information identified in the CFI Guidelines Resource Benefit: Diurnal storage of 5.0 mg of reclaimed water, enabling a reduction of reclaimed water disposal in the Aripeka springshed. Cost Effectiveness: High The cost effectiveness is reasonable as the costs are consistent with the range of costs within the District's average costs for similar storage projects. (District cost estimate = \$2,250,000) Past Performance: High
Costs: Total project cost: \$2,000,000 (Design, permitting and construction) Pasco County: \$1,000,000 District: \$1,000,000, \$250,000 budgeted in prior years and the \$750,000 requested for FY16. Evaluation Application Quality: High Application included all the required information identified in the CFI Guidelines Resource Benefit: High Diurnal storage of 5.0 mg of reclaimed water, enabling a reduction of reclaimed water disposal in the Aripeka springshed. Cost Effectiveness: High The cost effectiveness is reasonable as the costs are consistent with the range of costs within the District's average costs for similar storage projects . (District cost estimate = \$2,250,000) Past Performance: High Based on an assessment of the schedule and budget for the 24 ongoing project.
Evaluation Evaluation Application Quality: High Application included all the required information identified in the CFI Guidelines Resource Benefit: High Diurnal storage of 5.0 mg of reclaimed water, enabling a reduction of reclaimed water disposal in the Aripeka springshed. Cost Effectiveness: High The cost effectiveness is reasonable as the costs are consistent with the range of costs within the District's average costs for similar storage projects. (District cost estimate = \$2,250,000) Past Performance: High Based on an assessment of the schedule and budget for the 24 ongoing project.
Evaluation Application Quality: High Application included all the required information identified in the CFI Guidelines Resource Benefit: High Diurnal storage of 5.0 mg of reclaimed water, enabling a reduction of reclaimed water disposal in the Aripeka springshed. Cost Effectiveness: High The cost effectiveness is reasonable as the costs are consistent with the range of costs within the District's average costs for similar storage projects. (District cost estimate = \$2,250,000) Past Performance: High Based on an assessment of the schedule and budget for the 24 ongoing project.
Resource Benefit: High Diurnal storage of 5.0 mg of reclaimed water, enabling a reduction of reclaimed water disposal in the Aripeka springshed. Cost Effectiveness: High The cost effectiveness is reasonable as the costs are consistent with the range of costs within the District's average costs for similar storage projects. (District cost estimate = \$2,250,000) Past Performance: High Based on an assessment of the schedule and budget for the 24 ongoing project.
Cost Effectiveness: High The cost effectiveness is reasonable as the costs are consistent with the range of costs within the District's average costs for similar storage projects. (District cost estimate = \$2,250,000) Past Performance: High Based on an assessment of the schedule and budget for the 24 ongoing project.
Past Performance: High Based on an assessment of the schedule and budget for the 24 ongoing project.
Complementary Efforts: High Pasco reclaimed water system includes metering and incentive based reuse rate structures for high volume water users and has pro-active reclaimed water expansion policies which maximize utilization, water resource benefits, and environmental benefits.
Project Readiness: High Project is ongoing.
Strategic Goals
Strategic Goals: High Strategic Initiative - Reclaimed Water: Maximize beneficial use of reclaimed water to offset potable water supplies and restore water levels and natural systems. Tampa Bay Region Priority: Implement Minimum Flow and Level (MFL) Recovery Strategies.
Overall Ranking and Recommendation
Fund as 1A Priority. This is the final year of a mutli-year project that cost effectively increases storage and efficiency for the Pasco County Master Reuse System. The project will allow for the further expansion of the beneficial use of reclaimed water in the NTBWUCA.
Funding
Funding Source Prior FY2016 Future Total
Pasco County \$250,000 \$750,000 \$0 \$1,000,000
District \$250,000 \$0 \$1,000,000 Total \$500,000 \$1,500,000 \$0 \$2,000,000
Project No. N650

St. Petersburg Beach
Risk Level:
Description:
Benefits:
Costs:
Application Quality
Application Quality.
Resource Benefit:
Cost Effectiveness:
Past Performance:
Complementary Efforts:
Project Readiness:
Strategic Goals:
Fund on 1A Driarity
Fund as TA Phonity.
Eunding Source
St. Petersburg Beach
District
Total

Project No. N659	SW IMP - V	Vater Quality -	14th Avenue	North Storm	water Improvements					
City of St. Petersburg							FY2016			
Risk Level:	Туре 3			Multi-Year (Contract:					
				Yes, Year 2	of 2					
		Description								
Description:	Design, pe	Jesign, permitting and construction to improve the existing stormwater system along 14th								
	Avenue N	orth, 4th Stree	North to Cres	scent Lake in	the Crescent Lake Nei	ghborhood. FY2016	j Dr			
	Plan as or	ne of their top r	proiects.	ne project wa	is identified in the City :	s Storriwater Maste	71			
Benefits:	This proje	ct will provide	water quality ti	reatment prior	r to discharge to Cresc	ent Lake for an are	а			
	that curre	ntly has no wat	er quality trea	tment. The pr	oject will treat approxir	nately 48 acres of				
	urban stor	mwater runoff	Project will al	so reduce str	eet and structure flood	ing in the Crescent				
	Lake area	l.	0.000 (D :							
Costs:	Lotal proje	Petersburg: \$1,60	D,000 (Design) ROD DOD	, permitting ai	nd construction)					
	District: \$8	300.000. with \$	200.000 buda	eted in previo	ous vears and \$600.00	0 requested in FY16	3.			
		, , ,	Evalu	ation	,					
Application Quality:	Medium	Application in	cluded most o	of the required	I information identified	in the CFI guideline	s.			
		District PM/C	M had to work	with coopera	tor to obtain remaining	required informatio	on.			
Resource Benefit:	High	The Resource	e Benefit of the	e Water Quali	ty project is the reduct	ion of pollutant load	S			
		by an estimat	ed 9 lbs/year	TP and 22 lbs	s/year IN. The Measur	able Benefit, which	WIII			
		treat approxim	nately 48 acre	ent, is the co s of urban str	ormwater runoff There	will be no monitori	ng or			
		performance testing requirements.								
Cost Effectiveness:	Medium	um The estimated cost/lb of TN and TP are above the historical average of \$646/lb and								
		\$4,715/lb res	pectively, and	cost/acre trea	ated is below the histor	ical average cost of	F			
		\$46,947/acre	treated for Co	astal/LID wat	ter quality projects. The	e cost effectiveness	is			
		solely an ana	lysis of the est	timated projed	ct cost as compared to	the costs of similar				
Dact Dorformanco:	High	projects. Based on an	assassment o	f the schedule	a and hudget for the 7					
Complementary Efforts:	High	Applicant has	an active stor	m water utilit	v that collects fees	ongoing projects.				
Project Readiness:	High	Project is one	ioina							
			Strategi	c Goals						
Strategic Goals:	Medium	Strategic Ini	tiative - Water	r Quality Maii	ntenance and Improve	ement: Develop				
		and impleme	nt programs, j	projects and r	egulations to maintain	and improve water				
		quality.								
		Overal	I Ranking and	l Recommen	dation					
Fund as 1A Priority.	Project pr	ovides water q	uality benefits	to Crescent L	_ake as well as limited	flood protection for				
	this comm	iunity.	Fund	lina						
Funding Source	P	rior	FY20	16	Future	Total				
City of St. Petersburg		\$200.000		\$600.000		\$0	\$800.000			
District		\$200.000		\$600,000		\$0	\$800.000			
Total		\$400,000		\$1,200,000		\$0	\$1,600,000			

Project No. W737	Study - La	ke Tarpon Wat	ter Quality Mar	nagement P	lan			
Pinellas County							FY2016	
Risk Level:	Туре 3			Multi-Year	Contract:			
			Doscriu	Yes, Year 3	OT 3			
			T MON					
Description:	Update of	the 1998 Lake		r quality in the	and the continuing deciin	e in water quality		
	Identify pr	ojects and pro	grams to reduc	e nutrient lo	ading to Lake Tarpon a	SWIM Priority		
201101101	Waterbody	y.			ading to Earlo Talpon, a	e triin r nonty		
Costs:	Total proje	ect cost: \$700,0	000 (Study/Mo	deling)				
	Pinellas C	ounty: \$350,00	00					
	District: \$3	350,000 with \$	275,000 budge	ted in previc	ous years, and \$75,000 r	equested in FY16.		
	Ma aliana		Evalua	tion	l information identified in			
Application Quality:	wealum	District PM/C	M had to work	with coopera	a information identified in ator to obtain remaining i	required information	3. 1.	
Resource Benefit:	High	Diagnostic/fe	asibility study f	or SWIM Pri	ority Waterbody that will	evaluate 33,000 ad	ore	
		regional wate	rshed. The Lak	ke and water	shed are impaired for nu	trients. Study will		
		identify project	cts and program	ns to improv	e water quality/natural s	ystems.		
Cost Effectiveness:	High	igh Study costs are comparable to similar past projects such as L610 (Lemon Bay) and						
Past Performance:	Medium	Based on an	assessment of	the schedul	e and budget for 14 ong	oing projects.		
Complementary Efforts:	High	The county o	perates a storn	nwater utility	as well as a street swee	ping program, has		
	-	adopted a fer	tilizer ordinanc	e and has in	nplemented projects to m	nonitor and improve	;	
		water quality	in Lake Tarpon					
Project Readiness:	High	Project is ong	joing.					
		1	Strategic	Goals				
Strategic Goals:	High	Strategic Ini	tiative - Water	Quality Ass	essment and Planning:	Collect and		
		analyze data	to determine l	ocal and reg	ional water quality status	s and trends to		
		Tampa Bay	Region Priority		ake Thonotosassa, Tam	res. na Bay I ake Tarno	'n	
		and Lake Se	minole.	y. Improve L			"	
		Overal	I Ranking and	Recommen	dation			
Fund as 1A Priority.	This ongo	ing project will	develop a plar	n to identify a	additional projects and pr	ograms to reduce		
	nutrient lo	ading to Lake	Tarpon, a SWI	M Priority W	aterbody.			
			Fund	ing				
Funding Source	P	rior	FY201	6	Future	Total		
District		\$275,000		\$75,000	\$0	<u>ן</u>	\$350,000	
Pinellas County		\$275,000		\$75,000	\$	<u>ן</u>	\$350,000	
Total	1	ຈວ 50,000		\$150,000	\$0	ין	\$100,000	

Project No. H076	Reclaimed	Reclaimed Water- TECO Reclaimed Water Interconnects to Lakeland/Polk								
TECO	County/Mu	Iberry				FY2016				
Risk Level:	Туре 2			Multi-Year C	ontract:					
			Descri	Yes, Year 8 C	01 8					
Description	Design n	rmitting and a			f 20 inch diamatar rada	imed water				
Description:	transmissi	on mains ~12		~60,000 leet o Sinch diameter	and $\sim 10,000$ feet of 12	inch diameter				
	transmissi	on mains from	Polk SW and	Mulberry, pur	ping infrastructure (one	10 mod and one 2				
	mgd), 10 r	ngd of advanc	ed treatment (filtration and m	nembranes), a 0.5 mg st	orage tank and a 2				
	mgd conce	entrate deep d	sposal well to	utilize effluent	from the City of Lakelar	nd , Polk County and				
	the City of	e City of Mulberry at TECO's Polk Power Station expansion.								
Benefits:	Supply 10	mgd of reclair	ned water in th	ne SWUCA an	d in the District related p	portion of the CFWI.				
	Project is	sized to a 204	5 build-out cap	pacity of 17 mg	d (7 mgd future expansi	ion funding by				
Casta	TECO onl	y). Det eest: ©06.0	60 725 (dooig		ad appatruction)					
Costs		7 757 705	60,725 (desigi	n, permitting a						
	State WR	AP: \$3,526.06	3							
	District: \$4	45,676,957, wi	th \$42,701,95	7 funded in pri	or years, and \$2,975,00	0 requested for				
	FY16. (Th	e Governing B	oard gave app	proval to consid	der including \$1,900,000) in the FY16 budget				
	at their Ja	nuary 2015 me	eeting. The rer	naining balanc	e of \$1,075,000 is being	g requested to				
	complete	construction of	the Polk Sout	thwest reclaim	ed transmission line).					
Application Quality	High	Application in	Evalu	ation	ormation identified in the					
Application Quality:	High	Application in				the SWILCA and				
Resource Benefit:	підп	CFWI.	u mga ana sia	brage of 0.50 h	ng of reclaimed water in	the SWUCA and				
Cost Effectiveness:	High	\$9.69 per gal	lon of capital o	cost which is b	elow the \$10 to \$15 per	gallon average for				
		alternative supplies. The estimated cost/benefit is \$2.34 per thousand gallons of water								
		resource benefits which is well within the cost range for reuse projects which typically								
		for residentia	projects.	,000 gpu ioi g		φ το.00/1,000 gpu				
Past Performance:	Medium	Based on an	assessment o	f the schedule	and budget for the 1 on	going project.				
Complementary Efforts:	High	Project is sup	ply for industr	ial use. TECO	coordinated with Lakela	ind, Polk Co. and				
		Mulberry to c	reate a region	al reclaimed w	ater project that will use	all available reuse				
		out to beyond	2040.							
Project Readiness:	High	Project is und	ler constructio	n.						
Ctrete via Caala	Liberta		Strategi	c Goals						
Strategic Goals:	High	Strategic Ini	tiative - Alteri	native water S	oupplies: Increase develop	opment of				
		Strategic Ini	tiative - Recla	imed Water: N	laximize beneficial use	of reclaimed				
		water to offse	et potable wat	er supplies and	d restore water levels ar	id natural systems.				
		Heartland R	egion Priority	: Implement S	outhern Water Use Caut	tion Area (SWUCA)				
		Recovery St	rategy.							
		Overal	I Ranking and	d Recommend	ation					
Fund as High Priority.	The proje	ct will have sig	nificant water	resource bene	fits in the SWUCA and (CFWI and will				
	reduce en	tuent disposal	In the Alafia R	liver. The Gove	erning Board gave appro	balance of				
	\$1 075 00	n is being regi	lested to com	olete construct	ion of the Polk Southwe	st reclaimed water				
	transmiss	ion line.								
			Fund	ling						
Funding Source	P	rior	FY20	16	Future	Total				
WRAP		\$3,526,063		\$0	\$0	\$3,526,063				
DISTRICT		\$42,701,957		\$2,975,000	\$0	\$45,676,957				
TECO		\$44,782,705		\$2,975,000	\$0	\$47,757,705				
Total		\$91,010,725		\$5,950,000	\$0	\$96,960,725				

Project No. N536	Reclaimed Water - Aubu	rndale Polytechnic Reclaim	ed Water Storage and 1	Fransmission					
Auburndale				FY2016					
Risk Level:	туре 2	Multi-Year Co Yes, Year 3 o	ontract: f 3						
	Í	Description							
Description:	Design, permitting and c station, and approximate Allred WWTP to the Flo	onstruction of a 2 million gall ely 10,500 feet of 16-inch diar ida Polytechnic University.	on (mg) storage tank, hig meter reclaimed water lir	gh service pump ne from the City's					
Benefits:	Provide 1.50 mgd of rec	Provide 1.50 mgd of reclaimed water for irrigation and other uses at the new Florida Polytechnic							
Costs:	Total project cost: \$3.00	0.000							
	City of Auburndale: \$1,5	00,000							
	District: \$1,500,000, wit	n \$150,000 requested in FY2	016 and \$1,350,000 bud	lgeted in					
	previous years.								
		Evaluation							
Application Quality:	High Application in	ncluded all the required inform	nation identified in the C	FI Guidelines.					
Resource Benefit:	High Utilization of	1.50 mgd of reclaimed water	for irrigation and other u	ises at the new					
Cost Effectiveness:	High \$5.51 per ga supplies. The benefit which a low of \$0.1 residential p	h \$5.51 per gallon of capital cost which is below \$10 to \$15 per gallon for alternative supplies. The estimated cost/benefit is \$0.64 per thousand gallons of water resource benefit which is well within the cost range for reuse projects which typically range from a low of \$0.15/1,000 gpd for golf course projects up to ~\$10.00/1,000 gpd for							
Past Performance:	High Based on the	Cooperator having no ongoi	ing projects with the Dist	rict.					
Complementary Efforts:	High Program incl volume wate utilization an	udes metering and an incenti r users and has proactive rec d environmental benefits.	ves based reuse rate str laimed expansion policie	ucture for high es which maximize					
Project Readiness:	High Project is on	going.							
		Strategic Goals							
Strategic Goals:	Strategic In water to offs Heartland R Recovery S	itiative - Reclaimed Water: Met potable water supplies and egion Priority: Implement So rategy.	laximize beneficial use of restore water levels an buthern Water Use Cauti	of reclaimed d natural systems . ion Area (SWUCA)					
	Overa	II Ranking and Recommend	ation						
Fund as High Priority.	This project enables rec	laimed water availablity in the rear of a two year funded pro	e SWUCA and is cost eff ject.	fective . This project					
		Funding							
Funding Source	Prior	FY2016	Future	Total					
Auburndale	\$1,350,000	\$150,000	\$0	\$1,500,000					
District	\$1,350,000	\$150,000	\$0	\$1,500,000					
Total	j \$2,700,000	\$300,000	\$0	\$3,000,000					

Project No. N714	Conservat	onservation – Polk County Landscape and Irrigation Evaluation Program								
Polk County							FY2016			
Risk Level:	Type 1			Multi-Year	Contract: No					
	_		Descrij	otion						
Description:	This proje	ct will make av	ailable approxi	mately 200 i	rrigation system evaluation	ons to single family,				
	multi-famil	ly, and comme	rcial customers	. This will in	clude program administra	ation and				
	evaluation	s with recomm	nendations for o	optimizing the	e use of water outdoors t	hrough				
	Florida-Fri	iendly Landsca	aping TM practi	ces and othe	er efficient irrigation best	management				
	practices.	Approximately	100 rain sense	or devices wi	ill be provided and install	ed for project				
	participant	ts who do not h	have a function	ing device. A	Also included are education	onal materials,				
	program p	promotion, and	surveys neces	sary to ensu	re the success of the pro	gram.				
	Approxima	ately 200 conse	ervation kits will	i also be pro	vided to project participa	nts.				
Benefits:	Conserve	s approximatel	ly 28,000 gallor	ns per day.						
Costs:	Iotal proje	ect cost: \$55,0	00							
	POIK Cour	ity: $\frac{27}{500}$								
	District: \$2	27,500	Evolua	tion						
Application Quality:	High	Application in	cluded all the r	equired info	mation identified in the (El Guidelines				
Peopuro Papafit	High	The project w		ostimatod 2		ducing domand for				
Resource benefit.	riigii	potable water	r in the SWUC		o,000 galloris per day rec					
Cost Effectiveness:	High	Project cost e	effectiveness is	\$1.31 per th	ousand gallons saved.					
Past Performance:	High	Based on an	assessment of	the schedul	e and budget for the 7 on	igoing projects.				
Complementary Efforts:	High	Cooperator p	er capita below	/ 100.						
Project Readiness:	High	Project is rea	dy to begin on	or before De	cember 1, 2015.					
			Strategic	Goals						
Strategic Goals:	High	Strategic Ini	itiative - Conse	ervation: Enh	nance efficiencies in all w	ater-use sectors.				
		Heartland R	egion Priority:	Implement S	Southern Water Use Cau	tion Area (SWUCA))			
		Recovery St	rategy.							
		Overal	ll Ranking and	Recommen	dation					
Fund as High Priority.	Project wi	Il conserve pot	table water sup	ply in the SV	VUCA and is cost effectiv	e.				
			Fund	ing						
Funding Source	P	rior	FY201	16	Future	Total				
District		\$0		\$27,500	\$0		\$27,500			
Polk County		\$0		\$27,500	\$0		\$27,500			
Total		\$0		\$55,000	\$0		\$55,000			

Project No. N716	Conservat	ion – Polk Cou	unty – Custon	ner Portal Pil	ot Project					
Polk County							FY2016			
Risk Level:	Type 1			Multi-Year	Contract: No					
			Descr	iption						
Description:	Six month	pilot program	for an online s	oftware prog	ram that will enable me	ore effective distribution	on			
	of conserv	ation informati	ion and activiti	es. The softw	are will allow custome	rs to readily access				
	their water	neir water use information from a computer or electronic device and compare it to surrounding								
	accounts.	ccounts. The software will be made available for approximately 5,000 residential accounts in								
	Polk Coun	² olk County's Northeast region, where per capita water consumption is highest.								
Benefits:	The target	ed demand re	duction is 5%	or 90,000 gal	lons per day of the an	nual potable water use	3			
Costs	Total proje	ritined residen								
	Polk Cour	itv: \$10.000								
	District: \$	10,000								
			Evalu	ation						
Application Quality:	Medium	Application included most of the required information identified in the CFI guidelines.								
		District PM/C	M had to work	with the coo	perator to obtain rema	ining required				
	الأعدام	information.								
Resource Benefit:	nigri	yn i me targeted demand reduction is 5% of 90,000 gallons per day of the annual potable water use for the identified residential accounts								
Cost Effectiveness:	Hiah	Project cost e	effectiveness is	s \$0.06 per th	ousand gallons saved					
Past Performance:	Hiah	Based on an	assessment o	f the schedul	e and budget for the 7	ongoing projects.				
Complementary Efforts:	High	Cooperator p	er capita belo	w 100.	0					
Project Readiness:	High	Project is rea	dy to begin on	or before De	ecember 1, 2015.					
		-	Strategi	c Goals						
Strategic Goals:	High	Strategic Ini	tiative - Cons	ervation: Enl	nance efficiencies in al	I water-use sectors.				
		Heartland R	egion Priority	: Implement S	Southern Water Use C	aution Area (SWUCA)			
		Recovery St	rategy.							
		Overal	I Ranking and	d Recommen	dation					
Fund as High Priority.	This proje	ct is expected	to result in the	e conservation	n of potable water sup	oly in the SWUCA and	Í			
	IS COST ET	ective. The pro	oject will also p er conservatio	n information	and activities	g more enective				
	aistributio		Fund	ding						
Funding Source	Р	rior	FY20	16	Future	Total				
District		\$0		\$10,000		\$0	\$10,000			
Polk County		\$0		\$10,000		\$0	\$10,000			
Total		\$0		\$20,000		\$0	\$20,000			

Project No. N739	Reclaimed Wat	eclaimed Water - Winter Haven Reuse: Aquifer Recharge/MFL Recovery									
Winter Haven					FY2016						
Risk Level	Туре 2		Multi-Year (Contract: No							
	-		Description								
Description	Design, site te	sting, peri	mitting, and construction of r	eclaimed water rapid infi	Itration basins						
	(RIBs) at two s	ites (Tilde	en Groves and Central Winte	er Haven Park). The com	bined capacity is						
	anticipated to b	be 1.7 mg	d of reclaimed water. A des	ktop feasibility study was	funded in prior						
	years. District	unding is	for 30% design and third pa	arty review as this project	has a conceptual						
	construction es	stimate gr	eater than \$5 million dollars	. The FY16 funding reque	est is for site						
	testing, 30% de	esign, and	third party review which wi	Il provide the District with	better information						
Denefiter	to confirm the	the proio	benefit and cost effectivenes	ss of constructing this pro	ject.						
Benefits	hoing discharge	ad to the	Ct would allow for the City to Reace Creek Canal during t	the wet season to be use	d to improve						
	aroundwater le	oundwater levels in the SWIICA and notentially lake levels in Winter Haven									
Costs	Total project co	al project cost: \$350,000 (30% design, site testing, and third party review)									
	City of Winter	Haven: \$1	175.000	g, and this party rener.)							
	District: \$175,0	00. The o	conceptual cost estimate to	complete design, permitti	ng, and						
	construction is	\$5,889,0	00. It is anticipated that the	City will request additiona	al funding to						
	complete desig	gn, permit	ting and construction in futu	re years.							
			Evaluation								
Application Quality:	Medium App	olicant inc	luded most of the required i	nformation identified in th	e CFI Guidelines.						
	Dis	trict proje	ct manager had to work with	the cooperator to obtain	remaining required						
	info	rmation.		Ci. 11.1							
Resource Benefit:	High If C	onstructed	d, the intended resource ber	nefit will be aquifer rechar	ge at the chosen						
	loca	ations usi	ng RIBS to improve groundw	ater levels in the SWUC	A and potentially						
	Int	uiromont	is the completion of 20% do	able benefit, which will be	e the contractual						
	lien	ronosed f	o construct RIRs to rechard	e approximately 1 7 mod	of reclaimed water						
Cost Effectiveness	High The	canital c	ost for this project is \$3.67 r	ber and applied for indired	t recharge to						
	aqu	ifers.									
Past Performance:	High Bas	ed on an	assessment of the schedule	e and budget for the 2 on	going projects.						
Complementary Efforts:	High Pro	gram incl	udes metering and an incen	tive based reuse rate stru	ucture for high volume						
	wat	er users a	and has proactive reclaimed	expansion policies which	n maximize utilization						
	and	lenvironn	nental benefits.								
Project Readiness	High Pro	ject is rea	dy to begin on or before De	cember 1, 2015.							
			Strategic Goals								
Strategic Goals:	High Sti	ategic In	itiative - Alternative Water	Supplies: Increase devel	opment of						
	alte	ernative s	ources of water to ensure g	roundwater and surface v	vater sustainability.						
	Sti	ategic in	itiative - Reclaimed Water:	Maximize beneficial use	of reclaimed						
	wa		et potable water supplies af	iu restore water levels an							
		covery St	rategy	outhern water Use Caut	ION AREA (SWOCA)						
		Overa	ll Ranking and Recommen	dation							
Fund as High Priority.	The City is rea	uestina fi	unds to complete 30% desig	n, site testing, and third r	party review only.						
3 1 1 1	The results of	the 30% of	design plans, site testing, an	id third party review will p	provide the District						
	with better info	rmation to	o confirm the resource bene	fit and the cost effectiven	less of constructing						
	this project. If	constructe	ed, this project would allow t	he City to utilize available	e reclaimed water						
	to improve gro	undwater	levels in the SWUCA and p	otentially benefit nearby l	lake levels.						
			Funding								
Funding Source	Prior		FY2016	Future	Total						
District		\$0	\$175,000	TBD	\$175,000						
Winter Haven		\$0	\$175,000	TBD	\$175,000						
Total		\$0	\$350,000	TBD	\$350,000						

Project No. N742	SW IMP - V	W IMP - Water Quality - West Lake Verona Drainage Basin									
Avon Park					FY2016						
Risk Level:	Туре 3		Multi-Year	Contract: No							
			Description								
Description:	Design, pe directly int	ermitting, and o o Lake Verona	construction of water quality	/ improvement BMPs for s	stormwater draining						
Benefits:	Improved	water quality d	lischarged to Lake Verona	through the treatment of s	tormwater runoff.						
Costs:	Total proje	ect cost: \$354,6	666 (Design, permitting and	d construction)							
	City of Avo	on Park: \$88,6	66 (REDI eligible communi	ty)							
	District: \$2	266,000									
	_	,	Evaluation								
Application Quality:	Medium	Application in District PM/C	cluded most of the required M had to work with coopera	d information identified in taking to be a set of the s	the CFI guidelines. equired information.						
Resource Benefit:	High	The Resource	e Benefit of the Water Qual	ity project is the reduction	of pollutant loads to						
		Lake Verona	by an estimated 50.3 lbs/y	ear TN. The Measurable E	Benefit, which is the						
		contractual re	equirement, is the construct	ion of LID BMPs to treat s	stormwater from an						
		approximatel	y 5.8 acre urbanized waters	shed. There will be no mo	nitoring or						
		performance	testing requirements.								
Cost Effectiveness:	Medium	The estimate	d cost/lb of I N removed is	below the historical avera	ge of \$646/ID, and the						
		cost/acre trea	ated is above the historical	average cost of \$46,947/a	acre treated for LID						
		water quality projects. The cost effectiveness is solely an analysis of the estimated									
Deet Deufermennen	Lline	project cost as compared to the cost of similar projects.									
		Cooperator of									
Complementary Efforts:	wealum		perates a street sweeper p	rogram, operates a storm							
		piùgraffi, flas	an active educational cam	or improvo water quality	in labels, ect.), and						
Project Readiness	High	Project is rea	dy to begin on or before De	comber 1 2015							
i roject Reduitess	Tilgit	1 10/00113 104	Stratagic Goals								
Strategia Coole	Llieb	Cturata alia Ini	Strategic Goals	ntononoo ond Immuni							
Strategic Goals:	High	Strategic Ini	tiative - water Quality Mai	ntenance and improvem	ent: Develop						
			ant programs, projects and	regulations to maintain an							
		Quanty.	ogion Priority: Improvo Di	tao Lakos Wintor Havon	Chain of Lakos and						
		Peace Creek	(Canal	ige Lakes, winter Haven	Chain of Lakes and						
		Overal	Ranking and Recommen	dation							
Fund as High Priority	The project	ct will improve	water quality and has an e	ffective nutrient removal c	ost for reducing						
	stormwate	er impacts to L	ake Verona. This project is	identified in the District fu	inded Best						
	Managem	ent Plan for se	elected Lake Wales Ridge L	akes Alternative Analysis	and Conceptual						
	Plans Rep	oort.	Ŭ	,	·						
	·		Funding								
Funding Source	Р	rior	FY2016	Future	Total						
District		\$0	\$266,000	\$0	\$266,000						
Avon Park		\$0	\$88.666	\$0	\$88.666						
Total	1	\$0	\$354.666	\$0	\$354,666						

