Minimum and Guidance Levels for

Lake Anoka

in Highlands County, Florida

December 2008

Ecologic Evaluation Section

Resource Projects Department

Southwest Florida
Water Management District

Minimum and Guidance Levels for Lake Anoka in Highlands County, Florida

Ecologic Evaluation Section
Resource Projects Department

December 2008

Table of Contents

Minimum and Guidance Levels for Lake Anoka

State law (Section 373.042, Florida Statutes; hereafter F.S.) directs the Department of Environmental Protection or the water management districts to establish minimum flows and levels (MFLs) for lakes, wetlands, rivers and aquifers. As currently defined by statute, the minimum level of an aquifer or surface water body is "the level of groundwater in the aquifer and the level of surface water at which further withdrawals would be significantly harmful to the water resources of the area". Minimum flows and levels are established and used by the Southwest Florida Water Management District for water resource planning, as one of the criteria used for evaluating water use permit applications, and for the design, construction and use of surface water management systems.

Development of a minimum flow or level does not in itself protect a water body from significant harm; however, resource protection, recovery and regulatory compliance can be supported once the flow or level standards are established. State law governing implementation of minimum flows and levels (Chapter 373.0421, F.S.) requires development of a recovery or prevention strategy for water bodies if the " existing flow or level in a water body is below, or is projected to fall within 20 years below, the applicable minimum flow or level". Recovery or prevention strategies are developed to: "(a) achieve recovery to the established minimum flow or level as soon as practicable; or (b) prevent the existing flow or level from falling below the established minimum flow or level." Periodic re-evaluation and as necessary, revision of established minimum flows and levels are also required by state law.

Minimum flows and levels are to be established based upon the best available information with consideration given to "…changes and structural alterations to watersheds, surface waters and aquifers, and the effects such changes or alterations have had, and the constraints such changes or alterations have placed on the hydrology of the affected watershed, surface water, or aquifer…", with the caveat that these considerations shall not allow significant harm caused by withdrawals (Section 373.0421, F.S.). The Florida Water Resources Implementation Rule (Chapter 62-40.473, Florida Administrative Code; hereafter F.A.C.) provides additional guidance for the establishment of minimum flows and levels, requiring that "consideration shall be given to the protection of water resources, natural seasonal fluctuations in water flows, and environmental values associated with coastal, estuarine, aquatic and wetland ecology, including: a) recreation in and on the water; b) fish and wildlife habitats and the passage of fish; c) estuarine resources; d) transfer of detrital material; e) maintenance of freshwater storage and supply; f) aesthetic and scenic attributes; g) filtration and absorption of nutrients and other pollutants; h) sediment loads; i) water quality; and j) navigation." The Water Resource Implementation Rule also indicates that "minimum flows and levels should be expressed as multiple flows or levels defining a minimum hydrologic regime, to the extent practical and necessary to establish the limit beyond which further withdrawals would be significantly harmful to the water resources or the ecology of the area".

To address this legislative mandate within its jurisdictional boundaries, the Southwest Florida Water Management District (District or SWFWMD) has developed specific methodologies for establishing minimum flows or levels for lakes, wetlands, rivers and aquifers, and adopted them into the Water Levels and Rates of Flow Rule (Chapter 40D-8, F.A.C.). For lakes, methodologies have been developed for establishing Minimum Levels for systems with fringing cypress wetlands 0.5 acres or greater in size and for those without fringing cypress wetlands 0.5 acres or greater in size. Lakes with fringing cypress wetlands where water levels currently rise to an elevation expected to fully maintain the integrity of the wetlands are classified as Category 1 Lakes. Lakes with fringing cypress wetlands that have been structurally altered such that lake water levels do not rise to former levels are classified as Category 2 Lakes. Lakes without fringing cypress wetlands are classified as Category 3 Lakes. Chapter 40D-8, F.A.C. also provides for the establishment of Guidance Levels, which serve as advisory information for the District, lake shore residents and local governments, or to aid in the management or control of adjustable water level structures. Two Minimum Levels and two Guidance Levels are established for lakes, and upon adoption by the District Governing Board, are incorporated into Chapter 40D-8, F.A.C. The levels are described below.

The **High Guidance Level** is provided as an advisory guideline for construction of lake shore development, water dependent structures, and operation of water management structures. The High Guidance Level is the elevation that a lake's water levels are expected to equal or exceed ten percent of the time (P10) on a long-term basis.

The **High Minimum Lake Level** is the elevation that a lake's water levels are required to equal or exceed ten percent of the time (P10) on a long-term basis.

The **Minimum Lake Level** is the elevation that a lake's water levels are required to equal or exceed fifty percent of the time (P50) on a long-term basis.

