

# **Demand Projections for Public Supply**

## Introduction

Chapter 373, Florida Statutes (F.S.) sets forth the requirement for regional water supply planning. Under the provisions of this chapter, the Governing Board of each water management district shall develop a Regional Water Supply Plan (RWSP) for regions within the district where existing sources of water are not adequate to supply water for all existing and future reasonable-beneficial uses and to sustain the water resources and related natural systems for the 20-year planning period. This plan shall be reevaluated every five years. In support of this effort, the Southwest Florida Water Management District (District) participated in the development of the RWSP for the Central Florida Water Initiative (CFWI) in conjunction with representatives from the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP), major public supply stakeholders and the South Florida and St. John's River water management districts. The CFWI Planning Area includes portions of Lake and Polk counties which are under District jurisdiction. Consequently, the population and water demands for Lake and Polk counties are from the 2025 CFWI RWSP.

## **Purpose**

This appendix explains the assumptions, methodologies, and sources used to develop the public supply (PS) projections. The PS sector includes:

- Domestic self-supply (DSS) (residential dwellings systems that are provided water from a dedicated, on-site well and are not connected to a central utility)
- Water supply permittees with permitted water uses for:
  - Residential Single-family
  - Residential Multi-family
  - Residential Mobile Home
- Residential irrigation wells (on-site wells that serve the outdoor needs of individual residential dwellings that are connected to a central water utility system for their indoor needs).

## **Data and Information Sources**

The methodology to develop PS water demand projections uses many data sources. Base information for PS water utility populations, water use, and per capita water use rates were derived from the District's Estimated Water Use Reports (SWFWMD, 2016-2020). The University of Florida's Bureau of Economic and Business Research (UF/BEBR) publications (2022) were used to gather base year population and future county population projections. The District's geographic information system (GIS) model also incorporates a large amount of data gathered from stakeholders, enabling the District to project population at the utility service area level (GIS Associates, Inc., 2022).



## Methodology

## 2020 Base Year Population Methods and Assumptions

The base year for these PS water demand projections is 2020. The 2020 population was generated by extrapolating back from the GIS Associates, Inc. (GISA) 2021 population estimate using the compound annual growth rate between 2021 and 2025. This was performed to keep the base year consistent with the subsequent projected years. For example:

- a) Utility X's 2021 population estimate is 5,704
- b) Utility X's 2025 population projection is 5,984
- c) Annual growth percentage over the four-year period was calculated using Microsoft® Excel's rate formula: RATE(4, -5704, 5984) = 1.21%
- d) Utility X's 2020 population estimate = 5,704 \* (100%-1.21%) = 5,635

Utilities with permitted quantities less than 100,000 gallons per day (gpd) are not required to report population or submit service area information. Consequently, the base year population for these permits was obtained from the application information related to the last issued permit revision.

Domestic self-supply (DSS) is defined as that portion of the county population not served by a utility. County DSS population estimates and projections were calculated as the difference between the total county population estimate or projection and the total population served by the utilities. For those counties not fully contained within the District boundaries, only that portion of the population within the District was included (Table 1 and Table 2).

## 2020 Base Year Water Use

The 2020 PS base year water use for each large utility is derived by multiplying the average 2016-2020 unadjusted gross per capita rate, if applicable, by the 2020 estimated population for each individual utility. In the case of small utilities, per capita information was obtained from the application information related to the last issued permit revision. If no per capita information was found in the last permit, the per capita is assumed to equal the average county unadjusted gross per capita.

Base year water use for small utilities is derived by multiplying the per capita from the last issued permit times the 2020 estimated population from the last issued permit.

Base year water use for DSS is calculated by multiplying the 2020 DSS population for each county by the average 2016-2020 residential countywide per capita water use as defined below.

## 2016-2020 Average per Capita Water Use Rate

Precipitation in the years 2016-2020 (average 54.51 inches) was slightly higher than the historical District average (52.82 inches). Rainfall between 2016-2019 was above the long-term District average, whereas lower than average precipitation in 2020 brought the 2016-2020 average close to the historical average. Typically, there is an inverse relationship between public supply water use and annual precipitation (i.e., less rain results in increased water use, largely due to outdoor water use). This inverse relationship is demonstrated by a lower Districtwide average gross per capita per day (gpcd) water use rate of 96 gpcd in 2018 than the Districtwide average per capita



water use rate of 99 gpcd in 2020. The per capita water use rate is the factor applied to projected population to project water demand (described below). Therefore, it is necessary for the base year per capita rate to represent water use in an average year. To address this situation, the District has calculated average five-year per capita use rates using data provided by utilities in their Public Supply Annual Reports and published in the Estimated Water Use Reports for the years 2016 through 2020. The unadjusted gross per capita rate used is calculated as *Withdrawals* + *Imports* – *Exports* – *Treatment Losses* divided by the *Served Functional Population*. For large utilities, this information is published in Table A-1 of the "Estimated Water Use Report" for years 2016 to 2020. For small utilities, the per capita is assumed to equal the per capita from the last issued permit or the five-year average unadjusted gross per capita for the county. Domestic self-supply (DSS) per capita was taken from the countywide residential per capita provided in Table A-2 of the "Estimated Water Use Report" for the years 2016 to 2020.

## **Population Projections**

The population projections made by BEBR are generally accepted as the standard throughout the State of Florida (UF BEBR, 2022). However, these projections are made at the county level only. Accurately projecting future water demand requires more spatially precise data than the county-level BEBR projections. Consequently, the District's projections are BEBR projections disaggregated to land parcel level, which is the smallest area of geography possible for population studies. In turn, these parcel-level projections are normalized to the BEBR medium projection for the counties. Using this methodology, the District contracted with GISA to provide small-area population projections for the 16 counties entirely or partly within the District.

In the case of Manatee and Pinellas counties, the sum of the projections for all utilities exceeds the projected county population. Thus, the county population was increased enough to cover the deficit plus allow for self-supplied population. Thus, county total population was recalculated as follows:

Original county total + deficit + GISA self-supplied population estimate.

## **GIS Model Overview**

This geographic information system (GIS) based model projects future Census Population Cohort population growth at the parcel level and normalizes those projections to BEBR county projections. First, a Countywide Build-Out Model is developed from the base parcel dataset. Current permanent population is estimated and then the maximum population growth is determined at the parcel level. Areas which cannot physically or lawfully sustain residential development (e.g., built-out areas, water bodies, public lands, commercial areas) are excluded from the Countywide Build-Out Model. Conversely, the model identifies areas where growth is more likely to occur based on proximity to existing infrastructure and available services such as schools, shopping centers, and entertainment opportunities.

Next, population growth is modeled between the current estimated population and the build-out population. Projections are based on a combination of historic growth trends and spatial constraints and influences, which restrict or direct growth.

The BEBR develops three projections for each county (low, medium, and high), with BEBR's medium projection widely considered to be the most likely scenario. For this reason, the District's small area projections by year are controlled by BEBR's medium projection for each county.

The base year for the projection model is 2021. Projections were made through the year 2045 in the following five-year increments: 2025 through 2030, 2030 through 2035, 2035 through 2040, and 2040 through 2045.

Finally, the parcel level projections are easily aggregated by any set of boundaries desired (e.g., PS utility service areas, municipalities, watersheds). For the District's planning efforts, parcel projections are summarized by PS utility service areas. Complete methodology, references, tables, and data sources can be found by referring to the published technical memorandums supporting the GIS Model: "The Small-Area Population Projection Methodology of The Southwest Florida Water Management District," and "Updates to The Southwest Florida Water Management District's Small-Area Population Projection Model," both dated December 15, 2022, GIS Associates, Inc.

## **Countywide Build-Out Models**

The Countywide Build-Out Models are composed of multiple GIS data elements. Each model is based on the county's property appraiser GIS parcel database, including the associated tax roll information. Other elements incorporated into each build-out model include the 2020 U.S. Census data, District wetland data, local government future land use maps (FLU), and Development of Regional Impact (DRI) plans for the county of interest.

## **Parcels**

Geographic Information System (GIS) parcel layers and county tax roll databases were obtained from each county's property appraiser office. Parcel geometry was checked for irregular topology, particularly overlaps and fragments. Parcel tables were checked for errors, particularly non-unique parcel identifiers and missing values. Required tax roll table fields include actual year built, Florida Department of Revenue (DOR) land use code, and the total number of existing residential units for each unique parcel. In cases where values or fields were missing, other information was extrapolated and used as a surrogate. For example, data reported by the State of Florida was used to identify the number of residential units and population in large group quarters facilities.

## 2020 U.S. Census Data

Some of the essential attribute information to translate parcels to population in the County Buildout Submodels were derived from 2020 Decennial Census data. Average housing unit occupancy and population per household by census tract were calculated and then transferred to each county's parcel data. When combined with parcel-level housing units from property appraiser data, these were used to estimate 2021 population in households at the parcel level. When added to our estimates of population in group quarters (estimated using property appraiser bed counts, 2021 BEBR surveys of large group quarters, and 2020 Census counts), the resulting estimates were then controlled at county and place levels to the 2021 BEBR population estimates.

## Water Management District Boundaries

Each parcel in the Countywide Build-Out Models was also attributed with the District boundaries, which enable the countywide models for any counties split between two or more districts to be summarized by the District.



## Wetlands

Wetlands play a large role in modeling a county's build-out. The District, along with the FDEP, has been given regulatory powers over private and public lands and is required by Chapter 373, F.S., to protect water resources of the state. However, the District and FDEP, under the auspices of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, have a permit process by which wetlands can be altered for development. The Countywide Build-Out Models consider the impact wetlands have on residential development.

The District maintains detailed GIS databases of wetland areas and wetland mitigation areas within its boundaries. These databases contain the location and spatial extent of the wetlands and wetland mitigation areas, as well as the specific types of wetlands, as defined by the District's land use and land cover classification system. Certain wetland types were identified that would be difficult and expensive to convert to residential development. These areas were identified in the District's wetland database and applied to the build-out model. The wetland types include streams and waterways, lakes, marshy lakes, reservoirs, bays and estuaries, slough waters, wetland hardwood forests, mangrove swamp, mixed wetland hardwoods, cabbage palm wetland, cabbage palm hammock, wetland coniferous forest, cypress, pond pine, hydric pine flatwoods, wetland forested mixed, freshwater marshes, saltwater marshes, wet prairies, emergent aquatic vegetation, mixed scrub-shrub wetland, and non-vegetated wetland.

Using GIS techniques, the area of wetlands within parcels were calculated and recorded as the water area for that parcel. If the area covered by water within a parcel exceeded 0.5 acres, it was subtracted from the total area of the parcel feature to determine the relative developable area in that parcel.

There were exceptions to this rule. In some cases, parcels with little or no developable area after wetlands were removed were already developed, thus the estimated unit total was not reduced by the wetland acreage. In other cases, inaccurate wetland delineations were overridden, such as when a newly platted residential parcel was shown to be covered by a wetland. In such a case, the parcel was considered developable by the submodel.

## **Future Land Use**

Future Land Use (FLU) maps are essential elements of each county's build-out model, as they help guide where and at what density residential development will occur within a county. Future Land Use (FLU) maps are a part of the Local Government Comprehensive Plans required by Chapter 163, Part II, F.S. They are typically developed by the local government's planning department, or, in some cases, a regional planning council with guidance from the local government. The latest available FLU map is obtained annually and applied to the build-out model.

Future Land Use (FLU) classifications for residential land uses are assigned maximum dwelling unit densities (per acre) or density ranges. These ranges are intended to guide the type and density of development. However, development does not always occur at FLU guided densities. For this reason, the County Build-out Submodels reflect the median density of recent development for each future land use category in the specific incorporated place. For example, if a city's medium density residential future land use designation allows up to 8 housing units per acre, but the median density of units built over the last 20 years is 5.7 housing units per acre, the submodel assumed future densities at 5.7 housing units per acre for that future land use designation in that city. The median density calculation was typically limited to the last 20 years of development within

each unique combination of land use and jurisdiction, as more recent development was deemed a better proxy for future densities than older development.

In some cases, limiting the historical data to the last 20 years resulted in too small a sample, so either county average values were used (extended beyond the jurisdiction) or all historical development was used (not limited to the last 20 years). In those cases, the determination of which sample to use depended upon the heterogeneity of the category across county jurisdictions and the heterogeneity of historical densities prior to the last 20 years. Also, vacant or open parcels less than one acre in size were typically considered single family residential, with one housing unit as the maximum allowable density

## **Build-out Density Calculation**

Using GIS overlay techniques, attributes of the census, political boundary, wetlands, and future land use data were attributed to each county's parcel data to develop the County Build-out Submodels. These submodels forecast the maximum residential population by parcel at buildout.

Census tracts where the 2020 population was zero, and therefore the average persons per housing unit was zero, were assigned the county's average persons per housing unit. Also, if there were tracts with 2020 census values for persons per housing unit greater than zero that were based on a small number of homes with greater than five persons per housing unit, the county's average persons per housing unit was typically used.

## Large Planned Developments

The final step in the development of the County Build-out Submodels was adjusting build-out densities within large planned developments (e.g., Developments of Regional Impact, Sector Plans, and Rural Land Stewardship Areas) to correspond with approved development plans wherever their boundaries are available in a GIS format. Although large planned developments often do not develop as originally planned by the developer, the total number of units planned (regardless of timing) is likely to be a better forecast of the units at build-out than one based on the median historic densities. Therefore, in each of the County Build-out Submodels, parcels with centroids within a large planned development were attributed with the name of the development. The build-out densities for those parcels were adjusted so that the total build-out for the development was consistent with the development plan, and the build-out population for that area was recalculated.

## **Growth Drivers Model**

The Growth Drivers Model is a raster (cell-based) dataset representing development potential as determined by incorporating a GIS suitability model. This model is a continuous surface of 10meter cells containing relative values of 1 to 10, with 10 having the highest development potential and 1 having the lowest development potential. It influences the Population Projection Model by factoring in the attraction that certain spatial features, or growth drivers, have on development. These drivers are defined from transportation features and land use/cover types including:

- 1) Proximity to roads and interchanges prioritized by level of use (with each road type modeled separately)
- 2) Proximity to existing residential development



- 3) Proximity to existing commercial development (based on parcels with commercial land use codes deemed attractors to residential growth)
- 4) Proximity to coastal and inland waters
- 5) Proximity to large, planned developments

Each of the drivers listed above were used as independent variables in a logistic regression equation. Dependent variables included existing residential units built during or after 1995 as the measure of "presence", and large undeveloped vacant parcels outside of large planned developments were used to measure "absence". The resulting equation could then be applied back to each of the regional grids resulting in a single regional grid with values 0 through 100, for which a value of 0 represented the lowest relative likelihood of development, and a value of 100 represented the highest relative likelihood of development.

This seamless, "regional" model covers the counties whose boundaries are all or partially within the District, plus a one-county buffer to eliminate edge effects. In this case, the edge effects refer to the presence or absence of growth drivers outside the District that could influence growth within the District. This model was then used by the Population Projection Model to rank parcels in undeveloped Census blocks based on their development potential.

## **Population Projection Model**

The Population Projection Model integrates the Countywide Build-Out Models and the Regional Growth Drivers Model with historic growth trends and county-level population controls from BEBR.

## Historic Growth Trends

Historic growth trends were based on historic population counts from the 1990, 2000, 2010, and 2020 censuses. For 1990, 2000, and 2010, census block population counts were summarized at the 2020 tract level and combined with the 2020 tract population counts. These counts were used to produce eleven tract level projections using five different demographic extrapolation methods using multiple base periods. The length of the base was adjusted to roughly match the length of the projection horizon, so for a 20-year horizon, 20 years of historical data were used to establish the growth trends. The number of trend calculations varied based on the length of the base period used, and the highest and lowest calculations were discarded to moderate the effects of extreme projections. The remaining projections were then averaged.

The five demographic extrapolation methods for projecting population utilized by the model were Linear, Exponential, Constant Share, Share-of-Growth, and Shift-Share. The Linear and Exponential techniques employ a bottom-up approach, extrapolating the historic growth trends of each census tract with no consideration for the county's overall growth. The Constant Share, Share-of-Growth, and Shift-Share techniques employ a ratio allocation, or top-down approach, allocating a portion of the total projected county population or growth to each census tract based on that census tract's percentage of county population or growth over the historical period. Each of the five methods is a good predictor of growth in different situations and growth patterns, so using a combination of all five and discarding the highest and lowest results was the best way to avoid the largest possible errors resulting from the least appropriate techniques for each census tract within the six counties. This approach is similar to BEBR's county population forecast methods, but the base periods and the number of projections are somewhat different because annual estimates are not available at the tract level. This methodology is patterned after the

methodology used by BEBR and is well suited for small area population projections. The details of the methods are as follows:

## **Linear Projection Method**

The Linear Projection Method assumes that future population change for each census block will be the same as over the base period. Three linear growth rate calculations were made, 1990 to 2020, 2000 through 2020, and 2010 through 2020.

## **Exponential Projection Method**

The Exponential Projection Method assumes that population will continue to change at the same annual growth rate as over the base period.

## Constant Share Projection Method

The Constant Share Projection Method assumes that each census tract's percentage of the county's total population will be the same as over the base period.

## Share of Growth Projection Method

The Share of Growth Projection Method assumes that each census tract's percentage of the county's total growth will be the same as over the base period. Three share of growth rate calculations were made, 1990 through 2020, 2000 through 2020, and 2010 through 2020.

## Shift Share Projection Method

The Shift Share Projection Method assumes that each census tract's percentage of the county's total annual growth will change by the same annual amount as over the base period. Three shift share calculations were made, 1990 through 2020, 2000 through 2020, and 2010 through 2020.

## Average of the Projection Extrapolations

Because the number of trend calculations varied based on the length of the base period used, different combinations of projections were averaged for different forecast years.