Project No. N468	Restoratio	Restoration - Kings Bay Algae Removal									
Citrus County						FY2016					
Risk Level:	Type 1			Multi-Year	Contract: No						
			Descr	iption							
Description:	Manual re	moval of filame	entous algae f	rom portions	of Kings Bay as part of th	e "One Rake at a					
	Time" prog	gram.									
Benefits:	Natural sy	stems improve	ement by remo	oving invasive	e filamentous algae mats,	which will expose					
	natural su	atural substrate and aid the establishment of native aquatic plants in Kings Bay, a first									
Costs	Total proje	e spring system	n and Swiivi p	riority waterb	ody.						
00515.	Citrus Col	untv: \$100.000									
	City of Cry	vstal River: \$20	,),000;								
	District: \$, 120,000 with \$	60,000 budge	ted in prior ye	ears and \$60,000 requester	ed in FY2016.					
		•	Evalu	ation							
Application Quality:	High	Application in	cluded all of t	he required ir	nformation identified in the	e CFI guidelines.					
Resource Benefit:	Medium	The Resource	e Benefit of th	e Natural Sys	stems project is the estimation	ated removal of					
		43,300 cubic	feet of filamer	ntous algae fr	om Kings Bay, a SWIM p	riority waterbody.					
Cost Effectiveness:	High	iign I ne estimated cost/cubic toot of filamentous algae removed is \$2.77/cf. This is									
Past Porformanco	Medium	comparable to previously funded springs sediment removal projects.									
Complementary Efforts	High	The County has an evolic plant removal program, fortilizer ordinance. Elected Verde									
	, ingri	and Neighbor	hoods progra	m. and other	complementary efforts su	ich as several ongoing					
		stormwater tr	eatment proje	cts.		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
Project Readiness:	High	Project is ong	joing.								
			Strategi	c Goals							
Strategic Goals:	High	Strategic Ini	tiative - Cons	ervation and	Restoration: Identify crit	ical					
		environmenta	ally sensitive e	ecosystems a	ind implement plans for plans	rotection or					
		restoration.									
		Northern Re	gion Priority:	Improve nor	thern coastal spring syste	ms.					
Fund on Llink Drinity		Overal	I Ranking and	d Recommen	dation	· · · ·					
Fund as High Phonity.	Removal SWIM prid	of filamentous	aigae will neip ,	restore King	s Bay, a first magnitude s	pring system and					
	Swiw pro	Sincy waterbody	Fund	lina							
Funding Source	P	rior	FY20	16	Future	Total					
City of Crystal River		\$10,000		\$10,000	\$0	\$20,000					
Citrus County		\$50,000		\$50,000	\$0	\$100.000					
District		\$60,000		\$60,000	\$0	\$120,000					
Total		\$120,000		\$120,000	\$0	\$240,000					

Project No. N678	Conservat	onservation - Marion County Toilet Rebate Program Phase 3								
Marion County							FY2016			
Risk Level:	Type 1			Multi-Year	Contract: No					
			Descri	ption						
Description:	Financial i	ncentives to re	esidential custo	omers for the	replacement of convention	onal toilets with				
	high-efficie	ency toilets wh	ich use 1.28 g	allons per flu	sh or less and to comme	rcial customers for				
	the replac	ement of conv	entional toilets	with ultra-lov	v flow toilets which use 1	.6 gallons per flush				
	or less. Th	iis project will i	include rebates	s and prograr	n administration for the re	eplacement of				
	approxima	itely 200 high f	flow toilets. Als	o included a	e educational materials,	program promotion,				
	and surve	ys necessary t	o ensure the s	uccess of the	e program.					
Benefits:	Conserve	s approximate	ly 5,095 gallon	s per day.						
Costs:	Total proje	ect costs: \$30,0	000							
	Marion Co	ounty: \$15,000								
	District: \$	15,000	Evelu	ation						
Annulisation Quality	Llink	Application in		allion required info	motion identified in the C					
Application Quality:		Application				FI Guidelines.				
Resource Benefit:	High	Conserves a	pproximately 5	,095 gallons	per day.					
Cost Effectiveness:	High	Project cost e	effectiveness is	s \$1.64 per th	ousand gallons saved.					
Past Performance:	High	Based on an	assessment o	f the schedul	e and budget for the 7 or	igoing projects.				
Complementary Efforts:	Low	Cooperator p	er capita is ov	er 150.						
Project Readiness:	High	Project is rea	idy to begin on	or before De	cember 1, 2015.					
		,	Strategi	c Goals						
Strategic Goals:	High	Strategic Ini	itiative - Cons	ervation: Enl	nance efficiencies in all w	ater-use sectors.				
		Northern Re	egion Priority:	Ensure long-	term sustainable water s	upply.				
		Overa	ll Ranking and	l Recommen	dation					
Fund as High Priority.	Project wi	Il conserve pot	table water sup	oply in the No	orthern Planning Region a	and is cost effective				
			Func	ling						
Funding Source	Р	rior	FY20	16	Future	Total				
District		\$0		\$15,000	\$0		\$15,000			
Marion County		\$0		\$15,000	\$0		\$15,000			
Total		\$0		\$30,000	\$0		\$30,000			

Project No. N690	WMP - Week	kiwachee Pra	airie Watershe	ed Manageme	nt Plan SWRA, LOS, ar	nd BMP				
Hernando County	Developmer	nt					FY2016			
Risk Level:	Type 4			Multi-Year C	ontract: No					
	-		Descr	iption						
Description:	Complete th Hernando C FY2016 fun of Service a Managemen	Complete the Watershed Management Plan (WMP) for the Weekiwachee Prairie Watershed in Hernando County. Governing Board approved floodplains were developed in January 2010. FY2016 funds will be used to complete the alternative analysis tasks including Stormwater Level of Service analysis (LOS), Surface Water Resource Assessment (SWRA), and Best Management Practice (BMP) alternative analysis.								
Benefits:	Alternative	Alternative analysis information that is critical to better identify risk of flood damage and cost								
O a star	effective alt	ernatives for	water quanitity	y and quality.						
Costs:	Hernando (COSE \$125,	000; :00							
	District: \$62	2,500 request	ed in FY2016	.The District pr	eviously contributed \$1	02,500 under project	t			
	L167 (Total	Project Cost	\$205,000) for	the watershed	d modeling and Board a	pproved floodplains				
	for this proje	ect.								
	119.1		Evalu	ation	e etiene identifie die the C					
Application Quality:	High /		icluded all the	required inform	mation identified in the C	FI Guidelines.				
Resource Benefit:	High I	Flooding problems exist in developed or developing areas of the watershed. Flood analysis models are available and are 4 years old. The LOS, SWRA, and BMP analysis have not been done and the watershed includes regional or intermediate stormwater systems.								
Cost Effectiveness:	Low I	Project cost per square mile is above the mid-range of historic costs (over \$7,000 / sq mi) for WMP updates, floodplain determination, and BMP alternative analysis. Project costs include developing the Surface Water Resource Assessment and water quality model in addition to LOS and BMP alternatives analyses								
Past Performance:	High I	Based on an	assessment o	of the schedule	and budget for the 11 of	ongoing projects.				
Complementary Efforts:	Medium	Cooperator's	Community R	ating System	score of 6 is within the 6	6 to 9 range.				
Project Readiness:	High	Natershed ev	valuation and t	floodplain anal	ysis are complete and t	asks associated with	1			
		ne alternativ	e analysis are Strategi	c Goals	lan belore December 1,	2015.				
Strategic Goals:	High	Strategic Goals In Strategic Initiative - Water Quality Maintenance and Improvement: Develop and implement programs, projects and regulations to maintain and improve water quality. Strategic Initiative - Floodplain Management: Develop better floodplain information and implement floodplain management programs to maintain storage and conveyance and to minimize flood damage.								
Fund as High Priority	Watershed	model is con	plete. This pr	oiect will identi	fv water quality issues	flood level of				
	service issu	ies, alternativ	e improveme	nts, and cost b	enefit information for im	provement areas.				
			Fund	ding	_					
Funding Source	Pri	or	FY20	116 #00.500	Future	Total	#00 500			
Hernando County		\$0		\$62,500	\$0		\$62,500			
		<u>۵</u> ۵		002,500 €125,000	 در		362,500 \$125,000			
Iotal		پ 0		φ1∠0,000	φι	́′І`	ψ1∠J,000			

Project No. N703	WMP - Squirrel Prairie Watershed Management Plan SWRA, LOS, and BMP								
Hernando County	developme	ent				FY2016			
Risk Level:	Type 4			Multi-Year C	contract: No				
			Descri	ption					
Description:	Complete	the watershed	management	plan for the S	quirrel Prairie Watershe	ed in Hernando			
	County. TI	he preliminary	floodplain pub	lic meeting wa	as held on October 29,	2013 and			
	cooperativ	ely funded Go	verning Board	approved floo	odplain information is ar	nticipated to be			
	finished in	summer 2015	. FY16 funds v	will be used to	complete the alternativ	e analysis tasks			
		Surface water	Resource Ass	essment (SVV	RA), Stormwater Level	of Service analysis			
Bonofite			mation that is	critical to bett	alive analysis. er identify risk of flood o	lamage and cost			
Denents.	effective a	Iternatives for	water quantity	and quality.					
Costs:	Total proje	ect cost: \$200,0)00	una quanty :					
	Hernando	County: \$100	000						
	District: \$7	100,000 reque	sted in FY16.						
		I	Evalu	ation					
Application Quality:	High	Application c	ontained all ne	cessary inform	mation identified in the (CFI Guidelines.			
Resource Benefit:	High	Flooding prot	olems exist in o	developed or	developing areas of the	watershed, preliminary			
		flood analysis	s model is avai	lable and is a	nticipated to be comple	ted in summer of			
		2015. The LC	05, SVVRA, an	d BIMP analys	sis nave not been done	and the watershed			
Cost Effectiveness	Medium	Includes regional or intermediate stormwater systems.							
OUST Effectiveness.	weaturn	sq mi) for WMP undates floodplain determination, and BMP alternative analysis							
Past Performance:	High	Based on an	assessment o	f the schedule	and budget for the 11	ongoing projects.			
Complementary Efforts:	Medium	Cooperator's	Community R	ating System	score of 6 is within the	6 to 9 range.			
Project Readiness:	High	Project is rea	dy to begin on	or before De	cember 1, 2015. The co	operatively funded,			
		Governing Bo	oard approved	, watershed m	odel and associated flo	odplain information are			
		anticipated to	be finished in	summer 201	5.				
			Strategi	c Goals					
Strategic Goals:	High	Strategic Ini	tiative - Water	r Quality Mair	tenance and Improver	ment: Develop			
		and impleme	nt programs, p	projects and re	egulations to maintain a	ind improve water			
		quality.	tiativo - Elood	Inlain Manag	mont: Develop better f	loodalain			
		information a	and implement	floodplain ma	anagement programs to	maintain storage and			
		convevance	and to minimiz	ze flood dama	ae.	maintain otorago ana			
		, ,			5				
		Overal	I Ranking and	Recommend	dation				
Fund as High Priority.	Watershe	d model will be	complete in s	ummer 2015.	This project will identify	y water quality			
	issues, flo	od level of ser	vice issues, al	ternative impr	ovements, and cost ber	nefit information for			
	improvem	ent areas.							
			Func	ling					
Funding Source	P	rior	FY20	16	Future	Total			
Hernando County		\$0		\$100,000	\$	U \$100,000			
District		\$0		\$100,000	\$	U \$100,000			
Total		\$0		\$200,000	\$	\$200,000			

Project No. N719	SW IMP - F	lood Protecti	on - South Bro	ooksville BMF	P 7 Stormwater Facility				
Hernando County		FY2016							
Risk Level:	Type 2			Multi-Year C	ontract:				
				Yes, Year 1 o	of 2				
			Descri	ption					
Description:	Constructi	on of a draina	ge retention/de	etention pond	and outfall improvements	near the corner of			
	Russell St	reet, South Br	ooksville Aven	ue and East N	1artin Luther King JR Bou	levard to relieve			
	Managem	ent Plan and M	Doing in the So Aaster Drainao	utri Brooksviii e Plan have h	e area. A District funded	ified this project as			
	a preferre	d alternative. E	BMP 7 is one o	f 10 BMPs red	commended for implement	itation in the South			
	Brooksville	e area.			•				
Benefits:	Provide flo	ood protection	for streets and	l structures du	iring the 100-year, 24-hou	ir storm event, and			
	improve w	ater quality by	constructing a	a pond with a p	permanent pool to allow s	ettlement of			
Costs	Total proje	prior to discha	rge. 200 (Construct	tion)					
00313.	Hernando	County: \$475	000 (001131140						
	District: \$4	475,000 with \$	350,000 reque	sted in FY16	and \$125,000 anticipated	to be requested in			
	future yea	rs.							
			Evalu	ation					
Application Quality:	Medium	Application in	cluded most o	t the required	information identified in the	ne CFI guidelines.			
Resource Benefit:	High	Structure and	I street floodin	g occurs in the	e project area. The project	t impacts the			
	U	intermediate	drainage syste	em. The Resou	urce Benefit of this flood p	protection project will			
		reduce the existing flooding problem during the 100-year, 24-hour storm event. The							
		Measurable Benefit, which will be the contractual requirement, is the construction and							
		maintenance	of a pond to re	eauce flooaing	in approximately 128 ac	res of a highly			
Cost Effectiveness:	Medium	Costs are bas	sed on initial d	esign. Costs a	appear to be reasonable b	based on available			
		information.		-					
Past Performance:	High	Based on an	assessment o	f the schedule	and budget for the 11 or	igoing projects.			
Complementary Efforts:	Medium	Cooperator's	Community R	ating System	score of 6 is within the 6 t	o 9 range.			
Project Readiness:	Medium	Project is rea	dy to begin on	or before Ma	rch 1, 2016.				
Otrotonio Coolor	Llink	Otrata aire Ind	Strategi	c Goals	4	anti Devision			
Strategic Goals:	High	and impleme	tiative - water	rojects and re	equilations to maintain and	nt: Develop			
		quality.	in programs, j						
		Strategic Ini	tiative - Flood	plain Manage	ement: Develop better floo	odplain			
		information a	and implement	floodplain ma	nagement programs to m	aintain storage and			
		conveyance	and to minimiz	ze flood dama	ge.				
Fund as High Priority	Project pr	Overal ovides flood p	Ranking and	Recommend	ation	ality			
r und do riigh r honty.	r toject pr		Func	ling		anty.			
Funding Source	Р	rior	FY20	16	Future	Total			
Hernando County		\$0		\$350,000	\$125,000	\$475,000			
District		\$0		\$350,000	\$125,000	\$475,000			
Total		\$0		\$700,000	\$250,000	\$950,000			

Project No. N749	Reclaimed	Reclaimed Water - Citrus County Sugarmill Woods Reclaimed Water Project							
Citrus County					FY2016				
Risk Level:	Type 2		Multi-Year (Contract:					
		Yes, Year 1 of 2							
		Description							
Description:	Design, pe	ermitting and c	onstruction of approximately	y 6,600 feet of 12-inch dia	ameter reclaimed				
	lines, and	a 1.5 mga pun	np station, to serve the Sou	thern woods Golf Course	e and potentially two				
	is depende	ent upon the c	ompletion of the ongoing Su	igarmill Woods Wastewat	er Treatment				
	Project (W	C02), which is	being partially funded with	Springs funding appropria	ated by the				
	Legislatur	e in FY15.	51 ,		, ,				
Benefits:	Supply 0.2	26 mgd of recla	aimed water to an existing g	olf course within the Cha	ssahowitzka				
	Springs sp	oringshed.							
Costs:	Iotal proje	ect cost: \$2,000	0,000 (Design, permitting ai	nd construction)					
	District: \$	1 000 000 with	\$700.000 requested in EY1	6 and \$300 000 anticina	ted in future vears				
	Biotiriot. ¢	1,000,000 mar	Evaluation	ro, and cooo,ooo antioipa					
Application Quality:	High	Application in	cluded all the required infor	mation identified in the C	FI guidelines.				
Resource Benefit:	High	Supply of 0.2	6 mgd of reclaimed water fo	or irrigation use within the	Chassahowitzka				
		Springs sprin	gshed.						
Cost Effectiveness:	High	\$8.02 per gallon of capital cost which is below the \$10 to \$15 per gallon per day							
		average for a	Iternative supplies. The est	imated cost/benefit is \$1.9	93/1000 gallons of				
		initial water resource benefit, which is within the average cost range for reuse projects							
		which typically range from a low of \$0.15/1000 gallons for golf course projects up to ~							
Past Performance:	Medium	Based on an	assessment of the schedule	e and budget for the Cour	ntv's 3 ongoing				
		projects.							
Complementary Efforts:	High	The Coopera	tor has a program in place t	that includes metering and	d an incentivized				
		based reuse	rate structure for high volun	ne users and has proactiv	e reclaimed				
		expansion po	licies which maximize utiliz	ation and environmental b	penefits.				
Project Readiness:	High	Project is rea	dy to begin on or before De	cember 1, 2015. End-use	er agreements are not				
		in place for G	Stratogic Coals						
Stratogic Goals	High	Stratogic Ini	tiative Reclaimed Water:	Maximiza banaficial usa (of reclaimed				
otrategic obais.	riigii	water to offs	et notable water supplies ar	nd restore water levels an	d natural systems				
		Northern Re	aion Priority: Improve nort	hern coastal spring system	ms				
		Northern Re	aion Priority: Ensure Iona-	term sustainable water su	.vlagı				
		Overal	I Ranking and Recommen	dation					
Fund as High Priority.	Project wi	Il cost effective	ly supply 0.26 mgd of recla	imed water to an existing	golf course within				
	the Chass	ahowitzka Spr	ings springshed.						
			Funding						
Funding Source	P	rior	FY2016	Future	Total				
District		\$0	\$700,000	\$300,000	\$1,000,000				
Citrus County		\$0	\$700,000	\$300,000	\$1,000,000				
Total		\$0	\$1,400,000	\$600,000	\$2,000,000				

Project No. WR04	SW IMP - Water Quality - Rainbow Springshed Stormwater Retrofits								
Marion County				FY2016					
Risk Level:	туре 2	Multi-Year	Contract: No						
	Description								
Description:	Construct stormwater BMPs to retrofit three dry retention systems that are within 2 miles of								
	Rainbow Springs with a	a manufactured soil amendm	ent.						
Benefits:	Water quality improven springshed.	nent through the reduction of	nutrient loading to the Rai	nbow Springs					
Costs:	Total project cost: \$937	I,510 (Construction).							
	Marion County: \$465,7	55.							
	District: \$465,755 requ	ested in FY2016.							
		Evaluation							
Application Quality:	High Application	included all the required info	mation identified in the CF	I guidelines.					
Resource Benefit:	High The Resour	ce Benefit of the Water Qual	ty project is the reduction	of pollutant loads to					
	Rainbow Sp	prings, a SWIM priority water	body, by an estimated 250	Ibs/yr TN. The					
	Measurable	Benefit, which is a contractu	al requirement, is the cons	struction and					
	maintenanc	e of stormwater BMP's to tre	at approximately 137 acres	s of low density					
		residential stormwater runoff. There will be no monitoring or performance testing							
Cost Effectiveness:	High The estimat	The estimated cost/lb of TN removed is below the historical average cost of \$224/lb							
COSt Enectiveness.	and the cos	and the cost/acre treated is below the historical average cost of \$8,050/acre treated for							
	urban/subu	urban/suburban water quality projects. The cost effectiveness is solely an analysis of							
	the estimate	ed project cost as compared	to the cost of similar project	ts.					
Past Performance:	High Based on a	n assessment of the schedul	e and budget for the 7 ong	oing projects.					
Complementary Efforts:	High The County	has implemented a stormwa	ter assessment fee.						
Project Readiness:	High Project is re	ady to begin on or before De	cember 1, 2015.						
		Strategic Goals							
Strategic Goals:	High Strategic I	nitiative - Water Quality Mai	ntenance and Improveme	nt: Develop					
	and implen	nent programs, projects and i	egulations to maintain and	improve water					
	Northern F	Region Priority: Improve nort	hern coastal spring system	1 S.					
	Over	all Ranking and Recommen	dation						
Fund as High Priority.	This project improves	stormwater quality and reduc	es nutrients entering the R	ainbow Springs					
, j	springshed. Due to the	close proximity of these proj	ects to the headspring, the	ev are an					
	important component of	of the long-term goal to impro	ve water quality in the spri	ngshed.					
		Funding							
Funding Source	Prior	FY2016	Future	Total					
District	\$	0 \$465,755	\$0	\$465,755					
Marion County	\$	0 \$465,755	\$0	\$465,755					
Total	\$	50 \$931,510	\$0	\$931,510					

Project No. N556	Reclaimed	Water - Charl	otte County F	RW Expansio	n Design\Construction-F	Phase 3				
Charlotte County	1	FY201								
Risk Level:	Type 2	Type 2 Multi-Year Contract:								
		Yes, Year 2 of 6								
			Descri	iption						
Description:	Design, pe	ermitting and c	onstruction of	approximatel	y 43,000 feet of 16-inch, a	and 8000 feet of 4				
	to 6 inch c	liameter reclaiı	med transmiss	sion mains, re	trofit of a 95 MG storage	pond along with				
	aeration, f	iltration, flow m	neter, telemetr	y, post chlorir	nation system, transfer sta	ations and				
	approxima	ately a 3.0-5.0	mgd pump sta	ition. The mai	n transmission portions a	re located along				
	County Ro	oad 775 (Placio	da Road), maje	or north/south	corridor in western Char	lotte County and				
	along Cap	e Haze Drive.								
Benefits:	Supply 2.2	23 mgd of recla	aimed water fo	or commercial	property and golf course	irrigation in the				
Casta	SWUCA.									
Costs:	Charlotto	Coupty: \$4,71	0,000 (Design)	, permitting a	id construction)					
	District: \$	County. 54,7 1: 1 715 000: with	0,000 ¢235 750 in r	orior voare ¢	2 102 000 requested in E					
	\$2 377 25	anticinated t	n be requested	d in future ve	ars	i io, witti				
	φ2,011,20	o antioipatea t	Evalu	ation						
Application Quality:	Medium	Application in	cluded most c	of the required	I information identified in	the CFI auidelines.				
		District PM/C	M had to work	with coopera	tor to obtain remaining re	equired information.				
Resource Benefit:	High	Supply 2.23 r	ngd of reclaim	ned water for o	commercial property and	golf course irrigation				
		in the SWUC	Α.							
Cost Effectiveness:	High	\$5.63 per gal	lon of capital of	cost which is I	pelow the \$10 to \$15 per	gallon average for				
		alternative su	pplies. The es	stimated cost/	benefit is \$1.35 per thous	and gallons of water				
		resource benefits which is within the average cost range for reuse projects.								
Past Performance:	High	The past performance is ranked high based on an assessment of the schedule and								
		budget for the	e four ongoing	projects.						
Complementary Efforts:	High	The permittee	e 5-year comp	liance per ca	bita average(2009-2013)	is below 100 gpcd.				
		Program inclu	udes metering	and in incent	ivized based reuse rate s	tructure for high				
		utilization and		al benefits	ecialmed expansion polic					
Project Readiness	Medium	Project is one	noing Howeve	ar benenits. Ar a change ir	reclaimed water custom	ers from the initial				
i reject Reduineee.	meanann	cooperative f	unding applica	ation has dela	ved the execution of the	cooperative funding				
		agreement.			,					
			Strategi	c Goals						
Strategic Goals:	High	Strategic Ini	tiative - Alteri	native Water	Supplies: Increase devel	opment of				
		alternative so	ources of wate	er to ensure g	roundwater and surface v	vater sustainability.				
		Strategic Ini	tiative - Recla	aimed Water:	Maximize beneficial use	of reclaimed				
		water to offse	et potable wat	er supplies ar	nd restore water levels an	d natural systems.				
		Southern Re	egion Priority	: Implement S	Southern Water Use Cauti	on Area (SWUCA)				
		Recovery St	rategy.							
Fund on Llink Drievity	T 1 :	Overal	l Ranking and	d Recommen	dation	6 H - C - L				
Fund as high Priority.	i nis ongo	ang project is c		ina will allow i	or the future expansion o	I THE DENETICIAL USE				
	roclaimod	water eustom	ors from the in	e recommend	alion is high hol TA beca	use of the change in				
	reciaimeu			ding						
Funding Source	P	rior	FY20	16	Future	Total				
District		\$235,750		\$2,102,000	\$2,377,250	\$4,715,000				
Charlotte County		\$235.750		\$2,102.000	\$2.377.250	\$4,715,000				
Total		\$471,500		\$4,204,000	\$4,754,500	\$9,430,000				

Project No. N680	Conservation - North Port Water Distribution System Looping									
City of North Port						FY2016				
Risk Level:	Type 2	Type 2 Multi-Year Contract: No								
	-	Description								
Description:	Design, pe	esign, permitting, and construction of approximately 6,500 feet of new potable water lines and								
	associated	sociated components necessary to eliminate system dead ends. This is considered a								
	for the ren	ity-based supply side conservation project, and will reduce flushing in two areas by allowing the removal of three auto flushers.								
Benefits:	Conserve	s approximatel	v 26,851 gallons per day.							
Costs:	Total proje	ect costs: \$327	,158 (Design, permitting a	nd construction)						
	City of No	rth Port: \$163,	579							
	District: \$7	163,579 reque:	sted in FY16.							
Application Quality	Modium	Application in	Evaluation	d information identified in	the CEL quidelines					
Application Quality.	Medium	District PM/C	M had to work with cooper	ator to obtain remaining	required information	1.				
Resource Benefit:	High	Conserves a	oproximately 26,852 gpd o	f potable water in the SW	/UCA.					
Cost Effectiveness:	Medium	Aedium Project cost effectiveness is \$2.94 per thousand gallons saved. \$12.18 per gallon								
		capital costs is within the \$10 to \$15 per gallon average for alternative supplies.								
		Project costs are consistent with the range of costs for similar piping and transmission								
Past Performance:	Medium	projects (District cost estimate= \$345,600).								
Complementary Efforts:	High	Cooperator p	er capita is below 100. The	e City has incorporated th	ne Ten States					
	. ngit	Standards int	to its design specifications	which strongly discourag	es the creation of					
		dead end wat	terlines with new developm	nent.						
Project Readiness:	High	Project is rea	dy to begin on or before D	ecember 1, 2015						
		1	Strategic Goals							
Strategic Goals:	High	Strategic Ini	tiative - Conservation: En	hance efficiencies in all v	vater-use sectors.					
		Southern Re	egion Priority: Implement	Southern Water Use Cau	tion Area (SWUCA))				
		Recovery St	rategy.							
Eund as High Priority	This proje	overal	e notable water in the SWI	ICA The City of North P	ort's low per capita					
r und do ringir r nonty.	means that	at customer ba	sed conservation projects	are limited in potential ar	d utility-based					
	supply sid	le conservatior	n projects are one of the fe	w remaining options. This	s project will enhand	ce				
	system ef	ficiency and pr	omote conservation of alte	rnative water supply sou	rces.					
			Funding							
Funding Source	P	rior	FY2016	Future	Total					
District		\$0	\$163,579	\$		\$163,579				
City of North Port		\$0	\$163,579	\$		\$163,579				
Total		\$0	\$327,158	\$	U	 ა <i>3∠1</i> ,158				

Project No. N692	Reclaimed Water - City of Bradenton Reclaimed Water Pumping Station Capacity									
City of Bradenton	Expansion	I				FY2016				
Risk Level:	Type 2			Multi-Year (Contract: No					
		Description								
Description:	Construct	construction of 2.0 mgd in pumping and appurtenances to increase reclaimed water supplies to								
	Lakewood	Ranch. The C	ity will fund 10	0% of the de	sign, permitting and bidding se	ervices.				
Benefits:	2.0 mgd c	of reclaimed wat	ter pumping c	apacity in the	MIA portion of the SWUCA.					
Costs:	Total proje	ect cost: \$664,0	000 (Construct	tion)						
	City of Bra	adenton: \$332,0	000							
	District: \$	332,000 reques	sted in FY16							
		A a a li a a ti a a lia	Evalua	ation	un ation identified in the OFLO	viele line e e				
Application Quality:	High	Application in	cluded all the	required infor	mation identified in the CFI Gi					
Resource Benefit:	High	2 mgd of recla	aimed water p	umping capa	city in the MIA portion of the S	WUCA.				
Cost Effectiveness:	High	The project co	osts are consis	stent with the	range of costs for similar pum	ping projects				
	LUmb	(District cost e	estimate= \$88	0,000 or less).	·· 4-				
Past Performance:	High	Based on an assessment of the schedule and budget for 3 ongoing projects.								
Complementary Efforts:	High	Cooperator has a programs in place that includes metering and an incentivized based								
		reuse rate stru	reuse rate structure for high volume users. Additionally, the cooperator has a program							
		and environm	ental benefits	ecialmed exp	bansion policies which maximiz					
Project Readiness:	Medium	Project is read	dv to begin on	or before Ma	arch 1 2016					
i rojoot rtouullioool	moulain		Strategi	c Goals						
Strategic Goals:	Hiah	Strategic Init	tiative - Recla	imed Water	Maximize beneficial use of rec	laimed				
etratogie eculo	riigii	water to offse	et potable wate	er supplies ar	nd restore water levels and nat	tural systems.				
		Southern Re	aion Priority	Implement S	Southern Water Use Caution A	rea (SWUCA)				
		Recovery Str	ategy.							
		Overall	Ranking and	l Recommen	dation					
Fund as High Priority.	Project co	st effectively in	creases recla	imed water ca	apacity in the MIA portion of th	e SWUCA.				
			Fund	ling						
Funding Source	Р	rior	FY20	16	Future	Total				
City of Bradenton		\$0		\$332,000	\$0	\$332,000				
District		\$0		\$332,000	\$0	\$332,000				
Total		\$0		\$664,000	\$0	\$664,000				