The **Low Guidance Level** is provided as an advisory guideline for water dependent structures, information for lake shore residents and operation of water management structures. The Low Guidance Level is the elevation that a lake's water levels are expected to equal or exceed ninety percent of the time (P90) on a long-term basis.

In accordance with Chapter 40D-8, F.A.C., Minimum and Guidance Levels were developed for Lake Anoka (Table 1), a Category 3 Lake located in Highlands County, Florida. The levels were established using best available information, including field data that were obtained specifically for the purpose of Minimum Levels development. Because the District is currently involved in a multi-year process of migrating all vertical elevation data from the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 (NGVD 29) to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88), tables in this report include elevation data values in both NGVD 29 and NAVD 88. Elevation data values shown on graphs and the topographic contours on the bathymetric map are presented in NGVD 29.

Table 1. Minimum and Guidance Levels for Lake Anoka.

Minimum and Guidance Levels	Elevation in Feet	
	NGVD 29	NAVD 88
High Guidance Level	123.6	122.6
High Minimum Lake Level	**122.8**	121.8
Minimum Lake Level	**121.7**	120.7
Low Guidance Level	121.2	120.2

Data and Analyses Supporting Minimum and Guidance Levels for Lake Anoka

Lake Setting and Description

Lake Anoka is located in Highlands County, Florida (Sections 27 and 28, Township 33 South, Range 28 East), in the Peace River Basin of the Southwest Florida Water Management District (Figure 1). White (1970) classified the area of west-central Florida containing Lake Anoka as the Intraridge physiographic region. Brooks (1981) characterized the area surrounding the lake as the Eastern Complex of the Central Ridge unit of the Lake Wales Ridge subdivision of the Central Lake District physiographic district. The unit is described as containing some residual high hills (to 220 feet) with considerable amounts of Upper Miocene coarse clastics underlying the ridge. Most of the surficial deposits are related to Pliocene and Early Pleistocene beach ridges and paleodunes. As part of the Florida Department of Environmental Protection's Lake Bioassessment/Regionalization Initiative, the area has been identified as the Southern Lake Wales Ridge lake region, and described as the Intraridge Valley where there are mostly clear-water lakes. Elevations are 70–150 feet with sandy, well-drained soils. Lakes range from acidic to alkaline, but almost all are clear with low color and low nutrients (Griffith *et al.* 1997).

The lake is located in the Carter Creek drainage basin within the Kissimmee River watershed. Surface water inflow to Lake Anoka consists of stormwater drainage from areas west of the lake, and overland flow from the surrounding residential and agricultural (citrus) areas. Lake Anoka discharges to the south through a shallow ditch and culvert system to Lake Lelia (Figure 2). There are permitted ground water withdrawals within the surrounding lake area, but there are no surface water withdrawals from the lake currently permitted by the District. There is no public access to the lake.

The 1953 United States Geological Survey 1:24,000 Avon Park, Fla. quadrangle map (photorevised 1972 and 1987) indicates an elevation of 124 feet above NGVD 29 (123 feet above NAVD 88) for Lake Anoka. The "Gazetteer of Florida Lakes" (Florida Board of Conservation 1969, Shafer *et al.* 1986) lists the area of Lake Anoka as 50 acres at this elevation. A topographic map of the lake basin generated in support of Minimum Levels development (Figure 3) indicates that the lake extends over 50 acres at an elevation of 124 feet above NGVD 29 (123 feet above NAVD 88).

The landscape of the surrounding lake area is comprised of residential and some commercial development within the northern, western, and southern lake areas, with citrus making up the southeastern and eastern lake areas. Dominant plant species observed along the shoreline include, torpedo grass (*Panicum repens*), and maidencane (*Panicum hemitomon*), along with smaller areas of pickerelweed (*Pontederia cordata*).

Figure 1. Location of Lake Anoka in Highlands County, Florida.



Figure 2. Location of the lake water level gauge, historic high water indicators, inlet, outlet, and control point for Lake Anoka.



Figure 3. Five-foot contours within the Lake Anoka basin. Values shown are elevations in feet above NGVD 29.



Map prepared using 2007 true color digital ortho photography, spot elevation data collected in 2007 by D.C. Johnson and Associates, Inc., and LiDAR data collected in 2005 by EarthData International, Inc.

Currently Adopted Guidance Levels

The Southwest Florida Water Management District has a long history of water resource protection through the establishment of lake management levels. With the development of the Lake Levels Program in the mid-1970s, the District began an initiative for establishing lake management levels based on hydrologic, biological, physical and cultural aspects of lake ecosystems. By 1996, management levels for nearly 400 lakes had been established.

