



Criteria for Determining Potential Water Availability from Rivers

The available yield for each river was calculated using its established minimum flow and its current permitted allocation. If the minimum flow for the river was not yet established, planning-level minimum flow criteria were used. The five-step process used to estimate potential surface water availability is described in the following paragraphs.

Step 1. Estimation of Unimpacted Flow

Flow records reflecting conditions unimpacted by withdrawal effects were constructed or updated. For rivers without established minimum flows, an adjusted flow record was constructed. For rivers with established minimum flows, unimpacted flow records used for development of the minimum flows were used and updated, as necessary. Unimpacted flow record construction or updating was done by adding historical withdrawals into the flow record, removing excess runoff associated with agricultural groundwater withdrawals, and accounting for non-gaged portions of watersheds.

Step 2. Selection of Analysis Period

The period used to quantify available yield from rivers when this method was originally developed (1965-2003) was selected based on previous work by the District and others that found average annual rainfall prior to 1960 to be higher than after the early 1960s (Palmer and Nguyen 1986; Barcelo and others 1990; Hancock and Smith, 1996; Basso and Schultz, 2003). Enfield (2001) indicated that in Florida, the period from the late 1920s to the early 1960s was a relatively wet period, whereas the period from 1965 to 1995 was a drier period. Kelly (2004) documented trends in flow patterns for rivers throughout the District and Florida. He concluded that river flows in the District were about 30 percent higher during the period from 1940 to 1969 as compared to the period from 1970 to 1999. Surface water availability estimates were based on the period of lower rainfall in order to provide a more reliable planning level quantity that could reasonably be expected to be available during both wet and dry periods. Using the higher rainfall period to estimate available surface water supplies would result in yield estimates that would likely not be sustainable during extended dry periods without impacting natural systems. For those rivers where data for the period from 1965-2003 were incomplete, the available period of record was used.

Since the river yield method was originally developed, staff has determined that extending the period of record for flow data to include more recent data is appropriate. Where available, these data were included to best represent recent hydrologic conditions.

Step 3. Application of Minimum Flow or Planning Level Criteria

For rivers with established or proposed minimum flows, availability of water for withdrawal was determined using the specific minimum flow requirements. Planning level minimum flows were developed to estimate availability in rivers without established or proposed minimum flows or surface water availability studies. Planning-level minimum flow criteria include a series of constraints designed to ensure that existing uses and water supply needs of natural systems would be protected (CH2M Hill, 2000). The minimum flow was assumed to be the flow that is equaled or exceeded 85 percent of the time (P85). Diversions for water supply were zero when





flows were below the assumed minimum flow. Therefore, 15 percent of the time, which occurs primarily in the dry season months of April, May, and early June, water would not be available for withdrawal from the rivers. This ensured that during periods of low flow, sufficient water would be available to sustain natural systems.

Availability was further constrained by limiting new and existing withdrawals to ten percent of the total daily flow of the river when the flow exceeded the P85. Individual withdrawals were limited to ten percent of the total daily flow at the point of the withdrawal. This is consistent with the ecological guideline used by the District during the 1980s and early 1990s to evaluate potential surface water withdrawals. Based on a comparison of potentially available yields calculated using the P85/ten percent criteria and available yields calculated using the established minimum freshwater flows, the P85/ten percent criteria are considered reasonable.

Step 4. Consideration of Existing Legal Users

Once available yields were calculated, permitted withdrawals (if applicable) were subtracted from the quantity of water available. For cases where a flow schedule is prescribed in a water use permit, the flow schedule was used to determine the quantity of water that has been permitted and is unavailable for future allocation.

Most permitted quantities are not being used at full capacity, leaving some permitted but unused quantities that could be used to meet future demand. The actual amount of water that could be developed in the future will be determined through the permitting processes, recognizing both available supply, established minimum flows, and other environmental constraints.

Step 5. Application of Engineering Limitations

Maximum withdrawals were restricted to twice the median flow of the river as a practical engineering limitation. Determination of actual yields from surface water sources will require reservoir and pump station reliability analyses, which were not performed as part of this report on potential river yields. The river yields provided are based on the assumption of an unlimited storage reservoir. Storage is needed to ensure a reliable source during dry or drought years when water is not available.

2





References

- Barcelo, M., D.L. Slonena, S.S. Camp, and J.D. Watson, 1990. *Ridge II a Hydrologic Investigation of the Lake Wales Ridge*. Southwest Florida Water Management District (SWFWMD), Brooksville, FL.
- Basso, R. and R. Schultz, 2003. Long-term variation in rainfall and its effect on Peace River flow in West-Central Florida. SWFWMD, Brooksville, FL.
- CH2M HILL, Inc., 2000. Water resource and water supply development: surface water and stormwater, technical memorandum 4: feasibility analysis of task 3 short list projects. Prepared for the SWFWMD, Brooksville, FL.
- Enfield, D.B., A.M. Mestas-Nunez, and P.J. Trimble, 2001. *The Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation and its relationship to rainfall and river flows in the continental*. U.S. Geophysical Research Letters Volume 28, Issue 10, 2077-2080.
- Hancock, M.C., and D.A. Smith, 1996. Northern Tampa Bay Water Resources Assessment Project, Volume One: Surface-water/Ground-water Interrelationships. SWFWMD, Brooksville, FL.
- Kelly, M., 2004. *Florida river flow patterns and the Atlantic multidecadal oscillation*. SWFWMD, Brooksville, FL.
- Palmer, C.E. and H. Nguyen, 1986. Long term rainfall deficits in Central Florida: Implication for water management. Water Resources Department, Environmental Services Division, Polk County, Bartow, FL.

3