Project No. N711	Reclaimed Water - Lakewood Ranch Stewardship District Reclaimed Transmission								
LRSD						FY2016			
Risk Level:	Туре 2	Type 2 Multi-Year Contract:							
		Yes, Year 1 of 2							
		Description							
Description:	Construction	of a reclair	ned water tran	smission mai	n extension to serve Lake	ewood Ranch. This			
	transmissior	main will m	ove additional	reclaimed wa	ater flows sourced from th	ne City of Sarasota			
	further east	and north to	meet resident	ial and recrea	ational irrigation demands	. The project will			
	also allow to	r the routing	and distribution	on of reclaime	ed water from the City of I	Bradenton. The			
		northern tr	ansmission m	or approximation	t of approximately 13 200) linear feet of 12 to			
	20-inch pipe	line The pr	piect also inclu	des a 12 MG	storage reservoir at the r	orthern terminus			
	and a passiv	e denitrifica	tion pilot syste	m.	eterage receiver at the r				
Benefits:	Supply 1.0 N	/IGD of add	tional flows fro	m the City of	Sarasota, in addition to t	he existing			
	reclaimed w	ater flow be	ing provided by	y the City of E	Bradenton to Lakewood R	anch and			
	interconnect	ions betwee	en reclaimed w	ater systems					
Costs:	Total project	cost: \$4,30	0,000 (Constru	uction)					
	LRSD: \$2,1	50,000	- ¢1 075 000 -	- autoria dia T		einsted in FV/17			
	DISTINCT \$2,	50,000, Wit	ז 1,075,000 ו Evalu	ation	• 10 and \$1,075,000 and				
Application Quality:	Medium A	oplication i	ncluded most o	of the required	d information identified in	the CEL Guidelines.			
		District PM had to work with cooperator to obtain remaining required information.							
Resource Benefit:	High S	Supply 1.0 m	ngd of reclaime	d water and	storage of 12 MG for curr	ent and future			
	L	akewood R	anch residents	within the M	IA portion of the SWUCA	. In addition, a report			
	c	ocumenting	the value of the	ne passive de	nitrification pilot system o	on water quality will			
	bb	be required.							
Cost Effectiveness:	High F	High Providing 1.0 MGD of additional reclaimed water with a cost benefit of \$4.30 per gallon							
		unnlies The	estimated co	st/henefit is \$	1 04 per thousand gallon	s of water resource			
		enefits which	h is within the	cost range fo	r reuse projects which ty	pically range from a			
	le	ow of \$0.15/	1,000 gpd for	polf course pr	ojects up to ~\$10.00/1,00	00 gpd for residential			
	p	rojects.				51			
Past Performance:	High E	ased on the	e cooperator ha	aving no ongo	ping projects with the Dist	rict.			
Complementary Efforts:	High C	cooperator h	nas a program	in place that	meters, is volumetric rate	based and has			
	р 	ro-active re	claimed expan	sion policies	which maximize utilization	า.			
Project Readiness:	High F	roject is rea	idy to begin or	or before De	ecember 1, 2015.				
Strategia Casla		Nanata alia Ju	Strategi		Meximine hereficial use	of real-size of			
Strategic Goals.		vater to offe	et notable wat	er supplies a	nd restore water levels ar				
		Strategic In	itiative - Wate	r Quality Mai	ntenance and Improvem	ent [,] Develop			
		and implem	ent programs.	projects and	regulations to maintain ar	id improve water			
		quality.			0				
		Southern Region Priority: Implement Southern Water Use Caution Area (SWUCA)							
		Recovery S	rategy.						
Funda IV I D.1 "	T 1	Overa	II Ranking and	Recommen	dation				
Fund as High Priority.	The project		ectively provide	e 1.0 MGD of	reclaimed water for bene	ticial use in the MIA			
		e SWUCA.	- Fund	lina					
Funding Source	Pric	or	FY20	16	Future	Total			
District		\$0		\$1,075,000	\$1,075,000	\$2,150.000			
Lakewood Ranch SD		\$0)	\$1,075,000	\$1,075,000	\$2,150,000			
Total		\$0		\$2,150,000	\$2,150,000	\$4,300,000			

Project No. N724	SW IMP - V	- Water Quality - Palmetto Gateway LID Project								
Palmetto					FY2016					
Risk Level:	Type 2		Multi-Year (Contract: No						
	Description									
Description:	Construct	ion of stormwa	ter improvement LID BMPs	along the US 41 and Riv	erside Drive area					
	within the	City of Palmet	to. The project will also inclu	ude restoration of an estir	nated 970 linear					
	feet of sho	shoreline near the project boundary.								
Benefits:	Improved	water quality o	lischarged to the Manatee F	River and Tampa Bay thro	ugh the treatment of					
Costs	Total proje	ect cost: \$1 74	4 500 (Construction)							
	City of Pa	Imetto: \$872,2	50							
	District: \$	872,250, reque	ested in FY16.							
			Evaluation							
Application Quality:	High	Application in	cluded all the required infor	mation identified in the C	FI Guidelines.					
Resource Benefit:	Medium	The Resourc	e Benefit of the Water Quali	ty project is the reduction	of pollutant loads to					
		the Manatee	River by an estimated 61 lb	s/year TN. The Measural	ole Benefit, which will					
		be the contra	ctual requirement, is the co	nstruction of LID BMPs to	treat stormwater					
		runoff from a	oproximately 35 acres of hig	ghly urbanized watershed	and restoration of					
		approximatel	y 970 linear feet of shoreling	e. There will be no monito	bring or performance					
Cost Effectiveness	Medium	The estimate	d cost/lb of TN removed is :	above the historical avera	ge of \$646/lb_and the					
	Weardin	cost/acre trea	ated is below the historical a	verage cost of \$46.947/a	cre treated for					
		coastal/LID water quality projects. The estimated cost/linear foot of the shoreline								
		restoration is below the historical average of \$269/linear foot restored. The cost								
		effectiveness	is solely an analysis of the	estimated project cost as	compared to the					
		costs of simil	ar projects.							
Past Performance:	High	Based on an	assessment of the schedule	e and budget for the 3 on	going projects.					
Complementary Efforts:	High	The City has	an active stormwater utility	that collects fees.						
Project Readiness:	Medium	Project is rea	dy to begin on or before Ma	rch 1, 2016.						
		1	Strategic Goals							
Strategic Goals:	High	Strategic Ini	tiative - Water Quality Main	ntenance and Improvem	ent: Develop					
		and impleme	ent programs, projects and r	egulations to maintain an	d improve water					
		quality.	Pagion Brighty: Improval	aka Thanataaaaa Tama	a Pay I aka Tarpan					
		and Lake Se	minole		a bay, Lake Taipoli					
		Overa	I Ranking and Recommen	dation						
Fund as High Priority.	The proje	ct will reduce s	tormwater impacts to the M	anatee River and Tampa	Bay, a SWIM					
	priority wa	aterbody. The p	project will also restore an e	stimated 970 linear feet o	of shoreline along					
	the Mana	tee River.								
			Funding							
Funding Source	P	rior	FY2016	Future	Total					
District		\$0	\$872,250	\$0	\$872,250					
City of Palmetto		\$0	\$872,250	\$0	\$872,250					
Total		\$0	\$1,744,500	\$0	\$1,744,500					

Project No. N725	Conservat	onservation - Manatee County Toilet Rebate Project - Phase 9							
Manatee County							FY2016		
Risk Level:	Type 1			Multi-Year (Contract: No				
	-		Descrip	tion					
Description:	Financial i	ncentives to re	esidential custon	ners for the	replacement of convention	onal toilets with			
	high-efficie	ency toilets wh	ich use 1.28 ga	llons per flu	sh or less and to commen	rcial customers for			
	the replac	ement of conv	entional toilets v	vith ultra-lov	v flow toilets which use 1.	.6 gallons per flush			
	or less. Th	nis project will i	nclude rebates	and program	n administration for the re	eplacement of			
	approxima	ately 1,500 higi	h flow toilets. Als	so included	are educational materials	s, program			
	promotion	, and surveys	necessary to en	sure the su	ccess of the program.				
Benefits:	Conserve	s approximatel	y 32,678 gpd.						
Costs:	I otal proje	ect cost: \$226,	500						
	District: \$	-0001119. \$113,2 113 250 reques	200 sted in EV16						
District: \$113,250 requested in FY 16.									
Application Quality:	High	Application in	cluded all the re	equired info	rmation identified in the C	FI Guidelines.			
Resource Benefit:	High	Conserves a	oproximately 32	,678 gpd of	potable water in the SWI	JCA.			
Cost Effectiveness:	High	A cost effecti	veness of \$1.91	per thousa	nd gallons saved.				
Past Performance:	High	Based on an	assessment of t	the schedul	e and budget for 7 ongoir	ng projects.			
Complementary Efforts:	High	Cooperator p	er capita below	100 gpcd.					
Project Readiness:	Medium	Project is rea	dy to begin on c	or before Ma	arch 1, 2016.				
		r	Strategic	Goals					
Strategic Goals:	High	Strategic Ini	tiative - Consei	rvation: Enl	nance efficiencies in all w	ater-use sectors.			
		Southern Re	egion Priority:	mplement S	Southern Water Use Caut	ion Area (SWUCA))		
		Recovery St	rategy.						
		Overa	II Ranking and I	Recommen	dation				
Fund as High Priority.	Project co	nserves potab	le water in the S	SWUCA and	l is cost effective.				
			Fundi	ng					
Funding Source	P	rior	FY201	6	Future	Total			
Manatee County		\$0 \$113,250 \$0 \$113,25							
District		\$0		\$113,250	\$0		\$113,250		
Total		\$0		\$226,500	\$0		\$226,500		

Project No. N555	Reclaimed Water – Dunedin Reclaimed Water Storage Tank								
City of Dunedin							FY2016		
Risk Level:	Type 2			Multi-Year	Contract: No				
Description									
Description:	Design, pe tank. The effluent fro The origin 1.0 mg sto determine to the Dur resource to appurtena the storag	Design, permitting and construction of a 2.0 mgd pump station, telemetry and 2.0 mg storage tank. The project also includes additional piping and appurtenances to receive 0.10 mgd of effluent from the adjacent Coca-Cola plant that is currently discharged to the St. Joseph sound. The original FY14 project was to be located at the San Christopher Drive site and included two 1.0 mg storage tanks and increased pumping capacity. The San Christopher Drive site was determined to be unusable due to unstable ground. An alternate location was selected adjacent to the Dunedin wastewater treatment plant that also provided an opportunity for additional resource benefits. Additional funding is being requested in FY16 for additional piping and appurtenances to receive 0.10 mgd of effluent from the Coca-Cola plant. Ground stabilization for the storage tank is being funded by the City.							
Benefits:	The proje	ct will result in ed water to exi	2.0 mgd of pu sting and futu	mping capaci re customers	ty, 2.0 mg of diurnal st in the NTB-WUCA.	orage, and 0.10 mgd			
Costs:	Total proje City of Du District: \$ FY16 func Coca-Cola	Total project cost: \$2,165,820 (Design, permitting, and construction) City of Dunedin: \$1,082,910 District: \$1,082,910, with \$880,000 budgeted in prior years, and \$202,910 requested in FY16. FY16 funding is for additional piping and appurtenances to receive 0.10 mgd of effluent from the							
			Evalu	ation					
Application Quality:	High	Application c	ontained all ne	ecessary infor	mation identified in the	e CFI guidelines.			
Resource Benefit:	Medium	Aedium 2.0 mgd of pumping capacity, 2.0 mg of diurnal storage, and 0.10 mgd of reclaimed water to existing and future customers in the NTB-WUCA. The project will also result in nutrient load reductions to St. Joseph Sound							
Cost Effectiveness:	High	The cost effe within the Dis estimate = \$2	ctiveness is re strict's average 2,225,820)	easonable as e costs for sin	costs are consistent w nilar projects cost (Dist	ith the range of costs rict conceptual			
Past Performance:	High	Based on the	assessment	of the schedu	le and budget of the 3	ongoing projects.			
Complementary Efforts:	High	Program inclu volume water utilization and	udes metering users and ha	and an incer s proactive re al benefits.	ntivized based reuse ra eclaimed expansion po	te structure for high licies which maximize			
Project Readiness:	High	Project is ong	joing.						
Strategic Goals:	Strategic Goals High Strategic Initiative - Reclaimed Water: Maximize beneficial use of reclaimed water to offset potable water supplies and restore water levels and natural systems. Tampa Bay Region Priority: Implement Minimum Flow and Level (MFL) Recovery Strategies.								
Fund as High Priority.	The proje	ct will assist in	meeting curre	ent demands a	and enable future recla	imed water			
	expansior appurtena when the	expansions in the Northern Tampa Bay WUCA. FY16 funding is for additional piping and appurtenances to receive 0.10 mgd of effluent from the Coca-Cola plant which was not included when the Board originally approved the project in FY14.							
Funding Source	P	rior	FY20	16	Future	Total			
District		\$880.000		\$202.910	- i aturo	\$0	1.082.910		
City of Dunedin		\$880.000		\$202.910		\$0 \$	1.082.910		
Total		\$1,760,000		\$405,820		\$0 \$2	2,165,820		

Project No. N674	SW IMP - V	SW IMP - Water Quality - Implementation of BMPs within the Sunset Beach Watershed							
City of Treasure Island	(Phase VI)					FY201			
Risk Level:	Туре 3			Multi-Year	Contract:				
		Yes, Year 1 of 2							
			Descr	iption					
Description:	Design, pe	ermitting and c	onstruction of	stormwater E	Best Management Practice	es (BMPs) to			
	address w	ater quality iss	ues and flood	ing in the Su	nset Beach Watershed. Si	tormwater			
	collection	structures and	piping will be	constructed (upstream of an existing wa	ater quality			
	Roca Cioc	ent structure in	istalled in a pr	evious CFI pi reatmont. Th	is is the sixth phase of the				
	provide w	a bay with no ater quality and	d flooding imp	ovements wi	thin the watershed FY20	l6 funding will be			
	used for d	esion and star	t of construction	overnento wi on.					
Benefits:	Provide w	ater quality tre	atment where	currently the	re is no water quality treat	ment prior to			
	discharge	and provide lo	cal flood prote	ection improv	ements.				
Costs:	Total proje	ect cost: \$620,	000 (Design, p	ermitting, an	d construction)				
	City of Tre	easure Island:	\$310,000						
	District: \$	310,000 with \$	100,000 reque	ested in FY16	and \$210,000 anticipated	to be requested in			
	luture yea	15.	Evalu	ation					
Application Quality:	Hiah	Application in	cluded all the	required info	rmation identified in the C	FI Guidelines.			
Resource Benefit:	Medium	The Resourc	e Benefit of th	e Water Qual	lity project is the reduction	of pollutant loads to			
		Boca Ciega E	Bay by an estir	nated 65 lbs/	/year TP, 8,984 lbs/year T	SS, and 432			
		lbs/year TN.	The Measurab	le Benefit, w	hich will be the contractua	I requirement, is the			
		construction	and maintenar	nce of LID BN	/IPs to treat approximately	2.93 acres (84th			
		Avenue Basin) and 1.91 acres (77th Avenue Basin) of urbanized stormwater runoff.							
		There will be	no monitoring	or performan	nce testing requirements.	· ·			
Cost Effectiveness:	High	The estimate	d cost/lb of IF	P, ISS, and I	N are below the historical	average of			
		\$4,715/10, \$2	of \$46 947/20	re treated for	and cost/acre treated is b				
		effectiveness	is solely an a	nalvsis of the	estimated project cost as	compared to the			
		costs of simil	ar projects.						
Past Performance:	High	Based on an	assessment o	f the schedul	e and budget for the 3 one	going projects.			
Complementary Efforts:	High	Cooperator h	as an active s	tormwater uti	ility that collects fees.				
Project Readiness:	High	Project antici	pated to begin	on or before	December 1, 2015.				
		r	Strategi	c Goals					
Strategic Goals:	High	Strategic Ini	tiative - Wate	r Quality Mai	intenance and Improvem	ent: Develop			
		and impleme	ent programs,	projects and	regulations to maintain an	d improve water			
		quality.				Davidales Taman			
		Iampa Bay	Region Priori	y: Improve L	ake Thonotosassa, Tampa	a Bay, Lake Tarpon			
		Overa	I Ranking and	Recommen	dation				
Fund as High Priority.	The proje	ct provides wa	ter quality ben	efits to Boca	Ciega Bay and Tampa Ba	y, a SWIM priority			
	water bod	y, and also pro	ovides local flo	od protectior	benefits for a coastal cor	nmunity.			
			Fund	ling					
Funding Source	Р	rior	FY20	16	Future	Total			
City of Treasure Island		\$0		\$100,000	\$210,000	\$310,000			
District		\$0		\$100,000	\$210,000	\$310,000			
Total		\$0		\$200,000	\$420,000	\$620,000			

Project No. N694	Reclaimed	Reclaimed Water - City of Port Richey Reclaimed Water Transmission Main						
Port Richey						FY2016		
Risk Level:	Type 2		Multi-Year	Contract: No				
	-		Description					
Description:	Permitting reclaimed irrigation c	and construct water transmis	ion of 4,500 linear feet of 1 ssion mains to supply publi 12 commercial parcels with	0-inch and 7,000 linear c access reclaimed wate hin the City of Port Riche	feet of 8-inch diame er to 119 residential ey.	ter		
Benefits:	Provide 0.	339 mgd of re	claimed water for residentia	al irrigation to customers	3.			
Costs:	Total proje	ect cost: \$459,3	374 (Permitting and Constr	uction)				
	City of Po	rt Richey: \$229	9,687					
	District: \$2	229,687 reque	sted in FY16.					
			Evaluation	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Application Quality:	Medium	I he application guidelines. D information.	on included most of the req istrict PM had to work with	the cooperator to obtair	ried in the CFI remaining required			
Resource Benefit:	High	Utilization of	0.339 mgd of reclaimed wa	ter for residential irrigati	ion.			
Cost Effectiveness:	High	\$6.56 per gallon capital costs which is below the \$10-\$15 per gallon for alternative supplies. The estimated cost/benefit is \$1.59 per 1,000 gallons of initial water resource benefit, which is within the average cost range from a low of $0.15/1,000$ gallons for residential projects up to \sim \$10,00/1,000 gallons for residential projects						
Past Performance:	High	Based on the	cooperator having no ongo	ping projects with the Di	istrict.			
Complementary Efforts:	High	The permittee permittee has reuse rate str policies (conr utilization and	e's 5-year compliance per c programs in place that inc ucture. Additionally, the co nection requirement, discou d environmental benefits.	apita average (2009 to clude metering and an ir operator has proactive r inted connection fees) v	2013) is 62 gpcd. Th ncentivized based reclaimed expansion vhich maximize	าe เ		
Project Readiness:	High	The project is	expected to begin on or be	efore December 1, 2018	5.			
			Strategic Goals					
Strategic Goals:	High	gh Strategic Initiative - Alternative Water Supplies: Increase development of alternative sources of water to ensure groundwater and surface water sustainability. Strategic Initiative - Reclaimed Water: Maximize beneficial use of reclaimed water to offset potable water supplies and restore water levels and natural systems . Tampa Bay Region Priority: Implement Minimum Flow and Level (MFL) Recovery Strategies.						
		Overal	I Ranking and Recommen	idation				
Fund as High Priority.	Project wi NTBWUC	II provide 0.339 A.	9 mgd of reclaimed water fo	or residential irrigation c	ustomers in the			
Funding Source	D	rior	FY2016	Future	Total			
District		 \$0	\$229.687	g	50	\$229.687		
City of Port Richev		\$0 \$0	\$229.687	9	50	\$229.687		
Total		\$0	\$459,374	9	50	\$459,374		

Project No. N697	Reclaimed	Reclaimed Water- Pasco Co. Tampa Bay Golf and Country Club Reclaimed Connection							
Pasco County					FY2016				
Risk Level:	Type 2		Multi-Year	Contract: No					
Description									
Description:	Design, pe	Design, permitting and construction of approximately 1,200 linear feet of eight-inch reclaimed							
	water dist	ribution piping	and associated appurtance	s from the County's exist	ing reclaimed				
	transmissi	on main along	Old Pasco Road to the exis	sting storage pond and in	rigation pump				
Panafita	Station at	the Tampa Bay	/ Golf and Country Club.		aituated within the				
Denenits.	Northern	Tampa Bay Wl	JCA.	a goil course customers					
Costs:	Total proje	ect cost: \$300,	000 (Design, permitting, and	d construction)					
	Pasco Co	unty: \$150,000);						
	District: \$	150,000 reque	sted in FY16.						
			Evaluation						
Application Quality:	Medium	Application in District PM/C	icluded most of the required M had to work with cooperation of the second s	ator to obtain remaining re	the CFI guidelines.				
Resource Benefit:	High	This project a	addresses water supply prio	rities of the District by pro	oviding 0.10 mgd of				
		reclaimed wa	ter for golf course irrigation	. The estimated water sa	vings resulting from				
		this project w	this project will extend existing regional water supplies in Pasco County as well as the						
		Tampa Bay Water regional system.							
Cost Effectiveness:	High	\$3.00 per gallon capital costs which is below the \$10 to \$15 per gallon average for							
		atternative supplies. The estimated cost effectiveness is \$0.72/1000 gallons of water							
Past Porformanco	High	Based on an	assessment of the schedul	age cost range for the 24 o	projects.				
Complementary Efforte:	High	Pasco reclair	med water system includes	metering and incentive b	ased reuse rate				
Complementary Enorts.	riigii	structures for	high volume water users a	nd has pro-active reclaim	ned water expansion				
		policies whic	h maximize utilization, wate	r resource benefits, and e	environmental				
		benefits.							
Project Readiness:	High	Project is rea	dy to begin on or before De	cember 1, 2015					
		1	Strategic Goals						
Strategic Goals:	High	Strategic Ini	itiative - Reclaimed Water:	Maximize beneficial use	of reclaimed				
		water to offs	et potable water supplies ar	nd restore water levels ar	nd natural systems.				
		Tampa Bay	Region Priority: Implement	Minimum Flow and Leve	el (MFL) Recovery				
		Strategies.	Il Panking and Pocommon	dation					
Fund as High Priority	This proje	ct is recomme	nded for funding as it addre	uses water supply prioriti	ies of the District by				
r and do might honty.	expanding	the beneficia	l use of reclaimed water for	golf course irrigation.					
		,	Funding						
Funding Source	Р	rior	FY2016	Future	Total				
Pasco County		\$0	\$150,000	\$0	\$150,000				
District		\$0	\$150,000	\$0	\$150,000				
Total		\$0	\$300,000	\$0	\$300,000				

Project No. N710	WMP - Lov	WMP - Lower Peninsula Watershed Management Plan						
City of Tampa						FY2016		
Risk Level:	Туре 3		Multi-`	'ear Contract: No				
	_		Description					
Description:	Complete	a Watershed N	/lanagement Plan (WI	IP) for the Lower Pen	insula Wat	ershed in the City		
	of Tampa.	FY2016 fundi	ng will be used to com	plete a WMP providing	g floodplair	n delineation, Best		
	Managem	ent Practice al	ternative analysis and	a level of service ana	lysis for the	e project area.		
Benefits:	Watershe	d model and flo	oodplain analysis; info	rmation that is critical	to better id	lentify risk of flood		
Casta	damage a	nd cost effective	ve alternatives.					
Costs:	City of Ta	mna: \$325 000						
	District: \$3	325,000 reques	sted in FY2016.					
	·	· 1	Evaluation					
Application Quality:	High	Application in	cluded all the required	l information identified	l in the CFI	I Guidelines.		
Resource Benefit:	High	The WMP wil	l analyze flooding pro	plems that exist in the	watershed	I. Currently, flood		
		analysis mod	els are not available c	r are over 10 years ol	d, and the	watershed includes		
		regional or intermediate stormwater systems.						
Cost Effectiveness:	Low	Project cost per square mile is above the mid-range of historic costs (over \$50,000 /						
		sq mil) for whites completed in urban watersheds. Higher cost is partially due to the						
Past Performance:	Hiah	Based on an	assessment of the sc	edule and budget for	the 4 onac	ping project.		
Complementary Efforts:	Medium	Cooperator's	Community Rating Sy	stem class is 6 and is	in the 6 to	9 range.		
Project Readiness:	High	Project is rea	dy to begin on or befo	re December 1, 2015.		-		
			Strategic Goals					
Strategic Goals:	Medium	Strategic Ini	tiative - Floodplain M	anagement: Develop	better floo	dplain		
		information a	and implement floodpla	in management prog	rams to ma	aintain storage and		
		conveyance	and to minimize flood	damage.				
Fund on High Driarity	Ducie et ui	Overal	I Ranking and Recon	mendation				
Fund as High Phonity.	Project Wi	II provide nece	ssary information to b	etter identify level of s	ervice, floc	odplain areas and		
		ionalives alla	Funding	n. 				
Funding Source	Р	rior	FY2016	Future		Total		
City of Tampa		\$0	\$325	,000	\$0	\$325,000		
District		\$0	\$325	,000	\$0	\$325,000		
Total		\$0	\$650	,000	\$0	\$650,000		

Project No. N720	SW IMP - V	SW IMP - Water Quality - Druid Road Stormwater Improvement Area							
City of Clearwater							FY2016		
Risk Level:	Type 2			Multi-Year	Contract: No				
Description									
Description:	Constructi	Construction of a nutrient separating baffle box to provide water quality benefits for an area that							
	currently r	eceives no tre	atment. Additio	onal stormwa	ter infrastructure impro	ovements may be			
	included if	ncluded if the City can show how they are necessary to meet the water quality benefits							
Denefiter	described	below.	watar avality t	contracat aria	r to discharge to Allen	la Craak, far an araa			
Denents.	that curre	ntly has no wa	ter quality trea	tment	i to discharge to Allen	S CIEEK, IOI all alea			
Costs:	Total proje	ect cost: \$176,	000 (Construc	tion)					
	City of Cle	earwater: \$88,0	000	,					
	District: \$8	38,000 request	ed in FY2016						
		1	Evalu	ation					
Application Quality:	Medium	Application in	cluded most o	of the required	d information identified	in the CFI guidelines.			
Posourco Bonofit:	Medium	The Resourc	P Renefit of the	- Water Oual	ity project is the reduct	tion of pollutant loads	to		
Resource Denem.	weatan	Allen's Creek	. upstream of	Tampa Bav. I	by an estimated 10.000	0 lbs/vear TSS and 67	,		
		lbs/year TN.	The Measurab	le Benefit, wi	nich will be the contrac	tual requirement, is th	е		
		construction and maintenance of LID BMP's to treat approximately 42 acres of urban							
		stormwater runoff. There will be no monitoring or performance testing requirements.							
Cost Effectiveness:	High	The estimated cost/lb of TSS and TN are below the historical average of \$20/lb and							
		\$646/lb and cost/acre treated is below the historical average cost of \$46,947/acre							
		analysis of th	e estimated or	oiect cost as	compared to the cost	eness is solely an			
Past Performance:	Hiah	Based on an	assessment o	f the schedul	e and budget for the 8	ongoing projects.			
Complementary Efforts:	High	Applicant has	an active stor	rm water utilit	y that collects fees.				
Project Readiness:	High	The project is	ready to begi	n on or befor	e December 1, 2015.				
	J		Strategi	c Goals					
Strategic Goals:	High	Strategic Ini	tiative - Water	r Quality Mai	ntenance and Improv	ement: Develop			
		and impleme	ent programs, j	projects and i	regulations to maintain	and improve water			
		quality.							
		Tampa Bay	Region Priorit	y : Improve L	ake Thonotosassa, Ta	mpa Bay, Lake Tarpor	ו		
		and Lake Se	minole. I Panking and	Pocommon	dation				
Fund as High Priority	The proje	ct provides wa	ter quality ben	efits to Allen'	s Creek, which dischar	rges to Tampa Bay			
i and do i ngi i honty.	SWIM prid	prity waterbod	/.			gee to rampa bay, a			
	'	, ,	Func	ling					
Funding Source	Р	rior	FY20	16	Future	Total			
City of Clearwater		\$0 \$88,000 \$0 \$88,000							
District		\$0		\$88,000		\$0	\$88,000		
Total		\$0		\$176,000		\$0	\$176,000		