Based on work conducted in the 1970s (see SWFWMD 1996), the District Governing Board adopted management levels (currently referred to as Guidance Levels) for Lake Anoka in October 1981. These levels have been incorporated into Chapter 40D-8, F.A.C. (Table 2). A Maximum Desirable Level of 123.50 feet above NGVD 29 (122.50 feet above NAVD 88) was also developed, but was not adopted. The adopted Guidance Levels and Maximum Desirable Level were developed using a methodology that differs from the current District approach for establishing Minimum and Guidance Levels. The levels do not, therefore, necessarily correspond with levels developed using current methods. Minimum and Guidance Levels developed using current methods will replace existing Guidance Levels upon adoption by the District Governing Board into Chapter 40D-8, F.A.C.

Annually since 1991, a list of stressed lakes has been developed to support the District's consumptive water use permitting program. As described in the District's Consumptive Use of Water Rule (Chapter 40D-2, F.A.C.), "a stressed condition for a lake is defined to be chronic fluctuation below the normal range of lake level fluctuations". For lakes with adopted Guidance Levels, chronic fluctuation below the Low Level is considered a stressed condition. For lakes without adopted levels, evaluation of stressed condition is conducted on a case-by-case basis. Lake Anoka is not included on the 2007 Stressed Lakes List, but has been classified as a stressed lake in the past (Gant *et al*. 1999, 2007).

Table 2. Adopted Guidance Levels for Lake Anoka.

Guidance Levels	Elevation in Feet	
	NGVD 29	NAVD 88
Ten Year Flood Guidance Level	124.80	123.80
High Level	124.00	123.00
Low Level	122.00	121.00
Extreme Low Level	120.00	119.00

Summary Data Used for Development of Minimum and Guidance Levels

Minimum and Guidance Levels for Lake Anoka were developed using the methodology for Category 3 Lakes described in Chapter 40D-8, F.A.C. The levels and additional information are listed in Table 3, along with lake surface areas for elevations in NGVD 29. Detailed descriptions of the development and use of these data are provided in the remainder of this report.

Table 3. Minimum and Guidance Levels, lake stage percentiles and the Historic P50, normal pool and control point elevations, and significant change standards for Lake Anoka.

Levels	Elevation in Feet		Lake Area (acres)
	NGVD 29	NAVD 88	
Lake Stage Percentiles and Historic P50			
Current P10	123.6	122.6	50
Current P50	121.1	120.1	46
Current P90	119.2	118.2	43
Historic P50	122.5	121.5	49
Normal Pool and Control Point			
Normal Pool	NA	NA	NA
Control Point	123.1	122.1	50
Significant Change Standards			
Wetland Offset Elevation	121.7	120.7	51
Aesthetics Standard	121.2	120.2	46
Species Richness Standard	117.6	116.6	41
Recreation/Ski Standard	91.3	90.3	19
Dock-Use Standard	NA	NA	NA
Basin Connectivity Standard	NA	NA	NA
Lake Mixing Standard	NA	NA	NA
Minimum and Guidance Levels			
High Guidance Level	123.6	122.6	50
High Minimum Lake Level	**122.8**	121.8	49
Minimum Lake Level	**121.7**	120.7	47
Low Guidance Level	121.2	120.2	46

NA = not available/not appropriate

Lake Stage Data, Exceedance Percentiles, and the Historic P50

Lake stage data, *i.e.,* surface water elevations for Lake Anoka were obtained from the District's Water Management Information System (WMIS) data base (Site Identification Number 25520). Lake stage data in the WMIS data base for Lake Anoka are recorded in NGVD 29. The period of record for the data extends from June 1981 through the present date (Figure 4, see Figure 2 for current location of the SWFWMD lake water level gauge). The highest surface water elevation for Lake Anoka recorded in the Water Management Data Base, 126.23 feet above NGVD 29 (125.23 feet above NAVD 88), occurred on October 25, 1995. The low of record, 116.33 feet above NGVD 29 (115.33 feet above NAVD 88), occurred on June 21, 2001. Based on available lake stage data, monthly mean lake surface elevations were calculated and graphed (Figure 5). The data record for Lake Anoka is not continuous, *i.e.*, there are some months during the period of record when lake surface elevations were not recorded.

For the purpose of Minimum Levels determination, lake stage data are classified as "Historic" for periods when there were no measurable impacts due to water withdrawals, and impacts due to structural alterations were similar to existing conditions. In the context of Minimum Levels development, "structural alterations" means man's physical alteration of the control point, or highest stable point along the outlet conveyance system of a lake, to the degree that water level fluctuations are affected. Lake stage data are classified as "Current" for periods when there were measurable, stable impacts due to water withdrawals, and impacts due to structural alterations were stable. Historic lake stage data are not available for Lake Anoka because the lake occurs within an area where there are measurable impacts due to groundwater withdrawals (SWFWMD 1999). Lake stage data from January 1966 through the present date are classified as Current data for lakes affected by the drawdown effects of water withdrawals within this region.