- 1) For 2025 and 2030 projections, five calculations with base periods up to 10 years were used. The lowest and highest of the five were excluded to moderate the most extreme results, and the remaining three were averaged.
- 2) For 2035 and 2040, eight calculations with base periods up to 20 years were used. The two lowest and two highest of the eight were excluded, and the remaining four were averaged.
- 3) For 2045 and 2050, eleven calculations with base periods up to 30 years were used. The three lowest and three highest of the eleven were excluded, and the remaining five were averaged.

## **Growth Calculation Methodology**

The Population Projection Model then automated growth calculations using the historic growth trends and queries of the County Build-out Submodels and the Growth Drivers Submodel. The methodology for calculating growth for each projection increment included the following steps:

- 1) Apply the tract-level projected growth to parcels within each tract, distributing growth to parcels with the highest driver values first.
- 2) Check growth projections against build-out population and reduce any projections exceeding build-out to equal the build-out numbers.

- 3) After projecting growth for all census tracts within a particular county, summarize the resulting growth and compare it against countywide BEBR target growth. For each model iteration, this step led to one of two scenarios:
  - a) If the Small-Area Population Forecasting Model's projections exceeded the BEBR target growth, reduce the projected growth for all tracts by the percentage that the projections exceeded the BEBR target.
  - b) If the Small-Area Population projection model's projections were less than the BEBR target, develop parcels with the highest growth driver values and available capacity until the BEBR target growth is reached.

Counties that are partially within another water management district were processed in their entirety and controlled to the BEBR-based target growth.

## **Non-Permanent Population Projections**

In addition to the permanent population projections generated by the Population Projection Model, projections of non-permanent population were also made. Those projections include peak seasonal population, permanent plus seasonal population (or functionalized seasonal population), tourist population, and net commuter population. The methods derived by the District and implemented by GISA for projecting those population types are described in this section. For a more detailed explanation of these methods, see the District's SWUCA II Population Guidelines.

## Peak Population

Seasonal population is estimated using a combination of 2010 U.S. Census data (at the Zip Code Tabulation Area [ZCTA] level) and hospital admissions data. Average 2009 to 2011 emergency room admissions data was used for a population cohort typical of seasonal residents (between the ages of 45 and 74).

A Seasonal Resident Ratio was calculated by ZCTA to estimate the proportion of peak (including seasonal) to permanent population. This 2010 U.S. Census-era ratio is held constant over time when applied to future projections of population, but it will be updated with each decennial Census. The ratio was derived using the following generalized steps:

- 1) Subtract total 2009 to 2011 total third quarter (Q3, or July, August and September) hospital admissions from first quarter (Q1, or January, February and March) admissions.
- 2) Calculate the average annual difference between Q1 and Q3 by dividing above result by three.
- 3) Calculate a seasonal population estimate for ZCTA by dividing above difference by the general population's probability of being admitted to the emergency room (approximately 2.23%).
- 4) Calculate the Seasonal Resident Ratio by adding the seasonal population to the permanent population and dividing that total by the permanent population.

This ratio can then be applied to future projections of permanent population to derive peak population projections.



## Permanent plus Seasonal Population or Functionalized Seasonal Population

The functionalized seasonal population is the peak seasonal resident population adjusted downward to account for the percentage of the year seasonal residents typically reside elsewhere and the lack of indoor water use during that time. It was calculated using the following generalized steps:

- 1) Determine the appropriate proportion of the year seasonal residents spend in Florida. This varies from beach destination counties (44.2%) to non-beach destination counties (56.7%).
- 2) Develop a seasonal resident adjustment based on average per capita water use.
  - a) The ten-year (1996–2006) districtwide average per capita use is 132 gallons per person per day, and 69.3 is estimated indoor per capita use (Alliance for Water Efficiency, 1999).
  - b) The adjustment factor is calculated using the following equation for "beach destination" counties (Charlotte, Manatee, Pinellas and Sarasota):

$$((0.442 \times 132 \text{ gpd}) + ((1 - 0.442) \times (132 \text{ gpd} - 69.3 \text{ gpd})/132 \text{ gpd} = 0.707$$

c) The adjustment factor is calculated using the following equation for "non-beach destination counties":

$$((0.567 \times 132 \text{ gpd}) + ((1 - 0.567) \times (132 \text{ gpd} - 69.3 \text{ gpd})/132 \text{ gpd} = 0.773$$

- 3) Calculate "functionalized" seasonal population by multiplying the seasonal population by the appropriate seasonal resident adjustment factor for the particular county (0.707 or 0.773).
- 4) Calculate total functional population by adding the functionalized seasonal population to the permanent population.
- 5) Calculate ratio of Census-era functional population to permanent population.
- 6) Apply above ratio to future projections of permanent population to derive functional population projections.

## **Tourist Population**

The tourist population projections were based on 26 years (1996-2021) of county level lodging room data from the Florida Department of Business and Professional Regulation (DBPR). The District's methodology for projecting future tourist rooms by county uses two different methods and averages the two results for each county.

The first method projects the increase in rooms by county by extrapolating the linear trend using the least squares method derived from the last 26 years of county total room estimates. This was the method used by the District for the past several years.

A second method projects future rooms based on projections of employment in the Accommodation and Food Services industries (from data from Woods and Poole). This is also an extrapolation of a linear trend using the least squares method, but rooms by county are projected as a function of a county's employment projections rather than time.



District staff previously tested both methods by projecting values for the years 2007 to 2013 using room estimates from 1996 to 2006. Based on the differences between actual room estimates and projected values for 2007 to 2013, neither method was clearly superior to the other. For that reason, District staff opted to use both methods. The results of both methods were averaged, but only after adjusting for the average 2007 to 2013 error for each projection in each county.

These projections of future rooms were then converted to "functionalized" tourist populations by applying various county level average unit occupancy and party size ratios. These ratios were provided by the District, who also updated the values associated with locations identified as short-term rentals for this projection set based on District research.

These projections of tourist population were joined to the existing lodging facility locations. No attempt was made to project future locations of lodging facilities, as:

- 1) The precise locations would be highly speculative.
- It was assumed that lodging facilities often are built in the general vicinity of existing lodging facilities, or at least in close enough proximity to be within the same utility service area.

## **Net Commuter Population**

The net commuter population projections were based on special tabulations from the American Community Survey conducted in the years 2006 to 2010. For each 2010 U.S. Census tract, the ratio of net commuters to permanent population was calculated. This ratio was then applied to future projections of permanent population to derive projections for net commuter population. That population was then "functionalized" with the following ratios:

- 1) 8/24 (typical working hours per day)
- 2) 5/7 (typical working days per week)

By applying both of these ratios to the net commuter population, the resulting functional net commuter population is 23.8 percent of the actual net commuter population. This functional number better reflects the water use that is expected for net commuters.

Note that the net commuter population projection summaries by utility service area were often negative, as many utilities serve "bedroom communities" and other areas where more residents work outside the utility service area than the population (residents and non-residents) employed within it. Only positive net commuter populations were included in a utility's total functional population.

# **Summarize By Utility Service Areas**

The parcel-level results are then summarized by PS service area boundaries for all utilities districtwide that average at least 0.1 million gallons per day (mgd) of total water use. These boundaries, maintained by the District, are overlaid with the districtwide parcel-level population projection GIS layer, and each parcel within a service area is assigned a unique identifier for that service area. The projected population can then be summarized by that identifier and joined to the District's potable service area database to produce tabular or GIS output. Note that these service areas change over time, so for any future use of these deliverables, it is important to match this projection set only with the service areas included in the GIS deliverables.



## Spatial Incongruity of Boundaries

Due to mapping errors, the service area boundaries do often bisect parcel boundaries. In the present modeling activity, parcels are deemed to be within a given service area if their center points (or centroids) fell inside the service area boundaries. The error associated with this spatial incongruity at the parcel level was much smaller than would be the case with census tract level data. This is one of the primary benefits of disaggregating census tract level data to the parcel level. The percentage of parcels erroneously attributed or excluded from a service area by this process is insignificant.

## **Final Results**

The final results are provided in tabular format (Microsoft Excel spreadsheet) and GIS format (ESRI's file geodatabase). If there are discrepancies, the spatial results (each county's parcellevel population layer) may be used in part to depict projected patterns of future growth. The spatial data is available for download from the District's demographics webpage.

The population projections detailed in Tables 3 through 19, except for Lake and Polk counties (Tables 10 and 16) are the sum of the functionalized permanent, seasonal, net commuter, and tourist populations. It should be noted that only positive net commuters were aggregated, and service areas with negative net commuters were not penalized. For Lake and Polk counties (Tables 10 and 14), the population projections represent permanent populations and are from the CFWI RWSP demand projections.

There are some uncertainties with the model projections. In some instances, the projections detailed in Tables 3 through 19 may not match the raw model output in the tabular format (Microsoft Excel spreadsheet) and the GIS format (ESRI's file geodatabase). As the parcel level projections are summarized by PS service area boundaries and the service area is incorrect or includes DSS population that is not delineated as self-served, the aggregated population could be less than or greater than what the utility is actually projected to serve. Upon review and identification of such cases (including stakeholder input), the functional population for such instances was revised to reflect the correct service area boundaries and/or reduction of DSS.

## Adjusting Population Projections using 2021 Estimated Water Use

Many public supply service areas include a significant number of self-supplied and vacant parcels within their boundaries. In most cases, the service area layer does not include information on self-supplied or not-yet-served areas. The population projections generated by GISA's parcel projection model include self-supplied persons or population in parcels not yet served. GISA generates projections for 308 service areas. Ninety-four of these service areas had a 2021 population estimate that was at least ±5 percent different from the 2021 population served estimate from the Estimated Water Use Report. Here is an example on how the population estimate and projection was adjusted using the 2021 population served estimate:



a) Results from GISA's parcel level model for utility Z:

Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total
Functional	Functional	Functional	Functional	Functional	Functional
Population	Population	Population	Population	Population	Population
2021	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045
1,452	1,494	1,578	1,791	2,125	

- b) In 2021, the utility reported a population served estimate of 1,316 people
- c) This population estimate is 9 percent lower than the GISA projection
- d) Thus, new projections are generated by applying the GISA growth rates to the 2021 population served estimate:

Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total
Functional	Functional	Functional	Functional	Functional	Functional
Population	Population	Population	Population	Population	Population
2021	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045
1,316	1,353	1,430	1,623	1,926	

## Water Demand Projections

Water demand projections are calculated for the years 2025, 2030, 2035, 2040, and 2045. To develop these projections, the District used the 2016-2020 average unadjusted gross per capita water use rate and applied it to the projected populations, described above. In the case of small utilities (utilities permitted for less than 100,000 gpd), the 2016-2020 per capita is the per capita stated in the last issued permit or the average unadjusted gross per capita of the county.

## One-in-Ten Drought Event

"The 1-in-10 year drought event is an event that results in an increase in water demand of a magnitude that would have a 10 percent probability of occurring during any given year" (1-in-10 year Drought Subcommittee of the Water Planning Coordination Group, 1998). The 1-in-10 year Drought Subcommittee of the Water Planning Coordination Group, as stated in their final report, determined that a 6.0 percent increase in demand will occur in such an event for PS water use. Therefore, the one-in-ten year water demand projections are the average year demands times 1.06.

## Residential Irrigation Wells

These are defined as private wells smaller than 6 inches which do not require a Water Use Permit (WUP); however, for this analysis, wells less than 5 inches in diameter were selected because of the unlikely scenario that any residential unit has irrigation wells greater than 4 inches in diameter. These wells are used primarily for outdoor irrigation purposes at residences that are connected to a central utility system and receive potable water service for indoor use. Using the methodology described below, District staff has estimated the number of domestic irrigation wells by county

and their associated water demand. This information was updated and incorporated into the below PS demand projections (Table 23). Currently, the District estimates that approximately 332 gpd are used for each irrigation well (Dukes and Boyer, 2018).

Using the District's well construction permit GIS feature class, the following selection criteria are necessary to capture residential irrigation wells:

- 1) Use Type equal to 'Irrigation' or 'Irrigation Landscape'
- 2) Diameter less than 5 inches
- 3) Only include wells that lie inside PS service areas
- 4) Site status description of active, inactive, proposed, or blank
- 5) Exclude wells that lie within WUP Control Areas Permitted
- 6) Include only those wells permitted by the District (do not include those within the St. John's River Water Management District boundary)

## Review

The District made available the draft document for review and comment, as each stakeholder may have a much more intimate understanding of the permits for which they are responsible. Upon receiving stakeholder comments, the District reviewed suggested changes and, if appropriate, included updates. It is important to note that this is a long-term planning effort, and methodology changes based on short term trends were unlikely to be taken into account. Comments and suggested changes were taken into consideration if they were justifiable, defensible, and supported by complete documentation. The projections contained herein were presented to District staff and the Public Supply Advisory Committee (August 8, 2023).

The District understands and shares stakeholder's concerns of how critically important accurate demand projections are; however, the District must comply with Section 373.0361, F.S., which sets forth requirements for regional water supply planning. ("Population projections used for determining public water supply needs must be based upon the best available data. In determining the best available data, the district shall consider the University of Florida's Bureau of Economic and Business Research (BEBR) medium population projections and any population projection data and analysis submitted by a local government pursuant to the public workshop described in subsection (1) if the data and analysis support the local government's comprehensive plan.")

# **Tables and Figures**

Tables 1 through 2 provide permanent and functional future populations for each county. Tables 3 through 19 provide county population and PS water demand estimates and projections on a countywide basis. Both average year demand and the 1-in-10 year drought demands are reflected in these tables. Table 20 presents county-level demands. Tables 21 and 22 show population and water demands by region and water use caution areas (WUCAs). Lastly, Table 23 summarizes the existing irrigation wells and the exponential growth rate used to project future irrigation wells.

# **Summary**

Overall, for the PS sector, the District is expecting an increase in average demand of 177.2 mgd from 634.5 mgd in 2020 to 811.7 mgd in 2045 for the 16-county area. The 177.2 mgd increase by 2045 is distributed as follows: 34.4 mgd increase in the Heartland Planning Region, 35.9 mgd



increase in the Northern Planning Region, 32.2 mgd in the Southern Planning Region, and 74.7 mgd increase in the Tampa Bay Planning Region. Tables 1 through 23 start on page 17 and provide data by county, utility, and planning region.





## References

- 1-in-10 year Drought Subcommittee of the Water Planning Coordination Group. Final Report: 1-in-10-year Drought Requirement in Florida's Water Supply Planning Process. 1998.
- Central Florida Water Initiative (CFWI), 2025. 2025 Central Florida Water Initiative Regional Water Supply Plan.
- Dukes, M.D. and M.J. Boyer, 2018. Determination of Landscape Irrigation Water Use in Southwest Florida (B283). University of Florida Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences.
- GIS Associates, Inc., 2022. Small-Area Population Methodology of the SWFWMD (December 15, 2022). Prepared for the Southwest Florida Water Management District (SWFWMD).
- SWFWMD, 2016-2020. Estimated Water Use Reports (for the years 2016-2020). Brooksville,
- SWFWMD, 2023. Summary Rainfall Data by Region. https://www.swfwmd.state.fl.us/resources/data-maps/rainfall-summary-data-region. Brooksville, FL.
- University of Florida Bureau of Economic and Business Research, 2022. *Projections of Florida Population by County.* Gainesville, FL.
- U.S. Census Place Data, 2010. https://www.census.gov/



Table 1. Countywide Permanent Population Estimates and Projections

		BEI	BR Medium Perm	anent Populatio	on <sup>1</sup>				Permanent Po	pulation in SWFW	/MD <sup>2</sup>	
		Populatio	n inside and outs	side District bou	ındaries.			Po	pulation Inside	District boundari	es only.	
County	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045
Charlotte	187,536	203,000	215,700	225,800	234,300	241,900	181,830	196,755	209,006	218,751	226,954	234,304
Citrus	153,922	162,500	169,200	174,900	179,500	183,500	153,922	162,500	169,200	174,900	179,500	183,500
DeSoto	33,939	34,400	34,600	34,800	35,000	35,100	33,939	34,400	34,600	34,800	35,000	35,100
Hardee	25,261	25,300	25,200	25,000	24,900	24,700	25,261	25,300	25,200	25,000	24,900	24,700
Hernando	193,832	207,600	219,000	228,300	235,900	242,300	193,832	207,600	219,000	228,300	235,900	242,300
Highlands	101,535	104,200	106,500	108,300	109,800	111,100	93,137	95,505	97,564	99,159	100,487	101,636
Hillsborough	1,464,879	1,595,000	1,702,000	1,786,700	1,857,800	1,919,800	1,464,879	1,595,000	1,702,000	1,786,700	1,857,800	1,919,800
Lake	389,902	442,700	487,600	525,300	558,800	587,900	1,141	1,732	2,351	2,904	3,391	3,813
Levy	43,152	45,300	47,000	48,200	49,400	50,400	24,794	26,041	27,012	27,810	28,554	29,150
Manatee	402,821	445,800	481,900	511,200	536,500	558,500	402,821	445,800	481,900	511,200	536,500	558,500
Marion	375,690	403,600	426,600	444,600	459,700	472,700	124,899	137,536	147,950	156,685	164,088	170,700
Pasco	564,388	623,300	672,400	712,800	746,700	776,300	564,388	623,300	672,400	712,800	746,700	776,300
Pinellas	960,759	979,500	994,400	1,006,400	1,016,500	1,025,200	960,759	979,500	994,400	1,006,400	1,016,500	1,025,200
Polk	733,199	810,900	877,800	932,700	979,200	1,019,500	679,663	757,566	818,894	869,429	912,625	949,878
Sarasota	435,101	467,700	493,300	514,000	532,000	547,900	435,101	467,700	493,300	514,000	532,000	547,900
Sumter	129,916	154,300	175,500	192,200	206,700	219,600	129,916	154,300	175,500	192,200	206,700	219,600
Total	6,195,832	6,705,100	7,128,700	7,471,200	7,762,700	8,016,400	4,988,790	5,910,536	6,270,277	6,561,039	6,807,598	7,022,380

Reference Sources for Countywide Permanent and Permanent Population Projections

<sup>12021-2045</sup> projections are based on The University of Florida Bureau of Economic and Business Research, Projections of Florida Population by County, 2021-2045, Florida Population Studies, Bulletin 192, February 2022

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Permanent population estimates and projections were generated by GIS Associates. Source File: GISA SWFWMD PSSA Population Summaries, 2022-12-15.xlsx. Tab Name: County & WMD Summary.