Project No. N728	Conservat	Conservation – St. Petersburg – Sensible Sprinkling Program (Phase 7)						
City of St. Petersburg							FY2016	
Risk Level:	Type 1			Multi-Year	Contract: No			
		Description						
Description:	Provide ap	ovide approximately 300 irrigation system evaluations to single family, multi-family, and						
	commercia	al customers. ٦	This will includ	e program ad	dministration and evaluation	ons with		
	recommer	ndations for op	timizing the us	e of water ou	utdoors through Florida-Fi	riendly Landscaping	9	
	TM practic	ces and other e	efficient irrigati	on best mana	agement practices . Appro	ximately 300 rain		
	sensor de	vices will be pr	ovided and ins	stalled for pro	pject participants who do r	not have a		
	functioning	g device. Also	included are e	ducational m	aterials, program promoti	on, and surveys		
Bonofitor	Consorvo	consure the	success of the	e program				
Coste:	Total proje	s approximater	9 42,000 gailo	ns per uay.				
00515.	City of St	Petersburg: \$!	50 000					
	District: \$5	50.000	30,000					
			Evalu	ation				
Application Quality:	High	Application in	cluded all the	required info	rmation identified in the C	FI Guidelines.		
Resource Benefit:	High	The project will conserve an estimated 42,000 gallons per day reducing demand for						
		potable water	r in the NTB W	/UCA.				
Cost Effectiveness:	High	Project cost e	effectiveness is	s \$1.62 per th	nousand gallons saved.			
Past Performance:	High	Based on an	assessment o	f the schedul	e and budget for the 7 on	going projects.		
Complementary Efforts:	High	Cooperator p	er capita belov	w 100.				
Project Readiness:	Medium	Project is rea	dy to begin on	or before Ma	arch 1, 2016.			
		1	Strategi	c Goals				
Strategic Goals:	High	Strategic Ini	tiative - Cons	ervation: En	hance efficiencies in all w	ater-use sectors.		
		Tampa Bay	Region Priorit	y: Implemen	t Minimum Flow and Leve	el (MFL) Recovery		
		Strategies.						
Fund as Llink Driavity	Б · / ·	Overal	I Ranking and	l Recommen	Idation			
Fund as High Priority.	Project wi	II conserve pot	able water su	oply in the N	I B WUCA and is cost effe			
Eunding Source	D	rior	Fund EV20	1119 16	Euturo	Total		
District		101 مە	1120	\$50,000	ruture ¢∩	Total	\$50,000	
City of St. Petersburg								
Total		\$0 \$0		\$100.000	\$0		\$100,000	

Project No. N730	SW IMP - Flood Protection - 8th Av/S at 44th St/South SDI						
City of St. Petersburg						FY2016	
Risk Level:	Туре 3			Multi-Year	Contract:		
		Yes, Year 1 of 2					
			Descri	iption			
Description:	Design, pe	ermitting and c	onstruction to	provide drain	age and water quality imp	provements that will	
	alleviate fl	ooding within t	he Childs Park	< Neighborho	od in the vicinity of 8 th Av	venue South and	
	44th Stree	t. FY2016 fund	ding will be use	ed for design	. This project is for Phase	II of the City's	
Ponofito	Stormwate	er Master Plan	Project E-2-1	and has an a	a Dark Naighborhood Th	III.	
Denenits.	flood prote	ection for stree	ts and structur	res during the	10-vear 1-hour storm ev	ent and improve	
	water qua	lity by dischard	aing through a	baffle box alr	eady completed in Phase	l of a project	
	previously	funded by the	District (L838).			
Costs:	Total proje	ct cost: \$5,27	0,000 (Design,	, permitting, a	and construction)		
	City of St.	Petersburg: \$	2,635,000				
	District: \$2	2,635,000 with	\$210,000 requ	uested in FY	16 and \$2,425,000 anticip	ated to be	
	requested	in future years	S.	-			
Application Quality	Modium	Application in	Evaluation	ation of the required	l information identified in t	the CEL quidelines	
Application Quality.	Medium	District PM/C	M had to work	with coopera	ator to obtain remaining re	equired information	
Resource Benefit:	High	Structure and	street flooding	g occurs in th	e project area, the projec	t impacts the	
	Ū	regional or intermediate drainage system, and the Resource Benefit of this flood					
		protection project will reduce the existing flooding problem during the 10-year, 1-hour					
		storm event. The Measurable Benefit, which will be the contractual requirement, is to					
		upgrade the existing drainage conveyance system to convey runoff from 14.2 acres of					
Coot Effectiveness	Modium	highly urbanized land use through a battle box BMP.					
COSt Enectiveness.	weatum	viedium Losts are based on initial design. Costs appear to be reasonable based on available					
Past Performance:	High	Based on an	assessment o	f the schedul	e and budget for the 7 on	going projects.	
Complementary Efforts:	Medium	Cooperator's	Community R	ating System	class is 6 and is in the 6	to 9 range.	
Project Readiness:	High	Project desig	n is expected t	to start on or	before December 1, 2015	j.	
			Strategie	c Goals			
Strategic Goals:	High	Strategic Ini	tiative - Water	r Quality Mai	ntenance and Improvem	ent: Develop	
		and impleme	ent programs, p	projects and i	regulations to maintain an	d improve water	
		quality.					
		Strategic In	tiative - Flood	Iplain Manag	ement: Develop better flo	odplain	
		conveyance	and to minimize	ze flood dama	anagement programs to n ane	naintain storage and	
		oonvoyanoo			.90.		
		Overa	I Ranking and	d Recommen	dation		
Fund as High Priority.	The proje	ct provides floo	od protection fo	or structures	and streets in the Childs F	Park Neighborhood	
	in addition	to providing v	vater quality in	nprovements	by tying into an existing b	affle box	
	dischargin	g to Clam Bay	ou Creek, the	receiving sys	stem.		
			Fund	ling			
Funding Source	P	rior	FY20	16	Future	Total	
City of St. Petersburg		\$0		\$210,000	\$2,425,000	\$2,635,000	
District		\$0		\$210,000	\$2,425,000	\$2,635,000	
Total		\$0		\$420,000	\$4,850,000	\$5,270,000	

Project No. N732	Conservat	Conservation - Pasco County ULV Toilet Rebate Program - Phase 9						
Pasco County							FY2016	
Risk Level:	Type 1			Multi-Year	Contract: No			
	Description							
Description:	Financial i	ncentives to re	esidential custo	omers for the	replacement of convention	onal toilets with		
	high-efficie	ency toilets wh	ich use 1.28 g	allons per flu	sh or less and to comme	rcial customers for		
	the replac	ement of conv	entional toilets	with ultra-low	v flow toilets which use 1	.6 gallons per flush		
	or less. I r	nis project will i	nclude rebates	s and program	n administration for the re	eplacement of		
	and surve	vs necessarv t	o ensure the s	uccess of the	e program	program promotion,		
Benefits:	Conserve	s approximatel	v 13.956 gallo	ns per dav.	program.			
Costs:	Total proje	ect costs: \$100	0.000	,				
	Pasco Co	unty: \$50,000	,					
	District: \$8	50,000 request	ed in FY16.					
		1	Evalua	ation				
Application Quality:	Medium	Application in	cluded most o	f the required	d information identified in	the CFI guidelines.		
	Lline	District PM/CM had to work with cooperator to obtain remaining required information.						
Resource Benefit:	High	Conserves 1.	3,956 gpa of p					
Cost Effectiveness:	High	Project cost e		s \$1.97 per tr	nousand gallons saved.	· · · ·		
Past Performance:	High	Based on an	assessment o	t the schedul	e and budget for the 24 c	ngoing project.		
Complementary Efforts:	Medium	Cooperator p	er capita is be	ween 100 ar				
Project Readiness:	High	Project is rea	dy to begin on	or before De	ecember 1, 2015			
Ctrataria Caslar	Llink	Otrasta ella Lat	Strategic	c Goals				
Strategic Goals:	Hign	Strategic Ini	tiative - Conse	ervation: Eni	nance efficiencies in all w	ater-use sectors.		
		Tampa Bay	Region Priorit	y: Implement	t Minimum Flow and Leve	el (MFL) Recovery		
		Strategies.		D				
Fund as High Priority	Project wi		Ranking and	Recommen	Idation	octivo		
i unu as riigir riionty.	Project wi	ii conserve poi	able water sup	ling	I B WOCA and is cost ene	clive.		
Funding Source	P	rior	FY20	16	Future	Total		
District		\$0		\$50,000	\$0		\$50,000	
Pasco County		\$0 \$50,000 \$0 \$5						
Total		\$0		\$100,000	\$0		\$100,000	

Project No. N733	SW IMP - Water Qua	SW IMP - Water Quality - Snell Isle Stormwater Vaults								
City of St. Petersburg				FY2016						
Risk Level:	Туре 2	Multi-Year	Contract: No							
	-	Description								
Description:	Construction of wate	Construction of water quality and flood protection BMPs within the City's Snell Isle								
	Neighborhood. The	eighborhood. The project also proposes to up-size existing pipes and add new inlets to the								
	34th Avenue Interse	th Avenue Intersection to direct water to the constructed BMPs.								
Benefits:	The project will treat	stormwater runoff from an app	roximately 10 acre waters	shed that discharges						
	to Tampa Bay.									
Costs:	Total project cost: \$	00,000 (Construction)								
	City of St. Petersbu	g: \$250,000								
	District: \$250,000 re	quested in FY16.								
Application Ovellt	Madium Applicati	Evaluation	h information identified in t	the CEL quidelines						
Application Quality:	Medium Applicati	M/CM had to work with cooper	a information identified in t	ine CFI guidelines.						
Posourco Bonofit:	High The Res	wrce Benefit of this Water Qua	lity project is the reduction	of pollutant loads						
Resource benefit.	to Tampa	Bay a SWIM priority waterbod	ly by an estimated 15 622	lbs/vr TSS 14						
	lbs/vear	N and 6 lbs/vr TP. The Measu	rable Benefit, which will be	e the contractual						
	requirem	equirement, is the construction and maintenance of LID RMPs to treat stormwater								
	runoff fro	runoff from a 10 acre urbanized watershed. There will be no monitoring or performance								
	testing re	testing requirements.								
Cost Effectiveness:	Medium The estir	edium The estimated cost/lb of TSS removed is below the historical average of \$20/lb, and								
	the cost/	the cost/acre treated is above the historical average cost of \$46,947/acre treated for								
	coastal/L	ID water quality projects. The c	ost effectiveness is solely	and analysis of the						
	estimate	I project cost as compared to th	ne costs of similar projects	8.						
Past Performance:	High Based or	an assessment of the schedul	e and budget for the seve	n ongoing projects.						
Complementary Efforts:	High The City	nas an active stormwater utility	that collects fees.							
Project Readiness:	Low Project o	onstruction is not expected to b	egin until after March 1, 2	016.						
		Strategic Goals								
Strategic Goals:	High Strategi	: Initiative - Water Quality Mai	ntenance and Improvem	ent: Develop						
	and imp	ement programs, projects and i	regulations to maintain an	d improve water						
	quality.									
	Tampa	ay Region Priority: Improve L	ake Thonotosassa, Tamp	a Bay, Lake Tarpon						
	and Lak		detter							
Eund as High Priority	The project will imp	erall Kanking and Recommen	Tampa Bay a SW/IM Prior	rity waterbody						
r und do ringir r honty.	Additionally the pro	ect aides in preventing localize	d street flooding	iny waterbouy.						
	radicertally, the pre-	Funding	a ou oot nooding.							
Funding Source	Prior	FY2016	Future	Total						
District		\$0 \$250,000	\$0	\$250,000						
City of St. Petersburg		\$0 \$250,000	\$0	\$250,000						
Total		\$0 \$500,000	\$0	\$500,000						

Project No. N734	WMP - Cur	WMP - Curlew Creek And Smith Bayou Watershed Management Plan							
Pinellas County						FY2016			
Risk Level:	Туре 3		Multi	-Year C	ontract:				
		Yes, Year 1 of 2							
			Description						
Description:	Complete a	te a Watershed Management Plan (WMP) for the Curlew Creek and Smith Bayou							
	Watershed	s in Pinellas (s in Pinellas County, through and including floodplain analysis, Level of Service						
	determinat	ion (LOS), Su	rface Water Resourc	e Asses	ssment (SWRA), and Bes	st Management			
	Practices (BMPs) alterna	itive analysis. FY201	6 fundi	ng will be used to start the	e Watershed			
Devefiter	Evaluation	I maadal amd fl			n that is critical to better i	identify vials of flood			
Benefits:	vvatersned	model and fig	oodpiain analysis; inf	ormatio	n that is critical to better i	identity risk of flood			
Costs	Total proie	ct cost: \$850		ty, and	cost ellective alternatives	5.			
00515.	Pinellas C	ci cosi: 3050, Suntv: \$425.0	000, N∩∙						
	District: \$4	25.000 \$225	000 requested in FY	16 and	\$200,000 anticipated in f	uture vears.			
	Biotriot. ¢ I	20,000, 0220	Evaluation	re ana					
Application Quality:	Medium	Application in	cluded most of the re	quired	information identified in t	he CFI Guidelines.			
		District PM/C	M had to work with c	ooperat	or to obtain remaining re-	quired information.			
Resource Benefit:	High	The WMP will analyze flooding problems that exist in the watershed. Currently, flood							
		analysis models are not available or are over 10 years old, and the watershed includes							
		regional or intermediate stormwater systems.							
Cost Effectiveness:	Low	Project cost per square mile is in the high range of historic costs (more than							
		\$50,000/sq mi) for WMPs completed in urban watersheds. This is a heavily urbanized							
		watershed.							
Past Performance:	Medium	Based on an	assessment of the so	chedule	and budget for the 14 or	igoing projects.			
Complementary Efforts:	Medium	Cooperator's	Community Rating S	ystem	class is 7 and is in the 6 f	to 9 range.			
Project Readiness:	High	The project is	ready to begin on or	before	December 1, 2015.				
			Strategic Goals	5					
Strategic Goals:	High	Strategic Ini	tiative - Water Quali	ty Asse	essment and Planning: C	Collect and			
		analyze data	to determine local a	nd regio	onal water quality status a	and trends to			
		support reso	urce management de	cisions	and restoration initiative	S.			
		Strategic Ini	tiative - Floodplain i	vianage	ment: Develop better no	ouplain			
		conveyance	and to minimize floor	idiii iiid I dama	nagement programs to n	anitani storaye and			
		conveyance		uama	yc.				
		Overal	Panking and Paca	mmono	lation				
Fund as High Priority.	This project	t identifies flo	od risk in an urban a	rea with	no detailed study inform	ation available and			
·	the resultir	na product will	be utilized for flood i	nsuran	ce determination, will help	o implement			
	solutions t	hat alleviates	flood risk and improv	e water	quality, and enhance the	e planning of future			
	developme	ent in the Curl	ew Creek and Smith	Bayou	Watersheds.				
	· · · ·		Funding						
Funding Source	Pi	ior	FY2016		Future	Total			
Pinellas County		\$0	\$22	5,000	\$200,000	\$425,000			
District		\$0	\$22	5,000	\$200,000	\$425,000			
Total		\$0	\$45	0,000	\$400,000	\$850,000			

Project No. N743	Reclaimed	d Water- Pasco Co. Starkey Ranch Reclaimed Transmission Phase B							
Pasco County					FY2016				
Risk Level:	Type 2		Multi-Year	Contract:					
			Yes, Year 1	of 3					
		Description							
Description:	Design, pe	ermitting and c	onstruction of approximate	ly 17,500 feet of reclaimed	d water				
	transmissi	on mains to pr	ovide up to 0.41 million gai	ions per day (mgd) of recl	laimed water to				
	developm	ant The initial	benefits are anticipated to	be achieved within three y	rey Ranch				
	completion	1 (2021)							
Benefits:	Supply 0.4	1 mgd of recla	aimed water for irrigation to	mixed-use customers in	the NTBWUCA.				
Costs:	Total proje	ect cost: \$1,91	0,000;						
	Pasco Co	unty: \$955,000);						
	District \$9	55,000; \$175,	200 requested in FY16, and	d \$779,800 anticipated to	be requested in				
	future yea	rs.	Evolution						
Application Quality	Modium	Application in	Evaluation	d information identified in	the CEL quidelines				
Application Quality:	Medium	District PM/CM had to work with cooperator to obtain remaining required information							
Resource Benefit:	High	ah Supply of 0.41 mgd of reclaimed water to residential and commercial irrigation							
	Ũ	customers in the NTBWUCA.							
Cost Effectiveness:	High	\$6.82 per gallon capital costs which is below the \$10 to \$15 per gallon average for							
		alternative supplies. The estimated cost/benefit is \$1.65/1000 gallons of water							
		resource ben	efit, which is within the ave	rage cost range for reuse	projects.				
Past Performance:	High	Based on an	assessment of the schedu	le and budget for the 24 o	ngoing projects.				
Complementary Efforts:	High	Pasco reclair	ned water system includes	metering and incentive ba	ased reuse rate				
		policies which	nigh volume water users a maximize utilization, water	and has pro-active reciain					
		benefits.			innental				
Project Readiness:	High	Project is rea	dy to begin on or before De	ecember 1, 2015.					
			Strategic Goals						
Strategic Goals:	High	Strategic Ini	tiative - Reclaimed Water:	Maximize beneficial use	of reclaimed				
		water to offs	et potable water supplies a	nd restore water levels an	id natural systems.				
		Tampa Bay	Region Priority: Implemen	t Minimum Flow and Leve	el (MFL) Recovery				
		Strategies.							
Fund on Lligh Drinrity	Ducient	Overa	I Ranking and Recommer						
Fund as High Phonity.	Project pr	ovides cost eff	Eupding	plies in the NTBWUCA.					
Funding Source	D	rior	FY2016	Future	Total				
District		<u>۹</u> ۵	\$175 200	\$779.800	\$955.000				
Pasco County		φυ \$0	\$175,200	\$779,800	\$955.000				
Total		\$0	\$350,400	\$1,559,600	\$1,910,000				

Project No. N748	SW IMP - Flood Protection - Dale Mabry Henderson Trunk Line - Upper Peninsula							
City of Tampa	Watershed	Drainage Imp	provements			FY	Y2016	
Risk Level:	Туре 3			Multi-Year (Contract: No			
			Descrip	tion				
Description:	Design, pe Highway a flooding. A alternative conceptua complete 3 support fur	Design, permitting and construction to improve the existing drainage system for the Dale Mabry Highway and Henderson Boulevard area in the City of Tampa to relieve commercial and street flooding. An alternative analysis was completed in 2012 and identified this project as a preferred alternative. District funding is for 30% design and third party review as this project has a conceptual construction estimate greater than \$5 million dollars. The FY16 funding request is to complete 30% design and third party review which will provide the necessary information to support funding in future years to complete design, permitting and construction.						
Benefits:	If construc 2.33-year	ted, the projec storm event.	ct will provide flo	od protectio	on for streets and structur	es during the		
Costs:	Total proje City of Tar District: \$5 is \$32,000 design, pe	ct cost: \$1,00 npa: \$500,000 00,000 The c ,000. It is anti- prmitting and c	0,000 (30 perce): conceptual estim cipated that the construction in fu	nt design, th nate to comp City of Tam nture years.	nird party review) plete design, permitting a pa will request funding to	nd construction complete		
			Evaluat	ion				
Application Quality:	Medium	Application in District PM/C	ncluded most of M had to work v	the required vith cooperation of the second s	l information identified in ator to obtain remaining re	the CFI guidelines. equired information.		
Resource Benefit:	High	h Structure and street flooding occurs in the project area, the project impacts the regional or intermediate drainage system, and if constructed, the Resource Benefit of this flood protection project will reduce the existing flooding problem during the 2.33-year, 24-hour storm event. The Measurable Benefit, which will be the contractual requirement, is the completion of 30% design and third party review of this proposed project to construct drainage conveyance system BMP's to reduce flooding in						
Cost Effectiveness:	Medium	Costs are bas information o	sed on initial des	sign. Costs en compare	appear to be reasonable d to similar projects if info	based on available ormation is available.		
Past Performance:	High	Based on an	assessment of	the schedul	e and budget for the 4 on	going project.		
Complementary Efforts:	Medium	Cooperator's	Community Rat	ting System	class is 6 and is in the 6	to 9 range.		
Project Readiness:	High	Project desig	n is expected to	start on or	before Dec 1, 2015.			
			Strategic	Goals				
Strategic Goals:	Medium	Medium Strategic Initiative - Floodplain Management: Develop better floodplain information and implement floodplain management programs to maintain storage and conveyance and to minimize flood damage.						
		Overa	I Ranking and	Recommen	dation			
Fund as High Priority.	The City is requesting funds to complete the 30% design and third party review only. The results from the 30% design plans and third party review will provide the District with better information to confirm the resource benefits and cost effectiveness of constructing this project. If constructed, this project will provide flood protection for structures and streets during the 2.33-yr. event. Project area serves as the main evacuation route for South Tampa.							
Funding Source	Di	ior	Funal EV201	6	Futuro	Total		
City of Tampa		<u>۵</u> ۵		\$500.000	TRD	10101 \$501	0 000	
District		ው በ <u>ጽ</u>		\$500,000	TBD	\$500	0.000	
Total		\$0 \$0		\$1,000,000	TBD	\$1,000	0,000	

Project No. N751	Tampa Augmentation Project Implementation Program								
City of Tampa	Tuno 2				4	FY2016			
RISK LEVEI:	Type 2			Yes. Year 1 of 2					
	Description								
Description:	Tampa Augme Wastewater T Canal. Using address regul surface water	Tampa Augmentation Project will reuse highly treated reclaimed water from the City's Advanced Wastewater Treatment Plant (AWTP) to recharge the aquifer adjacent to the Tampa Bypass Canal. Using Rapid Infiltration Basins and wetlands. The City will implement a program to address regulatory requirements to evaluate the feasibility of RIBs and wetlands to determine the surface water yield. The City will construct a 1-acre pilot RIB to conduct pilot trials.							
Benefits:	In addition to Reduction of I Minimum Flow restoration.	n addition to potable water supply benefits, there are four associated environmental benefits. 1. Reduction of nitrogen loading to Hillsborough Bay. 2. Additional freshwater flows to meet Vinimum Flows requirements. 3. Use of an alternative water supply source and 4. Wetlands							
Costs:	City program funding to be	anticipates \$1,500,000	s \$3,000,000 o 0 City share ar	f costs for consul nd \$1,500,000 Dis	tant and pilot facility c strict share.	osts. City requests			
	J	· , ,	Evalu	ation					
Application Quality:	High Pro of po	oposed pro City's recla table wate	ogram is intend aimed water su r supply purpo	led to identify and pply for use as a ses.	d establish a basis to supplemental supply	recover 10 to 20mgd for MFL and indirect			
Resource Benefit:	High Th wa	e propose ter to mee	d program will t MFL conditio	investigate the band	asis for recovery and e for indirect potable re	reuse of reclaimed			
Cost Effectiveness:	Medium Ini	Vieldium Initial funding request is based on completing preliminary investigations successful							
Past Performance:	High Ba	sed on an	assessment o	f the schedule ar	nd budget for the 4 on	u capacity. noing project			
Complementary Efforts:	High Th	h The City of Tampa has several codes in place relating to water conservation : 1)							
	Sta Re Th the	Standard Plumbing Cod, 2) Water Use Restrictions Code 3) Increase in Water Restriction Violation Fines 4) Rain Sensor Requirement 5) Schedule of Water Rates. The city has adopted a Flood Damage Control Ordinance as required to participate in the National Flood Insurance Program administered through FEMA							
Project Readiness:	High Cit	y is prepa	red to engage	a consultant and	proceed.				
			Strategi	c Goals					
Strategic Goals:	Si ar re Si wa Si ar qu Si To ar Ta Si ar	 Strategic Initiative - Regional Water Supply Planning: Identify, communicate and promote consensus on the strategies and resources necessary to meet future reasonable and beneficial water supply needs. Strategic Initiative - Reclaimed Water: Maximize beneficial use of reclaimed water to offset potable water supplies and restore water levels and natural systems. Strategic Initiative - Water Quality Maintenance and Improvement: Develop and implement programs, projects and regulations to maintain and improve water quality. Strategic Initiative - Minimum Flows and Levels Establishment and Recovery: To prevent significant harm and reestablish the natural ecosystem, determine MFL's and, where necessary, develop and implement recovery plans. Tampa Bay Region Priority: Implement Minimum Flow and Level (MFL) Recovery Strategies. Tampa Bay Region Priority: Improve Lake Thonotosassa, Tampa Bay, Lake Tarpon and Lake Seminole. 							
Fund as High Priority.	This project w	ill establis	h one of the Di	strict's most com	prehensive reclaimed	water reuse and			
	recovery system	ems.	Eupe	lina					
Funding Source	Prior		FUNC	16	Euture	Total			
General Fund - Districtwide		\$0		\$1,000.000	\$500.000	\$1,500,000			
City of Tampa		\$0 \$0		\$1,000,000	\$500.000	\$1,500.000			
Total		\$0		\$2,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$3,000,000			
Project No. W024	Tampa Bay Environn	npa Bay Environmental Restoration Fund							
------------------------	---	--	------------------------------	--------------------	--	--	--	--	--
ТВЕР				FY2016					
Risk Level	Type 1 Multi-Year Contract: No								
	Description								
Description	The Tampa Bay Envi research and educati manages the fund ar Restore America's Es	Tampa Bay Environmental Restoration Fund (TBERF) was established to fund restoration, search and education initiatives in Tampa Bay. The Tampa Bay Estuary Program (TBEP) anages the fund and secures local funding to leverage with funds obtained nationally by the estore America's Estuaries (RAE) through environmental fines and philanthropic gifts.							
Benefits:	Water quality improv	ement and habitat restoration	in Tampa Bay, a SWIM Pr	iority Water Body.					
Costs	Total project cost: \$7 TBEP: \$350,000 District: \$350,000 red each grant managed	00,000 juested in FY16. (District shar by the TBEP)	e includes a 10% administ	trative fee for					
		Evaluation							
Application Quality:	High Applicatio	n included all the required info	ormation identified in the C	FI guidelines.					
Resource Benefit:	High The proje projects tl	igh The project will fund numerous water quality improvement and habitat restoration projects throughout the Tampa Bay watershed							
Cost Effectiveness	High District fu	igh District funds will be leveraged with other local, federal, private, and penalty funds.							
Past Performance:	High Based on	High Based on an assessment of the schedule and budget for the 2 ongoing projects.							
Complementary Efforts:	High TBEP dev Petersbur implemen manager	HighTBEP developed a model fertilizer ordinance that was used by the Cities of St.Petersburg and Tampa, Manatee County and Pinellas County. TBEP alsoimplemented education campaigns for the fertilizer ordinances and for dog wastemanagement							
Project Readiness	High Project is	ready to begin on or before D	ecember 1, 2015.						
		Strategic Goals							
Strategic Goals:	High Strategic and imple quality. Tampa B and Lake	High Strategic Initiative - Water Quality Maintenance and Improvement: Develop and implement programs, projects and regulations to maintain and improve water quality. Tampa Bay Region Priority: Improve Lake Thonotosassa, Tampa Bay, Lake Tarpon and Lake Seminole.							
	Ov	erall Ranking and Recomme	ndation						
Fund as High Priority.	Due to the leveraging of local, federal, private, and penalty funds, this project is a very cost effective means to implement water quality and habitat restoration projects for Tampa Bay, a SWIM priority water body. The District has provided funding for the TBERF since FY2013. For FY2013 and FY2014, the TBERF funded 18 projects at a total grant amount of \$1.6 million (four District projects were funded at a grant amount of \$625,000).								
		Funding							
Funding Source	Prior	FY2016	Future	Total					
IBEN	ļ	\$0 \$350,000	\$0	\$350,000					
District		\$0 \$350,000	\$0	\$350,000					
Total		φ υ	ቅ ሀ	φ/00,000					

Project No. W206	SW IMP - Water Quality - 49th Street Outfall Treatment								
Gulfport		FY2016							
Risk Level:	Type 2	Type 2 Multi-Year Contract: No							
	Description								
Description:	Constructi Street drai	Construction of stormwater improvement BMPs and associated conveyance system in the 49th Street drainage basin.							
Benefits:	Improved of stormw	nproved water quality discharged to Boca Ciega Bay, part of Tampa Bay, due to the treatment of stormwater runoff.							
Costs:	Total proje	ect cost: \$1,78	0,582 (Construction)						
	City of Gu	Ifport: \$640,29	1						
	State App	ropriations: \$5	00,000 (Awarded to the Cit	y of Gulfport)					
	DISTINCT. 30	540,291 reque	Evaluation						
Application Quality:	Hiah	Application in	cluded the required information	ation identified in the CFI	auidelines.				
Resource Benefit:	Hiah	The Resource	e Benefit of the Water Qual	ity project is the reduction	of pollutant loads to				
	5	Boca Ciega E	Bay by an estimated 7,515	lbs/year TSS. The Measu	rable Benefit, which				
		will be the co	ntractual requirement, is th	e construction of BMPs to	treat stormwater				
		runoff from a	oproximately 169 acres of u	urbanized watershed. The	re will be no				
		monitoring or performance testing requirements.							
Cost Effectiveness:	High	The estimated cost/lb of TSS removed is below the historical average of \$20/lb, and							
		the cost/acre treated is below the historical average cost of \$46,947/acre treated for							
		coastal/LID water quality projects. The cost effectiveness is solely an analysis of the							
Past Performance:	Hiah	Based on the	cooperator having no ong	ping projects with the Dist	rict.				
Complementary Efforts:	High	The City has	an active stormwater utility	that collects fees.					
Project Readiness:	High	Project is rea	dy to begin on or before De	ecember 1, 2015.					
	-		Strategic Goals						
Strategic Goals:	High	Strategic Ini	tiative - Water Quality Mai	ntenance and Improvem	ent: Develop				
		and impleme	ent programs, projects and	regulations to maintain an	id improve water				
		quality.							
		Tampa Bay	Region Priority: Improve L	ake Thonotosassa, Tamp	a Bay, Lake Tarpon				
		and Lake Se	minole.	idation					
Fund as High Priority.	The proje	ct has an effec	tive sediment removal cost	and treatment area for re	ducing stormwater				
r and do ringir r nonty.	impacts to) Boca Ciega E	Bav. part of Tampa Bav.		ducing stormwater				
			Funding						
Funding Source	Р	rior	FY2016	Future	Total				
District		\$0	\$640,291	\$0	\$640,291				
City of Gulfport		\$0	\$640,291	\$0	\$640,291				
State Appropriation		\$500,000	\$0	\$0	\$500,000				
Total		\$500,000	\$1,280,582	\$0	\$1,780,582				