Reference lake water regime (RLWR) statistics are used to describe expected water level fluctuations for lakes that lack adequate Historic or Current data. The statistics include the RLWR50, RLWR5090, and RLWR90 and are derived using lake stage data for typical, regional lakes that exhibit little or no impacts from water withdrawals. Because the lake stage data record for Lake Anoka does not include Historic data, the Lake Wales Ridge reference lake water regime statistics (Ellison 2002) were used in the development of the Minimum and Guidance Levels for the lake as described in this report.

Monthly mean lake surface elevations from June 1981 through December 2006 were used to calculate the **Current P10, P50, and P90** lake stage exceedance percentile elevations. The Current P10 elevation, the elevation the lake water surface equaled or exceeded ten percent of the time during the current period, was **123.6 feet above NGVD 29** (122.6 feet above NAVD 88). The Current P50 elevation, the elevation the lake water surface equaled or exceeded fifty percent of the time during the current period, was **121.1 feet above NGVD 29** (120.1 feet above NAVD 88). The Current P90 elevation, the elevation the lake water surface equaled or exceeded 90 percent of the

time during the current period, was **119.2 feet above NGVD 29** (118.2 feet above NAVD 88).

The **Historic P50** elevation is the elevation that a lake's water levels are expected to equal or exceed fifty percent of the time on a long-term basis. It is derived to support development of minimum lake levels, and is established using Historic or Current data and, in some cases, reference lake water regime statistics. Because Historic data are not available for Lake Anoka, and the difference between the Current P10 and the Current P50 (2.5 feet) is greater than the Lake Wales Ridge area RLWR50 (1.1 feet), the Historic P50 was established at **122.5 feet above NGVD 29** (121.5 feet above NAVD 88) by subtracting the Lake Wales Ridge area RLWR50 from the High Guidance Level (123.6 feet above NGVD 29, 122.6 feet above NAVD 88).

Normal Pool Elevation, Control Point Elevation and Structural Alteration Status

The **Normal Pool** elevation, a reference elevation used for development of minimum lake and wetland levels, is established based on the elevation of Hydrologic Indicators of sustained inundation. Because Hydrologic Indicators of Normal Pool do not exist on Lake Anoka, **establishment of the Normal Pool elevation is not possible**. Based on the median elevation of 4 large live oak trees located along the north shore of Lake Anoka, a historic seasonal high water elevation was estimated at 128.6 feet above NGVD 29 (127.6 feet above NAVD 88) (Figure 2).

The **Control Point** elevation is the elevation of the highest stable point along the outlet profile of a surface water conveyance system (*e.g.,* structure, ditch, culvert, or pipe) that is the principal control of water level fluctuation in the lake. For Lake Anoka, the Control Point was established at **123.1 feet above NGVD 29** (122.1 feet above NAVD 88), the high spot in the outlet ditch (earthen plug) located on the south shore of the lake. Down-gradient of the ditch high spot, two 28-inch culverts convey surface water runoff under Marble Avenue to a shallow wetland system that discharges to Lake Lelia. The elevation of the culvert inverts was measured at 122.1 feet above NGVD 29 (121.1 feet above NAVD 88) (Figure 2).

Structural Alteration Status is determined to support development of Minimum and Guidance Levels. Because of known modifications to the outlet of the lake, **Lake Anoka is considered to be Structurally Altered**.

Guidance Levels

The **High Guidance Level** is provided as an advisory guideline for construction of lake-shore development, water dependent structures, and operation of water management structures. The High Guidance Level is the expected Historic P10 of the lake. Because Historic data are not available and Lake Anoka is Structurally Altered, the High Guidance Level was established at **123.6 ft above NGVD 29** (122.6 ft above NAVD 88), the higher of the Current P10 (123.6 feet above NGVD 29, 122.6 feet above NAVD 88)

and the Control Point (123.1 feet above NGVD 29, 122.1 feet above NAVD 88) elevations.