Table 2. Countywide Permanent and Total Functional population

		Total Fu	unctional Popula	tion in SWFWI	MD <sup>1,2,3,4,6</sup>	
	Total Funct	tional Populati	on = Permanent	+ Seasonal+ 1	Tourist + Net C	Commuters
County	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045
Charlotte	212,364	228,731	243,013	254,438	264,121	272,871
Citrus	167,827	177,044	184,319	190,521	195,544	199,922
DeSoto	35,729	36,297	36,529	36,759	36,988	37,101
Hardee	25,980	26,079	25,999	25,816	25,741	25,563
Hernando	200,486	214,720	226,606	236,409	244,506	251,387
Highlands	103,627	106,224	108,459	110,188	111,626	112,869
Hillsborough	1,623,370	1,758,982	1,871,083	1,959,337	2,033,688	2,097,710
Lake <sup>4</sup>	1,141	1,732	2,351	2,904	3,391	3,813
Levy	26,297	27,599	28,620	29,465	30,249	30,885
Manatee <sup>5</sup>	478,345	527,638	568,920	602,754	632,485	658,700
Marion	131,994	145,035	155,864	164,970	172,714	179,653
Pasco	597,017	658,896	710,484	753,095	789,013	820,522
Pinellas <sup>5</sup>	1,207,570	1,226,434	1,245,700	1,260,566	1,273,220	1,283,812
Polk <sup>4</sup>	679,663	757,566	818,894	869,429	912,625	949,878
Sarasota	521,309	555,493	584,464	608,085	628,634	646,305
Sumter	144,439	170,649	193,407	211,356	227,050	241,118
Total	6,157,158	6,619,120	7,004,709	7,316,094	7,581,597	7,812,110

## Reference Sources for Countywide Permanent in SWFWMD and Functional Population Projections

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Total functional population comprises permanent population, functional seasonal population, functional tourist, and functional net commuters population.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>2021 Estimate was generated from the population projections calculated using the latest GIS Associates, Inc.'s population projection model data (December 2022) and the PS\_SERVICEAREAS GIS layer (dated: 30MAR2023). Population estimates and projections were adjusted using the 2021 Public Supply Annual Report population served estimate. The 2020 estimate had to be extrapolated using the 2016-2020 growth rate for each utility. The GISA projections are based on The University of Florida Bureau of Economic and Business Research, Projections of Florida Population by County, 2025-2050 With Estimates For 2021. Florida Population <sup>3</sup>The 2025-2045 projections were generated from the latest GIS Associates, Inc.'s population projection model data (December 2022) and the PS\_SERVICEAREAS GIS layer (dated: 30MAR2023). Population estimates and projections were adjusted using the 2021 Public Supply Annual Report population served estimate. The GISA projections are based on The University of Florida Bureau of Economic and Business Research, Projections of Florida Population by County, 2025-2050 With Estimates For 2021. Florida Population Studies, Bulletin

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This total includes estimates and projections from District portion of county from draft 2025-2045 Regional Water Supply Plan for the Central Florida Water Initiative (July 2023).
<sup>5</sup> For Manatee and Pinellas County, the sum of adjusted functional population exceeds original county total. Thus, county total was recalculated as original county total plus deficit plus GIS Associates Inc.'s population projection model data (December 2022) self-supplied population estimate (ex. 2025 Manatee County Total = 500,308 + 16,048 + 11,282 = 527,638).

#### TABLE 3. CHARLOTTE COUNTY POPULATION ESTIMATES AND PROJECTIONS

				(2) 2020											
				POPULATION			(3)				D	O IFOTE	(5)	DEMANDO	
			(1)	TIMES 2016-2020		PROJEC*	TED POPUL	ATION		(4)	PF	ROJECTE	MGD	DEMANDS	i
			2020	GPCD						2016-2020					
	WUP		POPULATION	MGD	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	AVG GPCD	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045
(6)	DSS	Domestic Self-Supply	9,563	0.622	9,925	10,153	10,865	11,342	11,761	65	0.645	0.660	0.706	0.737	0.764
(11)	718	Gasparilla Island Water Assoc.	6,150	1.109	6,208	6,276	6,344	6,415	6,491	180	1.119	1.132	1.144	1.157	1.170
	871	City of Punta Gorda	41,761	5.464	44,624	46,928	48,512	49,507	50,316	131	5.839	6.140	6.348	6.478	6.584
	1512	Charlotte Harbor Water Assoc.	4,474	0.384	4,998	5,457	5,836	6,175	6,485	86	0.429	0.468	0.500	0.529	0.556
	3522	Charlotte County Utilities / Burnt Store	7,190	0.445	8,185	9,380	9,834	10,257	10,666	62	0.507	0.581	0.609	0.635	0.661
	7104	Charlotte County Utilities	138,929	10.590	150,366	160,278	168,406	175,688	182,323	76	11.461	12.217	12.836	13.391	13.897 PRMRWSA
(9)	8626	Homeowners of Alligator Park	664	0.057	664	665	665	666	666	86	0.057	0.057	0.057	0.057	0.057
(10)	99913	El Jobean Water Association	1,224	0.131	1,246	1,266	1,283	1,299	1,314	107	0.133	0.135	0.137	0.139	0.141
(10)	99916	Riverwood Development	2,409	0.258	2,514	2,610	2,694	2,772	2,848	107	0.269	0.279	0.288	0.297	0.305
(8)		Additional Irrigation Demand		2.355							2.536	2.695	2.821	2.929	3.026
(7)	Total Co 1-10 Dro	u <b>nty</b> ught Year Demand	212,364	21.414	228,731	243,013	254,438	264,121	272,871		<b>22.996</b> 24.376	<b>24.364</b> 25.826	<b>25.448</b> 26.975	<b>26.350</b> 27.931	<b>27.161</b> 28.791

#### Notes:

MGD = million gallons per day

- (1) 2020 Estimate was generated using 2016-2020 growth rates from The University of Florida Bureau of Economic and Business Research, Projections of Florida Population by County, 2016-2045, Florida Population Studies, Bulletin 192, February 2022.
- (2) Estimated using average 2016-2020 GPCD, as provided in Table A-1 of the District's reports titled Estimated Water Use, 2016-2020.
- (3) Source: Population Projections calculated using GIS Associates, Inc.'s population projection model data and the PS\_SERVICEAREAS GIS layer (dated: 30MAR2023). The functional population estimates include seasonal residents, tourists and net commuters, if applicable to the service area.
- (4) For utilities with at least 0.1 mgd average annual withdrawal, year 2016-2020 average estimated per capita water use rates, as provided in Table A-1 of the District's annual 'Estimated Water Use Report' for years 2016-2020, were used to project demands. See footnotes 6 and 8 for descriptions of the per capita used for the Domestic Self-Supply and Additional Irrigation Demand.
- (5) Computed as projected population multiplied by 2016-2020 average per capita water use.
- (6) County residential per capita rate from the District's annual 'Estimated Water Use Report' for years 2016-2020, was used to calculate average estimated 2016-2020 usage, Table A-2. If a county residential per capita rate was not available, the District's 2016-2020 average residential per capita rate was used.
- (7) 1-10 Drought Year Demand is calculated as 1.06 x Projected Future Water Use.
- (8) Additional Irrigation Demand is defined as water demand from residential irrigation wells utilized by residents that depend upon a centralized system for indoor water needs. It is calculated based on 332 gallons per day per well.
- (9) This utility has a small general permit and is identified in the PS\_SERVICEAREAS layer. The per capita is listed in the permit document.
- (10) This service area is a wholesale importer. There is no water use permit associated with this service area. Per capita is assumed to equal to the average county per capita.
- (11) Gasparilla Island Water Assoc. (WUP 718): 2020 PSAR Functional population utilized for more accurate representation of utility level growth.

### TABLE 4. CITRUS COUNTY POPULATION ESTIMATES AND PROJECTIONS

	WILD		(1) 2020	(2) 2020 POPULATION TIMES 2016-2020 GPCD	0005		(3) TED POPUL		0045	(4) 2016-2020			(5) D WATER D (MGD)		00.45
	WUP		POPULATION	(MGD)	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	AVG GPCD	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045
(6)	DSS 207 419	Domestic Self-Supply City of Crystal River City of Inverness	57,968 5,681 9,096	5.310 0.746 0.974	61,502 5,768 9,448	64,272 5,865 9,725	66,630 5,949 9,962	68,531 6,022 10,153	70,183 6,088 10,319	92 131 107	5.634 0.757 1.011	5.887 0.770 1.041	6.103 0.781 1.066	6.277 0.791 1.087	6.429 0.799 1.104
(9)	729	Citrus Co. Utilities - Point O' Woods	895	0.077	901	906	909	912	915	86	0.077	0.078	0.078	0.078	0.079
(9)	872	Inverness Village	248	0.027	248	248	248	248	248	110	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027
(40)	1118	Floral City Water Association Inc	5,175	0.336	5,280	5,364	5,436	5,494	5,546	65	0.343	0.348	0.353	0.357	0.360
(10)	1345 2842	Royal Oaks of Citrus HOA	437	0.044 2.819	437 22.539	437	437 25.134	437 26.137	437 27.037	100 135	0.044 3.053	0.044 3.241	0.044 3.405	0.044 3.540	0.044 3.662
(10)		Citrus Co. Utilities - Citrus Springs/P Inverness Park	i 20,811 238	2.819 0.017	22,539	23,927 240	25,134	26,137	27,037	135 70	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.017
(10)	4153	Rolling Oaks Utilities Inc	11,227	1.502	11,878	12.339	12,712	12,998	13,229	134	1.590	1.651	1.701	1.740	1.770
	4406	Homosassa Special Water District	5.819	0.783	5.871	5.929	5,982	6.030	6.074	135	0.790	0.798	0.805	0.812	0.818
(9)	4753	Constate Utilities	599	0.067	610	619	627	633	638	112	0.068	0.069	0.070	0.072	0.071
(5)	6691	Gulf Highway Land Corporation	584	0.061	609	629	646	659	671	104	0.064	0.066	0.067	0.069	0.070
	7121	Citrus Co. Utilities - Charles A. Black		4.654	29.939	31,301	32,455	33.381	34,180	165	4.940	5.164	5.355	5.507	5.639
(9)	7784	Citrus Co. Utilities - Water Oaks	202	0.014	204	205	206	207	208	71	0.014	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015
(10)	8147	Oak Pond LLC	103	0.010	103	103	103	103	103	97	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010
(10)	8623	Gulf Coast RV Resort	0	0.000	44	84	117	143	165	70	0.003	0.006	0.008	0.010	0.012
	9097	Tarawood Utilities LLC	158	0.010	160	162	164	165	166	61	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010
(10)	9532	Greenbriar One of Citrus Hills	388	0.051	388	389	389	389	389	131	0.051	0.051	0.051	0.051	0.051
	9791	Citrus Co. Utilities - Sugarmill Wood	14,235	2.566	15,097	15,777	16,359	16,833	17,247	180	2.721	2.843	2.948	3.034	3.108
	11839	GCP Walden Woods One, LLC and 0	1,021	0.152	1,021	1,021	1,021	1,022	1,022	149	0.152	0.152	0.152	0.152	0.152
	20230	Ozello Water Association Inc	4,734	0.382	4,757	4,778	4,794	4,807	4,817	81	0.384	0.386	0.387	0.388	0.389
(8)		Additional Irrigation Demand		1.078							1.137	1.184	1.223	1.256	1.284
(7)	Total Coun	nty ght Year Demand	167,827	21.679	177,044	184,319	190,521	195,544	199,922		<b>22.897</b> 24.271	<b>23.858</b> 25.290	<b>24.678</b> 26.159	<b>25.342</b> 26.863	<b>25.921</b> 27.476

### Notes:

MGD = million gallons per day

(1) 2020 Estimate was generated using 2016-2020 growth rates from The University of Florida Bureau of Economic and Business Research, Projections of Florida Population by County, 2016-2045, Florida Population Studies, Bulletin 192, February 2022.

- (2) Estimated using average 2016-2020 GPCD, as provided in Table A-1 of the District's reports titled Estimated Water Use, 2016-2020.
- (3) Source: Population Projections calculated using GIS Associates, Inc.'s population projection model data and the PS\_SERVICEAREAS GIS layer (dated: 30MAR2023). The functional population estimates include seasonal residents, tourists and net commuters, if applicable to the service area.
- (4) For utilities with at least 0.1 mgd average annual withdrawal, year 2016-2020 average estimated per capita water use rates, as provided in Table A-1 of the District's annual Estimated Water Use Report' for years 2016-2020, were used to project demands. See footnotes 6 and 8 for descriptions of the per capita used for the Domestic Self-Supply and Additional Irrigation Demand.
- (5) Computed as projected population multiplied by 2016-2020 average per capita water use.
- (6) County residential per capita rate from the District's annual 'Estimated Water Use Report' for years 2016-2020, was used to calculate average estimated 2016-2020 usage, Table A-2. If a county residential per capita rate was not available, the District's 2016-2020 average residential per capita rate was used.
- (7) 1-10 Drought Year Demand is calculated as 1.06 x Projected Future Water Use.
- (8) Additional Irrigation Demand is defined as water demand from residential irrigation wells utilized by residents that depend upon a centralized system for indoor water needs. It is calculated based on 332 gallons per well per day.
- (9) Small general water use permits are not required to submit annual information on their per capita. Consequently, per capita information for the following small general WUPs was obtained as follows:
- a) CCU Point of Woods (WUP# 729): Per capita information obtained from permit issued in 2017.
- b) Constate Utilities (WUP# 4753): Per capita information obtained from permit issued in 2017.
- c) Inverness Village (WUP# 872): Per capita information was obtained from permit issued in 2022.
- d) Citrus Co. Utilities Water Oaks (WUP# 7784): Per capita and population information was obtained from permit issued in 2021.
- e) Gulf Coast RV Resort (WUP# 8623): Per capita information was obtained from permit issued in 2019.
- (10) These are small general public supply permits listed in the PS\_SERVICEAREAS layer. If available, the permit per capita was used. Otherwise, it was assumed that the per capita was equal to the 2020 unadjusted gross per capita for the county.

#### TABLE 5. DESOTO COUNTY POPULATION ESTIMATES AND PROJECTIONS

				(2) 2020											
				POPULATION			(3)						(5)		
			(1)	TIMES 2016-2020		DDO IECT	ED POPULA	TION		(4)	PR		(MGD)	DEMANDS	
			2020	GPCD		FROJECI	EDFOFUL	TION		2016-2020			(WGD)		
	WUP		POPULATION	(MGD)	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	AVG GPCD	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045
(6)	DSS	Domestic Self-Supply	15,787	0.767	16,070	16,222	16,377	16,533	16,614	49	0.781	0.788	0.796	0.803	0.807
(10)	3318	Cross Creek Country Club	1,264	0.056	1,264	1,264	1,264	1,264	1,264	44	0.056	0.056	0.056	0.056	0.056
	4725	Arcadia WTP	12,110	1.013	12,123	12,129	12,135	12,141	12,145	84	1.014	1.015	1.015	1.016	1.016
	6483	DeSoto Village Mobile Home Park	290	0.019	290	290	290	290	290	67	0.019	0.019	0.019	0.019	0.019
(9)	20457	DeSoto County Utilities	6,277	0.640	6,550	6,624	6,693	6,760	6,789	102	0.667	0.675	0.682	0.689	0.692 PRMRWSA
(8)		Additional Irrigation Demand		0.082							0.084	0.084	0.085	0.085	0.085
	Total Coun	ity	35,729	2.577	36,297	36,529	36,759	36,988	37,101		2.621	2.637	2.653	2.668	2.676
(7)	1-10 Droug	ght Year Demand									2.779	2.796	2.812	2.829	2.836

#### Notes:

MGD = million gallons per day

- (1) 2020 Estimate was generated using 2016-2020 growth rates from The University of Florida Bureau of Economic and Business Research, Projections of Florida Population by County, 2016-2045, Florida Population Studies, Bulletin 192, February 2022.
- (2) Estimated using average 2016-2020 GPCD, as provided in Table A-1 of the District's reports titled Estimated Water Use, 2016-2020.
- (3) Source: Population Projections calculated using GIS Associates, Inc.'s population projection model data and the PS\_SERVICEAREAS GIS layer (dated: 30MAR2023). The functional population estimates include seasonal residents, tourists and net commuters, if applicable to the service area.
- (4) For utilities with at least 0.1 mgd average annual withdrawal, year 2016-2020 average estimated per capita water use rates, as provided in Table A-1 of the District's annual 'Estimated Water Use Report' for years 2016-2020, were used to project demands. See footnotes 6 and 8 for descriptions of the per capita used for the Domestic Self-Supply and Additional Irrigation Demand.
- (5) Computed as projected population multiplied by 2016-2020 average per capita water use.
- (6) County residential per capita rate from the District's annual 'Estimated Water Use Report' for years 2016-2020, was used to calculate average estimated 2016-2020 usage, Table A-2. If a county residential per capita rate was not available, the District's 2016-2020 average residential per capita rate was used.
- (7) 1-10 Drought Year Demand is calculated as 1.06 x Projected Future Water Use.
- (8) Additional Irrigation Demand is defined as water demand from residential irrigation wells utilized by residents that depend upon a centralized system for indoor water needs. It is calculated based on 332 gallons per well per day.
- (9) This is wholesale permit that imports supply from the PRMRWSA. The County also holds an Industrial/Commercial WUP (#6841) for the DeSoto Annex Correctional Facility which houses an average 1,540 persons.
- The correctional facility's population has been deducted from the wholesale permit's population
- (10) Small general water use permits are not required to submit annual information on their per capita. Consequently, per capita information for the following small general WUPs was obtained as follows:
- a) Cross Creek Country Club (WUP# 3318): Population and per capita information were obtained from permit issued in 2020.
- b) DeSoto Village Mobile Home Park (WUP# 6483): Per capita information was obtained from permit issued in 2017.