Project No. W207	SW IMP - V	IMP - Water Quality - American Legion Drive Stormwater BMPs								
Madeira Beach							FY2016			
Risk Level:	Туре 3	ype 3 Multi-Year Contract: No								
		Description								
Description:	Design, pe	sign, permitting and construction of stormwater retrofits in Madeira Beach.								
Benefits:	Improved	proved water quality in Boca Ciega Bay, part of Tampa Bay, a SWIM priority water body, due								
	to the trea	e treatment of stormwater runoff.								
Costs:	Total proje	ect cost: \$525,0	000 (Design, p	ermitting, cor	nstruction).					
	District: \$2	262.500 reque	sted in FY16.							
			Evalua	ation						
Application Quality:	High	Applicant incl	uded all the re	quired inform	nation identified in the (CFI Guidelines.				
Resource Benefit:	Medium	The Resourc	e Benefit of the	e Water Qual	ity project is the reduct	ion of pollutant loads	s to			
		Boca Ciega E	Bay by an estin	nated 3,152 I	bs/yr TSS. The Measu	rable Benefit, which	will			
		be the contra	ctual requirem	ent, is the co	nstruction of LID BMPs	s to treat stormwater				
		monitoring or	nately 5.4 acre	esting	rbanized watersned. II	nere will be no				
Cost Effectiveness:	Medium	The estimate	The estimated cost/lb of TSS removed is lower than the historical average cost of							
		\$20/lb TSS, a	\$20/lb TSS, and the cost/acre treated is higher than the average cost of \$46,947/acre							
		treated for co	treated for coastal/LID water quality projects. The cost effectiveness is solely an							
		analysis of th	e estimated pr	oject cost as	compared to the costs	of similar projects.				
Past Performance:	High	Based on the	cooperator ha	iving no ongo	ping projects with the D	istrict they are ranke	d			
Complementary Effortes	Lliab	nign. The City has	an active store	nwator utility	that collects foos					
Project Readiness	High	Project desig	n is ready to b		fore December 1, 201	5				
Project Neadiness.	Tilgh	T Toject desig	Strategic	Goals	Tore December 1, 201	5.				
Strategic Goals:	High	Strategic Ini	tiative - Water	Quality Mai	ntenance and Improve	ement: Develop				
otratogio obaio.	i ngn	and impleme	ent programs.	projects and r	equiations to maintain	and improve water				
		quality.		· · , · · · · ·						
		Tampa Bay	Region Priorit	y : Improve La	ake Thonotosassa, Tar	mpa Bay, Lake Tarpo	n			
		and Lake Se	minole.							
Eurod ee Llieb Drievitu		Overal	I Ranking and	Recommen	dation					
Fund as High Priority.	The project	ct will reduce s	tormwater imp	acts to Boca	Clega Bay and Tampa	Bay, a SWIM priorit	.y			
	water DOU	y.	Fund	lina						
Funding Source	Р	rior	FY20	16	Future	Total				
Madeira Beach		\$0		\$262,500		\$0	\$262,500			
District		\$0		\$262,500		\$0	\$262,500			
Total		\$0		\$525,000		\$0	\$525,000			

Project No. W208	SW IMP - W	W IMP - Water Quality - Rex Place Stormwater BMPs							
Madeira Beach							FY2016		
Risk Level:	Туре 3			Multi-Year C	ontract: No				
		Description							
Description:	Design, pe Beach.	rmitting and c	onstruction of s	tormwater ret	rofits in the Rex Place	e basin of Madeira			
Benefits:	Improved w to the treat	water quality in ement of stor	n Boca Ciega B mwater runoff.	ay, part of Ta	mpa Bay, a SWIM pri	ority water body, due	ļ		
Costs:	Total proje City of Mac District: \$4	ct cost: \$850, deira Beach: \$ 25,000 reque:	000 (design, pe 6425,000 sted in FY16.	rmitting, cons	struction)				
		.	Evalua	tion					
Application Quality:	High	Application in	icluded all the re	equired inform	nation identified in the	e CFI Guidelines.			
Resource Benefit:	Medium	The Resource Benefit of the Water Quality project is the reduction of pollutant loads to Boca Ciega Bay by an estimated 3,400 lbs/yr TSS. The Measurable Benefit, which will be the contractual requirement, is the construction of LID BMPs to treat stormwater from approximately 5.8 acres of highly urbanized watershed. There will be no monitoring or performance testing							
Cost Effectiveness:	Medium	Medium The estimated cost/lb of TSS removed is lower than the historical average cost of \$20/lb TSS, and the cost/acre treated is higher than the average cost of \$46,947/acre treated for coastal/LID water quality projects. The cost effectiveness is solely an analysis of the estimated project cost as compared to the costs of similar projects.							
Past Performance:	High	Based on the	cooperator hav	ing no ongoi	ng projects with the D	istrict they are ranked	b		
Complementary Efforts:	High	high. The City has	an active storm	water utility tl	hat collects fees.				
Project Readiness:	High	Design is rea	dy to begin on a	or before Dec	ember 1, 2015.				
			Strategic	Goals					
Strategic Goals:	High	High Strategic Initiative - Water Quality Maintenance and Improvement: Develop and implement programs, projects and regulations to maintain and improve water quality. Tampa Bay Region Priority: Improve Lake Thonotosassa, Tampa Bay, Lake Tarpon and Lake Seminole.							
		Overal	II Ranking and	Recommend	ation				
Fund as High Priority.	The projec water body	t will reduce s	tormwater impa	acts to Boca (Ciega Bay and Tampa	Bay, a SWIM priority	/		
			Fundi	ng					
Funding Source	Pr	ior	FY201	6	Future	Total			
City of Madeira Beach		\$0		\$425,000		\$0	\$425,000		
District		\$0		\$425,000		\$0	\$425,000		
Total		\$0		\$850.000		\$0	\$850,000		

Project No. W330	Restoratio	Restoration - HCC Cockroach Bay Habitat Restoration							
HCC - Southshore		FY2016							
Risk Level:	Type 2	Type 2 Multi-Year Contract: No							
	Description								
Description:	Restoratio	Restoration of 6 acres of coastal upland forested habitat located at the Hillsborough Community							
	College (H	HCC) Cockroad	h Bay Environ	mental Studi	es Center.				
Benefits:	Removal	and treatment	of 6 acres of n	onnative Braz	zilian pepper and cogor	n grass as a seed			
	source for	r an adjacent D	District project,	Rock Ponds	Ecosystem Restoration	, while providing	_		
	valuable of Tar	xoastal upland mna Bay, a SV	VIM priority wa	ter body	value of the Cockroact	Bay Aquatic Preserve	3,		
Costs:	Total proie	ect cost: \$15.0	00 (Construction	on)					
	HCC: \$7,5	500	(- /					
	District: \$7	7,500 requeste	d in FY16.						
			Evalua	ation					
Application Quality:	High	Application ir	cluded all the	required infor	mation identified in the	CFI Guidelines.			
Resource Benefit:	High	Restoration of	of 6 acres of co	astal upland	forested habitat includi	ng targeted removal			
		and treatmen	t of nonnative	and nuisance	e vegetation, site appro	Priate native planting,			
		part of Tampa	a Bay, a SWIM	l priority wate	r body. The measurable	e benefit, which will be	, د		
		the contract r	equirement, is	the restoration	on of 6 acres of coastal	upland forested			
		habitat. There will be no monitoring or performance testing requirements.							
Cost Effectiveness:	Low	ow Cost per acre restored (\$2500) is slightly above the historical average of \$2,348/ acre.							
		The cost effectiveness is solely an analysis of the estimated project cost as compared							
Past Parformanco:	High	to the costs of Based on the	of similar project	CIS.	ing projects with the Di	istrict they are ranked			
rast renomiance.	Tilgh	high.		aving no ongo	ing projects with the D				
Complementary Efforts:	High	Applicant has	an exotic rem	noval/treatme	nt program, Land Mana	gement Plan for			
		property invo	lved in CFI ap	plication, mai	ntains "nature parks" or	"open space' within it	S		
		park system,	and has other	complement	ary efforts that preserve	e or restore natural			
Droject Readiness	High	systems.	dy to bogin on	or boforo Do	combor 1, 2015				
Project Readiness.	Tilgh		Strategi	Goals					
Strategic Goals:	Hiah	Strategic Ini	tiative - Cons	ervation and	Restoration: Identify c	ritical			
	. ngn	environment	ally sensitive e	ecosystems a	nd implement plans for	protection or			
		restoration.	-						
		Tampa Bay Region Priority: Improve Lake Thonotosassa, Tampa Bay, Lake Tarpon							
		and Lake Se	minole.						
Fund as High Priority	The resta	Overal	I Ranking and	Recommen	dation a the District's Rock Do	anda Easayatam			
Fund as Flight Flight.	restoration	n project This	project will im	orove natural	systems in the Tampa	Ray Watershed a			
	SWIM priority water body.								
	· · ·		Fund	ling					
Funding Source	Р	rior	FY20	16	Future	Total			
District		\$0		\$7,500	9	50	\$7,500		
HCC - Southshore		\$0		\$7,500	9	50	\$7,500		
Total		\$0		\$15,000	9	50	\$15,000		

Project No. W340	Study - Tampa Bay Dredged Hole Habitat Assessment								
IBEP Dialah ang	- Turna 2	Marth Varan	Dourture of a Nic	FY2016					
RISK LEVEI:	туре з	Multi-Year C	Contract: NO						
Description:	: Evaluation of the currer	Evaluation of the current ecological habitat value of up to 10 dredged holes, located in Tampa							
	and restoration ontions	ay, mai have not been previously assessed. Recommendations of appropriate management							
Benefits	· Project is to collect wat	oject is to collect water quality, bathymetry, and benthic and nekton utilization for 10 drodgod							
Bononita	holes. Development of	regionally-vetted manageme	nt and restoration options	for the holes can be					
	utilized by resource ma	lized by resource management agencies in the future to conserve or restore the submerged							
	estuarine habitats.								
Costs:	: Total project cost: \$495	,000 (Feasibility).							
	Tampa Bay Estuary Pro	ogram: \$192,500 (will apply f	or grant funding).						
	USACE: \$55,000.	acted in EV16							
	District: \$247,500 requ	Evaluation							
Application Quality:	High Application	ncluded all the required infor	mation identified in the CF	I Guidelines.					
Resource Benefit:	High Identify the	ecological health and provide	reccomendations for con	servation					
	restoration,	or protection of 10 dredged h	noles in Tampa Bay. Recco	omendations may					
	result in futu	re planning of water quality of	or habitat improvement pro	jects for identified					
	dredged hol	es.							
Cost Effectiveness:	: High Costs for the	igh Costs for the feasibility study are appropriate for the tasks to be performed. Tasks are							
	comparable	in cost to W309 - McKay Dre	edge Hole Restoration mor	nitoring tasks.					
Past Performance:	High Based on ar	assessment of the schedule	e and budget for the 2 ong	that property or					
Complementary Efforts:	restore natu	1911 Ine Tampa Bay Estuary Program has other complementary efforts that preserve or							
	Plan lavs ou	Plan lavs out a strategic plan for Tampa Bay restoration and recovery. The TREP							
	facilitates th	facilitates the Nitrogen Consortium Council and Tampa Bay's Reasonable Assurance							
	Plan.	J							
Project Readiness:	: High Project is re	ady to begin on or before De	cember 1, 2015.						
		Strategic Goals							
Strategic Goals:	: High Strategic Ir	nitiative - Water Quality Ass	essment and Planning: C	ollect and					
	analyze dat	a to determine local and regi	onal water quality status a	ind trends to					
	support res	ource management decision	s and restoration initiatives	S.					
	environmer	Itally sensitive ecosystems a	nd implement plans for pro	antection or					
	restoration.								
	Tampa Bay	Region Priority: Improve La	ake Thonotosassa, Tampa	Bay, Lake Tarpon					
	and Lake S	eminole.	•						
	Overa	all Ranking and Recommen	dation						
Fund as High Priority.	This type of feasibility s	tudy has a proven track reco	ord of usefulness/effectiver	ness and will					
	provide evaluation and	provide evaluation and prioritization of management actions for up to 10 dredged holes not							
	previously assessed. Findings by previous TBEP Dredged Hole Study (2005) have protected								
	inglight uncloning nabilats as well as assisted in implementing dredged note filling projects, including the District's initiative, W309 - McKay Bay Dredge Hole Restoration Project. The								
	cooperator will apply for a Tampa Bay Environmental Restoration Fund (TBERF) grant to fund								
	their match. Grant awa	rd notification is anticipated t	o be announced May 2015	5					
		Funding							
Funding Source	Prior	FY2016	Future	Total					
District	\$	0 \$247,500	\$0	\$247,500					
USACE	\$	D \$55,000	\$0	\$55,000					
	<u> </u>	U \$192,500	\$0 ©	\$192,500					
Total	<u> </u>	y \$495,000	\$U	ֆ495,000					

Project No. N676	SW IMP - V	IMP - Water Quality - PK Avenue/Lake Lena Stormwater Improvements							
Auburndale					FY2016				
Risk Level:	Туре 3	ype 3 Multi-Year Contract: Yes, Year 1 of 2							
			Description						
Description:	Design an right-of-wa	d construction av within the Ci	of stormwater improvemen itv of Auburndale.	t BMPs in the existing PK	Avenue				
Benefits:	Improved	water quality d	lischarged to Lake Lena thr	ough the treatment of stor	mwater runoff.				
Costs:	Total proje	ect cost: \$2,22	5,000 (Design, permitting a	nd construction)					
	City of Au	burndale: \$1,1	12,500						
	District: \$	1,112,500, with	\$112,500 requested in FY	16 and \$1,000,000 anticip	ated to be				
	requested	in future years	3.						
		I	Evaluation						
Application Quality:	Medium	Application in	cluded most of the required	l information identified in t	he CFI guidelines.				
	Llierh	District PM/C	M had to work with coopera	ator to obtain remaining in	formation.				
Resource Benefit:	High	Ine Resource	e Benefit of the Water Qual	ity project is the reduction	of pollutant loads to				
		The Measure	blo Bonofit, which will be th	a contractual requirement	ind 0,047 IDS 01 155.				
			to treat stormwater runoff fi	om approximately 71 acr	es of highly				
		urbanized watershed. There will be no monitoring or performance testing requirements							
Cost Effectiveness:	Hiah	igh The estimated cost/lb of TSS removed is below the historical average of \$20/lb and							
	5	the cost/acre treated is below the historical average cost of \$46.947/acre treated for							
		LID water qua	ality projects. The cost effe	ctiveness is solely an anal	ysis of the				
		estimated pro	ject cost as compared to th	e costs of similar projects	- 5.				
Past Performance:	High	Based on an	assessment of the schedul	e and budget for the 1 ong	going project.				
Complementary Efforts:	High	The City has	an active stormwater utility	that collects fees.					
Project Readiness:	High	Project is rea	dy to begin on or before De	cember 1, 2015.					
			Strategic Goals						
Strategic Goals:	Medium	Strategic Ini and impleme quality.	tiative - Water Quality Mai ent programs, projects and r	ntenance and Improvem regulations to maintain an	ent: Develop d improve water				
		Overal	I Ranking and Recommen	dation					
Fund as Medium Priority.	The project impacts to	ct has an effec Lake Lena, a	tive sediment removal cost n FDEP impaired water boo	and treatment area for reality.	ducing stormwater				
			Funding						
Funding Source	Р	rior	FY2016	Future	Total				
District		\$0	\$112,500	\$1,000,000	\$1,112,500				
Auburndale		\$0	\$112,500	\$1,000,000	\$1,112,500				
Total		\$0	\$225,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,225,000				

Project No. N696	Reclaimed Water - Hernando County US19 Reclaimed Water Transmission								
Hernando County						FY2016			
Risk Level:	Type 2	Type 2 Multi-Year Contract: No							
		Description							
Description:	Constructi to the Tim of design The Distric construction dollars. Th	onstruction of approximately 52,000 feet of 16 inch reclaimed water main from the Glen WRF the Timber Pines Subdivision and Golf Course. The County is funding design. The first phase design is complete, and the County began design for the second phase in August of 2014. he District requires a completed 30% design and third party review to support funding for construction projects that have conceptual construction estimates greater than \$5 million ollars. The District will be the lead on the third party review.							
Benefits:	The project County. T Timber Pit Water.	he project would be the first phase of a reclaimed water main loop around western Hernando ounty. The project would initially provide a utilization of 1.7 MGD of reclaimed water to the imber Pines Subdivision and Golf Course, with future utilization of up to 4.5MGD of Reclaimed vater.							
Costs:	Total proje Hernando District: \$3 FDEP: \$6	ect cost: \$12,0 County: \$3,00 3,000,000 ,000,000	00,000 (Const 10,000	truction)					
Application Quality	Madium	Application in	Evalu	ation	d information identified in	the CEL quidelines			
Application Quality:	Medium	District PM/C	M had to work	with cooper	ator to obtain remaining r	equired information			
Resource Benefit:	High	Utilization of Springs sprin	1.7MGD of red gshed.	claimed wate	r for irrigation use within t	he Weeki Wachee			
Cost Effectiveness:	High	gh \$6.43 per gallon of capital cost which is below the \$10 to \$15 per gallon per day average for alternative supplies. The estimated cost/benefit is \$1.43/1000 gallons of							
		initial water resource benefit, which is within the average cost range for reuse projects							
		which typically range from a low of $0.15/1000$ gallons for golf course projects up to ~ $0.00/1000$ gallons for residential projects. The majority of project costs are							
		associated with infrastructure sizing to enable the supply of future expansion projects							
		and are not a	ttributable to o	direct initial w	ater resource benefits.	, , ,			
Past Performance:	High	Based on an	assessment c	of the schedul	e and budget for the 11 c	ongoing project.			
Complementary Efforts:	Medium	Cooperator h which maxim	as a program	in place that and environm	has proactive reclaimed entries benefits.	expansion policies			
Project Readiness:	High	Project is rea	dy to begin or	n or before De	ecember 1, 2015.				
		1	Strategi	c Goals					
Strategic Goals:	High	Strategic Ini	tiative - Recla	aimed Water:	Maximize beneficial use	of reclaimed			
		water to offs	et potable wat	er supplies a	nd restore water levels ar	nd natural systems.			
		Northern Re	gion Priority:	Improve nor	thern coastal spring syste	ems.			
			l Ranking and	d Recommen	-term sustainable water s	uppiy.			
Fund as Medium Priority.	The Coun constructi August 20 from the c this project	The County is completing design and permitting tasks on thier own and is only requesting construction funds. The 30% design and third party review is anticiapted to be completed in August 2015. The District is the lead for the third party review. Anticipating favorable information from the completed 30% design and third party review, Staff is recommending FY16 funding for							
	a contract	tual agreement	with the Court	nty for this provinte	oject. Completion of 30%	design and third			
	benefits.	This project pro	vides substar	ntial current a	nd future reclaimed wate	r supply in the			
	Weeki Wa	chee springsh	ed, and is cos	st effective. T	he project would be the ir	nitial phase of a			
	reclaimed	water main lo	op around wes	stern Hernan	do County as outlined in t	he 2009 Hernando			
	County R	eclaimed Wate	r Master Plan	•					
			Fund	ding					
Funding Source	P	rior	FY20	016	Future	Total			
FDEP		\$0		\$6,000,000	\$0	\$6,000,000			
District		\$0		\$3,000,000	\$0	\$3,000,000			
Hernando County		\$0		\$3,000,000	\$0	\$3,000,000			
Total		\$0		\$12,000,000	\$0	¢12,000,000			

Project No. N435	ASR - City of Bradenton Surface Water ASR-2								
City of Bradenton						FY2016			
Risk Level:	Type 2	ype 2 Multi-Year Contract:							
		Yes, 2 of 5							
		Description							
Description:	This proje	his project will include design, third party review, permitting and construction of one ASR well							
	(ASR-2) a	R-2) and associated facilities to help meet current and future potable water supply demands.							
	FY16 fund	s will be used	for well constr	uction and te	sting, and some surface f	acility construction.			
	Funding w	as approved in	1 FY15 for des	ign and third	party review. Due to the	complexity of this			
	project an	d the conceptu	al level constr	uction cost e	stimate, the District is req	uiring a third party			
Donofito			iction costs.	alu 150 millio	n collona (MC) of ourfood	water during high			
Denents.	flows in th	e Most Impact	ed Area (MIA)	of the SWLIC	A that can be used durin	a the dry season			
Costs:	Total proje	ct cost: \$4.70	0.000 (Design)	third party re	eview, permitting, constru	ction, and testing)			
	City of Bra	adenton: \$2,35	0,000	unia party i	, politica de la constante de				
	District: \$2	2,350,000 with	\$150,000 bud	geted in prev	vious years, \$1,305,000 re	equested in FY16,			
	and \$895,	000 anticipate	d to be reques	ted in future	years.				
			Evalua	ation					
Application Quality:	High	Application in	cluded all the	required info	rmation identified in the C	FI Guidelines.			
Resource Benefit:	High	gh Approximately 150 MG/yr of excess surface water flow will be stored for potable use in							
		the SWUCA during the dry season.							
Cost Effectiveness:	Medium	The general cost for an ASR system of this size without the treatment or other							
		tacilities is \$4 million. The proposed project cost of \$4.7 million is 17.5% (11% - 25%)							
		I nigner. An equivalently sized surface water reservoir, the alternative for this location,							
Past Performance:	Hiah	Based on an	assessment of	f the schedul	e and budget for the 3 on	aoina proiects.			
Complementary Efforts:	High	Cooperator p	er capita belov	v 100 gpcd.					
Project Readiness:	High	Project is rea	dy to begin on	or before De	ecember 1, 2015.				
-	J	-	Strategio	c Goals					
Strategic Goals:	High	Strategic Ini	tiative - Alterr	native Water	Supplies: Increase devel	opment of			
		alternative so	ources of wate	r to ensure g	roundwater and surface v	vater sustainability.			
		Southern Re	egion Priority:	Implement S	Southern Water Use Cauti	ion Area (SWUCA)			
		Recovery St	rategy.						
Fund on Madium Driavitu	TI OII I	Overal	l Ranking and	l Recommen	dation				
Fund as medium Priority.	The City is	s anticipated to	complete the	third party re	eview in May 2015. Contra	actually, the City			
	informatio	n from the thir	i appioval lo 1 party review	and with the	understanding that the G	overning Board will			
	need to p	ovide approva	to proceed S	Staff is recom	mending FY16 funding fo	or well construction			
	testing, ar	id some surfac	e facility cons	truction. If co	nstructed, this project will	provide a cost			
	effective s	torage alterna	tive for availab	le high surfa	ce water flows in the MIA	of the SWUCA.			
			Fund	ling					
Funding Source	Р	rior	FY20	16	Future	Total			
District		\$150,000		\$1,305,000	\$895,000	\$2,350,000			
City of Bradenton		\$150,000		\$1,305,000	\$895,000	\$2,350,000			
Total		\$300,000		\$2,610,000	\$1,790,000	\$4,700,000			

Project No. N682	Feasibility	easibility Study - North Port Flood Reduction Study								
City of North Port						FY2016				
Risk Level:	Туре 3	Type 3 Multi-Year Contract: No								
			Descr	iption						
Description:	Alternative	ternative Analysis study to generate feasible solutions to reduce flooding on two areas area								
	near the M	lyakkahatchee	Creek just no	orth and south o	of I-75 and the Jockey Clu	b area, bounded				
	by Appom	attox Drive, Pa	n American B	oulevard and K	appa Place. FY2016 fund	ling will be utilized				
	to develop	alternatives, c	ost-benefit ar	alyses, predesi	ign criteria and conceptua	Il drawings.				
Benefits:	The study	will provide fe	asible alternat	ive solutions to	relieve existing flooding in	n the study areas.				
	Predesign	i criteria, refine	d cost estimat	tes and drawing	is will be available for futu	ire detailed				
Costs	design, pe	ermitting and bi	Lageting.							
00515.	City of No	rth Port: \$125	100 (Sludy) 100·							
	District: \$	125,000 reques	sted in FY201	6.						
	,	-,	Evalu	ation						
Application Quality:	High	Application in	cluded all the	required inform	ation identified in the CFI	Guidelines.				
Resource Benefit:	Medium	Analyze flood	ing problems	that have occur	red within the City of North	h Port and provide				
		alternatives to	o relieve stree	t flooding. A WM	MP model was recently fir	nished but does not				
		include an alt	ernative analy	sis for these are	eas.					
Cost Effectiveness:	Medium	ledium Project cost per acre of \$746 is considered cost effective compared to average cost of								
		\$421 per acre	e for previous	y funded alterna	ative analysis projects. Pro	eviously funded				
		projects only	Include one of	r two alternative	es, whereas this North Pol	rt Flood Reduction				
Past Performance	Medium	Based on an	assessment o	of the schedule a	s. and budget for the 2 ongo	ing projects				
Complementary Efforts:	Medium	Cooperator's	Community R	ating System cl	lass is 6 and is in the 6 to	9 range				
Project Readiness	Medium	Project is rea	dv to begin or	or before Marc	2h 1 2016	o rango.				
Trojoot Roadinooo	Wealdin		Strategi	c Goals	5111, 2010.					
Strategic Goals:	Medium	Strategic Ini	tiative - Floor	iplain Manager	nent: Develop better floor	dolain				
	moulain	information a	ind implement	floodplain man	agement programs to ma	intain storage and				
		conveyance	and to minimi	ze flood damag	e.	0				
		Overal	I Ranking and	d Recommenda	ation					
Fund as Medium Priority.	Project pr	ovides feasibili	ty study for flo	ood protection a	Iternatives to reduce stree	et flooding. Also				
	the study	has the potent	al of developi	ng some water	quality alternatives.					
			Fund	ding						
Funding Source	P	rior	FY20	16	Future	Total				
District		\$0		\$125,000	\$0	\$125,000				
		\$0 • • •		\$125,000	\$0 ©	\$125,000				

Project No. N729	WMP Upda	MP Update - Phillippi Creek and Little Sarasota Bay FEMA Floodplain Development							
Sarasota County		FY201							
Risk Level:	Туре 3	Type 3 Multi-Year Contract: No							
	-	Description							
Description	Watershee Phillippi C and site sp contour m delineation FEMA to u	Vatershed Management Plan (WMP) and model update, and floodplain delineation, for the Phillippi Creek and Little Sarasota Bay watersheds in Sarasota County to reflect 2007 terrain and site specific survey. The existing WMP and model information is based on very old 1 foot contour maps. FY2016 funding will be used to complete model updates and floodplain delineation tasks. When complete, the model and mapping information will be submitted to FEMA to update Flood Insurance Rate Maps.							
Benefits:	More accuir identify ris	urate watershe k of flood dam	d model and f age and for u	loodplain info se in develop	rmation; information that ing cost effective alternat	is critical to better ives .			
Costs	Total proje Sarasota District: \$	ect cost: \$250, County: \$125,0 125,000 reque	000 000 sted in FY16.	<u></u>					
		· ·	Evalu	ation					
Application Quality:	High	Application ir	cluded all the	required info	rmation identified in the C	CFI Guidelines.			
Resource Benefit:	High	Identification models are n or intermedia	Identification of flooding problems that exist in the watershed. Currently, flood analysis models are not available or are over 10 years old, and the watershed includes regional or intermediate stormwater systems.						
Cost Effectiveness:	Low	Project cost p mi) for WMP this update in recent site sp	per square mil updates, flood ivolves updati pecific survey	e is above the dplain determ ng the base to information to	e mid-range of historic co ination and BMP alternati errain information as well develop an updated digi	sts (over \$7,000 / sq ve analysis. However, , and incorporating tal elevation model.			
Past Performance:	High	Based on an	assessment of	of the schedul	e and budget for the 10 c	ngoing projects.			
Complementary Efforts:	High	Cooperator's	Community F	Rating System	class is 5 and is in the 5	or better range.			
Project Readiness:	High	Project is rea	dy to begin or	n or before De	ecember 1, 2015.				
			Strategi	c Goals					
Strategic Goals:	Medium	n Strategic Initiative - Floodplain Management : Develop better floodplain information and implement floodplain management programs to maintain storage and conveyance and to minimize flood damage.							
		Overa	I Ranking an	d Recommen	dation				
Fund as Medium Priority.	Project wi	Il provide upda	ited informatio	on to better id	entify floodplain areas.				
Energline Onerrow			Fun	ding	Factoria	T _ 4-1			
Sarasota County	р 	רוסר פה	FY20	\$125.000	۴uture دە	10tal \$125.000			
District		ው ው		\$125,000	ው 	\$125,000 \$125,000			
Total		پر \$0		\$250,000	\$0	\$250,000			