The **Low Guidance Level** is provided as an advisory guideline for water dependent structures, information for lake shore residents, and operation of water management structures. The Low Guidance Level is the elevation that a lake's water levels are expected to equal or exceed ninety percent of the time (P90) on a long-term basis. The level is established using Historic or Current data, and in some cases, RLWR statistics. Because Historic data are not available, and the difference between the Current P10 and the Current P90 (4.4 feet) is greater than the Lake Wales Ridge area RLWR90 (2.4 feet), the Low Guidance Level was established at **121.2 feet above NGVD 29** (120.2 feet above NAVD 88) by subtracting the Lake Wales Ridge area RLWR90 from the High Guidance Level (123.6 feet above NGVD 29, 122.6 feet above NAVD 88).

Lake Classification

Lakes are classified as Category 1, 2, or 3 for the purpose of Minimum Levels development. Those with fringing cypress wetlands greater that 0.5 acres in size where water levels currently rise to an elevation expected to fully maintain the integrity of the wetlands (*i.e*., the Historic P50 is equal to or higher than an elevation 1.8 feet below the Normal Pool elevation) are classified as Category 1 Lakes. Lakes with fringing cypress wetlands greater than 0.5 acres in size that have been structurally altered such that the Historic P50 elevation is more than 1.8 feet below the Normal Pool elevation are classified as Category 2 Lakes. Lakes without fringing cypress wetlands or with cypress wetlands less than 0.5 acres in size are classified as Category 3 Lakes. Because Lake Anoka does not have fringing cypress wetlands, it is classified as a **Category 3 Lake.**

Category 3 Lake Significant Change Standards and Other Information for Consideration

Lake-specific significant change standards and other available information are developed for establishing Minimum Levels for Category 3 Lakes. The standards are used to identify thresholds for preventing significant harm to cultural and natural system values associated with lakes in accordance with guidance provided in the Florida Water Resources Implementation Rule (Chapter 62-40.473, F.A.C.). Other information taken into consideration includes potential changes in the coverage of herbaceous wetland vegetation and aquatic plants.

Six significant change standards are developed, including a Species Richness Standard, an Aesthetics Standard, a Lake Mixing Standard, a Recreation/Ski Standard, a Dock-Use Standard, and a Basin Connectivity Standard. Although potential changes in the coverage of herbaceous wetland vegetation and aquatic plants associated with use of the standards is taken into consideration in the development of Minimum Levels, there is no significant change standard to determine a threshold for preventing significant harm to fringing non-cypress wetlands. Based on the Cypress Wetland Standard for Category 1 Lakes, however, a Wetland Offset Elevation was developed for

Category 3 Lakes to provide protection for non-cypress fringing wetlands. Since Lake Anoka is a Category 3 Lake system, the applicable significant change standards and the Wetland Offset Elevation were developed (Table 3).

The **Wetland Offset Elevation** is developed to protect lake fringing non-cypress wetlands. Based on the rationale used to develop the Cypress Wetland Standard for Category 1 Lakes (1.8 feet below the Normal Pool elevation), a Wetland Offset Elevation for Category 3 Lakes was developed. Because Hydrologic Indicators of sustained inundation used to determine the Normal Pool elevation usually do not exist on Category 3 Lakes, another datum, in this case the Historic P50 elevation, was used in the development of the Wetland Offset Elevation. Based on an evaluation of the relationship of the Cypress Wetland Standard with the Historic P50 for hydrologically unimpacted cypress wetlands, the Wetland Offset Elevation for Category 3 Lakes was established at an elevation 0.8 feet below the Historic P50 elevation (Hancock, draft report, 2007). For Lake Anoka, the Wetland Offset Elevation was established at **121.7 feet above NGVD 29** (120.7 feet above NAVD 88).

The **Aesthetics Standard** is developed to protect aesthetic values associated with the inundation of lake basins. The standard is intended to protect aesthetic values associated with the median lake stage from becoming degraded below the values associated with the lake when it is staged at the Low Guidance Level. The Aesthetic Standard was established at the Low Guidance Level, which is **121.2 feet above NGVD 29** (120.2 feet above NAVD 88).

The **Species Richness Standard** is developed to prevent a decline in the number of bird species that may be expected to occur at or utilize a lake. Based on an empirical relationship between lake surface area and the number of birds expected to occur at Florida lakes, the standard is established at the lowest elevation associated with less than a 15 percent reduction in lake surface area relative to the lake area at the Historic P50 elevation. For Lake Anoka, the Species Richness Standard was established at **117.6 feet above NGVD 29** (116.6 feet above NAVD 88).

The **Recreation/Ski Standard** is developed to identify the lowest elevation within the lake basin that will contain an area suitable for safe water skiing. The standard is based on the lowest elevation (the Ski elevation) within the basin that can contain a five-foot deep ski corridor delineated as a circular area with a radius of 418 feet, or a rectangular area 200 feet in width and 2,000 feet in length, and use of Historic lake stage data or region-specific reference lake water regime statistics. Because Historic data are not available, the Recreation-Ski Standard was established at **91.3 feet above NGVD 29** (90.3 feet above NAVD 88) based on the sum of the ski elevation (90.0 feet above NGVD 29, 89.0 feet above NAVD 88) and the Lake Wales Ridge area RLWR5090 (1.3 feet).