### TABLE 6. HARDEE COUNTY POPULATION ESTIMATES AND PROJECTIONS

				(2) 2020											
				POPULATION			(3)						(5)		
				TIMES			(-)				PR	OJECTED		DEMANDS	
			(1)	2016-2020		PRO IECT	ED POPULA	MOITA		(4)			(MGD)	,	
			2020	GPCD						2016-2020			(		
	WUP		POPULATION	(MGD)	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	AVG GPCD	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045
(6)	DSS	Domestic Self-Supply	6,432	0.274	6,428	6,333	6,149	6,050	5,863	43	0.274	0.270	0.262	0.258	0.250
	30	City Of Bowling Green Municipal Wat	4,655	0.202	4,668	4,668	4,668	4,668	4,668	43	0.202	0.202	0.202	0.202	0.202
(9)	2402	Orange Blossom RV Park	189	0.013	189	189	189	189	189	70	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013
	4461	City Of Wauchula	6.830	0.686	6.848	6,852	6.857	6.862	6,868	100	0.688	0.688	0.689	0.689	0.690
(9)	7022	MHC Peace River	27	0.001	27	27	27	27	27	20	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
	7658	Town Of Zolfo Springs	2,505	0.149	2,505	2,505	2.505	2,505	2,505	59	0.149	0.149	0.149	0.149	0.149
(10)	9550	Hardee Correctional Institution	1.963	0.251	1.963	1.963	1.963	1.963	1.963	128	0.251	0.251	0.251	0.251	0.251
(9)	11087	Florida SKP	306	0.014	306	306	306	306	306	47	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.014
(9)	11180	Torrey Oaks HOA	48	0.006	48	48	48	48	47	115	0.006	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005
(-)	13026	Hardee County BOCC	3,023	0.136	3,097	3,107	3,103	3,122	3,125	45	0.139	0.139	0.139	0.140	0.140
(8)		Additional Irrigation Demand	-,	0.045	-,	-,	-,	-,	-,		0.045	0.045	0.045	0.045	0.044
	Total Cour	ntv	25,980	1.776	26.079	25,999	25,816	25,741	25,563		1.781	1.778	1.770	1.767	1.760
(7)		oht Year Demand	23,300		20,013	20,000	20,010	20,141	20,000		1.888	1.885	1.877	1.873	1.865

- Notes: MGD = million gallons per day (1) 2020 Estimate was generated using 2016-2020 growth rates from The University of Florida Bureau of Economic and Business Research, Projections of Florida Population by County, 2016-2045, Florida Population Studies, Bulletin 192, February 2022.
  (2) Estimated using average 2016-2020 GPCD, as provided in Table A-1 of the District's reports titled Estimated Water Use, 2016-2020
- (3) Source: Population Projections calculated using GIS Associates, Inc.'s population projection model data and the PS\_SERVICEAREAS GIS layer (dated: 30MAR2023). The functional population estimates include seasonal residents tourists and net commuters, if applicable to the service area.
- (4) For utilities with at least 0.1 mgd average annual withdrawal, year 2016-2020 average estimated per capita water use rates, as provided in Table A-1 of the District's annual Estimated Water Use Report for years 2016-2020, were used to project demands. See footnotes 6 and 8 for descriptions of the per capita used for the Domestic Self-Supply and Additional Irrigation Demand.
- (5) Computed as projected population multiplied by 2016-2020 average per capita water use.
- (6) County residential per capita rate from the District's annual Estimated Water Use Report' for years 2016-2020, was used to calculate average estimated 2016-2020 usage. Table A-2. If a county residential per capita rate available, the District's 2016-2020 average residential per capita rate was used.

  (7) 1-10 Drought Year Demand is calculated as 1.06 x Projected Future Water Use.
- (8) Additional Irrigation Demand is defined as water demand from residential irrigation wells utilized by residents that depend upon a centralized system for indoor water needs. It is calculated based on 332 gallons per well per day.
- (9) Small general water use permits are not required to submit annual information on their per capita. Consequently, per capita information for the following small general WUPs was obtained as follows:
  a) Orange Blossom RV Park (WUP# 2402): Per capita information were obtained from permit issued in 2015.
  a) MHC Peace River (WUP# 7022): Per capita information was obtained from permit issued in 2021.

- b) Florida SKP (WUP# 11087): Per capita information was obtained from permit issued in 2014. c) Torrey Oaks HOA (WUP# 11180): Per capita information were obtaind from permit issued in 2016
- (10) Although it is a general permit, Hardee Correctional Institution (WUP# 9550) is not required to submit a PSAR. Therefore, population and per capita were taken from permit issued in 2010.



## TABLE 7. HERNANDO COUNTY POPULATION ESTIMATES AND PROJECTIONS

				(2) 2020											
				POPULATION TIMES			(3)					ROJECTED	(5)	DEMANDS	
			(1)	2016-2020		PROJEC	TED POPULA	TION		(4)	-	ROJECTEL	(MGD)	MANUS	
			2020	GPCD						2016-2020					
	WUP		POPULATION	(MGD)	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	AVG GPCD	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045
(6)	DSS	Domestic Self-Supply	30,941	2.748	32,946	36,580	40.000	43,005	45,385	89	2.926	3.248	3.552	3.819	4.030
(9)	1891	Campers Holiday Association	543	0.027	544	544	545	546	546	50	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027
(9)	2119	Imperial Estates	255	0.012	255	255	255	255	255	48	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.012
(9)	3273	Holiday Springs RV Park	290	0.017	290	290	290	290	290	57	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.017
(9)	3720	McGist, Inc. (Frontier Campground)	364	0.017	364	364	364	364	364	46	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.017
	5789	Hernando Co Utilities	150,338	18.957	161,595	168,812	174,121	178,277	181,941	126	20.376	21.286	21.956	22.480	22.942
	7627	City Of Brooksville	17,315	1.329	18,284	19,317	20,390	21,323	22,158	77	1.403	1.482	1.565	1.636	1.700
(9)	8443	Camp-A-Wyle Condominium	440	0.040	442	444	445	447	449	90	0.040	0.040	0.040	0.040	0.040
(8)		Additional Irrigation Demand		2.992							3.204	3.382	3.528	3.649	3.752
	Total Cou	inty	200,486	26.137	214,720	226,606	236,409	244,506	251,387		28.022	29.511	30.713	31.697	32.537
(7)	1-10 Droug	oht Year Demand				,					29.703	31.282	32.556	33.599	34.489

#### MGD = million gallons per day

(1) 2020 Estimate was generated using 2016-2020 growth rates from The University of Florida Bureau of Economic and Business Research, Projections of Florida Population by County, 2016-2045, Florida Population Studies, Bulletin 192, February 2022.

To plantation and using average 2016-2020 GPCD, as provided in Table A-1 of the District's reports titled Estimated Water Use, 2016-2020.

[3] Source: Population Projections calculated using GIS Associates, Inc.'s population projection model data and the PS\_SERVICEAREAS GIS layer (dated: 30MAR2023). The functional population estimates include seasonal residents, tourists and net commuters, if applicable to the service area.

(4) For utilities with at least 0.1 mgd average annual withdrawal, year 2016-2020 average estimated per capita water use rates, as provided in Table A-1 of the District's annual 'Estimated Water Use Report' for years 2016-2020, were used to project demands. See footnotes 6 and 8 for descriptions of the per capita used for the Domestic Self-Supply and Additional Irrigation Demand.

used to project demands. See rootnotes to and o for descriptions of the per capita used to fixe Domestic Self-Supply and Additional Irrigation Demand.

(5) Computed as projected population multiplicid by 2016-2020 average per capita vater use.

(6) County residential per capita rate from the District's annual 'Estimated Water Use Report' for years 2016-2020, was used to calculate average estimated 2016-2020 usage, Table A-2. If a county residential per capita rate was used.

(7) 1-10 Drought' Year Demands is calculated as 1.06 x Projected Future Water Use.

(8) Additional Irrigation Demand is defined as water demand from residential irrigation wells utilized by residents that depend upon a centralized system for indoor water needs. It is calculated based on 332 gallons per well per day.

(9) Small general water use permits are not required to submit annual information on their per capita. Consequently, per capita information for the following small general WUPs was obtained as follows:
a) Campers Holiday Association (WUP# 1891): Per capita information was obtained from permit issued in 2020.
b) Imperial Estates (WUP# 2119): Per capita information was obtained from permit issued in 2019.

c) Holiday Springs RV Park (WUP# 3273): Per capita information was obtained from permit issued in 2019.
 d) Frontier Campground (WUP# 3720): Per capita information was obtained from permit issued in 2015.
 e) Camp-A-Wyle (WUP# 8443): Per capita information was obtained from permit issued in 2016.



## TABLE 8. HIGHLANDS COUNTY POPULATION ESTIMATES AND PROJECTIONS

				(2) 2020											
				POPULATION			(3)						(5)		
			'	TIMES			(3)				DE	OJECTED		DEMANDS	
			(1)	2016-2020		PRO IEC	TED POPULA	MOITA		(4)	- 11		(MGD)	LIVIANDO	
			2020	GPCD		TROOLO	ILD I OI OD	tiloit.		2016-2020			(INIOD)		
	WUP		POPULATION	(MGD)	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	AVG GPCD	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045
(6)	DSS	Domestic Self-Supply	19,615	1.236	20,648	21,432	22,111	22,668	23,143	63	1.301	1.350	1.393	1.428	1.458
	4167	HC Waterworks	1,402	0.128	1,411	1,418	1,425	1,430	1,436	92	0.129	0.130	0.130	0.131	0.131
	4492	City of Sebring	35,549	3.901	36,525	37,290	37,916	38,427	38,856	110	4.009	4.092	4.161	4.217	4.264
(9)	4670	Regular Baptist Fellowship, Inc.	496	0.020	507	515	521	525	528	40	0.020	0.021	0.021	0.021	0.021
	4980	Lake Placid Holding Co	4,631	0.313	4,827	4,985	5,117	5,227	5,322	68	0.326	0.337	0.346	0.353	0.359
	5270	Town Of Lake Placid	7,161	0.613	7,207	7,244	7,275	7,303	7,327	86	0.617	0.620	0.623	0.625	0.627
	6029	City Of Avon Park	22,774	1.982	22,850	23,115	23,174	23,230	23,285	87	1.988	2.011	2.016	2.021	2.026
(11)	6456	HC Waterworks	590	0.048	592	593	594	595	595	81	0.048	0.048	0.048	0.048	0.048
(11)		Lake Bonnet Village MHP	86	0.004	86	86	86	86	86	42	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004
	7139	Buttonwood Bay Utilities	1,631	0.180	1,631	1,631	1,631	1,631	1,631	110	0.180	0.180	0.180	0.180	0.180
(11)	9490	LP Utilities Corporation	418	0.045	418	418	418	418	418	107	0.045	0.045	0.045	0.045	0.045
(11)	10926	Lake Lynn Shores	30	0.005	30	30	30	30	30	150	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005
(11)	10930	Lake Placid Campground	62	0.002	62	62	62	62	62	37	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002
(11)	11601	Pine Ridge Park Inc	139	0.007	139	139	139	139	139	51	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007
(11)	12846	Tropical Harbor Mobile Home Estates	815	0.092	815	815	815	815	815	113	0.092	0.092	0.092	0.092	0.092
	13099	Sun N Lake Of Sebring Impr Dist	7,986	0.669	8,216	8,412	8,586	8,743	8,890	84	0.688	0.705	0.719	0.733	0.745
(11)	13272	Lake Park Village Condo Assoc	71	0.003	71	71	71	71	71	36	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003
(10)	13367	Silver Lake Utilities, Inc.	18	0.002	36	51	63	73	82	93	0.003	0.005	0.006	0.007	0.008
(11)	20470	Orange Blossom Park	154	0.023	154	154	154	154	154	150	0.023	0.023	0.023	0.023	0.023
(8)		Additional Irrigation Demand		3.890							3.988	4.072	4.137	4.191	4.237
	Total Cou	ntv	103.627	13.162	106,224	108,459	110,188	111.626	112,869		13.477	13.750	13.960	14.135	14.286
(7)		ht Year Demand	,		-,	,	,	,	,		14.286	14.575	14.798	14.983	15.143

Notes: MGD = million gallons per day

- (1) 2020 Estimate was generated using 2016-2020 growth rates from The University of Florida Bureau of Economic and Business Research, Projections of Florida Population by County, 2016-2045, Florida Population Studies, Bulletin 192, February 2022.
- (2) Estimated using average 2016-2020 GPCD, as provided in Table A-1 of the District's reports titled Estimated Water Use, 2016-2020.
- (3) Source: Population Projections calculated using GIS Associates, Inc.'s population projection model data and the PS\_SERVICEAREAS GIS layer (dated: 30MAR2023). The functional population estimates include seasonal residents, tourists and net commuters, if applicable to the service area.
- (4) For utilities with at least 0.1 mgd average annual withdrawal, year 2016-2020 average estimated per capita water use rates, as provided in Table A-1 of the District's annual 'Estimated Water Use Report' for years 2016-2020, were
- used to project demands. See footnotes 6 and 8 for descriptions of the per capita used for the Domestic Self-Supply and Additional Irrigation Demand. (5) Computed as projected population multiplied by 2016-2020 average per capita water use.
- (6) County residential per capita rate from the District's annual Estimated Water Use Report for years 2016-2020, was used to calculate average estimated 2016-2020 usage, Table A-2. If a county residential per capita rate was not available, the District's 2016-2020 average residential per capita rate was used.

  (7) 1-10 Drought Year Demand is calculated as 1.06 x Projected Future Water Use.
- (8) Additional irrigation Demand is defined as water demand from residential irrigation wells utilized by residents that depend upon a centralized system for indoor water needs. It is calculated based on 332 gallons per well per day. (9) Regular Baptist Fellowship, Inc. (WUP 4670): Per capita information obtained from permit issued in 2018.
- (10) This is a small general permit. It is not required to submit an annual per capita report. Per capita information is from the last issued permit. If no per capita information was found in WMIS., the per capita is assumed to equal the
- (11) Small general water use permits are not required to submit annual information on their per capita. Consequently, per capita information for the following small general WUPs was obtained as follows
- a) HC Waterworks (WUP# 6456): Per capita information was obtained from permit issued in 2018.
- b) Lake Bonnet Village MHP (WUP# 6804): Per capita information were obtained from permit issued in 2021. c) Lake Lynn Shores (WUP#10926): Per capita and population information were obtained from permit issued in 2013.
- d) Lake Placid Campground (WUP#10930): Per capita information was obtained from permit issued in 2013. e) Pine Ridge Park Inc (WUP# 11601): Per capita information was obtained from permit issued in 2017.
- f) Tropical Harbor Mobile Home Estates (WUP# 12846): Per capita information was obtained from permit issued in 2017.
- g) Lake Park Village Condo Assoc (WUP# 13272): Per capita information was obtained from permit issued in 2018 h) Orange Blossom Park (WUP# 20470): Per capita information was obtained from permit issued in 2014.
- i) LP Utilities Corporation (WUP #9490); Per capita information was obtained from permit issued in 2011.