Project No. N735	AWS - Phase 1 Regional Interconnect									
PRMRWSA					FY2016					
Risk Level:	Type 2	Type 2 Multi-Year Contract:								
		Yes, Year 1 of 2								
		Description								
Description:	The project	The project is for the construction of a 6 mile, 20 to 24 inch diameter pipeline that will extend the								
	Creek Wa	unionity's Desoto Transmission Main along US-17 southWard to the City of Punta Gorda's Shell reak Water Treatment Facility. The project also includes booster numbing and storage.								
	facilities. F	icilities. Project will have a 5 mgd peak flow capacity. A design update and permitting was								
	previously	previously funded by the District in FY2015 (N416).								
Benefits:	The project	ct provides the	City of Punta Gorda acces	s to regional water supplie	es to aid in meeting					
	drinking w	ater quality re	quirements and to maintain	water service during eme	rgency conditions.					
	The project	ct will also prov	/ide a back-up supply for De	eSoto County from the Sh	ell Creek facility,					
	Charlotte	Counties	alternative water supplies t	o support luture needs in						
Costs:	Total Proj	ect Cost: \$13,	500,000 (Construction)							
	Authority:	\$6,750,000;								
	District: \$6	6,750,000, with	n \$5,400,000 requested in F	Y16 and \$1,350,000 antio	cipated to					
	be requested in future years.									
Application Quality	Lligh	Evaluation								
Application Quality:	∏igii Hiab	Application included all the required information identified in the CFT guidelines.								
Resource Benefit:		Fign Project allows the regional distribution of alternative water supplies in the SWUCA.								
Cost Effectiveness:	Mealum	In the cost effectiveness appears reasonable as storage/pumping/transmission costs are								
		projects (Dist	rict conceptual estimate = 9	(10.200.000).						
Past Performance:	High	Based on an	assessment of the schedul	e and budget for the 1 on	going project.					
Complementary Efforts:	High	Applicant pro	vides wholesale alternative	water supplies to Charlot	te, DeSoto, and					
		Sarasota Cou	unties and the City of North	Port.						
Project Readiness:	Low	Project is not	ready to begin on or before	e March 1, 2016.						
			Strategic Goals							
Strategic Goals:	High	Strategic Ini	tiative - Alternative Water	Supplies: Increase devel	opment of					
		Southorn B	burces of water to ensure g	Foundwater and surface w	ater sustainability.					
	Southern Region Priority: Implement Southern Water Use Caution Area (SWUCA)									
		Overa	I Ranking and Recommen	dation						
Fund as Medium Priority.	This proje	ct expands the	Authority's Regional Integ	rated Loop System. The A	uthority has					
	ongoing n	egotiations wit	h partners for a water supp	ly agreement and a pipeli	ne construction					
	agreement to provide matching project funds. However, these agreements are not currently in									
	place. Pro	ject costs may	be revised following an on	going design update (N41	6).					
Eunding Source	D	rior	EY2016	Euturo	Total					
PRMRWSA			\$5 400 000	\$1,350,000	\$6 750 000					
District		φυ 	\$5,400,000	\$1,350,000	\$6,750,000					
Total		\$0 \$0	\$10,800,000	\$2,700,000	\$13,500,000					

Project No. N740	SW IMP - F	SW IMP - Flood Protection - Greater Port Charlotte WCS Replacement							
Charlotte County					FY2016				
Risk Level:	Type 2		Multi-Year	Multi-Year Contract: No					
	-	Description							
Description:	Constructi	Construction of a new Water Control Structure (WCS), LIO 4.03, which consists of two 12' X 12'							
	concrete b	concrete box culverts under Peachland Boulevard within the Lionheart Waterway.							
Benefits:	The project	ct will improve	the drainage by replacing t	he existing structures (two	o 60-inch corrugated				
	metal pipe	es) constructed	I thirty-five to forty years ag	o with two 12' X 12' box c	ulverts. Current				
	Effective F	EMA maps sh	low street flooding along Pe	eachland Boulevard with a	a Base Flood				
	Elevation	of 14 ft NGVD	, east of the project locatior	and the replacement will	alleviate the				
	flooding b	y decreasing tl	ne flood stages to 11.4 ft N	GVD.					
Costs:	Total proje	ect cost: \$800,0	000 (Construction)						
	Charlotte	County: \$480,0	000						
	District: \$3	320,000, reque	ested in FY2016.						
		r	Evaluation						
Application Quality:	Medium	edium Application included most of the required information identified in the CFI guidelines.							
		District PM/C	M had to work with coopera	ator to obtain remaining re	equired information.				
Resource Benefit:	Medium	ledium Street flooding occurs in the project area, the project impacts the regional or							
		intermediate drainage system, and the Resource Benefit if this flood protection project							
		will reduce the existing street flooding problem during the 100-year, 24-hour storm							
		event. The Measurable Benefit, which will be the contractual requirement, is the							
		construction and maintenance of a new WCS of two 12' by 12' concrete box culverts							
		under Peachland Boulevard to reduce flooding in approximately 20 acres of highly urbanized basin.							
Cost Effectiveness:	Low	Costs are bas	sed on conceptual level info	ormation only, design has	not started. Project				
		cost of \$800,	000 is considered high corr	pared to average cost of	\$640,000 for				
		previously co	nstructed water control stru	ictures.					
Past Performance:	High	Based on an	assessment of the schedul	e and budget for the 4 on	going projects.				
Complementary Efforts:	High	Cooperator's	Community Rating System	class is 5 and it is in the	5 or better range.				
Project Readiness:	Low	Project is not	expected to begin until after	er March 1, 2016.					
			Strategic Goals						
Strategic Goals:	Medium	Strategic Ini	tiative - Floodplain Manag	ement: Develop better flo	odplain				
		information a	and implement floodplain m	anagement programs to r	naintain storage and				
		conveyance	and to minimize flood dama	age.					
		Overal	I Ranking and Recommen	dation					
Fund as Medium Priority.	Project wi	Il improve the	existing drainage within the	Lionheart Waterway and	will alleviate				
	flooding o	n Peachland B	oulevard.						
			Funding						
Funding Source	Р	rior	FY2016	Future	Total				
Charlotte County		\$0	\$480,000	\$0	\$480,000				
District		\$0	\$320,000	\$0	\$320,000				
Total		\$0	\$800,000	\$0	\$800,000				

Project No. N635	Restoration - Pasco County Crews Lake							
Pasco County	FY2016							
Risk Level:	Type 2 Multi-Year Contract: No							
			Description					
Description:	30% Design water to re- funds are- funds were- project hav- requiring a benefits. A at the com- Pasco Cou	30% Design, third party review, final design and permitting of infrastructure to provide reclaimed water to restore approximately 200 acres of wetlands in and adjacent to Crews Lake. The FY16 funds are requested to complete third party review, final design and permitting services. FY15 funds were budgeted for 30% design, environmental monitoring, and permitting services. This project has a conceptual construction estimate greater than \$5 million dollars and the District is requiring a third party review of 30% design plans to confirm construction costs and resource benefits. A more refined estimate of construction costs and total acres restored will be available at the completion of 30% design and third party review. A feasibility study was completed by Pasco County in 2011						
Benefits:	If construct	ted, this projected, this projected	ct will restore wetland s	ystems in and adjacent to Cr	rews Lake and will			
Costs:	Total proje	ect cost: \$731,	770 (30% design, third	party review, environmental	monitoring, final			
	design an	d permitting).			-			
	Pasco Co District: \$3 conceptua additional may be su	Pasco County: \$365,885 District: \$365,885 with \$204,385 budgeted in FY15 and \$161,500 requested for FY16. The conceptual construction estimate is \$6,743,000. It is anticipated that the County will request additional funding for construction in future years. If legislative funding is available this project						
		r	Evaluation					
Application Quality:	Medium	edium Application included most of the required information identified in the CFI guidelines. District PM had to work with cooperator to obtain required information.						
Resource Benefit:	Medium	lium If constructed, this project will create and enhance an area of freshwater wetlands using reclaimed water.						
Cost Effectiveness:	Low	The estimated cost/acre restored is above the historical average of \$53,326. The cost effectiveness is solely an analysis of the estimated project cost as compared to the costs of similar projects.						
Past Performance:	High	Based on an	assessment of the sch	edule and budget for the 24 o	ongoing project.			
Complementary Efforts:	High	The County h maintains nat Adopt-a-Pone stormwater u	as an active environme ure parks and open sp d and Adopt-a-Road pre tility that collects fees.	ntally sensitive land purchas aces within the park system. grams. Additionally, Pasco (se program and The County operates County has an active			
Project Readiness:	Medium	Project is ong	joing.					
			Strategic Goals					
Strategic Goals:	High	High Strategic Initiative - Reclaimed Water: Maximize beneficial use of reclaimed water to offset potable water supplies and restore water levels and natural systems. Strategic Initiative - Conservation and Restoration: Identify critical environmentally sensitive ecosystems and implement plans for protection or restoration.						
		Overal	I Ranking and Recom	nendation				
Fund as Medium Priority.	The County is anticipated to complete 30% design and third party review in October 2016. Contractually, the County will need Governing Board approval to proceed beyond this task. Anticipating favorable information from the 30% design, third party review, and with the understanding that the Governing Board will need to provide approval to proceed, Staff is recommending FY16 funding to complete third party review, final design and permitting services. If constructed, this project will restore wetland systems in and adjacent to Crews Lake and will allow Pasco County to beneficially use reclaimed water.							
Eunding Source	-	rior	Funding	Eutore	Total			
SWFWMD	Р	\$204 385	F12010 \$161		10tai \$365.885			
Pasco County		\$204,385	\$161	500 TBD	\$365.885			
Total		\$408,770	\$323,	DOO TBD	\$731,770			

Project No. N665	Reclaimed Water - Clearwater Groundwater Replenishment Project - Phase 3							
City of Clearwater						FY2016		
Risk Level:	Type 2	Multi-Year Contract: Yes, Year 2 of 3						
	Description							
Description:	Design, pe treatment Water Rec FY16 fund conceptua third party A feasibilit	Design, permitting and construction for the full-scale water purification plant, the injection water treatment system, and the injection and monitor well systems at the Clearwater Northeast Water Reclamation Facility to recharge 2.4 mgd annual average of purified reclaimed water. FY16 funds will be used to complete design, permitting and well construction. This project has a conceptual construction estimate greater than \$5 million dollars and the District is requiring a third party review of the 30% design plans to confirm the construction costs and project benefits. A feasibility study and site/pilot testing have been cooperatively funded in prior years (Project						
	No. N179)							
Benefits:	If construc	ted, the project	t would allow	for the City to	o increase their reclaimed	water utilization,		
	reduce su	rface discharg	es, improve gr	oundwater le	evels in the NTBWUCA, a	nd increase the		
Costs	Total proje	re water suppr	y potential from 80.000 (Desig	n their existin	review permitting and co	onstruction)		
00515.	City of Cle	arwater: \$14.3	30,000 (Desigi 340.000	n, unitu party	review, permitting, and co			
	District: \$1	14,340,000, wi	th \$1,544,000	in the approv	ved FY15 budget, \$2,131,	600 budgeted in		
	FY16 and	\$10,654,400 a	anticipated to b	be requested	in future years. The Distri	ict previously		
	contribute	d \$1,751,548 ເ	under project N	V179 (Total C	Cost \$3,503,096) for the fe	easibility study and		
	pilot testin	g for this proje	ct.	-41				
Application Quality:	High	Application in	eluded all the	required info	rmation identified in the C	EL Guidelines		
Application Quality.	High	The water treatment pilot process is proven feasible, demonstrating that purified						
Resource Benefit:	підп	reclaimed water can be beneficially utilized for aquifer recharge to improve groundwater						
		levels in the NTBWUCA, reduce the effects of saltwater intrusion. and increase the						
		City's future water supply potential. The project will beneficially recharge 2.4 mgd of						
	purified reclaimed water into the Upper Floridan aquifer on an annual average basis.							
Cost Effectiveness:	Medium	<i>I</i> edium The capital cost for this project is \$11.90 per gpd of water treated and injected into the Upper Floridan aquifer.						
Past Performance:	Medium	Based on an	assessment o	f the schedul	e and budget for the 10 o	ngoing projects.		
Complementary Efforts:	High	Cooperator h	as a program	in place that	includes metering and an	incentive based		
		reuse rate str	ucture for high	n volume use	rs and has a proactive rec	claimed expansion		
Project Readiness	High	Project is rea	dv to begin on	or before De	environmental benefits.			
i rojoot ricualiloos.	Tilgit		Strategi	c Goals	500mber 1, 2010.			
Strategic Goals:	Hiah	Strategic Ini	tiative - Alter	native Water	Supplies: Increase devel	opment of		
	. ngin	alternative so	ources of wate	er to ensure g	roundwater and surface v	vater sustainability.		
		Strategic Ini	tiative - Recla	nimed Water:	Maximize beneficial use	of reclaimed		
		water to offse	et potable wat	er supplies a	nd restore water levels an	id natural systems.		
		Overal	I Ranking and	d Recommen	dation			
Fund as Medium Priority.	The City is	s anticipated to	complete 30 ^o	% design and	I third party review in Sep	tember 2015.		
	Anticipatir	any, the City w ng favorable in	formation from	the 30% de	sign, third party review ar	nd with the		
	understan	ding that the G	Soverning Boa	rd will need t	o provide approval to proc	ceed, Staff is		
	recommer	nding FY16 fur	nding to compl	ete design, p	ermitting and well constru	iction. If		
	constructe	ed, the project	would provide	for beneficia	I use of reclaimed water to	o improve		
	groundwa	ter levels in the		improve wate	er quality, and increase th	e City's future water		
	supply po	tential from the	Eupo	lina ———				
Funding Source	P	rior	FY20	16	Future	Total		
City of Clearwater		\$1,554.000		\$2,131.600	\$10.654.400	\$14.340.000		
District		\$1,554.000		\$2,131.600	\$10,654,400	\$14,340,000		
Total		\$3,108,000		\$4,263,200	\$21,308,800	\$28,680,000		

Project No. N666	Restoration – Pasco Co. Recl. Water Treatment Wetland and Aquifer Recharge-Site 1								
Pasco County						FY	2016		
Risk Level:	Туре 3			Multi-Year Con	tract: No				
			Descrip	tion					
Description:	Design, pe County. T were budg	Design, permitting, and construction of a reclaimed water recharge facility in central Pasco County. The FY16 funds are requested complete design and construct the facility. FY15 funds were budgeted for 30% design and permitting services. A third party review of the 30% design							
	and resou	and resource benefits. A feasibility study and site testing were cooperatively funded in prior years and are ongoing (H092).							
Benefits:	This proje help resto	ct will create a re approximate	pproximately 47 ely 1,000 acres	0 acres of treat of natural surfa	tment wetlands and hav	e the potential to			
Costs:	Total proje	ect cost: \$10,7	69,000 (Design	permitting, and	d construction)				
	Pasco Co	unty: \$5,384,5	00						
	District: \$	5,384,500, with District previo	s384,500 budg busly contribute	geted in prior ye d \$343,582 und	ears and \$5,000,000 red er project H092 (Total d	uested in cost \$588,782)			
	for feasibi	lity studies and	I site testing.	ion					
Application Quality:	Medium	Application in	cluded most of	the required inf	ormation identified in th	e CEL quidelines			
	modiam	District PM/C	M had to work v	vith cooperator	to obtain remaining req	uired information.			
Resource Benefit:	High	This project v	vill create appro	ximately 470 ac	cres of treatment wetlar	ids and help			
		restore appro	ximately 1,000	acres of natura	I surface water systems	. It will maximize			
			use of reclaims) percent desig	n will better refine the tr	eatment wetland			
		size and total acres restored.							
Cost Effectiveness:	Medium	Medium The estimated cost/acre restored, based on preliminary information, is below the							
	historical average of \$53,326/acre for natural systems restoration projects, including								
		the preliminary costs to complete design, permitting, and construction.							
Past Performance:	High	Based on an assessment of the schedule anf budget for the 24 ongoing projects.							
Complementary Efforts:	High	County's recl	aimed water sys	stem includes m	netering and incentive b	ased reuse rate			
		structures for	high volume wa	ater users and h	has pro-active reclaime	d water expansion			
		policies which	i maximize utiliz	cation, water res	source benefits, and en	vironmentai			
Project Readiness	Medium	Project may r	not be ready to	proceed on or b	efore December 1, 201	5.			
,	mount		Strategic	Goals					
Strategic Goals:	Hiah	Strategic Ini	tiative - Reclair	ned Water: Ma	ximize beneficial use of	reclaimed			
j		water to offse	et potable water	supplies and re	estore water levels and	natural systems.			
		Strategic Ini	tiative - Minimu	Im Flows and I	Levels Establishment a	and Recovery:			
		To prevent si	gnificant harm a	and reestablish	the natural ecosystem	determine MFL's			
		and, where r	ecessary, deve	lop and implem	ent recovery plans.				
		Tampa Bay	Region Priority	: Implement Mil	nimum Flow and Level	(MFL) Recovery			
		Overal	I Ranking and	Recommendat	ion				
Fund as Medium Priority.	The Coun	tv is still workir	ng to complete t	he feasibility st	udy, 30% design plans.	and third party			
,	review, wl	nich are neces	sary to develop	accurate const	ruction cost estimates a	ind project			
	benefits. [District staff is o	currently review	ing the prelimin	ary feasibility results.	District staff is also			
	reviewing	the procureme	ent process and	land lease agre	eement being proposed	by the County for			
	this project	ct. District staff	continues to co	ordinate with th	e County and the land	owner. Ranking			
	could cha	nge if additiona	ai information be	ecomes availab	ie.				
Funding Source		rior	Fundi EV204	6	Futuro	Total			
Pasco County		\$384 500	11201	\$5,000,000	¢0	iulai \$5 22/	4 500		
District		\$384 500		\$5,000,000	9 0 	<u> </u>	4 500		
Total		\$769.000		10.000.000	\$0	\$10.769	9,000		
10101	1		Ψ	, ,		÷ -,• ••			

Project No. N675	SW IMP - Flood Protection - Dade City Downtown Stormwater Capital Improvement								
Dade City	Project						FY2016		
Risk Level	Type 2			Multi-Year C	ontract: No				
		Description							
Description	Constructi previously this projec area and i Stormwate rely only o crossings,	Construction of improvements to the stormwater system in downtown Dade City. The District previously provided cooperative funding to update the City's storm water management plan and this project was identified in that plan as a BMP to alleviate flooding in the downtown Dade City area and improve water quality to the Dade City Canal (DCC) and Withlacoochee River. Stormwater improvements for this project include: adding stormwater pipe in areas that currently rely only on shallow swales for drainage, increasing pipe size or adding pipe under roadway crossings, and improving a stormwater pond.							
Benefits:	Proposed Withlacoo will elimina 24-hour st	Proposed improvements reduce street flooding and improve water quality to the DCC and Withlacoochee River. According to the Dade City Stormwater Master Plan, the improvements will eliminate street flooding on Meridian Avenue in the 6th to 7th street area for the 5-year, 24-hour storm event.							
Costs	: Total proje Dade City District: \$8	Total project cost: \$1,716,858 (Construction) Dade City: \$858,429 District: \$858,429							
	·	,	Evalu	ation					
Application Quality:	High	Application ir	ncluded all the	required infor	mation identified in the	CFI Guidelines.			
Resource Benefit	: Medium	Street flooding occurs in the project area, the project impacts the regional or intermediate drainage system, and the Resource Benefit of this flood protection project will reduce the existing flooding problem during the 5-year, 24-hour storm event. The Measurable Benefit, which will be the contractual requirement, is the construction and maintenance of Dade City Downtown Stormwater Capital Improvements to reduce							
Cost Effectiveness	High	Costs are bas	sed on comple mableor are lo	ted design an w when comp	d permitting. Engineer's ared to similar projects.	s cost estimates			
Past Performance	High	Based on the	cooperator ha	aving no ongo	ing projects with the Dis	strict they are ranked			
Complementary Efforts: Project Readiness	: Low : Medium	high. Cooperator is Design and p 2016.	s not participat permitting are c	ing in the Con complete. Con	nmunity Rating System struction is expected to	program. start before March 1,			
		l	Strategi	c Goals					
Strategic Goals:	Medium	Medium Strategic Initiative - Floodplain Management: Develop better floodplain information and implement floodplain management programs to maintain storage and conveyance and to minimize flood damage.							
		Overa	II Ranking and	Recommend	lation				
Fund as Medium Priority.	Project re evacuation	duces street fle n route.	ooding in a crit	ical downtowr	n area and improves dra	ainage for an			
		-	Func	ling					
Funding Source	<u>Р</u>	rior	FY20	16	Future	Total	050 (05		
		\$0		\$858,429	\$	U \$	858,429		
		\$0 \$0			\$\\$\ \$\	0 \$1.	,716,858		

Project No. N684	SW IMP - Water Quality - Downtown Largo Pinellas Trail Stormwater Quality							
City of Largo	Improvem	ent				FY2016		
Risk Level:	Type 2		1	Multi-Year (Contract: No			
		Description						
Description:	Constructi Largo.	on of stormwa	ter improvement	LID BMPs	in the Pinellas Trail area	within the City of		
Benefits:	Improved Clearwate	water quality d r Harbor, throu	lischarged to Mo ugh the treatmer	Kay Creek, it of stormw	a FDEP impaired water ater runoff.	body, and		
Costs	Total proje City of La District: \$3	ect cost: \$68,00 rgo: \$34,000 34,000 request	00 (Construction ted in FY16.)				
		1	Evaluat	ion				
Application Quality:	Medium	Application in District PM/C	ncluded most of t M had to work w	he required	l information identified in itor to obtain remaining re	the CFI guidelines. equired information.		
Resource Benefit:	High	The Resource Benefit of the Water Quality project is the reduction of pollutant loads to McKay Creek by an estimated 106 lbs/year TN. The Measurable Benefit, which will be the contractual requirement, is the construction of LID BMPs to treat stormwater runoff from approximately 84 acres of highly urbanized watershed. There will be no monitoring or performance testing requirements.						
Cost Effectiveness:	High	The estimated cost/lb of TN removed is below the historical average of \$646/lb, and the cost/acre treated is below the historical average cost of \$46,947/acre treated for coastal/LID water quality projects. The cost effectiveness is solely an analysis of the estimated project cost as compared to the costs of similar projects.						
Past Performance:	High	Based on an	assessment of t	he schedul	e and budget for the 1 on	going project.		
Complementary Efforts:	High	The City has	an active storm	vater utility	that collects fees.			
Project Readiness	Medium	Project is rea requested.	dy to begin on o	r before Ma	rch 1st of the fiscal year	the funding is being		
		_	Strategic (Goals				
Strategic Goals:	Medium	Strategic Initiative - Water Quality Maintenance and Improvement: Develop and implement programs, projects and regulations to maintain and improve water quality.						
		Overal	II Ranking and F	Recommen	dation			
Fund as Medium Priority.	The proje Harbor, a	ct will improve non-priority wa	water quality dis aterbody, due to	charged to a reduction	McKay Creek and ultima in nutrients.	tely Clearwater		
			Fundir	Ig				
Funding Source	P	rior	FY2016	5 00 (00 - 1	Future	Total		
City of Largo		\$0		\$34,000	\$0	\$34,000		
District		<u>\$0</u> مە		\$34,000	<u>\$0</u> ແມ	\$34,000		

Project No. N686	SW IMP - V	WIMP - Water Quality - 20th Avenue Stormwater Improvements							
Indian Rocks Beach							FY2016		
Risk Level:	Туре 3		м	ulti-Year C	contract: No				
		Description							
Description:	Design, pe	Design, permitting, and construction of stormwater BMPs within the public right-of-way of the							
	City of Ind	Sity of Indian Rocks Beach.							
Benefits:	Improved	water quality in	n Clearwater Hart	or through	the treatment of storm	vater runoff.			
Costs:	Total proje	ect cost: \$350,0	000 (Design, pern	nitting, con	struction)				
	City of Ind	lian Rocks Bea	ach: \$175,000						
	District: \$	175,000 reque	sted in FY16.						
		1	Evaluatio	n					
Application Quality:	Medium	Application in	cluded most of th	e required	information identified in	the CFI guidelines.			
	N.4. 1	District PM ha	ad to work with co	operator to	o obtain remaining requi	red information.			
Resource Benefit:	Medium	The Resource	e Benefit of the W	ater Quali	ty project is the reduction	n of pollutant loads			
			ed solids into Clea	arwater Ha	irbor by an estimated 8	bs/yr iP and 761			
		construction	and maintenance		Ps to treat stormwater fi	requirement, is the	;		
			and maintenance	ui LID Divi	There will be no monito	ring or performance			
		testing requirements							
Cost Effectiveness	Hiah	h The estimated cost/lb of TP removed is below the historical average of \$4 715/lb; the							
	riigii	estimated cost of TSS is above the historical average of \$20/lb; and the cost/acre							
		treated is below the historical average of \$46.947/acre treated for coastal/LID water							
		quality projects. The cost effectiveness is solely an analysis of the estimated project							
		cost as comp	ared to similar pro	ojects.	, ,				
Past Performance:	High	Based on the	cooperator havin	g no ongo	ing projects with the Dis	trict they are ranked	t		
		high.							
Complementary Efforts:	Medium	The City has	an active street s	weeping p	rogram, fertilizer ordinar	ice, pet waste			
		ordinance, ar	nd public educatio	n campaig	n on stormwater.				
Project Readiness:	High	Project is rea	dy to begin on or	before De	cember 1, 2015.				
			Strategic G	oals					
Strategic Goals:	Medium	Strategic Ini	tiative - Water Qu	uality Mair	ntenance and Improven	nent: Develop			
		and impleme	ent programs, proj	ects and re	egulations to maintain a	nd improve water			
		quality.							
		Overal	I Ranking and Re	ecommen	dation				
Fund as Medium Priority.	The proje	ct reduces stor	mwater impacts t	o Clearwat	ter Harbor, a non-priority	/ waterbody, and is			
	cost effect	tive.							
			Funding						
Funding Source	Р	rior	FY2016	. T	Future	Total			
Indian Rocks Beach		\$0		\$175,000	\$0)	\$175,000		
District		\$0		\$175,000	\$0	<u>ب</u>	\$175,000		
Total		\$0		\$350,000	\$(7	\$350,000		

Project No. N688	SW IMP - V	W IMP - Water Quality - Pinellas Road Stormwater BMPs							
Town of Belleair					FY2016				
Risk Level:	Туре 3	Type 3 Multi-Year Contract: No							
		Description							
Description:	Design, pe	Design, permitting, and construction of stormwater BMPs within the public right-of-way of the							
	Town of B	own of Belleair.							
Benefits:	Improved	water quality in	n Clearwater Harbor South	through the treatment of	stormwater runoff.				
Costs:	Total proje	ect cost: \$2,75	0,000 (Design, permitting, o	construction)					
	District: \$	1 375 000 regu	100 Jested in EV16						
	District. y	1,575,000 Tequ	Evaluation						
Application Quality:	High	Application in	cluded all the required info	rmation identified in the C	FI Guidelines.				
Resource Benefit:	Medium	The Resourc	e Benefit of the Water Qual	ity project is the reductior	of pollutant loads				
		and suspend	ed solids into Clearwater H	arbor South by an estima	ted 70 lbs/ yr TN and				
		7522 lbs/yr T	SS. The Measurable Bene	fit, which will be the contra	actual requirement, is				
		the construct	ion and maintenance of BM	IPs to treat stormwater fro	om approximately				
		22.3 acres of	22.3 acres of highly urbanized watershed. There will be no monitoring or performance						
Cost Effectiveness	Modium	The estimated cost/lb of TN removed is above the historical average of \$646/lb; the							
COSt Enectiveness.	weaturn	estimated cost of TSS is below the historical average of \$20/lb; and the cost/acre							
		treated is above the historical average of \$46.947/acre treated for coastal/I ID water							
		quality project	quality projects. The cost effectiveness is solely an analysis of the estimated project						
		cost as comp	ared to similar projects.	,,					
Past Performance:	High	Based on an	assessment of the schedul	e and budget for 2 ongoir	ng projects.				
Complementary Efforts:	High	The Town ha	s an active storm water util	ity that collects fees.					
Project Readiness:	High	Project is rea	dy to begin on or before De	ecember 1, 2015.					
			Strategic Goals						
Strategic Goals:	Medium	Strategic Ini	tiative - Water Quality Mai	ntenance and Improvem	ent: Develop				
		and impleme	ent programs, projects and	regulations to maintain ar	id improve water				
		quality.							
		Overal	I Ranking and Recommer	dation					
Fund as Medium Priority.	The proje	ct reduces stor	mwater impacts to Clearwa	ater Harbor South, a non-	priority waterbody,				
	and is onl	y cost effective	e for TSS removal.						
	_		Funding						
Funding Source	P	rior	FY2016	Future	Total				
IOWN OF Belleair		\$0	\$1,375,000	\$0	\$1,375,000				
District		\$0	\$1,375,000	\$0	\$1,375,000				
Total		\$0	¥2,750,000	\$0	\$2,750,000				