The **Dock-Use Standard** is developed to provide for sufficient water depth at the end of existing docks to permit mooring of boats and prevent adverse impacts to bottom-dwelling plants and animals caused by boat operation. The standard is based on the

elevation of lake sediments at the end of existing docks, a two-foot water depth requirement for boat mooring, and use of Historic lake stage data or region-specific reference lake water regime statistics. Because only one dock platform is located on Lake Anoka, **there is not enough information to develop the Dock-Use Standard**.

The **Basin Connectivity Standard** is developed to protect surface water connections between lake basins or among sub-basins within lake basins to allow for movement of aquatic biota, such as fish, and support recreational uses. The standard is based on the elevation of lake sediments at a critical high spot between lake basins or lake sub-basins, a water depth requirement for movement of aquatic biota or powerboats and other watercraft, and use of Historic lake stage data or region-specific reference lake water regime statistics. Because lake basin depth measurements indicate Lake Anoka does not contain sub-basins, **the Basin Connectivity Standard is not applicable**.

The **Lake Mixing Standard** is developed to prevent significant changes in patterns of wind-driven mixing of the lake water column and sediment resuspension. The standard is established at the highest elevation at or below the Historic P50 elevation where the dynamic ratio (see Bachmann *et al*. 2000) shifts from a value of <0.8 to a value >0.8, or from a value >0.8 to a value <0.8. Because the dynamic ratio does not shift across the 0.8 threshold, **the Lake Mixing Standard is not applicable** (Figure 6).

Minimum Levels

The method used for establishing Minimum Levels for a lake is dependent on its lake category. For Category 1 Lakes, the High Minimum Lake Level and Minimum Lake Level are established 0.4 feet and 1.8 feet below the Normal Pool elevation, respectively. For Category 2 Lakes, the High Minimum Lake Level is established at the High Guidance Level, and the Minimum Lake Level at the Historic P50 elevation. For Category 3 Lakes, the High Minimum Lake Level is established using Historic data or region-specific reference lake water regime statistics, and the Minimum Lake Level using lake-specific significant change standards or the Historic P50 elevation. Other available information taken into consideration in the establishment of Minimum Levels for all three lake categories includes: substantial changes in the coverage of herbaceous wetland vegetation and aquatic macrophytes; elevations associated with residential dwellings, roads or other structures; frequent submergence of dock platforms; faunal surveys; aerial photographs; typical uses of lakes (*e.g.,* recreation, aesthetics, navigation, and irrigation); surrounding land-uses; socio-economic effects; and public health, safety and welfare matters.

The **Minimum Lake Level** is the elevation that a lake's water levels are required to equal or exceed fifty percent of the time on a long-term basis. The Minimum Lake Level for Category 3 Lakes is established at the elevation corresponding to the most conservative significant change standard, *i.e*., the standard with the highest elevation, except where that elevation is above the Historic P50 elevation, in which case, the Minimum Lake Level is established at the Historic P50 elevation. Using current rule criteria, the Minimum Lake Level for Lake Anoka could be established at the Aesthetics

Standard (121.2 feet above NGVD 29, 120.2 feet above NAVD 88). However, because the Wetland Offset Elevation is higher than the Aesthetics Standard, the Minimum Lake Level was established at the Wetland Offset Elevation, **121.7 feet above NGVD 29** (120.7 feet above NAVD 88), which is lower than the Historic P50, but higher than the significant change standards below the Historic P50 (Figures 5 and 7). Review of changes in potential wetland area in relation to change in lake stage indicated there would not be a substantial increase or decrease in potential wetland area within the lake basin at the Minimum Lake Level (12.1% of the lake basin) relative to the potential wetland area at the Historic P50 elevation (12.6% of the lake basin) (Figure 6).

The **High Minimum Lake Level** is the elevation that a lake's water levels are required to equal or exceed ten percent of the time on a long-term basis. Because Lake Anoka is a Category 3 lake and Historic data are not available, the High Minimum Lake Level was established at **122.8 feet above NGVD 29** (121.8 feet above NAVD 88), an elevation corresponding to the Minimum Lake Level elevation plus the Lake Wales Ridge area RLWR50 (1.1 feet) (Figures 5 and 7).

Figure 4. Measured surface water elevations in feet above NGVD 29 through December 2006 for Lake Anoka.