#### TABLE 9. HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY POPULATION ESTIMATES AND PROJECTIONS

				(2) 2020														
				POPULATION			(3)						(5)					
				TIMES									WATER DEN	IANDS				
			(1)	2016-2020		PROJE	CTED POPULAT	TION		(4)			(MGD)					
	WUP		2020 POPULATION	GPCD (MGD)	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2016-2020 AVG GPCD	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	DPCWUCA	NTB	SWUCA
	WOF		FORUDATION	(mGD)	2023	2030	2000	2040	2040	AVG GFCD	2023	2030	2000	2040	2043	Drewock	WID	SWOCA
(6)	DSS	Domestic Self-Supply	120,119	8.673	141,815	176,066	212.637	248,942	282,818	72	10.239	12.712	15.352	17.974	20.419			
(9)		Park Village Hoa Of Ruskin	112	0.017	113	114	116	116	116	148	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.017			SWUCA
(9)	245	Chula Vista Mobile Home Park	282	0.026	282	282	282	282	282	93	0.026	0.026	0.026	0.026	0.026			SWUCA
(9)	435	The Wildwood Company, Inc.	690	0.100	690	690	690	690	690	145	0.100	0.100	0.100	0.100	0.100		NTB	
	450	City Of Temple Terrace	30,333	3.421	32,841	35,700	36,658	36,930	37,014	113	3.704	4.026	4.134	4.165	4.174		NTB	
(9)	1169	Briarwood Mobile Home Park	250	0.035	250	250	250	250	250	138	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	DPCWUCA	NTB	
	1776	City Of Plant City Utilities	41,988	6.156	45,693	54,644	67,116	78,542	86,686	147	6.699	8.011	9.840	11.515	12.709	DPCWUCA	NTB	
(9)	1787	Hillsborough County BOCC: San Remo	228	0.028	229	234	235	235	235	121	0.028	0.028	0.028	0.028	0.028		NTB	
	2062	City Of Tampa Water Dept	730,375	79.565	769,651	791,905	799,160	803,180	809,710	109	83.844	86.268	87.059	87.496	88.208		NTB	
	2285	Casa Verde MHC, LLC	1,263	0.142	1,524	1,526	1,526	1,526	1,526	112	0.171	0.171	0.171	0.171	0.171		NTB	
(9)		Sunrise MHC, LLC	350	0.021	350	350	350	350	350	60	0.021	0.021	0.021	0.021	0.021		NTB	
(9)	2955	Spanish Main RV Resort	423	0.036	423	423	423	423	423	86	0.036	0.036	0.036	0.036	0.036		NTB	
(9)	3752	Citrus Knoll MHP	59	0.009	59	59	59	59	59	150	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	DPCWUCA	NTB	
(9)	3926	Plant Properties Corp.	436	0.060	436	436	436	436	436	138	0.060	0.060	0.060	0.060	0.060	DPCWUCA	NTB	
(9)		Wilder Corporation	801	0.053	801	801	801	801	801	66	0.053	0.053	0.053	0.053	0.053			SWUCA
(9)		River Palm RV Resort	413	0.012	413	413	413	413	413	30	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.012		NTB	
	6879	C W Utility Systems Llc	2,148	0.202	2,148	2,148	2,148	2,148	2,148	94	0.202	0.202	0.202	0.202	0.202	DPCWUCA	NTB	
(9)		MHC FR Utility Systems, LLC	1,171	0.109	1,171	1,171	1,171	1,171	1,171	93	0.109	0.109	0.109	0.109	0.109	DPCWUCA	NTB	
(9)	7153	Parkwood Estates Mobile Home Park	474	0.066	474	474	474	474	474	140	0.066	0.066	0.066	0.066	0.066	DPCWUCA	NTB	
(9)		Bay Hills Village Condominium Assoc, Inc	218	0.033	218	218	218	218	218	150	0.033	0.033	0.033	0.033	0.033		NTB	
	7637	Riverside Golf Course Comm Llc	1,315	0.555	1,315	1,315	1,315	1,315	1,315	422	0.555	0.555	0.555	0.555	0.555			SWUCA
(9)		Southern Aire Mobile Home Park	292	0.026	292	292	292	292	292	90	0.026	0.026	0.026	0.026	0.026		NTB	
	7790	Uniprop Income Fund li (Paradise Village)	2,225	0.119	2,225	2,225	2,225	2,225	2,225	54	0.119	0.119	0.119	0.119	0.119		NTB	
(9)	8469	Bonita Bay Farmworker Housing	180	0.009	180	180	180	180	180	50	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009			SWUCA
(9)	8579	Neptune Valley Mobile Home Park	126	0.009	126	126	126	126	126	70	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009			SWUCA
(9)		Sunset Manor Hoa	56	0.008	56	56	56	56	56	150	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008		NTB	
(9)	8986	Bay Pointe Utilities, Inc.	85	0.009	85	85	85	85	85	110	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	DPCWUCA	NTB	
(9)		Florida Acecapaders, Inc.	118	0.016	118	118	118	118	118	132	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.016			SWUCA
	10443	Windemere Utility Company	2,773	0.251	2,778	2,793	2,795	2,795	2,795	90	0.251	0.252	0.253	0.253	0.253		NTB	
(9)	12513	Hometown Little Manatee Springs, LLC	518	0.041	518	518	518	518	518	80	0.041	0.041	0.041	0.041	0.041			SWUCA
(9)	12621	Hideaway Partners, LLLP	657	0.021	657	657	657	657	657	32	0.021	0.021	0.021	0.021	0.021			SWUCA
(9)	13004	Eastfield Slopes Condo	252	0.034	252	255	255	255	255	134	0.034	0.034	0.034	0.034	0.034		NTB	
(9)		Cax Lakeshore Villas Mhp	466	0.043	466	466	466	466	466	93	0.043	0.043	0.043	0.043	0.043		NTB	
	20141	Hillsborough County Utilities	682,173	68.822	750,332	794,092	825,086	847,414	862,802	101	75.698	80.113	83.240	85.492	87.045		NTB	SWUCA
(8)		Additional Irrigation Demand		2.446							2.651	2.819	2.952	3.064	3.161			
(7)		ht Year Demand									196.051	207.837	216.982	224.540	230.91			
	DPCWUCA	1-10 Drought Year Demand									7.620	9.011	10.949	12.725	13.99	90		

DPCWUCA 1-10 Drought Year Demand NTB 1-10 Drought Year Demand SWUCA 1-10 Drought Year Demand

7.620 9.011 10.949 12.725 13.990 181.597 190.582 196.787 201.447 205.122 81.032 85.712 89.026 91.414 93.060

MIGD = million gallons per day

(1) 2020 Estimate var as generated using 2016-2020 growth rates from The University of Florida Bureau of Economic and Business Research, Projections of Florida Population by County, 2016-2045, Florida

Population Studies, Bulletin 1922, February 2022;

(2) Estimated using average 2016-2020 (PPCL) as provided in Table A-1 of the District's reports titled Estimated Water Use, 2016-2020.

(3) Source: Population Projections calculated using GIS Associates, Inc.'s population projection model data and the PS\_SERVICEAREAS GIS layer (dated. 30MAR2023). The functional population estimates include seasonal residents, tourists and net communities; if applicable to the service area. IZ Estimated using awarage 20th-2020 Unit-2020 Unit-2020



### TABLE 10. LAKE COUNTY POPULATION ESTIMATES AND PROJECTIONS

(2)2020 POPULATION TIMES PROJECTED WATER DEMANDS (1) 2016-2020 PROJECTED POPULATION (MGD) 2020 GPCD 2016-2020 WUP POPULATION (MGD) 2025 2030 2035 2040 2045 AVG GPCD 2025 2030 2035 2040 2045 DSS Domestic Self-Supply & Small Utilities 0.140 1,732 2,351 2,904 3,391 3,813 NA 0.210 0.290 0.360 0.420 0.470 1,141 Total County in SWFWMD (all utilities and DSS) 1.141 0.140 1,732 2,351 2,904 3,391 3,813 0.210 0.290 0.360 0.420 0.470 1-10 Drought Year Demand 0.223 0.307 0.382 0.445 0.498 CFWI Large Utilities (Public Supply) NA NA NA NA NA NA NA 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 CFWI Large Utilities 1-10 Drought Year Demand NA NA NA NA NA NA 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000

#### Notes:

MGD = million gallons per day

- (1) Estimate & projections of domestic self-supplied & small utility population for District portion of county from draft 2025-2045 Regional Water Supply Plan for the Central Florida Water Initiative (July 2023).
- (1) Estimate & projections of domestic self-supplied & small utility population for District portion of county from draft 2025-2045 Regional Water Supply Plan for the Central Florida Water Initiative (July 2023).
- (3) 1-10 Drought Year Demand is calculated as 1.06 x Projected Future Water Use.



#### TABLE 11. LEVY COUNTY POPULATION ESTIMATES AND PROJECTIONS

				(2) 2020 POPULATION			(3)					o legren	(5)	SELLANDO.	
			(1)	TIMES 2016-2020		PRO IECT	TED POPULA	MOIT		(4)	PR	OJECTEL	) WATER D (MGD)	JEMANDS	
			2020	GPCD		TROJECT	ILD I OI OL	MION		2016-2020			(MOD)		
	WUP		POPULATION	(MGD)	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	AVG GPCD	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045
(6) (9) (8)	DSS 5640 7755 8953	Domestic Self-Supply City of Williston Town Of Yankeetown Town Of Inglis Additional Irrigation Demand	18,754 5,007 915 1,621	1.028 0.748 0.091 0.100 0.019	19,728 5,274 918 1,680	20,505 5,486 922 1,706	21,152 5,617 922 1,774	21,747 5,744 922 1,837	22,196 5,882 922 1,886	55 149 100 62	1.081 0.788 0.092 0.104 0.020	1.124 0.820 0.092 0.105 0.020	1.159 0.839 0.092 0.110 0.021	1.192 0.858 0.092 0.113 0.021	1.216 0.879 0.092 0.116 0.022
(7)	Total Coun	ity ght Year Demand	26,297	1.986	27,599	28,620	29,465	30,249	30,885		<b>2.084</b> 2.209	<b>2.161</b> 2.291	<b>2.221</b> 2.354	<b>2.277</b> 2.413	<b>2.325</b> 2.465

#### Notes:

MGD = million gallons per day

- (1) 2020 Estimate was generated using 2016-2020 growth rates from The University of Florida Bureau of Economic and Business Research, Projections of Florida Population by County, 2016-2045, Florida Population Studies, Bulletin 192, February 2022.
- (2) Estimated using average 2016-2020 GPCD, as provided in Table A-1 of the District's reports titled Estimated Water Use, 2016-2020.
- (3) Source: Population Projections calculated using GIS Associates, Inc.'s population projection model data and the PS\_SERVICEAREAS GIS layer (dated: 30MAR2023). The functional population estimates include seasonal residents, tourists and net commuters, if applicable to the service area.
- (4) For utilities with at least 0.1 mgd average annual withdrawal, year 2016-2020 average estimated per capita water use rates, as provided in Table A-1 of the District's annual 'Estimated Water Use Report' for years 2016-2020, were used to project demands. See footnotes 6 and 8 for descriptions of the per capita used for the Domestic Self-Supply and Additional Irrigation Demand.
- (5) Computed as projected population multiplied by 2016-2020 average per capita water use.
- (6) County residential per capita rate from the District's annual 'Estimated Water Use Report' for years 2016-2020, was used to calculate average estimated 2016-2020 usage, Table A-2. If a county residential per capita rate was not available, the District's 2016-2020 average residential per capita rate was used.
- (7) 1-10 Drought Year Demand is calculated as 1.06 x Projected Future Water Use.
- (8) Additional Irrigation Demand is defined as water demand from residential irrigation wells utilized by residents that depend upon a centralized system for indoor water needs. It is calculated based on 332 gallons per well per day.
- (9) This is a small general permit. It is nor required to submit an annual per capita report. Per capita information is from the last issued permit. If no per capita information was found in WMIS., the per capita is assumed to equal the average county per capita.
- a) Town Of Yankeetown (7755): Per capita information was obtained from the permit issued in 2014.



#### TABLE 12. MANATEE COUNTY POPULATION ESTIMATES AND PROJECTIONS

				(2) 2020											
				POPULATION			(3),(11)						(5)		
				TIMES							P	ROJECTED		EMANDS	
			(1)	2016-2020		PROJEC*	TED POPULA	TION		(4)			(MGD)		
			2020	GPCD						2016-2020					
	WUP		POPULATION	(MGD)	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	AVG GPCD	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045
(6),(10)	DSS	Domestic Self-Supply	10,665	0.672	11,282	11,785	12,245	12,676	13,088	63	0.711	0.742	0.771	0.799	0.825
	6392	City Of Bradenton	74,231	6.342	79,705	82,054	83,172	83,837	84,375	85	6.810	7.010	7.106	7.163	7.209
	10963	Town of Longboat Key	17,753	1.675	18,103	18,310	18,518	18,740	18,976	94	1.708	1.728	1.747	1.768	1.791
(12)	11424	Pines Trailer Park	72	0.006	72	72	72	72	80	87	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.007
	12443	City Of Palmetto	18,367	1.448	19,651	21,770	22,978	24,338	25,210	79	1.549	1.716	1.811	1.918	1.987
(12)	13154	Lazy Acres MHP	48	0.003	48	48	48	48	48	68	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003
(9),(10)	13343	Manatee County Utility Operations	357,185	32.432	398,752	434,856	465,697	492,749	516,899	91	36.207	39.485	42.285	44.742	46.934 PRMRWSA
(12)	20235	ERS/Palmetto Park.	24	0.002	24	24	24	24	24	75	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002
(8)		Additional Irrigation Demand		1.868							2.061	2.222	2.354	2.470	2.573
(11) (7)	Total Cou 1-10 Droug	<b>nty</b> <sub>i</sub> ht Year Demand	478,345	44.449	527,638	568,920	602,754	632,485	658,700		<b>49.056</b> 52.000	<b>52.915</b> 56.090	<b>56.086</b> 59.452	<b>58.871</b> 62.403	<b>61.330</b> 65.010

#### Notes:

MGD = million gallons per day

(1) 2020 Estimate was generated using 2016-2020 growth rates from The University of Florida Bureau of Economic and Business Research, Projections of Florida Population by County, 2016-2045, Florida Population Studies, Bulletin 192, February 2022.

(2) Estimated using average 2016-2020 GPCD, as provided in Table A-1 of the District's reports titled Estimated Water Use, 2016-2020.

(3) Source: Population Projections calculated using GIS Associates, Inc.'s population projection model data and the PS\_SERVICEAREAS GIS layer (dated: 30MAR2023). The functional population estimates include seasonal residents, tourists and net commuters, if applicable to the service area.

(4) For utilities with at least 0.1 mgd average annual withdrawal, year 2016-2020 average estimated per capita water use rates, as provided in Table A-1 of the District's annual 'Estimated Water Use Report' for years 2016-2020, were used to project demands. See footnotes 6 and 8 for descriptions of the per capita used for the Domestic Self-Supply and Additional Irrigation Demand.

(5) Computed as projected population multiplied by 2016-2020 average per capita water use.

(6) County residential per capita rate from the District's annual 'Estimated Water Use Report' for years 2016–2020, was used to calculate average estimated 2016–2020 usage, Table A-2. If a county residential per capita rate was not available, the District's 2016–2020 average residential per capita rate was used.

(7) 1-10 Drought Year Demand is calculated as 1.06 x Projected Future Water Use.

(8) Additional Irrigation Demand is defined as water demand from residential irrigation wells utilized by residents that depend upon a centralized system for indoor water needs. It is calculated based on 332 gallons per well per day. (9) Manatee County water use permits 5387,7345, and 7470 were consolidated into water use permit number 13343.

(10) The sum of the populations for each utility is greater than the total functional population from GISA. This results in negative domestic self supply populations. County totals adjusted upwards to cover deficit plus domestic self-supply.

(11) This estimates exceeds BEBR High and GISA 2022 functional population estimatates and projections for Manatee County.

(12) This is a small general permit. It is not required to submit an annual per capita report. Per capita information is from the last issued permit. If no per capita information was found in WMIS., the per capita is assumed to equal the average county per capita.

a) Pines Trailer Park (11424): Per capita information was not available from permit. Per capita is assumed to equal the average county per capita.

b) Lazy Acres MHP (WUP# 13154): Per capita information was obtained from permit issued in 2018.

c) ERS/Palmetto Pak (WUP# 20235): Per capita information was obtained from permit issued in 2021.



### TABLE 13. MARION COUNTY POPULATION ESTIMATES AND PROJECTIONS

			•	(2) 2020											
				2020 POPULATION			(3)					,	(5)		
			'	TIMES			(9)				P	ROJECTE		DEMAND	e e
			<b>r</b> (1)	2016-2020		PROJEC	TED POPUL	ATION		(4)		I IOOLO I LI	(MGD)	DEIMAND	•
			2020	GPCD		1110020	1201 01 02	A11014		2016-2020			(I-IGD)		
	WUP		POPULATION	(MGD)	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	AVG GPCD	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045
				(*,											
(6)	DSS	Domestic Self-Supply	52,475	6.045	57,187	61,181	64,557	67,471	70,197	115	6.588	7.048	7.437	7.773	8.087
	1156	Bay Laurel Community Development D	15,800	3.777	19,573	22,635	25,160	27,240	28,933	239	4.678	5.410	6.014	6.511	6.916
	5643	Utilities Inc of Florida, ATTN: Patrick FI	1,013	0.150	1,017	1,020	1,023	1,025	1,027	148	0.151	0.151	0.152	0.152	0.152
(9)	5731	Foxwood Mobile Home	517	0.058	517	517	517	517	517	112	0.058	0.058	0.058	0.058	0.058
(9)	5746	Ocala RV Campground	45	0.001	45	45	45	45	45	21	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
	6151	Marion Co Utilities Dept	51,506	6.827	55,444	58,700	61,467	63,839	66,011	133	7.349	7.781	8.147	8.462	8.750
(9)	6792	Saddle Oak Club MHC	736	0.101	736	736	736	736	736	137	0.101	0.101	0.101	0.101	0.101
(9)	6884	Marion Utilities Inc	101	0.007	121	137	152	164	176	70	0.008	0.010	0.011	0.011	0.012
(9)	8005	Century Fairfield Village Ltd	598	0.072	598	598	598	598	598	120	0.072	0.072	0.072	0.072	0.072
	8020	Association of Marion Landing Owners	1,102	0.136	1,102	1,102	1,102	1,102	1,102	123	0.136	0.136	0.136	0.136	0.136
(9)	8139	The Falls of Ocala HOA, Inc	213	0.025	218	221	224	227	229	119	0.026	0.026	0.027	0.027	0.027
	8339	City Of Dunnellon	6,834	1.073	7,412	7,894	8,303	8,655	8,978	157	1.164	1.239	1.303	1.359	1.409
(9)	9425	Sweetwater Oaks	370	0.050	370	370	370	370	370	136	0.050	0.050	0.050	0.050	0.050
(9)	10110	The Centers	129	0.013	129	129	129	129	129	100	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013
(9)	10852	Dogwood Acres MHP	239	0.027	239	239	239	239	239	111	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027
(9)	11523	Westwood MHP	144	0.018	144	144	144	144	144	125	0.018	0.018	0.018	0.018	0.018
(9)	20098	Satake Village Utilities	83	0.013	84	84	84	84	84	150	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013
(9)	20213	City of Dunnellon - Juliette Falls	88	0.011	101	112	122	130	138	129	0.013	0.014	0.016	0.017	0.018
(8)		Additional Irrigation Demand		0.690							0.758	0.815	0.862	0.903	0.939
	Total Co	untg	131,994	19.093	145,035	155,864	164,970	172,714	179,653		21.223	22.982	24.456	25.702	26.798
(7)	1-10 Drough	it Year Demand	-						-		22.496	24.361	25.924	27.244	28.406

#### Notes:

IGD = million gallons per day

(1) 2020 Estimate was generated using 2016-2020 growth rates from The University of Florida Bureau of Economic and Business Research, Projections of Florida Population by County, 2016-2045, Florida Population Studies, Bulletin 192, February 2022.