Project No. N689	SW IMP – V	♀ – Water Quality – Largo 10th Street SW							
City of Largo						FY2016			
Risk Level:	Type 2		Multi	Year Contract: No)				
	-	Description							
Description:	Constructi	Construction of stormwater improvement LID BMPs and conveyance system to the BMPs in the							
	Palm Ridg	Palm Ridge Neighborhood area within the City of Largo.							
Benefits:	Improved	water quality o	ischarged to McKay	Creek, a FDEP imp	paired water b	oody, and			
	Clearwate	r Harbor, throu	igh the treatment of	tormwater runoff.					
Costs:	lotal proje	ect cost: \$140,0	000 (Construction)						
	District: \$7	190: \$70,000 70.000 request	ed in EV16						
	District. yr	ro,000 request	Evaluation						
Application Quality:	Medium	Application in	cluded most of the re	quired information	identified in t	he CFI quidelines.			
		District PM/C	M had to work with c	operator to obtain	remaining re	quired information.			
Resource Benefit:	Medium	The Resourc	e Benefit of the Wate	Quality project is	the reduction	of pollutant loads to			
		McKay Creek	by an estimated 49	bs/year TN. The M	leasurable Be	enefit, which will be			
		the contractu	al requirement, is the	construction of LIE	D BMPs to tre	eat stormwater runoff			
		from approxir	from approximately 32 acres of highly urbanized watershed. There will be no						
		monitoring or performance testing requirements.							
Cost Effectiveness:	High	High I he estimated cost/lb of I N removed is below the historical average of \$646/lb, and the							
		cost/acre treated is below the historical average cost of \$46,947/acre treated for							
		coastal/LID w	vater quality projects.	I he cost effectiver	iess is solely	an analysis of the			
Past Performance	High	Based on an	assessment of the su	hedule and budget	t for the 1 on	noina proiect			
Complementary Efforts:	High	The City has	an active stormwate	utility that collects	fees				
Project Readiness	High	Project is rea	dy to begin on or bef	ore December 1 2	015				
Troject Reduiness	Tign		Strategic Goal		010.				
Strategic Goals	Medium	Stratogic Ini	tiativo - Wator Quali	y Maintonanco an	d Improvom	ant: Develop			
Strategic Coals.	Medium	and impleme	nt programs project	and regulations to	maintain an	d improve water			
		quality	in programo, project		, maintain an				
		4							
		Overal	Ranking and Reco	mmendation					
Fund as Medium Priority.	The proie	ct will improve	water quality dischar	ed to McKav Cree	k and ultimat	telv Clearwater			
	Harbor, a	non-priority wa	aterbody, due to a re	uction in nutrients.		,			
			Funding						
Funding Source	P	rior	FY2016	Futi	ure	Total			
District		\$0	\$7	0,000	\$0	\$70,000			
City of Largo		\$0	\$7	0,000	\$0	\$70,000			
Total		\$0	\$14	0.000	\$0	\$140.000			

Project No. N693	SW IMP - V	MP – Water Quality – Largo Trotter Road							
City of Largo					FY2016				
Risk Level:	Type 2		Multi-Year	Contract: No					
	-	Description							
Description:	Constructi	on of stormwa	ter improvement LID BMPs	and conveyance system	to the BMPs in the				
	Trotter Ro	rotter Road area within the City of Largo.							
Benefits:	Improved Clearwate	water quality c r Harbor. throu	lischarged to McKay Creek	, a FDEP impaired water l /ater runoff.	body, and				
Costs:	Total proje	ect cost: \$810,0	000 (Construction)						
	City of La	rgo: \$405,000	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
	District: \$4	405,000 reque	sted in FY16.						
		· ·	Evaluation						
Application Quality:	Medium	Application in	cluded most of the required	d information identified in t	the CFI guidelines.				
		District PM/C	M had to work with coopera	ator to obtain remaining re	quired information.				
Resource Benefit:	High	The Resourc	e Benefit of the Water Qual	ity project is the reduction	of pollutant loads to				
		McKay Creek	t by an estimated 96 lbs/yea	ar TN. The Measurable Be	enefit, which will be				
		the contractu	al requirement, is the const	ruction and maintenance	of LID BMPs to treat				
		stormwater ru	stormwater runoff from approximately 62 acres of highly urbanized watershed. There						
		will be no monitoring or performance testing requirements.							
Cost Effectiveness:	High	High The estimated cost/lb of TN removed is below the historical average of \$646/lb, and the							
		cost/acre treated is below the historical average cost of \$46,947/acre treated for							
		coastal/LID water quality projects. The cost effectiveness is solely an analysis of the							
		estimated pro	ject cost as compared to th	ne costs of similar projects	S.				
Past Performance:	High	Based on an	assessment of the schedul	e and budget for the 1 on	going project.				
Complementary Efforts:	High	The City has	an active stormwater utility	that collects fees.					
Project Readiness:	High	Project is rea	dy to begin on or before De	ecember 1, 2015.					
			Strategic Goals						
Strategic Goals:	Medium	Strategic Ini	tiative - Water Quality Mai	ntenance and Improvem	ent: Develop				
-		and impleme	ent programs, projects and i	regulations to maintain an	d improve water				
		guality.		U C	·				
		Overal	Ranking and Recommen	dation					
Fund as Medium Priority	The proje	ct will improve	water quality discharged to	McKay Creek and ultimat	tely Clearwater				
i ind do modiani i nongi	Harbor a	non-priority wa	aterbody, due to a reduction	n in nutrients.					
	. iai 601, a		Fundina						
Funding Source	Р	rior	FY2016	Future	Total				
District		\$0	\$405.000	\$0	\$405.000				
City of Largo		\$0	\$405,000	\$0	\$405,000				
Total		\$0 \$0	\$810.000	\$0	\$810,000				

Project No. N700	WMP Upda	ate - Hillsboro	ugh River/Tan	npa Bypass (Canal WMP update			
Hillsborough County						FY2016		
Risk Level:	Туре 3			Multi-Year	Contract:			
		Yes, Year 1 of 3						
		Description						
Description:	Watershee	Watershed Management Plan (WMP) and model update, floodplain delineation, and Best						
	Managem	ent Practices (BMP) alternat	ive analysis fo	or the Hillsborough River/Ta	ampa Bypass		
	Canal was	ersned in Hills	sporougn Cour	nty using digit	al topographic information,	ERP data, and		
	funding wi	ll be used to in	nitiate the proje	ect and begin	the watershed evaluation	ala. F 12010		
Benefits:	More accu	urate watershe	d model, flood	Iplain informa	tion, and alternative analysi	is; information that		
	is critical t	o better identif	y risk of flood	damage and	cost effective alternatives.			
Costs:	Total proje	ect cost: \$1,00	0,000;					
	Hillsborou	gh County: \$5	00,000			. .		
	District: \$8	500,000 with \$	100,000 reque	ested in FY16	and \$400,000 anticipated in	n future years.		
Application Quality:	High	Application in	Evalu	required info	mation identified in the CEI	Guidelines		
Posourco Bonofit:	Medium	Application included all the required information identified in the CFI Guidelines.						
Resource benefit.	Medium	flood analysis models are available and are from 5 to 10 years old, and the watershed						
		includes regional or intermediate stormwater systems.						
Cost Effectiveness:	High	gh Project cost per square mile is below the mid-range of historic costs (\$5,000 / sq mi or						
		less) for WMP updates, floodplain determination, and BMP alternative analysis.						
Past Performance:	High	Based on an	assessment o	of the schedul	e and budget for the 11 ong	going project.		
Complementary Efforts:	High	Cooperator's	Community R	ating System	class is 5 and is in the 5 or	better range.		
Project Readiness:	Medium	Project is rea	dy to begin on	or before Ma	arch 1, 2016.			
			Strategi	c Goals				
Strategic Goals:	Medium	Strategic Ini	tiative - Flood	Iplain Manag	ement: Develop better floor	dplain		
			and implement	tioodpiain ma	anagement programs to ma	aintain storage and		
		conveyance			190.			
		Overa	ll Ranking and	d Recommen	dation			
Fund as Medium Priority.	Proiect wi	Il provide upda	ated informatio	n to better ide	entify floodplain areas and p	provide alternatives		
	analysis fo	or flood protec	tion.					
			Fund	ding				
Funding Source	Р	rior	FY20	16	Future	Total		
Hillsborough County		\$0		\$100,000	\$400,000	\$500,000		
District		\$0		\$100,000	\$400,000	\$500,000		
Total		\$0		\$200,000	\$800,000	\$1,000,000		

Project No. N705	SW IMP -	/ IMP – Water Quality – Largo Ensley Avenue							
City of Largo						FY2016			
Risk Level:	Type 2			Multi-Year	Contract: No				
Description									
Description:	Constructi	Construction of stormwater BMPs along Ensley Avenue in the City of Largo.							
Benefits:	Improved to the trea	water quality d atment of storm	lischarged to S water runoff.	Stevenson's C	Creek and ultimately, Clea	arwater Harbor, due			
Costs:	Total proje	ect cost: \$145,	000 (Construct	tion)					
	City of Lai	rgo: \$72,500 72 500							
		2,300	Evalua	ation					
Application Quality:	Medium	Application in	cluded most o	f the required	d information identified in	the CFI guidelines.			
		District PM/C	M had to work	with coopera	ator to obtain remaining re	equired information.			
Resource Benefit:	Medium	The Resource	e Benefit of the	e Water Qual	ity project is the reduction	n of pollutant loads to			
		Clearwater H	arbor, by an es	stimated 12 ll	bs/yr TN and 2 lbs/yr TP.	The measurable			
		of the coastal	I WIII DE LITE CO	nitactual req	ter from approximately 4	acres of urbanized			
		watershed. There will be no monitoring or performance testing requirements							
Cost Effectiveness:	High	The estimated cost/lb for TN removed is below the historical average of \$646/lb, and							
	Ū	the cost per acre treated is below the historical average cost of \$46,947/acre treated							
		for coastal/LID water quality projects. The cost effectiveness is solely an analysis of							
		the estimated	l project cost a	s compared	to costs of similar project	S.			
Past Performance:	High	Based on an	assessment of	f the schedul	e and budget for the one	ongoing project.			
Complementary Efforts:	High	The City has	an active storr	nwater utility	that collects fees.				
Project Readiness:	High	Project is rea	dy to begin on	or before De	ecember 1, 2015.				
		1	Strategio	: Goals					
Strategic Goals:	Medium	Strategic Ini	tiative - Water	Quality Mai	ntenance and Improvem	nent: Develop			
		and impleme	ent programs, p	projects and r	regulations to maintain ar	nd improve water			
		quality.							
Fund on Madium Driarity	The secio	Overal	I Ranking and	Recommen	dation				
Fund as medium Phonty.	I ne proje	ct will improve	water quality c	lischarged to	Clearwater Harbor, a no	n-priority waterbody			
			Fund	lina					
Funding Source	P	rior	FY20	16	Future	Total			
District		\$0		\$72,500	\$0	\$72,500			
City of Largo		\$0 \$0		\$72,500	\$3	\$72,500			
Total		\$0 \$0		\$145.000	\$°	\$145.000			

Project No. N708	SW IMP - V	SW IMP - Water Quality - North Park Stormwater Improvement						
New Port Richey						FY2016		
Risk Level:	Туре 3			Multi-Year Co	ontract: No			
	-		Descri	otion				
Description:	The project	The project is the design, permitting, and construction of a stormwater						
	surge-atte	nuation/treatm	ent pond to be	connected to	the main stormwater pip	eline along		
	Delaware	re Avenue within the City of New Port Richey. The pond will receive flows from an						
	estimated	timated area of 10.77 acres where currently there is no treatment.						
Benefits:	Provide w discharge	ater quality tre and provide lo	atment where on atment flood prote	currently there ction improven	is no water quality treat nents.	ment prior to		
Costs:	Total proje	ect cost: \$40,0	00 (Design, pe	rmitting, and co	onstruction)			
	City of Ne	w Port Richey:	\$20,000					
	District: \$2	20,000 request	ed in FY16.					
			Evalua	ition				
Application Quality:	High	Application in	icluded all the i	required inform	nation identified in the C	FI Guidelines.		
Resource Benefit:	Medium	Iedium The Resource Benefit of the Water Quality project is the reduction of pollutant loads						
		within the Pit	niachascotee F	River Watershe	ed by an estimated 38,60	UU IDS ISS OVER a 20		
		year period.	year period. The Measurable Benefit, which will be the contractual requirement, is the					
		urbanized stormwater runoff. There will be no monitoring or performance testing						
		requirements.						
Cost Effectiveness:	Medium	dium The estimated cost/acre treated is below the historical average cost of \$8,050/acre						
		treated and the cost/lb of TSS is above the historical average of \$12/lb . The cost						
		effectiveness	is solely an ar	alysis of the e	stimated project cost as	compared to the		
	Llink	costs of simil	ar projects.		and buildent families O and			
Past Performance:	High	Based on an	assessment of	the schedule	and budget for the 2 ong	going projects.		
Complementary Efforts:	Hign	Applicant nas	s an active stor	mwater utility t				
Project Readiness	High	Project is rea	dy to begin on	or before Dece	ember 1, 2015.			
Stratagia Caalay	Madium			Goals				
Strategic Goals.	Medium	and impleme	nt programs r	Quality Maint	culations to maintain an	d improve water		
		quality.	in programs, p		guiationo to maintain an			
		1						
		Overal	I Ranking and	Recommenda	ation			
Fund as Medium Priority.	This proje	ct provides wa	ter quality imp	ovements to a	a non-priority water body	for an area where		
	there is cu	urrently no trea	tment as well a	as reduces stre	eet flooding during the 1	00-year, 24-hour		
	storm eve	nt.						
			Fund	ing				
Funding Source	Р	rior	FY20 ⁴	16	Future	Total		
District		\$0		\$20,000	\$0	\$20,000		
City of New Port Richey		\$0		\$20,000	\$0	\$20,000		
Total		\$0		\$40,000	\$0	\$40,000		

Project No. N712	SW IMP - Water Quality - South Pass-A-Grille Way Water Quality & Flood Improvements						
St. Petersburg Beach						FY2016	
Risk Level:	Туре 3		1	Multi-Year Co	ontract: No		
			Descript	ion			
Description:	Design, pe	ermitting, and o	construction of n	utrient separ	ating baffle boxes to pro	ovide stormwater	
	treatment	for an area tha	at currently has r	io water qual	ity infrastructure and the	e addition of a	
	stormwate	r pump station	, replacement or	fstormwater	inlets and undersized s	tormwater pipes, to	
	alleviate lo	ocalized street	flooding. District	funding is fo	or 30% design and third	party review as this	
	project na	s a conceptual	Construction es	limate greate	iew which will provide th		
	informatio	n to support fu	ndina in future v	ears to comp	lete design, permitting a	and construction.	
Benefits:	If construc	ted, the project	ct is anticipated t	o improve wa	ater quality in Boca Ciec	a Bay and alleviate	
	localized s	street flooding.	•	•			
Costs:	Total proje	ect cost: \$225,	000 (30% desigr	n, third party	review)		
	City of St.	Petersburg Be	each: \$112,500	- 4 - 4		and a subtraction	
	DISTRICT: \$	112,500 The C	conceptual estim	ate to comple	ete design, permitting, a	na construction	
	permitting	and construct	tion in future vea	iry will reque:		complete design,	
	pointaing	, and conourae	Evaluat	ion			
Application Quality:	High	Application in	cluded all of the	required info	ormation identified in the	e CFI guidelines.	
Resource Benefit:	Medium	If constructed	I, the Resource	Benefit of the	Water Quality project v	vill be the reduction	
		of pollutant lo	ads to Boca Cie	ga Bay by ar	n estimated 9 lbs/year T	P, 59 lbs/year TN,	
		and 7733 lbs	/year TSS. The I	Measurable E	Benefit, which will be the	e contractual	
		requirement,	is the completion	n of 30% des	sign and third party revie	ew of this project that	
		residential stormwater runoff					
Cost Effectiveness:	Low	The estimated cost/lb of TN, TP and TSS, based on preliminary information, are above					
		the historical average of \$646/lb, \$4,715/lb, and \$20/lb respectively, and cost/acre					
		treated is abo	ove the historical	average cos	st of \$46,947/acre treate	d for coastal/LID	
		water quality	projects. The co	st effectivene	ess is solely an analysis	of the estimated	
Past Performance	Hiah	Based on the	Cooperator have	ina no onaoi	ng projects with the Dis	trict they are ranked	
i dot i enormance.	Tign	high.		ing no ongoi	ng projecto with the Dis		
Complementary Efforts:	High	Applicant has	s an active storm	water utility	that collects fees.		
Project Readiness:	High	Project is rea	dy to begin on o	r before Dec	ember 1, 2015.		
		1	Strategic (Goals			
Strategic Goals:	High	Strategic Ini	tiative - Water C	Quality Maint	enance and Improvem	ent: Develop	
		and impleme	ent programs, pro	ojects and re	gulations to maintain an	id improve water	
		quality.	Pagion Briarity	Improvolal	a Thanataaaaa Tama	a Ray, Laka Tarpan	
		and Lake Se	minole	Improve Lar		a day, Lake Taipuli	
		Overa	II Ranking and F	Recommend	ation		
Fund as Medium Priority.	The City is	s requesting fu	inds to complete	the 30% des	sign and third party revie	ew only. The results	
	from the 3	0% design pla	ins and third par	ty review will	provide the District with	better information	
	to confirm	to confirm the resource benefits and cost effectiveness of constructing this project . If					
	constructed, the project will reduce stormwater impacts to Boca Ciega Bay and Tampa Bay, a						
	coastal co	mmunity	y, and 100al 11000		Chemenia IOI a City evacu		
			Fundir	ig			
Funding Source	Р	rior	FY2016	;	Future	Total	
St. Petersburg Beach		\$0		\$112,500	TBD	\$112,500	
District		\$0		\$112,500	TBD	\$112,500	
Total		\$0		\$225,000	TBD	\$225,000	

Project No. N713	WMP Upda	ate - Pemberton/Baker Canal WMP Update							
Hillsborough County						FY201			
Risk Level:	Туре 3	Multi-Year Contract:							
		Yes, Year 1 of 2							
			Descri	ption					
Description:	Watershee	d Management	Plan (WMP) a	and model up	date, floodplain delineation,	and Best			
	Managem	ement Practices (BMP) alternative analysis for the Pemberton/Baker Canal Watershed in							
	Hillsborou	gh County usir	ng digital topog	raphic inform	hation, ERP data, and land ι	use updates. The			
	existing W	MP and mode	l are based on	2008 land us	se data. FY2016 funding will	be used to			
D	initiate the	project and be	egin the waters	shed evaluation	on.	· • • • • •			
Benefits:	More accu	irate watersne	a model, flood	plain informat	tion, and alternative analysis	s; information that			
Costs	Total proje	o beller identil	y risk of flood (bamage and	cost enective alternatives.				
00515.	Hillshorou	ah County: \$2							
	District: \$2	200 000 with \$	100 000 reque	sted in FY16	and \$100 000 anticipated to	be requested in			
	future vea	rs.	100,000 10400						
	,	Evaluation							
Application Quality:	High	h Application included all the required information identified in the CFI Guidelines.							
Resource Benefit:	Medium	Identification	of flooding pro	blems that ex	kist in the watershed and sol	utions. Currently,			
		flood analysis are available and are from 5 to 10 years old, and the watershed includes							
		regional or in	termediate sto	rmwater syste	ems.				
Cost Effectiveness:	Medium	Project cost p	per square mile	e is in the mid	-range of historic costs (\$5,0	001 to \$7,000 /			
		sq mi) for WN	/IP updates, flo	odplain deter	rmination, and BMP alternat	ive analysis.			
Past Performance:	High	Based on an	assessment of	f the schedule	e and budget for the 11 ongo	oing project.			
Complementary Efforts:	High	Cooperator's	Community Ra	ating System	class is 5 and is in the 5 or	better range.			
Project Readiness:	Medium	Project is rea	dy to begin on	or before Ma	irch 1, 2016.				
			Strategio	c Goals					
Strategic Goals:	Medium	Strategic Ini	tiative - Flood	plain Manag	ement: Develop better flood	plain			
		information a	and implement	floodplain ma	anagement programs to mai	ntain storage and			
		conveyance	and to minimiz	te flood dama	ige.				
					1.4				
Fund as Modium Priority	Dreis et wi	Overal	I Ranking and	Recommen	dation	revide elternetives			
r unu as meulum Fhonly.	Project Wi	ii provide upda	tieu mormation	IN IO DETTER IDE	enury noooplain areas and pi	iovide alternatives			
	analysis it		Eund	lina					
Funding Source	P	rior	FY20	16	Future	Total			
Hillsbrough County		\$0		\$100.000	\$100,000	\$200.00			
District		\$0		\$100.000	\$100,000	\$200.00			
Total		\$0		\$200,000	\$200,000	\$400,00			

Project No. N726	Study - Stormwater Utility Fee Rate Structure & Methodology							
Temple Terrace						FY2016		
Risk Level:	Туре 3			Multi-Year	Contract: No			
	-		Descrip	otion				
Description:	The projec	t involves per	forming elemen	its required t	to develop a City wide Sto	ormwater		
	Assessme	ssessment through the following efforts: Part 1 - Stormwater infrastructure inventory and						
	condition a	ondition assessment; Part 2 - Stormwater program and level of service evaluation; Part 3 -						
	Funding al	unging alternatives evaluation, rate study and billing methodology; Part 4 - Community outreach						
Denefiter	and public	nu public presentations.						
Benefits:	and assoc	completion or a study to provide for potential implementation of a dedicated stormwater utility						
	including f	u associated ree to improve the city's ability to fund stormwater capital and operational needs studing future flood protection, water quality, and environmental level of service improvements						
	for the City	or the City's residents.						
Costs:	Total proje	ct cost: \$60,0	00					
	City of Ter	nple Terrace:	\$30,000					
	District: \$3	0,000 request	ted in FY16.					
			Evalua	ition				
Application Quality:	Medium	Application in	Icluded most of	the required	d information identified in	the CFI guidelines.		
Bassuras Banofiti	High	The Resource	A Repetit of this	with coopera	ator to obtain remaining re	d stormwater		
Resource benefit.	. riigii	management	program that i	ncludes a st	ormwater utility study and	l fee methodology		
		which, if ador	oted, would pro	vide for a de	edicated funding source a	nd greatly improve the		
		City's ability t	o fund stormwa	ater capital a	and operation needs inclue	ding future flood		
		protection, w	ater quality, and	d environme	ntal level of service impro	ovements. The		
		Measurable E	Benefit is the co	ompletion of	a stormwater utility study	that includes		
		stormwater in	frastructure inv	entory and	condition assessment, sto	ormwater program		
		and level of s	ervice evaluati	on, funding a	alternatives evaluation, ra	ite study, billing		
Coat Effectiveness	Low	Droiget cost	, community ou	(\$9,160) in	public presentations.	interia conta (\$6.940)		
COSt Effectiveness.	. LOW	Froject cost per square mile (\$8,160) is above the mid-range of historic costs (\$6,840)						
		highly urbani	zed area. Most	of the previo	ous Stormwater Utility pro	piects were funded		
		over 10 years	s ago, and invo	Ived a comb	ination of rural and urban	areas.		
Past Performance:	High	Based on the	cooperator ha	ving no ongo	oing projects with the Dist	trict they are ranked		
		high.						
Complementary Efforts:	Medium	Cooperator's	Community Ra	ating System	n class is 6 and is in the 6	to 9 range.		
Project Readiness:	High	Project is rea	dy to begin on	or before De	ecember 1, 2015.			
			Strategic	Goals				
Strategic Goals:	High	Strategic Ini	tiative - Water	Quality Mai	intenance and Improvem	ent: Develop		
		and impleme	ent programs, p	rojects and	regulations to maintain ar	nd improve water		
		Strategic Ini	tiative - Flood	nlain Manao	rement : Develop better flo	odolain		
		information a	and implement	floodplain m	anagement programs to r	maintain storage and		
		conveyance	and to minimiz	e flood dama	age.			
		Overal	II Ranking and	Recommen	ndation			
Fund as Medium Priority.	This project	ct provides for	the evaluation	of stormwat	ter infrastructure and the	development of a		
	stormwate	r utility study a	and methodolog	gy that, if ad	opted, will provide for a d	edicated funding		
	source and	d greatly impro	ove the City's a	bility to fund	stormwater capital and o	perational needs,		
	including f	uture flood pro	otection, water	quality, and	environmental level of se	rvice improvements.		
Funding Source	D	rior	Fund FY204	IG	Futuro	Total		
City of Temple Terrace		no ۵¢	1120	\$30.000		\$30.000		
District		00 0 <u>8</u>		\$30,000	پ ۵	\$30,000		
Total		\$0 \$0		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000		

Project No. N727	WMP - City of Largo Watershed Evaluation							
City of Largo					FY2016			
Risk Level:	Туре 3		Multi-Yea	ar Contract: No				
	Description							
Description:	This proje	ct is to initiate	a City-wide watershed ev	aluation through developme	ent of a detailed GIS			
	stormwate	stormwater inventory. This centralized mapping system will consist of feature classes such as						
	canal, culv	anal, culverts, ditches, ponds, pipes, inlets, manhole, outfalls, and structures. In addition, the						
	inventory	nventory will also include important attributes to be collected such as type, condition, top of						
	of the proi	ank elevation, top width upstream, bottom width, invert, size, slope, and material. End product						
	undate of	the City portion	of five completed water	shed management plans (M				
Benefits	The project	t benefit is the	completion of a City-wid	le GIS stormwater inventory	The inventory is a			
Denento.	critical cor	moonent of a v	vatershed evaluation and	will serve as the foundation	for future			
	investigati	on of chronic l	ow area flooding, system	or basin wide analysis, imp	acts of specific or			
	proposed	development,	and validation of the floo	dplain mitigation and manac	gement plans within			
	the City in	cluding operat	ions and maintenance ar	nd capital improvement plan	s. It will also serve			
	as a usefu	I tool with rega	ard to the State of Florida	Identification of Impaired S	urface Waters Rule,			
	particularl	y where a Tota	I Maximum Daily Load (FMDL) may be established f	or illicit discharge			
	source tra	cking and wat	er quality monitoring.					
Costs:	Total proje	ect cost: \$150,	000					
	City of La	City of Largo: \$75,000						
	District: \$	75,000 reques	ted in FY16					
Application Quality	Madium	Application in	Evaluation	rad information identified in	the CEL quidelines			
Application Quality:	wealum	Medium Application included most of the required information identified in the CFI guidelines.						
Resource Benefit:	Medium	Benefit is the	completion of a City-wid	e GIS stormwater inventorv	a critical component			
	moulain	of a watershed evaluation and the foundation of a future WMP						
Cost Effectiveness:	High	Project cost	per square mile is below	the mid-range of historic cos	sts (\$28,000/sq-mile)			
	Ŭ	for a stormwa	ater inventory completed	in highly urbanized watersh	ed.			
Past Performance:	High	Based on an	assessment of the scheo	dule and budget for the 1 on	going project.			
Complementary Efforts:	Medium	Cooperator's	Community Rating Syste	em class is 7 and is in the 6	to 9 range.			
Project Readiness:	High	The Project is	s expected to start on or	before December 1, 2015.				
		1	Strategic Goals					
Strategic Goals:	High	Strategic Ini	tiative - Water Quality N	laintenance and Improvem	ent: Develop			
		and impleme	ent programs, projects ar	d regulations to maintain ar	id improve water			
		quality.						
		Strategic In	tiative - Floodplain Man	agement: Develop better fic	podpiain			
			and to minimize flood da	management programs to r	naintain storage and			
		conveyance		mage.				
		Overa	I Panking and Pocomm	ondation				
Fund as Medium Priority	This proje	ct will initiate a	City-wide watershed ev	endation aluation through developme	nt of a detailed GIS			
i and do modiant honly.	stormwate	er inventory wh	hich would support the fut	ure update of the City portic	on of five WMPs to			
	develop better floodplain information, evaluate water quality issues, and identify specific Rest							
	Managem	ent Practices	to address flooding and v	vater quality issues within th	e watersheds.			
	Ť		Funding					
Funding Source	Р	rior	FY2016	Future	Total			
City of Largo		\$0	\$75,0	\$0	\$75,000			
District		\$0	\$75,0	\$0	\$75,000			
Total		\$0	\$150.00	\$0	\$150,000			