Figure 5. Mean monthly surface water elevations in feet above NGVD 29 through December 2006 based on measured lake stage records, and Minimum and Guidance Levels for Lake Anoka. Levels include the High Guidance Level (HGL), Low Guidance Level (LGL), High Minimum Lake Level (HMLL), and Minimum Lake Level (MLL).



Figure 6. Surface area, maximum depth, mean depth, volume, dynamic ratio (basin slope), and potential herbaceous wetland area versus lake stage in feet above NGVD 29 for Lake Anoka.



Figure 7. Approximate location of the Minimum Lake Level (MLL) and High Minimum Lake Level (HMLL) for Lake Anoka.



Legend

Anoka Minimum Levels

- 121.7 feet above NGVD 29 = MLL
- 122.8 feet above NGVD 29 = HMLL

Map prepared using 2007 true color digital ortho photography, spot elevation data collected in 2007 by D.C. Johnson and Associates, Inc., and LiDAR data collected in 2005 by EarthData International, Inc.

Feet
0 150 300 600

Documents Cited and Reviewed for Development of Minimum and Guidance Levels for Lake Anoka

Bachmann, R. W., Hoyer, M. V., and Canfield, D. E., Jr. 2000. The potential for wave disturbance in shallow Florida lakes. Lake and Reservoir Management 16: 281-291.

Basso, R. and Schultz, R. 2003. Long-term variation in rainfall and its effect on Peace River flow in west-central Florida. Southwest Florida Water Management District, Brooksville, Florida.

Brenner, M., and Binford, M. W. 1988. Relationship between concentrations of sedimentary variables and trophic state in Florida lakes. CJFAS 45: 294-300.

Brooks, H. K. 1981. Physiographic divisions of Florida: map and guide. Cooperative Extension Service, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida.

Dierberg, F. E. and Wagner, K. J. 2001. A review of "A multiple-parameter approach for establishing minimum levels for Category 3 Lakes of the Southwest Florida Water Management District" June 2001 draft by D. Leeper, M. Kelly, A. Munson, and R. Gant. Prepared for the Southwest Florida Water Management District, Brooksville, Florida.

Enfield, D. B., Mestas-Nunez, A. M., and Trimble, P. J. 2001. The Atlantic multi-decadal oscillation and its relation to rainfall and river flow in the continental U. S. Geophysical Research Letters 28: 2077-2080.

Ellison, D. 2002. Draft report: Establishment of a reference lake water regime for the Highlands Ridge area of Polk and Highlands Counties. Hydrologic Evaluation Section, Southwest Florida Water Management District, Brooksville, Florida.

Florida Board of Conservation. 1969. Florida lakes, part III: gazetteer. Division of Water Resources, Tallahassee, Florida.

Florida Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, Florida Lakewatch, Florida Department of Environmental Protection, and the Florida Lake Management Society, Gainesville and Tallahassee, Florida.

Gant, R., Hood, J. and Toole, D. 1999. Memorandum to Mario Cabana, John Parker, Brian Starford and Scott Laidlaw, dated September 13, 1999, regarding the Historical List of Stressed Lakes. Southwest Florida Water Management District, Brooksville, Florida.

Gant, R. 2007. Memorandum to Ralph Kerr, John Parker, Michael Balser and Scott Laidlaw, dated January 23, 2007, regarding the 2006 Stressed Lakes List. Southwest Florida Water Management District, Brooksville, Florida.

Griffith, G., Canfield, D., Jr., Horsburgh, C., Omernik, and J. Azevedo, S. 1997. Lake regions of Florida (map). United States Environmental Protection Agency, University of

Hancock, M. 2007. Draft report: Recent developments in MFL establishment and assessment. Hydrologic Evaluation Section, Resource Conservation and Development Department., Southwest Florida Water Management District, Brooksville, Florida.

Helsel, D. R. and Hirsch, R. M. 1992. Statistical methods in water resources. Studies in Environmental Science 45. Elsevier. New York, New York.

Kelly, M. 2004. Florida river flow patterns and the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation. Southwest Florida Water Management District, Brooksville, Florida.

Lee, T. M. 2002. Factors affecting ground-water exchange and catchment size for Florida lakes in mantled karst terrain. United States Geological Survey, Tallahassee, Florida.

Leeper, D., Kelly, M., Munson, A. and Gant, R. 2001. A multiple-parameter approach for establishing minimum levels for Category 3 Lakes of the Southwest Florida Water Management District, June14, 2001 draft. Southwest Florida Water Management District, Brooksville, Florida.