(2) Estimated using average 2016-2020 GPCD, as provided in Table A-1 of the District's reports titled Estimated Water Use, 2016-2020.

(3) Source: Population Projections calculated using GIS Associates, Inc.'s population projection model data and the PS\_SERVICEAREAS GIS layer (dated: 30MAR2023). The functional population estimates include seasonal residents, tourists and net commuters, if applicable to the service area.

(4) For utilities with at least 0.1 mgd average annual withdrawal, year 2016-2020 average estimated per capita water use rates, as provided in Table A-1 of the District's annual 'Estimated Water Use Report' for years 2016-2020, were used to project demands. See footnotes 6 and 8 for descriptions of the per capita used for the Domestic Self-Supply and Additional Irrigation Demand.

(5) Computed as projected population multiplied by 2016-2020 average per capita water use.

(6) County residential per capita rate from the District's annual 'Estimated Water Use Report' for years 2016-2020, was used to calculate average estimated 2016-2020 usage, Table A-2. If a county residential per capita rate was not available, the District's 2016-2020 average residential per capita rate was used.

(7) 1-10 Drought Year Demand is calculated as 1.06 x Projected Future Water Use.

(8) Additional Irrigation Demand is defined as water demand from residential irrigation wells utilized by residents that depend upon a centralized system for indoor water needs. It is calculated based on 332 gallons per well per day.

(9) Small general water use permits are not required to submit annual information on their per capita. Consequently, per capita information for the following small general WUPs was obtained as follows:

a) Foxwood Mobile Home (WUP# 5731): Per capita information were obtained from permit issued in 2017.

b) Ocala RV Campground (WUP# 5746): Per capita information were obtained from permit issued in 2018.

c) Saddle Oak Club MHC (WUP # 6792): Per capita information were obtained from permit issued in 2019.

d) Marion Utilities, Inc. (WUP# 6884): Per capita information were obtained from permit issued in 2020.

e) Century Fairfield Village Ltd (WUP #8005): Per capita information were obtained from permit issued in 2017  $\,$ 

f) The Falls of Ocala HOA, Inc (WUP# 8139): Per capita information were obtained from permit issued in 2022.
g) Sweetwater Oaks (WUP# 9425): Per capita information was obtained from permit issued in 2020.

h) The Centers (WUP# 10110): Per capita and population information were obtained from permit issued in 2010.

i) Dogwood Acres MHP (WUP# 10852): Per capita information was obtained from permit issued in 2013.

j) Westwood MHP (WUP# 11523): Per capita information was obtained from permit issued in 2018.

k) Satake Village Utilities (WUP# 20098): Per capita information was obtained from permit issued in 2020.

I) City of Dunnellon - Julliet Falls (WUP# 20213): Per capita information obtained from permit issued in 2022.



#### TABLE 14. PASCO COUNTY POPULATION ESTIMATES AND PROJECTIONS

				(2) 2020											
			F	POPULATION			(3)						(5)		
				TIMES							PF	ROJECTE		DEMAND	JS
			(1) 2020	2016-2020 GPCD		PROJEC	TED POPU	LATION		(4) 2016-2020			(MGD)		
	VUP		OPULATION	(MGD)	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	AVG GPCD	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045
	- 401	<u> </u>	OI OLATIOI	(Mab)	2020	2000	2000	2040	2010	AYGGI CD	2020	2000	2000	2010	2043
(6)	DSS	Domestic Self-Supply	104,683	7.432	128,220	148,160	165,677	182,551	197,727	71	9.104	10.519	11.763	12.961	14.039
	279	Florida Governmental Utility Author	4,089	0.264	4,168	4,176	4,176	4,177	4,177	65	0.269	0.270	0.270	0.270	0.270
(10)		Holiday Gardens Utilities, Inc.	893	0.078	912	915	915	915	915	87	0.079	0.080	0.080	0.080	0.080
(10)		Crestridge Utility Corporation	1,188	0.070	1,203	1,211	1,211	1,211	1,211	59	0.071	0.071	0.071	0.071	0.071
	590	Florida Governmental Utility Author	8,352	0.882	8,520	8,586	8,605	8,618	8,629	106	0.900	0.907	0.909	0.910	0.911
(10)		Traveler's Rest Resort	320	0.011	320	321	321	321	321	35	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.011
	1631	City of Dade City	13,080	1.419	13,846	14,675	15,481	16,620	17,811	108	1.502	1.592	1.679	1.803	1.932
(10)		Orangewood Lakes Mobile Home ( Florida Governmental Utilitu Author	903 282	0.070 0.028	905 290	906 293	907 293	909 293	910 293	78 100	0.071 0.029	0.071 0.029	0.071	0.071 0.029	0.071 0.029
(10)		Country- Aire	240	0.028	244	293 247	250 250	253 253	253 256	113	0.029	0.029	0.029	0.029	0.029
(IO	2978	Florida Governmental Utility Author	5,446	0.645	5,663	5,839	5,991	6,073	6.090	118	0.670	0.691	0.709	0.719	0.721
	3182	Florida Governmental Utility Author	30,718	2.508	32,254	33,441	34,419	35,089	35,615	82	2.633	2,730	2.810	2.865	2,908
(10)		Holiday Springs RV Park	290	0.017	290	290	290	290	290	57	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.017
(10		Baker Acres	610	0.025	610	610	610	610	610	41	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.025
(10		Tippecanoe Village Homenowners	375	0.042	375	375	375	375	375	111	0.042	0.042	0.042	0.042	0.042
	3590	Utilities Inc of Florida, ATTN: Patric	3,608	0.214	3,799	3,819	3,819	3,819	3,819	59	0.226	0.227	0.227	0.227	0.227
(10)	3619	Country Aire Service MHP	162	0.024	164	165	167	168	169	150	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.025
	3692	City Of Port Richey	7,503	0.609	8,128	8,607	8,981	9,287	9,489	81	0.659	0.698	0.729	0.753	0.770
	4550	City Of San Antonio	2,485	0.145	2,575	2,756	2,952	3,141	3,346	58	0.151	0.161	0.173	0.184	0.196
	4669	Hudson Water Works Inc	7,096	0.626	7,467	7,776	8,059	8,361	8,639	88	0.658	0.686	0.711	0.737	0.762
	4734	City Of New Port Richey	32,489	3.140	35,295	37,032	37,954	38,417	38,650	97	3.412	3.580	3.669	3.713	3.736
(10)		Florida Villas Mobile Home Park	119	0.012	119	120	120	120	120	99	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.012
(10)		Hacienda Utilities	942	0.081	943	944	944	945	945	86	0.081	0.081	0.081	0.081	0.081
(40)	6040 6223	City of Zephyrhills	28,662 1,146	2.409	30,979	32,639	33,710	34,242	34,665	84 70	2.604	2.744	2.834 0.086	2.878	2.914
(10)		Florida Governmental Utility Author Settlers Rest By Park	344	0.080 0.021	1,180 344	1,209 3 <b>44</b>	1,235 344	1,269 344	1,301 344	70 62	0.083	0.085 0.021	0.086	0.089 0.021	0.091 0.021
(10		Gem Estates	388	0.021	395	401	407	407	407	150	0.059	0.021	0.021	0.021	0.021
(10)		Utilities Inc of Florida	1.262	0.038	1,265	1,267	1.270	1,272	1.275	56	0.033	0.060	0.001	0.061	0.061
(10		Ramblewood Mobile Home Comm	272	0.033	272	272	272	272	272	123	0.033	0.033	0.033	0.033	0.033
(10		Seven Acres RV Park	20	0.001	20	20	20	20	21	40	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
(10		Timber Lake Estates	1.242	0.099	1.267	1,287	1,305	1.320	1.331	80	0.101	0.103	0.104	0.106	0.107
(10		Cav. Homeowners Cooperative	584	0.047	588	592	595	595	595	80	0.047	0.047	0.048	0.048	0.048
(10	7718	Florida Governmental Utility Author	494	0.035	526	530	530	530	530	70	0.037	0.037	0.037	0.037	0.037
(10)	7745	Florida Governmental Utility Author	649	0.079	672	690	692	692	692	122	0.082	0.084	0.084	0.084	0.084
(10)	7773	Barrington Hills MHC	432	0.032	432	432	432	432	432	74	0.032	0.032	0.032	0.032	0.032
(10)		Glendale Villas Condominium Assc	280	0.029	324	335	346	368	389	104	0.034	0.035	0.036	0.038	0.040
	7999	Florida Governmental Utility Author	2,111	0.132	2,120	2,147	2,178	2,189	2,200	63	0.133	0.134	0.136	0.137	0.138
	8417	Florida Governmental Utility Author	7,864	0.403	8,211	8,247	8,247	8,247	8,247	51	0.420	0.422	0.422	0.422	0.422
(10)		Parrish Properties	469	0.015	469	469	469	469	469	33	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015
(10)		Ramblewood Village	249	0.029	253	254	254	254	254	117	0.030	0.030	0.030	0.030	0.030
(10)		Sunburst Ry Park	311 400	0.030	311 400	311 400	311 400	311 400	311 400	97 89	0.030	0.030	0.030	0.030	0.030
(10)		Southfork Mobile Home Communi	904	0.036 0.030	958	1,004	1,047	1.087	1,124	33	0.036 0.032	0.036	0.036 0.035	0.036	0.036 0.037
(10)	11863	Florida Governmental Utility Author Pasco Co Utilities	321,942	0.030 34.827	350,480	375,250	395,395	410,610	423,735	108	37.914	40.594	42,773	44,419	45.839
(9)	99906	Arbor Oaks	429	0.036	431	432	432	433	423,733	83	0.036	0.036	0.036	0.036	0.036
(9)	99915	Orchid Lake Utilities	688	0.057	688	688	688	688	688	83	0.057	0.057	0.057	0.057	0.057
(8)		Additional Irrigation Demand	000	4.468	000	000	-	-	-		4.931	5.317	5.636	5.905	6.140
` '		-													
	Total Co	ounty	597,017	61.427	658,896	710,484	753,095	789,013	820,522		67.512	72.610	76.795	80.258	83.285
(7)	1-10 Droug	ht Year Demand									71.563	76.966	81.402	85.073	88.282

MISD = million gallons per day
(1) 2020 Estimate was generated using 2016-2020 growth rates from The University of Florida Bureau of Economic and Business Research, Projections of Florida Population by County, 2016-2045, Florida
Population Studies, Bulletin 192, February 2022.
(2) Estimated using awaye 2016-2020 GPCD, as provided in Table A-1 of the District's reports titled Estimated Water Use, 2016-2020.
(3) Source-Population Projections calculated using GIS Associates, Inc.'s population projection model data and the PS\_SERVICEAREAS GIS layer (dated: 30MAR2023). The functional population estimates include seasonal residents, tourists and net commuters, if applicable to the service area.

(A) For utilities with a learn OT map deverage area. (4) GF or utilities with learn at 10 gas average mount with third away, year 2016-2020 average estimated per capita water use rates, as provided in Table A-1 of the District's annual Estimated Water Use Report for years 2016-2020, were used to project demands. See footnotes 5 and 6 for descriptions of the per capita used for the Demestic Self-Supply and Additional Irrigation Demand.

(5) Computed as projected population multiplied by 2016-2020 average per capita vater use.

(6) County residential per capita rate from the District's annual Estimated Water Use Report for years 2016-2020, was used to calculate average estimated 2016-2020 usage, Table A-2. If a county residential per capita rate was not available, the District's 2016-2020 was residential per capita rate vater.

(7) 1-10 rought Year Demand is calculated as 1.06 x Projected Future Water Use.

(8) Additional Irrigation Demand is defined as water demand from residential irrigation wells utilized by residents that depend upon a centralized system for indoor water needs. It is calculated based on 332 gallons per well per day.

(9) This service areas is a wholesale importer. There is no water use permit associated with this service area. Per capita is assumed to equal to the average country per capita.

a) Orchid Lake Utilities (99915): Population information obtained from District's 2020 RWSP Public Supply Projections

(10) This is a small general permit. It is not required to submit an annual per capita is port. Per capita information is from the last issued permit. If no per capita information was found in WMIS, the per capita is assumed to equal the

county average.
a) Holiday Gardens Utilities, LLC (WUP#540): Per capita was obtained from permit issued in 2021.

Country average.

al Holiday Garden Utilities, LLC (WUP# 540). Per capita was obtained from permit issued in 2021.

b) Creatridge Utilities, LLC (WUP# 543). Per capita was obtained from permit issued in 2021.

c) Florida Governmental Utility Authority (WUP# 2373). Per capita was obtained from permit issued in 2013.

c) Florida Governmental Utility Pack (WUP# 2373). Per capita was obtained from permit issued in 2013.

d) Country - Mer (WUP# 3021). Per capita was obtained from permit issued in 2013.

d) Blasker Arces (WUP# 3021). Per capita was obtained from permit issued in 2013.

d) Elasker Arces (Sevice MHP FUMP# 3619). Per capita was obtained from permit issued in 2018.

d) Country - Mer Sevice MHP FUMP# 3619. Per capita was obtained from permit issued in 2016.

h) Country - Mer Sevice MHP FUMP# 3619. Per capita was obtained from permit issued in 2016.

h) Country - Mer Sevice MHP FUMP# 3619. Per capita was obtained from permit issued in 2017.

l) Florida Villas Mobile Home Park (WUP# 5234). Per capita was obtained from permit issued in 2012.

k) Florida Governmental Utility - Authority (WUP# 6223). Per capita was obtained from permit issued in 2012.

k) Florida Governmental Utility - Authority (WUP# 6223). Per capita was obtained from permit issued in 2013.

l) Settless Rest Riv Park (WUP# 6260). Per capita was obtained from permit issued in 2013.

l) Cem Estates (WUP# 6660). Per capita was obtained from permit issued in 2013.

o) Clampide Mobile Home Community (WUP# 6818). Per capita was obtained from permit issued in 2013.

o) Change Arces Riv Park (WUP# 6580). Per capita was obtained from permit issued in 2018.

p) Seven Acres Riv Park (WUP# 6580). Per capita was obtained from permit issued in 2018.

o) Change Arces Riv Park (WUP# 6580). Per capita was obtained from permit issued in 2018.

o) Change Arces Riv Park (WUP# 6580). Per capita was obtained from permit issued in 2018.

o) Change Arces Riv Park (WUP# 6580). Per capita was obtained from permit issued in 2018.

o) Change Arces Riv Park (WUP# 6580).

ac) Aqua Utilities Florida Incorporated (WUP# 11082): Per capita was obtained from permit issued in 2017

#### TABLE 15. PINELLAS COUNTY POPULATION ESTIMATES AND PROJECTIONS

				(2) 2020											
				POPULATION TIMES			(3)					PROJECTE	(5) NATER I	)FMANDS	
			(1) 2020	2016-2020 GPCD		PROJEC	CTED POPULA	ATION		(4) 2016-2020			(MGD)		
	WUP		POPULATION	(MGD)	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	AVG GPCD	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045
(6)	DSS	Domestic Self-Supply	5,185	0.262	6,015	6,167	6,263	6,328	6,494	51	0.304	0.312	0.317	0.320	0.329
(-/	742	City Of Tarpon Springs	28,778	2.560	29,552	30,165	30.987	32,508	34,351	89	2.629	2.683	2.756	2.892	3.055
	2980	City Of Dunedin	45,738	3.575	46,002	46,196	46,361	46,649	46,947	78	3.596	3.611	3.624	3.646	3.670
	2981	City of Clearwater	143,856	10.701	145,138	145,799	146,329	146,892	147,746	74	10.797	10.846	10.885	10.927	10.991
	7692	Town Of Belleair	5,939	0.822	6,170	6,338	6,342	6,343	6,345	138	0.854	0.877	0.877	0.878	0.878
(9)	9423	Southern Comfort MHP	550	0.077	550	550	550	550	550	140	0.077	0.077	0.077	0.077	0.077
(9)	10350	Utilities Inc of Florida	1,139	0.048	1,139	1,139	1,139	1,139	1,139	42	0.048	0.048	0.048	0.048	0.048
	10795	City Of Gulfport	14,881	0.942	14,962	15,038	15,175	15,376	15,499	63	0.947	0.952	0.961	0.973	0.981
	11218	City Of Oldsmar	17,436	1.386	17,902	18,285	18,779	19,184	19,481	79	1.423	1.453	1.492	1.525	1.548
	11245	City of Safety Harbor	15,667	1.400	15,956	16,255	16,612	16,880	17,574	89	1.425	1.452	1.484	1.508	1.570
	12351	City of Pinellas Park	63,692	3.748	64,904	66,069	68,256	71,243	73,010	59	3.820	3.888	4.017	4.193	4.297
	20142	Pinellas County	515,454	38.715	521,494	526,000	530,230	534,140	537,668	75	39.169	39.507	39.825	40.119	40.384
	20143	City of St. Petersburg	349,253	26.614	356,650	367,698	373,542	375,987	377,008	76	27.178	28.020	28.465	28.651	28.729
(8)		Additional Irrigation Demand		7.741							7.862	7.986	8.081	8.162	8.230
(10)	Total Cou	nty	1,207,570	98.592	1,226,434	1,245,700	1,260,566	1,273,220	1,283,812		100.128	101.712	102.910	103.919	104.786
(7)	1-10 Droug	nht Year Demand									106.135	107.815	109.084	110.154	111.073

Notes: MIGU = million gallons per day (1) 2020 Estimate was generated using 2016-2020 growth rates from The University of Florida Bureau of Economic and Business Research, Projections of Florida Population by County, 2016-2045, Florida Population Studies, Bulletin 132, February 2022. (2) Estimated using a wareage 2016-2020 GPCD, as provided in Table A-1 of the District's reports titled Estimated Water Use, 2016-2020.