Project No. N736	SW IMP - Flood Protection - Timber Oaks Retention Facility								
Pasco County					FY2016				
Risk Level:	Туре 3		Multi-Y	/ear Contract:					
			Yes, Ye	ear 1 of 2					
			Description						
Description:	Construction	on of Best Ma	nagement Practices wi	thin a 670 acres closed basin	within the Double				
	Hammock	ammock watershed to relieve residential and street flooding. Timber Oaks residents have							
	experience	xperienced repeated roadway and structure flooding between 1989 and 2012. Construction in							
	interconner	Timber Oaks	goir course would crea	te open water lake areas, we	liands, and				
	homes from	n the 100 ves	r floodolain and reduce	3.2 miles of roadway flooding	approximately 150				
	fundina de	sion and land	acquisition The Count	v will use land acquisition cos	ts as part of their				
	cooperativ	e funding mat	ch. The District require	s a completed 30% design an	d third party review				
	to support	funding for co	nstruction projects that	have conceptual construction	estimates greater				
	than \$5 mi	llion dollars.		r -	5				
Benefits:	Provide flo	od protection	for streets and structur	es during the 100-year, 24 ho	ur storm event by				
	constructir	ig open water	lake areas, wetlands,	and interconnected dry pond a	areas for stormwater				
	percolatior	1.							
Costs:	Total proje	Total project cost: \$13,406,900. (Construction)							
	Pasco Col	inty: \$6,703,4	50. ¢2.024.000 requested	in EV16 and \$2,679,500 antic	pinatad to bo				
	District. ac	District: \$6,703,450 with \$3,024,900 requested in FY16 and \$3,678,500 anticipated to be							
	requested	in luture year	Evaluation						
Application Quality:	Hiah	High Application included all the required information identified in the CFI Guidelines.							
Resource Benefit:	High	Provide flood protection for streets and structures during the 100-year. 24 hour storm							
		event by con	structing open water la	ke areas, wetlands, and interc	connected dry pond				
		areas for stor	mwater percolation.	, ,	5 1				
Cost Effectiveness:	Low	Costs are based on conceptual level information. Updated cost information will be							
		available at t	he completion of the 30	0% design and third party revie	ew.				
Past Performance:	High	Based on an	assessment of the sch	edule and budget for the 24 o	ngoing project.				
Complementary Efforts:	Medium	Cooperator's	Community Rating Sy	stem class is 6 and is in the 6	to 9 range.				
Project Readiness:	Medium	Project is rea	dy to begin on or befor	re March 1, 2016.					
			Strategic Goals						
Strategic Goals:	Medium	Strategic In	tiative - Floodplain M	anagement: Develop better flo	podplain				
		information a	and implement floodpla	in management programs to r	maintain storage and				
		conveyance	and to minimize nood	uamage.					
		Overe	I Penking and Peace	mondation					
Eund as Medium Priority	The Count	v is completin	a design and permittin	n tasks on their own and is on	ly requesting				
	constructio	on funding. Th	e 30% design and third	a party review were completed	Lin March 2015.				
	Based on	the results of	the 30% design and thi	rd party review the County is	conducting				
	additional	testing and is	anticipated to have res	sults prior to the June 2015 Go	overning Board				
	meeting. Additional Governing Board approval will be required before the District will enter into a								
	contractual agreement with the County for this project. This project will provide flood protection								
	for streets	and structure	s during the 100-year,	24 hour storm event by constr	ructing open water				
	lake areas	, wetlands, ar	d interconnected dry p	ond areas for stormwater per	colation.				
Eunding Course		ula n	Funding	Enterna	Tetc				
District	 	IUI ¢∩	CT2010		10tal \$6 702 450				
Pasco County		<u>۵</u>	ມ ຈັວ,024 ຫຼາວງາ		Φ0,703,450 ¢6 703 450				
		<u> </u>	ງ3,024 ແລະ 140	, ²⁰⁰ み3,070,000 800 \$7,357,100					
Iotai		ψυ	φ0,049	,ψι,,	ψ10,+00,900				

Project No. N746	Water Quality - Orang	ge Lake Restoration						
New Port Richey				FY2016				
Risk Level:	Туре 3	Mul	ti-Year Contract: No					
		Description	I					
Description:	Design, permitting, a quality improvement Additional project ele installation of an aera of slide gates for floo	esign, permitting, and construction of sediment removal project in Orange Lake for water uality improvement within the 2.4 acre lake and its receiving waters the Pithlachascotee River. dditional project elements include: source control BMPs for 3 stormwater outfalls, design and istallation of an aeration/diffusion system for water quality improvement, design and installation f slide gates for flood protection, and creation of littoral shelves along the lake.						
Benefits:	Improved water qual River due to a reduct Solids (TSS).	nproved water quality within the Orange Lake and waters discharged to the Pithlachascotee liver due to a reduction in Total Nitrogen (TN), Total Phosphorus (TP), and Total Suspended Solids (TSS).						
Costs:	Total project cost: \$4 City of New Port Rich District: \$247,000 red	94,000 (Design, permi ney: \$247,000. quested in FY16.	ting, and construction)					
	· · ·	Evaluation						
Application Quality:	Medium Application District Pl	n included most of the M/CM had to work with	required information identific cooperator to obtain remain	ed in the CFI guidelines. ing required information.				
Resource Benefit: Cost Effectiveness: Past Performance: Complementary Efforts: Project Readiness:	Medium The Resc Pithlacha Ibs of TN of an estii drainage estimates the polluta contractu from the I will be no High The estima and \$896 below the the estima High Based on High The City I	The Resource Benefit of the project is the reduction of pollutant loads to the Pithlachascotee River and existing loads within Orange Lake, by an estimated 11,000 Ibs of TN and 2,000 lbs of TP. In addition, source control BMPs will provide reduction of an estimated 13,400 lbs/yr of TSS. The lake is receiving water from a 139 acre drainage basin. Sediment and water quality data were not collected to derive these estimates. The City used data from other water quality dredging projects to develop the pollutant load reduction estimates. The Measurable Benefit, which will be the contractual requirement, is the dredging of approximately 3,600 cubic yards of material from the lake and installation of source control BMPs at 3 stormwater outfalls. There will be no monitoring or performance testing requirements The estimated cost/lb of TN and TP are both below the historical average of \$224/lb and \$896/lb respectively for urban/suburban projects. The estimated cost/lb for TSS is below the historical average of \$12/lb. The cost effectiveness is solely an analysis of the estimated project cost as compared to the cost of similar projects. Based on an assessment of the schedule and budget for the 2 ongoing projects. The City has an active stormwater utility that collects fees. Project is ready to begin on or before December 1, 2015.						
Strategic Goals:	Medium Strategic and imple quality.	Strategic Initiative - Water Quality Maintenance and Improvement: Develop and implement programs, projects and regulations to maintain and improve water quality.						
Fund as Medium Priority.	This project will cost a non-priority waterb implemented at Orar	Overall Ranking and Recommendation This project will cost effectively reduce nutrient loading discharged to the Pithlachascotee River, a non-priority waterbody, through a combination of sediment removal and source control BMPs implemented at Orange Lake.						
Funding Source	Prior	FY2016	Future	Total				
District		\$0 \$2	247,000	\$0 \$247,000				
City of New Port Richey		\$0 \$2	247,000	\$0 \$247,000				
Total		\$0 \$4	94,000	\$0 \$494,000				

Project No. W027	Planning - Tampa Bay Estuary Program Comprehensive Management Plan Development and Implementation							
Project Category	Water Body Protectio	on & Restorat	ion Planni	ing				
AOR(s)	Water Supply:0 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:50 %Natural Systems:50 %							
Description								
Description:	This project provides fund Interlocal Agreement whic District participates in thre will address water quality contributed funding to the projects identified in the T District provides staff to s committees and the Nitro budget includes funding s administer the project, wh	This project provides funding for the Tampa Bay Estuary Program (TBEP) as outlined in the Interlocal Agreement which established the TBEP as an independent special district in 1998. The District participates in three main areas. First the District's SWIM program carries out projects that will address water quality and habitat restoration within the Bay. Second, the District has contributed funding to the TBEP since 1990 to carry out the administration and implementation of projects identified in the TBEP Comprehensive Conservation and Management Plan. Finally, the District provides staff to sit on the technical, management and policy (Governing Board Member) committees and the Nitrogen Management Consortium of the program. The District's annual budget includes funding support to the TBEP and District staff salaries and related travel costs to						
Benefits:	This project's support of t effort between the District management decisions a funding between the parts	he Tampa Bay t, TBEP and oth nd restoration a ners.	Estuary Prog er state and ctivities. Add	gram crea d local age ditionally,	ites an oppo encies to imp this project p	rtunity for a cohesive lement resource provides for leveraging		
Costs:	The funding request for F	Y2016 is \$138,	335.					
		Funding	J					
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Fun	ding	Future F	unding	Total Funding		
District	Annual Request		138,335	Ann	ual Request	Annual Request		
Total:	N/A	\$1	38,335		N/A	N/A		

Project No. W526	Planning - Charlotte Harbor National Estuary Program Comprehensive Management Plan Development and Implementation							
Project Category	Water Body Protectio	on & Resto	ration Planr	ning				
AOR(s)	Water Supply:0 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:0 %Natural Systems:100 %							
	Description							
Description:	This project provides for funding of the Annual Workplan for the Charlotte Harbor National Estuary Program (CHNEP). The District participates in three main areas. First the District's SWIM program carries out the projects that will address water quality and habitat restoration within the Harbor. Second, the District has contributed annual funding to the CHNEP since 1997 to carry out the administration and implementation of projects identified in the CHNEP Comprehensive Conservation and Management Plan. And finally, the District provides staff to sit on the technical, management and policy (Governing member) committees of the program. The District's annual budget includes funding support to the CHNEP and District staff salaries and travel to administer							
Benefits:	This project's support of t District, CHNEP and othe and restoration activities. partners. Projects contain concerning hydrologic alt Myakka River watersheds	he CHNEP of r state and le Additionally, hed within the erations, wat and the Ch	creates an opp ocal agencies this project p c CHNEP Ann er quality deg arlotte Harbor	to implem rovides for ual Workp radation, a estuary.	or a cohesive ent resource r leveraging fu lan address r and habitat los	effort between the management decisions unding between the management issues ss within the Peace and		
Costs:	The funding request for F	Y2016 is \$1	30,000.					
		Fund	ing					
Funding Source	Prior Funding FY2016 Funding Future Funding Total Funding							
District	Annual Request		130,000	Anr	nual Request	Annual Request		
Total:	N/A		\$130,000		N/A	N/A		

Project No. W612	Planning - Sarasota Bay Estuary Program Comprehensive Management Plan Development and Implementation						
Project Category	Water Body Protection & Restoration Planning						
AOR(s)	Water Supply:0 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:50 %Natural Systems:50 %						
Description							
Description:	This project provides funding for the Sarasota Bay Estuary Program (SBEP) as outlined in the Interlocal Agreement which established the SBEP as a independent special district in 2005. The District participates in three main areas. First, the District's SWIM program carries out the projects that will address water quality and habitat restoration within the bay. Second, the District has contributed annual funding to the SBEP since 1990 to carry out the administration and implementation of projects identified in the SBEP Comprehensive Conservation and Management Plan. Finally, the District provides staff to sit on the technical, management and policy (Governing Board member) committees of the program. The District's annual budget includes funding support to the SBEP and District staff salaries and related travel costs to administer the project, which includes attending board meetings and workshops						
Benefits:	This project's support of t SBEP and other state and restoration activities. Add	he SBEP cr d local agen itionally, this	eates an opp icies to imple s project prov	oortunity for a ment resourd vides for leve	a cohesive ef ce managem raging fundir	ffort between the District, nent decisions and ng between the partners.	
Costs:	The funding request for F	Y2016 is \$1	133,000.				
		Fund	ding				
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016	Funding	Future F	Funding	Total Funding	
District	Annual Request		133,000	Ann	ual Request	Annual Request	
Total:	N/A		\$133,000		N/A	N/A	

Project No. H015	FARMS - Wells With Poor Water Quality in the SWUCA Back-Plugging Program						
Project Category	Facilitating Agricultu	ral Resour	ce Manage	ement Sys			
AOR(s)	Water Supply: Water Quality:	0 % 100 %	Flood Pro Natural S	otection: 0 ystems: 0	% %		
		Descri	otion				
Description:	This is an ongoing program for cost-share and technical assistance to well owners within the Southern Water Use Caution Area (SWUCA) for back-plugging irrigation wells that produce highly mineralized groundwater. The program originated to protect the Shell, Prairie and Joshua Creek watersheds (SPJC) as Class I surface water bodies, which sustain Punta Gorda's in-stream drinking water reservoir. In 2000, the City of Punta Gorda contacted FDEP and the District with concerns for declining water quality trends observed in their public water supply reservoir. Field investigations have indicated that highly mineralized groundwater produced from older, or deeper irrigation wells was the most likely source adversely impacting water quality in the Punta Gorda reservoir downstream. The Back-Plugging Program was initiated in 2002 to improve water quality in watershed systems of the SWUCA, and later became an addition to the Facilitating Agricultural Resources Management Systems (FARMS) Program in 2005.						
Benefits:	Back-plugging is a recom the intrusion of highly mir in certain areas of the Dis casing intervals can cross dissolved salts accumula and water quality downsti back-plugging. Research demonstrate that reduced decreases in soil-water re irrigation equipment.	imended prai neralized grou strict. Older, o s-connect wit ted over long ream of theso studies alon d salts in grou equirements	ctice to mod undwater tha or deeper irr h and degra j-term pump e wells. For g with sever undwater irri and pumping	ify irrigation wells I at often occurs fror igation wells with p ide upper aquifer z ing often has seric growers there are al years of succes gation often result g costs, and reduc	by ident m deep poorly c zones, a ous affe several ssful bac ts in ele ced corr	tifying and restricting er groundwater sources constructed or damaged and the volume of cts on the ecosystem advantages of well ck-plugging efforts vated crop yields, osion and fouling of	
Costs:	The funding request for FY2016 is \$30,000. Since program inception in FY2002 through FY2015, the total cost is \$1,682,480 from public funding. Qualifying landowners are reimbursed to a maximum of \$6,500 per well, with reimbursement determined by dimensions of the back-plug borehole interval.						
		Fund	ing				
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 F	unding	Future Fundi	ng	Total Funding	
District	Annual Request		30,000	Annual Re	equest	Annual Request	
Total:	N/A		\$30,000		N/A	N/A	

Project No. H017	FARMS - Facilitating Agricultural Resource Management Systems							
Project Category	Facilitating Agricultural Resource Management Sys							
AOR(s)	Water Supply:75 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:15 %Natural Systems:10 %							
		Description						
Description:	I he Facilitating Agricultural Resource Management Systems (FARMS) Program is an agricultural best management practices (BMPs) cost-share reimbursement program. The program is a public/private partnership developed by the District and the Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services (FDACS). The purpose of the FARMS initiative is to provide an incentive to the agricultural community within the District to implement agricultural BMPs that will provide resource benefits that include water quality improvement; reduced Upper Floridan aquifer withdrawals; and/or conserve, restore, or augment the area's water resources and ecology. FARMS is intended to assist in the implementation of the (1) District's Regional Water Supply Plan, (2) Southern Water Use Caution Area Recovery Strategy, (3) Shell and Prairie Creek Watershed Management Plan and Reasonable Assurance Plan, (4) Dover/Plant City Water Use Caution Area Recovery Strategy, (5) Central Florida Water Initiative Regional Water Supply Plan, (6) Springs Management Plan, and (7) the District's Strategic Plan.							
Benefits:	The FARMS Program ha water quality impacted by watersheds; 2) Reduce g irrigation and surface wat watershed; 3) Offset 40 n Use Caution Area (SWU0 the District; and 5) Reduc Caution Area (DPCWU0 manage water resources toward program goals.	The FARMS Program has five specific goals: 1) Reduce groundwater use and/or improve surface water quality impacted by mineralized groundwater within the Shell, Prairie and Joshua Creek watersheds; 2) Reduce groundwater use and/or improve natural systems impacted by excess irrigation and surface water runoff within the Flatford Swamp region of the Upper Myakka River watershed; 3) Offset 40 million gallons per day (mgd) of groundwater within the Southern Water Use Caution Area (SWUCA) by 2025; 4) Prevent groundwater impacts within the northern areas of the District; and 5) Reduce frost/freeze pumpage by 20% within the Dover/Plant City Water Use Caution Area (DPCWUCA) by 2020. These goals are critical in the District's overall strategy to manage water resources. Each project's performance is tracked to determine its effectiveness						
Costs:	The funding request for FY2016 is \$6,000,000. From the inception of the FARMS Program (FY2003) through May 27, 2015 (7 months into FY2015) a total of ~\$61.7 million in total project costs are affiliated with FARMS Program projects, with ~45 percent coming from cooperator funding sources and ~55 percent coming from public funding through the FARMS budget. Estimates in total groundwater offsets are ~26.3 mgd in annual average daily quantities and ~43.8 mgd in DPCWUCA cold protection quantities through the FARMS Program. This results in a total groundwater offset, accomplished through FARMS Program public funding, having a cost at approximately \$1.36 per 1,000 gallons saved.							
		Funding						
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Funding	Future Funding	Total Funding				
District	Annual Request	6,000,000	Annual Request	Annual Request				
Total:	N/A	\$6,000,000	N/A	N/A				
Project No. H529	FARMS - Mini-FARMS Program							
------------------	--	--	------------------------	----------------------	------------	----------------	--	
Project Category	Facilitating Agricultu	ral Resour	ce Manage	ement Sys				
AOR(s)	Water Supply: Water Quality:	100 % 0 %	Flood Pro Natural S	otection: ystems:	0 % 0 %			
		Descri	ption					
Description:	Mini-Facilitating Agricultu version of the District's F management practices (E water and protect water of implementation of the Dis (SWUCA) Recovery Strates Strategy, Shell and Prairie	Mini-Facilitating Agricultural Resource Management Systems (Mini-FARMS) is a scaled down version of the District's FARMS cost-share reimbursement program to implement agricultural best management practices (BMPs) on agricultural operations of 100 irrigated acres or less to conserve water and protect water quality within the District. Mini-FARMS is intended to assist in the implementation of the District's Regional Water Supply Plan, Southern Water Use Caution Area (SWUCA) Recovery Strategy, Dover Plant City Water Use Caution Area (DPCWUCA) Recovery Strategy.						
Benefits:	Implementing BMPs on agricultural operations of 100 irrigated acres or less reduce Upper Florida aquifer groundwater use and/or improve water quality conditions throughout the District with emphasis in the SWUCA, DPCWUCA, the Upper Myakka River Watershed, and Shell, Prairie and Joshua Creek (SPJC) watersheds. The maximum cost-share amount available from the Mini-FARMS Program is \$5,000 per agricultural operation per year, and maximum cost-share rate is 75 percent of project costs.							
Costs:	The funding request for FY2016 is \$100,000.							
		Fund	ing					
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016	Funding	Future Fun	ding	Total Funding		
District	Annual Request		100,000	Annual	Request	Annual Request		
Total:	N/A		\$100,000		N/A	N/A		

Project No. H094	Polk County Partnership								
Project Category	Regional Potable Water Interconnects								
AOR(s)	Water Supply:100 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:0 %Natural Systems:0 %								
	Description								
Description:	This project currently is designed to achieve three primary objectives: 1) Create a Regional Water Supply Entity that will promote regional cooperation among Polk County and the municipalities within Polk County; and 2) identify, evaluate, and agree upon potential water supply projects within Polk County that can provide a minimum of 30 mgd of new alternative supplies as documented through an associated Project Implementation Agreement; and 3) build and utilize the agreed upon projects								
Benefits:	The findings of the collaborative Central Florida Water Initiative (CFWI) and other efforts show that the Upper Floridan aquifer is presently providing nearly 96 percent of water supply demands within Polk County. Since there are limits to this resource and potential mitigation may be needed, Polk County and its city governments, have identified a need to form a collaborative regional partnership, select an implementable alternative water supply project(s), develop an associated Project Implementation Agreement, and build the projects to meet the documented, anticipated demands								
Costs:	Total project costs will be dependent upon project(s) performance. Current estimates indicate a Regional Water Supply Entity will need to develop 30 MGD by 2050 and that more than \$320,000,000 (total costs) will be required. The anticipated annual budget requirements for the District's \$160,000,000 match follow. Please note that the timing of the amounts after 2018 could move back and the dollar amounts could change by small percentages as Regional Water Supply Entity finalizes project costs and the Board approves individual projects through its annual budgeting process. FY2015 \$10,000,000 FY2016 \$10,000,000 FY2017 \$10,000,000 FY2018 \$10,000,000 FY2021 \$71,100,000 FY2026 \$7,100,000 FY2029 \$25,500,000 FY2024 \$16,300,000								
		Funding							
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Fund	ing Future	Funding	Total Funding				
District	10,000,000	10,0	00,000 1	40,000,000	160,000,000				
Total:	\$10,000,000	\$10,00	0,000 \$14	0,000,000	\$160,000,000				

Project No. B099	Abandoned Well Plugging Program (QWIP)				
Project Category	Well Plugging				
AOR(s)	Water Supply: Water Quality:	0 % 100 %	Flood Pro Natural S	otection: 0 % ystems: 0 %	
		Descr	iption		
Description:	The FY2016 funding request is for the continuance of the District's Quality of Water Improvement Program (QWIP) which provides funding assistance to landowners for the proper abandonment of artesian wells. Pursuant to F.S. Ch. 373.206, any abandoned artesian well having a detrimental impact on the District's water resources must be properly plugged. The program provides up to 100% of the funding for well abandonment in qualified counties. The maximum reimbursement per well is \$6,000, and the annual maximum per landowner is \$18,000. Approximately 200 wells are				
Benefits:	The abandonment of wells prevents the waste and contamination of potable water from deteriorated or improperly constructed water wells. Multiple aquifers can become interconnected from deteriorated or insufficient casing depths allowing waters of various qualities to mix, resulting in aquifer contamination and/or wasteful flow to the surface.				
Costs:	The funding request for FY2016 is \$589,360. Funding includes \$564,360 in grants for 235 well plugging reimbursements to landowners and \$25,000 in contracted services for the subsidy funding to Manatee and Sarasota counties for well abandonment oversight. Total project cost is approximately \$14 million since its inception in 1974.				
		Fun	ding		
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016	Funding	Future Funding	Total Funding
District	Annual Request		564,360	Annual Requ	est Annual Request
Total:	N/A		\$564,360	l	N/A N/A

Project No. P113	Springs WQ - Rainbow Springs Infrastructure Dev							
Project Category	Springs - Water Quali	Springs - Water Quality						
AOR(s)	Water Supply:0 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:100 %Natural Systems:0 %							
		Description						
Description:	This project is a major effort to reduce existing and future poor quality wastewater discharges into the Rainbow Springs area surrounding the City of Dunnellon. The project components include construction of a new wastewater collection system. To eliminate eight existing secondary level package wastewater treatment plants.							
Benefits:	Reduction in the nutrient loading to Rainbow River and Springs, a SWIM priority water body. It will also make additional reclaimed water available for agricultural irrigation needs.							
Costs:	Total project cost: \$2,279,183 (design, permitting and construction) FDEP: \$2,279,183 requested in FY2016.							
	Funding							
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Funding	Future Funding	Total Funding				
FDEP	0	2,279,18	3 0	2,279,183				
Total:	\$0	\$2,279,18	3 \$0	\$2,279,183				

Project No. P114	Garcia Point Sewer Project						
Project Category	Springs - Water Quality						
AOR(s)	Water Supply: Water Quality:	100 % 0 %	Flood Pro Natural S	otection: 0 % ystems: 0 %			
		Descr	iption				
Description:	Design, permitting and construction of an approximate 5,600 linear foot municipal sanitary sewer system to convey flows to the Meadowcrest WWTP for treatment and distribution as beneficial reuse. This project will provide the infrastructure to allow for the connection of approximately 88 septic tanks along the Homosassa River to County's sewer system.						
Benefits:	This project will result in the reduction of pollutant loads to the Homosassa River and Springs, a SWIM priority water body. The project will result in additional reclaimed water sent to the Meadowcrest WWTP.						
Costs:	Total project cost: \$1,250,000 Citrus County: \$300,000 FDEP: \$950,000 request in FY2016.						
	Funding						
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016	Funding	Future Funding	Total Funding		
Citrus County	0		0	300,000	300,000		
FDEP	0		950,000	0	950,000		
Total:	\$0		\$950,000	\$300,000	\$1,250,000		

Project No. P115	Springs WQ - Ft Island Trail Septic Intercnt Prj						
Project Category	Springs - Water Qual	Springs - Water Quality					
AOR(s)	Water Supply:0 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:100 %Natural Systems:0 %						
	Description						
Description:	Design, permitting, and construction of municipal sanitary sewer system for the Fort Island Trail area. This includes approximately 20,500 feet of sewer pipe to connect septic system users to the County's central sewer system. This project will provide the infrastructure to allow for the connection of approximately 250 septic tanks along Crystal River/ Kings Bay to County's sewer system.						
Benefits:	Reduction in nutrient loading to Kings Bay/Crystal River springshed. Project will also result in an increase in the availably of reclaimed water for potential reuse or recharge.						
Costs:	Total Project Costs: \$2,950,000 Citrus County: \$750,000 FDEP: \$2,200,000 requested in FY2016.						
		Fund	ding				
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016	Funding	Future Funding	Total Funding		
Citrus County	0		0	750,000	750,000		
FDEP	0		2,200,000	0	2,200,000		
Total:	\$0	\$	52,200,000	\$750,000	\$2,950,000		

Project No. P117	Springs WQ - Citrus Co Private Pkg Plant Intercnt						
Project Category	Springs - Water Quali	Springs - Water Quality					
AOR(s)	Water Supply:100 %Flood Protection:0 %Water Quality:0 %Natural Systems:0 %						
		Description					
Description:	This project will connect several private wastewater package plants within the Crystal River and Homosassa springsheds to the County's central wastewater collection system. The project will provide facility connection, plant demolition and permitting and wastewater connection fees.						
Benefits:	Reduction in nutrient load an increase in the availab	ling to Homosassa	and Crystal River er for potential reu	springshed. use or rechar	Project will also result in rge.		
Costs:	Total project cost: \$2,000,000 FDEP: \$2,000,000 requested in FY2016.						
	Funding						
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Fundi	g Future F	unding	Total Funding		
FDEP	0	2,000	,000	0	2,000,000		
Total:	\$0	\$2,000	000	\$0	\$2,000,000		

Project No. P443	WUP - Dover and Plant City Automatic Meter Reading Installation					
Project Category	Water Use Permitting					
AOR(s)	Water Supply: Water Quality:	100 % Flood I 0 % Natura	Protection: 0 % Systems: 0 %			
		Description				
Description:	Rule changes effective June 16, 2011 approved by the Governing Board, the Joint Administrative Procedures Committee (JAPC) and the Governor's office created the Dover/Plant City Water Use Caution Area (DPCWUCA). These rules included new water withdrawal metering and reporting requirements that the District will fund for existing agricultural permit holders. Metering of all withdrawal points for agricultural permits that use or could use groundwater for frost/freeze protection are required. The installation of Automatic Meter Reading (AMR) devices are also required for those withdrawals. Preliminary estimates indicate that the District may be responsible for the installation of up to 626 flowmeters and up to 961 AMR devices associated with 539 affected permits in the DPCWUCA. A Board procedure was developed for implementation of these portions of the rule that are divided into two distinctive steps: 1) installation of flowmeters, and 2) installation of AMR equipment. The first step, installation of flowmeters, is being accomplished through a reimbursement program where the permittee is responsible for the flowmeter installation and can elect to either be reimbursed directly following the flowmeter installation, direct the reimbursement to a lessee or have the reimbursement paid directly to the installation contractor. The second step installation of AMR devices will be performed directly by the District using					
Benefits:	This program will enable the District to collect accurate and timely pumpage data from permittees within the DPCWUCA. The uniform approach to data collection will ensure consistent data and eliminate the cost of programming WMIS to accept the different data formats.					
Costs:	The funding request for FY2016 is \$567,748. Funding includes \$521,500 in grants for the reimbursement of costs associated with flow meter installations; and \$46,248 in contracted services for automatic meter reading (AMR) operation and maintenance.					
		Funding				
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Funding	Future Funding	Total Funding		
District	1,475,100	521,5	0 0	1,996,650		
Total:	\$1,475,100	\$521,55	0 \$0	\$1,996,650		

Project No. P259	Education - Youth Water Resources Education Program						
Project Category	Education						
AOR(s)	Water Supply: Water Quality:	35 % 30 %	Flood Pro	otection: ystems:	10 % 25 %		
		Descri	ption				
Description:	The FY2016 program will educate an estimated 240,000 students and teachers about freshwater resources through classroom grants, grade-level field trip programs, teacher trainings and other hands-on programming in county school districts. The program also offers additional educational resources to help increase students knowledge of freshwater resources. Project pre- and post tests confirm an average knowledge gain of 31%						
Benefits:	More than one-third of the students and teachers in the District's sixteen counties are educated through the program. In eight of those counties, school districts have incorporated District materials into their curriculum, ensuring across-the-board student impacts. District grants, field trips and education materials are the catalyst for a level of freshwater resources education that would not otherwise occur without this program. Also, research shows that hands-on learning experiences, like those incorporated in this program, are more likely to result in sustainable knowledge gain and behavior change by instilling in students at a young age the importance of freehwater resources.						
Costs:	The funding request for FY2016 is \$558,525. Funding includes \$530,000 in grants for 15 county school districts (Splash! school grants, field trip programs, teacher training, Envirothon support and curriculum development); and \$28,525 in contracted services for teacher training and curriculum tool development.						
		Func	ling				
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016	Funding	Future Fu	unding	Total Funding	
District	Annual Request		530,000	Annu	al Request	Annual Request	
Total:	N/A		\$530,000		N/A	N/A	

Project No. P268	Education - Public Water Resource Education Program					
Project Category	Education					
AOR(s)	Water Supply: Water Quality:	40 %Flood P25 %Natural	rotection: 10 % Systems: 25 %			
		Description				
Description:	This program educates the public about the District's core mission through (1) decision-maker water schools, (2) water-related special events and (3) Spanish translations for educational materials as needed.					
Benefits:	Approximately 3,500 people are educated about regional water resources at community events and are engaged in District efforts to conserve and protect water resources. In addition, by promoting the conservation and protection of water resources, the District delays the need for developing costly water resource development or restoration projects. The program is estimated to reach up to 10 000 indirectly.					
Costs:	The funding request for FY2016 is \$8,000. Funding includes \$5,500 in grants for decision-maker workshops and events; and \$2,500 in contracted services for education materials to be translated into Spanish and public service announcements through social media.					
Funding						
Funding Source	Prior Funding	FY2016 Funding	Future Funding	Total Funding		
District	Annual Request	5,50	0 Annual Request	Annual Request		
Total:	N/A	\$5,50) N/A	N/A		