Leeper, D., Kelly, M., Munson, A. and Gant, R. 2002. Proposed minimum and guidance levels for Lakes Clinch, Eagle, McLeod and Wales in Polk County, Florida and Lakes Jackson, Little Jackson, Letta and Lotela in Highlands County, Florida, October 21, 2002. Southwest Florida Water Management District, Brooksville, Florida.

Leeper, D. 2006. Proposed methodological revisions regarding consideration of structural alterations for establishing Category 3 Lake minimum levels in the Southwest Florida Water Management District, April 21, 2006 peer-review draft. Southwest Florida Water Management District. Brooksville, Florida.

Malloy, R. L. 2006. E-mail - Lake Safe Upland Lines. Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Tallahassee, Florida.

Romie, K. 2000. Water chemistry of lakes in the Southwest Florida Water Management District, Brooksville, Florida.

Sacks, L. A., Lee, Swancar, A., Lee, T. M. 1998. Estimating ground-water exchange with lakes using water-budget and chemical mass-balance approaches for ten lakes in ridge areas of Polk and Highlands Counties, Florida. United States Geological Survey, Tallahassee, Florida.

Sacks, L. A. 2002. Estimating ground-water inflow to lakes in central Florida using the isotope mass-balance approach. United States Geological Survey, Tallahassee, Florida.

Shafer, M. D., Dickinson, R. E., Heaney, J. P., and Huber, W. C. 1986. Gazetteer of Florida lakes. Publication no. 96, Water Resources Research Center, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida.

Southwest Florida Water Management District. 1989. Highlands Ridge Work Group report, first draft. Brooksville, Florida.

Southwest Florida Water Management District. 1989. Peace River Basin, Avon Park, aerial photography with contours. Sheet No. 27-33-28, Brooksville, Florida. Prepared by Continental Aerial Surveys, Inc.

Southwest Florida Water Management District. 1989. Peace River Basin, Avon Park, aerial photography with contours. Sheet No. 28-33-28, Brooksville, Florida. Prepared by Continental Aerial Surveys, Inc.

Southwest Florida Water Management District. 1992. Flood-stage frequency relations for selected lakes within the Southwest Florida Water Management District, Brooksville, Florida.

Southwest Florida Water Management District. 1994. First biennial report of the Ambient Monitoring Program including a report on: water quality trends in five central Florida springs. Southwest Florida Water Management District, Brooksville, Florida.

Southwest Florida Water Management District. 1996. Lake Levels Program lake data sheets, Peace River Basin – 20. Brooksville, Florida.

Southwest Florida Water Management District. 1999. Establishment of minimum levels for Category 1 and Category 2 lakes, in Northern Tampa Bay minimum flows and levels white papers: white papers supporting the establishment of minimum flows and levels for isolated cypress wetlands, Category 1 and 2 lakes, seawater intrusion, environmental aquifer levels, and Tampa Bypass Canal; peer-review final draft, March 19, 1999. Brooksville, Florida.

Southwest Florida Water Management District. 2007. Draft report: Hydrologic evaluation, establishment of long-term stage elevations, and minimum level compliance methodology for Lake Annie in the Southwest Florida Water Management District. Hydrologic Evaluation Section, Resource Conservation and Development Department, Southwest Florida Water Management District, Brooksville, Florida.

Tihansky, A. B., and Sacks, L. A. 1997. Evaluation of nitrate sources using nitrogen isotope techniques in shallow ground water within selected lake basins in the central lakes district, Polk and Highlands Counties, Florida. United States Geological Survey, Tallahassee, Florida.

United States Geological Survey. 1953. Avon Park Quadrangle, Florida, 7.5 minute series (topographic) map, N2730-W8130/7.5, photorevised 1972, AMS 4639 II SE-Series V847. Department of Interior, Washington, D.C.

United States Geological Survey. 1953. Avon Park Quadrangle, Florida, 7.5 minute series (topographic) map, 27081-E5-TF-024, photorevised 1987, DMA 4639 II SE-Series V847. Department of Interior, Washington, D.C.

Wagner, K. J. and Dierberg, F. E. 2006. A review of "Proposed methodological revisions regarding consideration of structural alterations for establishing Category 3 Lake Minimum Levels in the Southwest Florida Water Management District" by D. Leeper, 2006. Prepared for the Southwest Florida Water Management District, Brooksville, Florida.

White, W. A. 1970. The geomorphology of the Florida peninsula. Geological Bulletin, No. 51. Bureau of Geology, Florida Department of Natural Resources, Tallahassee, Florida.

Xynides, C. H. 2005. Surveyor's report – bathymetric surveying – MLF _07–Hills-High-Pasco-Polk, D. C. Johnson and Associates, Inc., File No. 2004-003A02 BG00004. San Antonio, Florida.