(3) Source: Population Projections calculated using GIS Associates, Inc.'s population projection model data and the PS\_SERVICEAPEAS GIS layer (dated: 30MAR2023). The functional population estimates include seasonal residents, tourists and net commuters, if applicable to the service area.

(4) For utilities with at least 0.1 mgd average annual withdrawal, year 2016-2020 average estimated per capita water use rates, as provided in Table A-1 of the District's annual Estimated Water Use Report' for years 2016-2020, were used to project demands. See footnotes 6 and 8 for descriptions of the per capita used for the Domestic Self-Supply and Additional Irrigation Demand.
(5) Computed as projected population multiplied by 2016-2020 average per capita water use.

(6) Country residential per capitar rate from the District's annual Estimated Water Use Report for years 2016-2020, was used to calculate average estimated 2016-2020 usage, Table A-2. If a country residential per capitar rate was not available, the District's 2016-2020 average residential per capitar rate was used.

(7) 1-10 Drought Year Demand is calculated as 1.06 w Projected Future Water Use.

(8) Additional Irrigation Demand is defined as water demand from residential irrigation wells utilized by residents that depend upon a centralized system for indoor water needs. It is calculated based on 332 gallons per well per day.

(9) This is a small general permit. It is not required to submit an annual per capita report. Per capita information is from the last issued permit. If no per capita information was found in WMIS., the per capita is assumed to equal the of into a same general permit, it is not expected south an among perception of spinal report. Per capital information in an among perception are assessed permit is average country permit issued in 2009.

b) Utilities In or if Florida (WLIP# 10350): Per capital information was obtained from permit issued in 2014.

(10) These estimates and projections exceed BEBR High and GISA 2022 functional population estimates and projections for Pinellas Country.



#### TABLE 16. POLK COUNTY POPULATION ESTIMATES AND PROJECTIONS

			ı	(2) 2020 POPULATION									(4)					
			(1) 2020	TIMES 2016-2020 GPCD		PROJECT	ED POPULAT	ION		(3) Gross		PROJECTE	D WATER DE (MGD)	EMANDS				
	WUP		POPULATION	(MGD)	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	Per Capita	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045 DPCWUCA	SWUCA	SELFWF	CFWI Large
(6)		Domestic Self-Supply & Small Utility	36,825	3.4	40,976	44,821	48,036	49,775	51,514	NA	3.88	4.23	4.52	4.68	4.84			
	341	CFWI Large Utilities (Below) City Of Bartow	25,429	3.140	28,003	30,552	33,526	36,319	38,716	123	3.44	3.76	4.12	4.47	4.76	SWUCA		Yes
	587	Lelvnn RV Resort	274	0.020	275	275	275	275	275	80	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	Not in a WUCA		100
	645	City Of Fort Meade	6,912	0.570	7,007	7,084	7,152	7,212	7,265	77	0.54	0.55	0.55	0.56	0.56	SWUCA		Yes
	1616	Lake Region Mobile Home Owners Inc	1,064	0.090	1,067	1,068	1,071	1,075	1,076	91	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	SWUCA		Yes
	1625	Four Lakes Golf Club	1,100	0.330	1,101	1,102	1,102	1,102	1,102	328	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.36	SWUCA		
	2332	Town Of Lake Hamilton	1,539	0.300	1,688	1,856	2,109	2,371	2,577	196	0.33	0.36	0.41	0.46	0.51	SWUCA	YES	Yes
	3415	Orchid Springs Development Corp	1,087	0.070	1,122	1,139	1,139	1,139	1,139	60	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	SWUCA		
	4005	Crooked Lake Park Water Company	3,087	0.260	3,255	3,422	3,579	3,718	3,860	82	0.27	0.28	0.29	0.30	0.32	SWUCA		
	4607	City Of Winter Haven	85,847	10.160	95,411	102,654	107,865	112,308	115,821	124	11.83	12.73	13.38	13.93	14.36	SWUCA	YES	
	4658 4912	City of Lake Wales City Of Lakeland Water Utilities Water Administra	25,357 179,559	2.670 22.080	28,118 193.140	31,036 202.942	33,947 211.054	36,814 218,431	39,705 224,028	105 126	2.95 24.34	3.26 25.57	3.56 26.59	3.87 27.52	4.17 28.23	SWUCA	YES	
	5251	Grenelefe Resort LLC	2.949	1.120	2.958	2.962	2.988	3,018	3.040	359	1.06	1.06	1.07	1.08	1.09	SWUCA		
	5750	City of Davenport	11.715	1.800	15.430	16.949	18.250	19.310	20.098	146	2.25	2.47	2.66	2.82	2.93	Not in a WUCA	YES	
	5870	City Of Frostproof	4.584	0.320	5.017	5.389	5.721	6.013	6.387	79	0.40	0.43	0.45	0.48	0.50	SWUCA	YES	
	5893	Town of Dundee Public Works Dept	6,230	0.780	7,292	8,145	9,146	10,132	11,441	116	0.85	0.94	1.06	1.18	1.33	SWUCA	YES	
	6023	North Pointe HOA	156	0.030	157	157	157	158	158	172	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	SWUCA		
	6124	City Of Mulberry	4,586	0.410	4,933	5,236	5,512	5,761	5,983	87	0.43	0.46	0.48	0.50	0.52	SWUCA		
	6174	Saddlebag Lake Resort	695	0.100	699	700	701	701	701	133	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	SWUCA		
	6505	Polk County Utilities - NWRSA	45,892	2.870	49,725	52,751	55,790	58,571	60,887	61	3.03	3.22	3.40	3.57	3.71 DPCWUCA	SWUCA		
	6506	Polk County Utilities -SWRSA	46,310	3.550	50,564	54,503	57,144	59,005	60,803	76	3.84	4.14	4.34	4.48	4.62 DPCWUCA	SWUCA		
	6507	Polk County Utilities -CRSA	16,414	1.210	17,818	19,612	21,159	22,733	24,084	68	1.21	1.33	1.44	1.55	1.64	SWUCA		
	6508	Polk County Utilities - SERUSA	6,294	0.640	6,437	6,561	6,704	6,845	7,056	101	0.65	0.66	0.68	0.69	0.71	SWUCA	YES	
	6509	Polk County Utilities - NERSA	57,570	8.180	69,080	78,221	82,528	85,463	87,690	147	10.15	11.50	12.13	12.56	12.89	Not in a WUCA	YES	
	6624	City of Lake Alfred	10,067	0.890	11,429	12,643	13,697	14,551	15,330	104	1.19	1.31	1.42	1.51	1.59	SWUCA	YES	
	6920	City of Eagle Lake	5,251	0.520	5,843	6,534	7,315	8,107	9,542	83	0.48	0.54	0.61	0.67	0.79	SWUCA	YES	
	7119 7187	City of Auburndale CHC VII Ltd Century Realty Fund	35,209 1,081	5.400 0.200	40,029 1,083	43,981 1,083	47,956 1,083	51,675 1,083	54,731 1,083	148 225	5.92 0.24	6.51 0.24	7.10 0.24	7.65 0.24	8.10 0.24	SWUCA	YES	
	7328	Carefree RV Country Club	1,081	0.200	1,083	1,083	1,083	1,083	1,083	138	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	SWUCA		
	7878	Florida Governmental Utility Authority	2.200	0.110	2.242	2.281	2.300	2.311	2.311	67	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	Not in a WUCA		
	8054	Polk County Utilities - ERUSA	4.399	0.500	4.735	5.084	5.461	5,824	6.129	112	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.65	0.69	SWUCA	YES	
	8344	S V Utilities Ltd	641	0.130	644	644	644	644	644	233	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	SWUCA	123	
	8468	City Of Polk City	9.052	0.440	9.851	10.703	11.584	12.372	12.803	43	0.42	0.46	0.50	0.53	0.55	Not in a WUCA		
	8522	City of Haines City	36,933	5.080	46,538	52,580	58,205	62,998	66,779	147	6.84	7.73	8.56	9.26	9.82	SWUCA	YES	
	8967	Sweetwater Community LLC	551	0.110	552	552	552	552	552	216	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	SWUCA		
	12800	Hanover Jordans Grove, LLC	704	0.000	953	979	1,008	1,035	1,108	89	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.10	Not in a WUCA		
	12964	Alafia Preserve LLC; Eagle Ridge LLC; and Dona	0	0.000	248	516	764	991	1,198	135	0.03	0.07	0.10	0.13	0.16	SWUCA		
	13043	Cypress Lakes Utilities Inc	1,263	0.240	1,301	1,331	1,359	1,387	1,414	168	0.22	0.22	0.23	0.23	0.24	Not in a WUCA		
	Total Cour	ty in SWFWMD (all utilities and DSS)	679,663	77.860	757,566	818.894	869,429	912,625	949,878		88,610	95,900	101.800	106,870	111.180			
	DPCWUCA	., cmo (un dundos una bos)	92,202	6.420	100,289	107,254	112,934	117,576	121.690		6.870	7.360	7.740	8.050	8.330			
	SWUCA		560,060	63.640	617.458	663,334	704.089	740,697	772.665		71.440	76,760	81.500	85.790	89.460			
		e Utilities (Public Supply)	642,838	74.460	716,590	774,073	821,393	862,850	898,364		84.73	91.67	97.28	102.19	106.34			
		nt Year Demand	,		,		, -	, -			93.93	101.65	107.91	113.28	117.85			
(5)	DPCWUCA	1-10 Drought Year Demand									7.28	7.80	8.20	8.53	8.83			
	SWUCA 1-1	0 Drought Year Demand									75.73	81.37	86.39	90.94	94.83			
		Utilities 1-10 Drought Year Demand									89.81	97.17	103.12	108.32	112.72			
		nal Water Supply Plan Projections	551,877	81.392	653,476	708,926					96.376	104.554						
	Notes:																	
	MGD = millio	n gallons per day																

<sup>(1)</sup> Estimate & projections of domestic self-supplied & small utility population for District portion of county from draft 2025-2045 Regional Water Supply Plan for the Central Florida Water Initiative (July 2023).

<sup>(</sup>TEstimate & projections of domestic self-supplied & small utility population for District portion of county from draft 2025-2045 Regional Water Supply Plan for the Central Florida Water Initiative (July 2023).
(3) Unless otherwise noted, gross per capitas are from the draft 2025-2045 Regional Water Supply Plan for the Central Florida Water Initiative (July 2023).
(4) For large utilities, projected water demand is calculated as projected population times utility specific gross per capita.
(5) 1-10 Drought Year Demand is calculated as 1.06 x Projected Future Water Use.



### TABLE 17. SARASOTA COUNTY POPULATION ESTIMATES AND PROJECTIONS

				(2) 2020											
				POPULATION			(3)						(5)		
				TIMES							P	ROJECTED		DEMANDS	
			(1)	2016-2020		PROJEC'	TED POPULA	TION		(4)			(MGD)		
			2020	GPCD						2016-2020					
	WUP		POPULATION	(MGD)	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	AVG GPCD	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045
(6)	DSS	Domestic Self-Supply	43,291	2.268	53,766	63,186	72,280	80,899	87,238	52	2.817	3.311	3.787	4.239	4.571
	2923	City of North Port	57,037	3.410	65,650	73,045	79,578	85,672	93,019	60	3.924	4.366	4.757	5.121	5.561 PRMRWSA
	4318	City of Sarasota Public Works	75,588	5.718	76,450	77,386	77,702	77,845	77,983	76	5.783	5.854	5.878	5.889	5.899
	4866	Englewood Water District	37,801	2.777	40,129	42,162	44,118	45,565	47,010	73	2.948	3.098	3.241	3.348	3.454
	5393	City Of Venice	37,372	2.368	38,808	40,164	41,222	42,172	42,753	63	2.459	2.545	2.612	2.672	2.709
(9)	5456	Venice Ranch Mobile Home Estates	369	0.025	462	533	607	686	736	67	0.031	0.036	0.041	0.046	0.049
	5807	Camelot Communities	1,772	0.325	1,772	1,772	1,772	1,772	1,772	183	0.325	0.325	0.325	0.325	0.325
	7448	Royalty Resorts	1,339	0.092	1,339	1,339	1,339	1,339	1,339	69	0.092	0.092	0.092	0.092	0.092
	8836	Sarasota County Board of County Co	255,701	20.659	265,958	273,709	278,292	281,501	283,265	81	21.487	22.114	22.484	22.743	22.886 PRMRWSA
(10)	99914	Pluris - South Gate Utilities	11,039	0.892	11,157	11,168	11,176	11,183	11,190	81	0.901	0.902	0.903	0.904	0.904
(8)		Additional Irrigation Demand		6.379							6.797	7.152	7.441	7.692	7.909
	Total Cou	nty	521,309	44.913	555,493	584,464	608,085	628,634	646,305		47.567	49.795	51.562	53.072	54.359
(7)	1-10 Droug	ht Year Demand									50.421	52.783	54.655	56.256	57.621

#### Notes:

MGD = million gallons per day

(1) 2020 Estimate was generated using 2016-2020 growth rates from The University of Florida Bureau of Economic and Business Research, Projections of Florida Population by County, 2016-2045, Florida Population Studies, Bulletin 192, February 2022.

(2) Estimated using average 2016-2020 GPCD, as provided in Table A-1 of the District's reports titled Estimated Water Use, 2016-2020.

2025

- (3) Source: Population Projections calculated using GIS Associates, Inc.'s population projection model data and the PS\_SERVICEAREAS GIS layer (dated: 30MAR2023). The functional population estimates include seasonal residents, tourists and net commuters, if applicable to the service area.
- (4) For utilities with at least 0.1 mgd average annual withdrawal, year 2016-2020 average estimated per capita water use rates, as provided in Table A-1 of the District's annual 'Estimated Water Use Report' for years 2016-2020, were used to project demands. See footnotes 6 and 8 for descriptions of the per capita used for the Domestic Self-Supply and Additional Irrigation Demand.
- (5) Computed as projected population multiplied by 2016-2020 average per capita water use.
- (6) County residential per capita rate from the District's annual 'Estimated Water Use Report' for years 2016-2020, was used to calculate average estimated 2016-2020 usage, Table A-2. If a county residential per capita rate was not available, the District's 2016-2020 average residential per capita rate was used.
- (7) 1-10 Drought Year Demand is calculated as 1.06 x Projected Future Water Use.
- (8) Additional Irrigation Demand is defined as water demand from residential irrigation wells utilized by residents that depend upon a centralized system for indoor water needs. It is calculated based on 332 gallons per well per day.
- (9) This is a small general permit. It is not required to submit an annual per capita report. Per capita information is from the last issued permit. If no per capita information was found in WMS., the per capita is assumed to equal the average county per capita.
- a) Venice Ranch Mobile Home Estates (WUP# 5456): Per capita information were obtained from permit issued in 2017.
- (10) This service areas is a wholesale importer from Sarasota County Utilities (WUP# 8836). There is no water use permit associated with this service area. Per capita is assumed to equal WUP# 8836 per capita.



### TABLE 18. SUMTER COUNTY POPULATION ESTIMATES AND PROJECTIONS

	WUP		(1) 2020 POPULATION	(2) 2020 POPULATION TIMES 2016-2020 GPCD (MGD)	2025	PROJEC	(3) TED POPUL/ 2035	ATION 2040	2045	(4) 2016-2020 AVG GPCD	PF 2025	ROJECTEI 2030	(5)	DEMANDS 2040	2045
	WOF		FOFULATION	(MOD)	2020	2030	2030	2040	2040	AVG GFCD	2020	2030	2030	2040	2040
(6)	DSS	Domestic Self-Supply	25,079	1.705	20,411	19,812	30,138	40,465	40.464	68	1.388	1.347	2.049	2.752	2.752
(-)	1368	Lake Panasoffkee Water Assoc Inc	4,342	0.282	4,982	5,556	6.032	6,398	6,725	65	0.323	0.361	0.392	0.415	0.437
	6519	City Of Bushnell	3.508	0.454	5.742	7.788	9.348	10,709	12.024	129	0.743	1.007	1.209	1.385	1.555
	7185	City Of Webster	936	0.079	1,339	2,338	2,991	3,465	3,925	84	0.113	0.197	0.252	0.292	0.330
(9)	7799	Cedar Acres, Inc.	532	0.066	564	564	564	564	564	125	0.071	0.071	0.071	0.071	0.071
<b>1</b> -7	8135	City Of Wildwood City Mng	14,928	1.645	23,125	28,782	32,831	35,817	38,433	110	2.548	3.171	3.617	3.946	4.234
	8193	City of Center Hill	1,110	0.068	1,390	1,799	1,935	2,055	2,172	61	0.085	0.110	0.118	0.126	0.133
(9)	10488	City of Coleman	624	0.041	669	700	726	747	764	65	0.043	0.045	0.047	0.049	0.050
(9)	12434	Jumper Creek Manor	66	0.010	374	572	614	630	645	150	0.056	0.086	0.092	0.094	0.097
	12584	Village Parc Center	205	0.038	296	308	322	339	358	185	0.055	0.057	0.060	0.063	0.066
	13005	The Villages of Marion and Sumter	89,552	22.022	90,389	90,616	90,766	90,919	91,083	246	22.228	22.284	22.321	22.358	22.399
(10)	13123	Florida Grande Motor Coach Resort	1,036	0.138	1,036	1,036	1,036	1,036	1,036	133	0.138	0.138	0.138	0.138	0.138
(9)	20095	Southern Motor Coach Resort	800	0.070	64	343	790	962	1,097	88	0.006	0.030	0.070	0.085	0.097
	20721	South Sumter Utility Company	15,233	1.280	19,107	22,485	25,365	28,448	30,995	84	1.605	1.889	2.131	2.390	2.604
(11)	20901	Gibson Place Utility Company, LLC	0	0.000	1,160	27,529	53,898	55,360	55,360	71	0.082	1.955	3.827	3.931	3.931
(12)	21031	Blue Goose Utility Company, LLC	0	0.000	0	19,000	42,750	66,500	82,641	70	0.000	1.330	2.993	4.655	5.785
(8)		Additional Irrigation Demand		0.187							0.220	0.250	0.273	0.293	0.311
(7)	Total Cour 1-10 Droug	nty ght Year Demand	157,950	28.084	170,649	229,229	300,107	344,413	368,285		<b>29.704</b> 31.486	<b>34.327</b> 36.387	<b>39.658</b> 42.037	<b>43.041</b> 45.623	<b>44.987</b> 47.687

#### Notes:

MGD = million gallons per day

- (1) 2020 Estimate was generated using 2016-2020 growth rates from The University of Florida Bureau of Economic and Business Research, Projections of Florida Population by County, 2016-2045, Florida Population Studies, Bulletin 192, February 2022.
- (2) Estimated using average 2016-2020 GPCD, as provided in Table A-1 of the District's reports titled Estimated Water Use, 2016-2020.
- (3) Source: Population Projections calculated using GIS Associates, Inc.'s population projection model data and the PS\_SERVICEAREAS GIS layer (dated: 30MAR2023). The functional population estimates include seasonal residents, tourists and net commuters, if applicable to the service area.
- (4) For utilities with at least 0.1 mgd average annual withdrawal, year 2016-2020 average estimated per capita water use rates, as provided in Table A-1 of the District's annual 'Estimated Water Use Report' for years 2016-2020, were used to project demands. See footnotes 6 and 8 for descriptions of the per capita used for the Domestic Self-Supply and Additional Irrigation Demand.
- (5) Computed as projected population multiplied by 2016-2020 average per capita water use.
- (6) Districtwide residential per capita rate from the District's annual 'Estimated Water Use Report' for years 2016-2020, was used to calculate average estimated 2016-2020 usage, Table A-2.
- (7) 1-10 Drought Year Demand is calculated as 1.06 x Projected Future Water Use.
- (8) Additional Irrigation Demand is defined as water demand from residential irrigation wells utilized by residents that depend upon a centralized system for indoor water needs. It is calculated based on 332 gpd per well.
- (9) Small general water use permits are not required to submit annual information on their per capita. Consequently, per capita information for the following small general WUPs was obtained as follows:
- a) Cedar Acres (WUP# 7799): Per capita information obtained from permit issued in 2016.
- b) City of Coleman (WUP# 10488): Per capita information was obtained from permit issued in 2012.
- c) Jumper Cree Manor (WUP# 12434): Per capita information were obtained from permit issued in 2013.
- d) Southern Motor Coach Resort (WUP# 20095); Population and per capita information were obtained from permit issued in 2020.
- (10) Florida Grande Motor Coach Resort (WUP# 13123): Population and per capita information were obtainted from permit issued in 2023.
- (11) Gibson Place Utility Company (WUP# 20901): Population and per capita information were obtained from permit issued in 2023.
- (12) Blue Goose Utility Company (WUP# 21031): Population and per capita information were obtained from permit issued in 2024.

#### TABLE 19. DISTRICT TOTAL POPULATION ESTIMATES AND WATER DEMAND PROJECTIONS

			(2) 2020											
			POPULATION			(3)						(5)		
		(1)	TIMES 2016-2020		PROJEC	CTED POPUL	ATION		(4)	,	PROJECTE	(MGD)	DEMANDS	
		2020 POPULATION	GPCD (MGD)	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2016-2020 AVG GPCD	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045
(6)	Domestic Self-Supply Utilities	558,522	42.582 557.640	628,649 5.990,471	709,027	798,020	882,373	948,498	68 98	47.882 598.668	53.840 632.783	60.329 659.982	66.431	71.286 698.702
(8)		5,612,147	34.240	5,990,471	6,331,504	6,606,824	6,816,586	6,990,779	90	36.294	38.042	39.459	40.665	41.713
(7)	Total District 1-10 Drought Year Demand	6,170,669	634.462	6,619,120	7,040,532	7,404,844	7,698,959	7,939,277		<b>682.844</b> 723.814	<b>724.664</b> 768.144	<b>759.770</b> 805.356	<b>788.217</b> 835.510	<b>811.700</b> 860.403

#### Notes:

MGD = million gallons per day

(1) 2020 Estimate was generated using 2016-2020 growth rates from The University of Florida Bureau of Economic and Business Research, Projections of Florida Population by County, 2016-2045, Florida Population Studies, Bulletin 192, February 2022.

- (2) Estimated using average 2016-2020 GPCD, as provided in Table A-1 of the District's reports titled Estimated Water Use, 2016-2020.
- (3) Source: Population Projections calculated using GIS Associates, Inc.'s population projection model data and the PS\_SERVICEAREAS GIS layer (dated: 30MAR2023). The functional population estimates include seasonal residents, tourists and net commuters, if applicable to the service area.
- used to project demands. See footnotes 6 and 8 for descriptions of the per capita used for the Domestic Self-Supply and Additional Irrigation Demand.
- (5) Computed as projected population multiplied by 2016-2020 average per capita water use.
- available, the District's 2016-2020 average residential per capita rate was used.
- (7) 1–10 Drought Year Demand is calculated as 1.06 x Projected Future Water Use.
- (8) Additional Irrigation Demand is defined as water demand from residential irrigation wells utilized by residents that depend upon a centralized system for indoor water needs. It is calculated based on 332 gpd per well.

See table named "IRRIGATION WELL TYPES LESS THAN 5" WITHIN SWFWMD's PSSAs AND OUTSIDE WUP CONTROL AREAS" created by R. Pearson and K. Maze (File: Additional\_Irrigation\_Demand\_2025RWSP)



TABLE 20. DISTRICT TOTAL PUBLIC SUPPLY WATER DEMAND PROJECTIONS BY COUNTY (Includes All Utilities and Domestic Self Supply)

County	202	20	202	25	20:	30	203	35	20	40	20	45	Chan Dem		% Cha	ange
	Avg	1-10	Avg	1-10	Avg	1-10										
Charlotte	21.414	22.699	22.996	24.376	24.364	25.826	25.448	26.975	26.350	27.931	27.161	28.791	5.747	6.092	26.8%	26.8%
Citrus	21.679	22.980	22.897	24.271	23.858	25.290	24.678	26.159	25.342	26.863	25.921	27.476	4.242	4.496	19.6%	19.6%
De Soto	2.577	2.732	2.621	2.779	2.637	2.796	2.653	2.812	2.668	2.829	2.676	2.836	0.098	0.104	3.8%	3.8%
Hardee	1.776	1.882	1.781	1.888	1.778	1.885	1.770	1.877	1.767	1.873	1.760	1.865	-0.016	-0.017	-0.9%	-0.9%
Hernando	26.137	27.706	28.022	29.703	29.511	31.282	30.713	32.556	31.697	33.599	32.537	34.489	6.400	6.784	24.5%	24.5%
Highlands	13.162	13.951	13.477	14.286	13.750	14.575	13.960	14.798	14.135	14.983	14.286	15.143	1.124	1.191	8.5%	8.5%
Hillsborough	171.172	181.443	184.954	196.051	196.072	207.837	204.700	216.982	211.830	224.540	217.839	230.910	46.667	49.467	27.3%	27.3%
Lake	0.140	0.148	0.210	0.223	0.290	0.307	0.360	0.382	0.420	0.445	0.470	0.498	0.330	0.350	235.7%	235.7%
Levy	1.986	2.105	2.084	2.209	2.161	2.291	2.221	2.354	2.277	2.413	2.325	2.465	0.340	0.360	17.1%	17.1%
Manatee	44.449	47.116	49.056	52.000	52.915	56.090	56.086	59.452	58.871	62.403	61.330	65.010	16.881	17.894	38.0%	38.0%
Marion	19.093	20.239	21.223	22.496	22.982	24.361	24.456	25.924	25.702	27.244	26.798	28.406	7.705	8.167	40.4%	40.4%
Pasco	61.427	65.113	67.512	71.563	72.610	76.966	76.795	81.402	80.258	85.073	83.285	88.282	21.858	23.169	35.6%	35.6%
Pinellas	98.592	104.507	100.128	106.135	101.712	107.815	102.910	109.084	103.919	110.154	104.786	111.073	6.194	6.566	6.3%	6.3%
Polk	77.860	82.532	88.610	93.927	95.900	101.654	101.800	107.908	106.870	113.282	111.180	117.851	33.320	35.319	42.8%	42.8%
Sarasota	44.913	47.608	47.567	50.421	49.795	52.783	51.562	54.655	53.072	56.256	54.359	57.621	9.446	10.013	21.0%	21.0%
Sumter	28.084	29.769	29.704	31.486	34.327	36.387	39.658	42.037	43.041	45.623	44.987	47.687	16.904	17.918	60.2%	60.2%
Total	634.462	672.530	682.844	723.814	724.664	768.144	759.770	805.356	788.217	835.510	811.700	860.403	177.239	187.873	27.9%	27.9%

TABLE 21. DISTRICT TOTAL POPULATION PROJECTIONS BY REGION (Includes all Utilities and Domestic Self Supply)

Water Use by Planning Region	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	Change 2020-2045	% Change
Heartland Planning Region	809,270	889,869	953,352	1,005,433	1,049,992	1,088,310	279,040	34%
Northern Planning Region	685,695	736,779	826,989	924,376	990,818	1,033,945	348,250	51%
Southern Planning Region	1,247,747	1,348,159	1,432,925	1,502,036	1,562,228	1,614,977	367,230	29%
Tampa Bay Planning Region	3,427,957	3,644,312	3,827,266	3,972,998	4,095,922	4,202,045	774,088	23%
Districtwide	6,170,669	6,619,120	7,040,532	7,404,844	7,698,959	7,939,277	1,768,608	29%
Central Florida Water Initiative								
(CFWI)	680,804	759,298	821,245	872,333	916,016	953,691	272,887	40%
Dover Plant City Water Use								
Caution Area (DWUCA)	138,814	150,605	166,521	184,674	200,741	212,999	74,185	53%
North Central Florida								
Coordination Area (NCFCA)	289,945	315,684	385,093	465,077	517,127	547,938	257,994	89%
Southern Water Use Caution								
Area (SWUCA)	2,623,695	2,852,362	3,028,919	3,171,328	3,291,819	3,392,989	769,293	29%

## Notes:

Planning Regions:

Heartland Planning Region = Hardee, Highlands, Polk Northern Planning Region = Citrus, Hernando, Lake, Levy, Marion, Sumter Southern Planning Region = Charlotte, DeSoto, Manatee, Sarasota

Tampa Bay Planning Region = Hillsborough, Pasco, Pinellas

TABLE 22. DISTRICT TOTAL WATER DEMAND PROJECTIONS BY REGION (Includes All Utilities and Domestic Self Supply)

Water Use by Planning Region	20	120	202	25	20	30	203	35	20	140	2	045	Change i	n Demand	% Cha	ange
Region	Avg	1-in-10	Avg	1-in-10	Avg	1-10										
Heartland Planning Region	92.8	98.4	103.9	110.1	111.4	118.1	117.5	124.6	122.8	130.1	127.2	134.9	34.4	36.5	37%	37%
Northern Planning Region	97.1	102.9	104.1	110.4	113.1	119.9	122.1	129.4	128.5	136.2	133.0	141.0	35.9	38.1	37%	37%
Southern Planning Region	113.4	120.2	122.2	129.6	129.7	137.5	135.7	143.9	141.0	149.4	145.5	154.3	32.2	34.1	28%	28%
Tampa Bay Planning Region	331.2	351.1	352.6	373.7	370.4	392.6	384.4	407.5	396.0	419.8	405.9	430.3	74.7	79.2	23%	23%
Districtwide	634.5	672.5	682.8	723.8	724.7	768.1	759.8	805.4	788.2	835.5	811.7	860.4	177.2	187.9	28%	28%
Central Florida Water Initiative (CFWI)	78.0	82.7	88.8	94.1	96.2	102.0	102.2	108.3	107.3	113.7	111.7	118.3	33.7	35.7	43%	43%
Dover Plant City Water Use Caution Area (DWUCA)	13.1	13.8	14.1	14.9	15.9	16.8	18.1	19.2	20.1	21.3	21.5	22.8	8.5	9.0	65%	65%
Northern Tampa Bay (NTB) Water Use Caution Area	319.3	338.5	339.0	359.3	354.1	375.4	365.4	387.3	374.2	396.7	381.6	404.5	62.3	66.0	19%	19%
Southern Water Use Caution Area	261.5	277.2	285.4	302.5	302.9	321.0	317.0	336.0	328.9	348.6	338.8	359.2	77.3	82.0	30%	30%

## Notes:

Planning Regions:

Heartland Planning Region = Hardee, Highlands, Polk Northern Planning Region = Citrus, Hernando, Lake, Levy, Marion, Sumter Southern Planning Region = Charlotte, DeSoto, Manatee, Sarasota

Tampa Bay Planning Region = Hillsborough, Pasco, Pinellas



Table 23. Residential Irrigation Well Data

		IRRIGATION WELL TYPES LESS THAN 5" WITHIN SWFWMD's PSSAs AND OUTSIDE WUP CONTROL AREAS (1)													
			2020-2025		2020	202	5		2030		2035		2040		2045
	Functional Po	pulation (2)	Annual	3	32 gpd	33	32 gpd		32 gpd	33	32 gpd	3:	32 gpd	33	32 gpd
1 1			Exponential Pop	2020 Irr	igation Wells	2025 Irrigatio	on Well Estimates	2030 Irri	gation Wells	2035 Irr	igation Wells	2040 Irr	igation Wells	2045 Irri	gation Wells
	2020	2025	Growth Rate (3)	# Wells	Withdrawl (mgd)	# Wells	Withdrawl (mgd)	# Wells	Withdrawl (mgd)	# Wells	Withdrawl (mgd)	# Wells	Withdrawl (mgd)	# Wells	Withdrawl (mgd)
Charlotte	212,364	228,731	0.01496	7,093	2.355	7,640	2.536	8,117	2.695	8,498	2.821	8,822	2.929	9,114	3.026
Citrus	167,827	177,044	0.01075	3,246	1.078	3,424	1.137	3,565	1.184	3,685	1.223	3,782	1.256	3,867	1.284
DeSoto	35,729	36,297	0.00316	248	0.082	252	0.084	254	0.084	255	0.085	257	0.085	258	0.085
Hardee	25,980	26,079	0.00076	136	0.045	137	0.045	136	0.045	135	0.045	135	0.045	134	0.044
Hemando	200,486	214,720	0.01381	9,012	2.992	9,652	3.204	10,186	3.382	10,627	3.528	10,991	3.649	11,300	3.752
Highlands	103,627	106,224	0.00496	11,718	3.890	12,012	3.988	12,264	4.072	12,460	4.137	12,623	4.191	12,763	4.237
Hillsborough	1,623,370	1,758,982	0.01618	7,368	2.446	7,984	2.651	8,492	2.819	8,893	2.952	9,230	3.064	9,521	3.161
Lake	1,141	2,088	0.12845	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Levy	26,297	27,599	0.00972	56	0.019	59	0.020	61	0.020	63	0.021	64	0.021	66	0.022
Manatee	478,345	527,638	0.01981	5,627	1.868	6,207	2.061	6,692	2.222	7,090	2.354	7,440	2.470	7,749	2.573
Marion	131,994	145,035	0.01902	2,078	0.690	2,283	0.758	2,454	0.815	2,597	0.862	2,719	0.903	2,828	0.939
Pasco	597,017	658,896	0.01992	13,457	4.468	14,852	4.931	16,015	5.317	16,975	5.636	17,785	5.905	18,495	6.140
Pinellas	1,207,570	1,226,434	0.00310	23,317	7.741	23,681	7.862	24,053	7.986	24,340	8.081	24,585	8.162	24,789	8.230
Polk	679,663	841,409	0.04362	8,661	NA	9,596	NA	10,377	NA	11,011	NA	11,552	NA	12,021	NA
Sarasota	521,309	555,493	0.01278	19,214	6.379	20,474	6.797	21,542	7.152	22,412	7.441	23,170	7.692	23,821	7.909
Sumter	144,439	170,649	0.03391	562	0.187	664	0.220	753	0.250	822	0.273	883	0.293	938	0.311
Total (6)	6,157,158	6,703,319		111,793	34.240	118,915	36.294	124,961	38.042	129,864	39.459	134,037	40.665	137,663	41.713

- (1) Additional Irrigation Demand is defined as water demand from residential irrigation wells utilized by residents that depend upon a centralized system for indoor water needs. Demand is calculated based on 332 gallons per day per well
- (Determination of Landscape Irrigation Water Use in Southwest Florida, May 31, 2018, Michael Dukes & Mackenzie Boyer).
- (2) Countywide permanent and total functional population in SWFWMD.
- (3) 2020-2025 population growth rate used to estimate 2025-2045 well count.
- (4) Analysis of District well inventory conducted July 2023.
- (5) Additional irrigation demand was not calculated in the draft Regional Water Supply Plan for the Central Florida Water Initiative (July 2023).
- (6) Total Withdrawals exclude Lake and Polk amounts

